

Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER I COMPLAINTS
GENERALLY

4-I Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 1-1

FORM No. 1-1 Complaint--Caption and Jurisdictional Statement

, Plaintiff(s),)	
[identify as an individual, partnership, corporation,)	Docket No
etc.] v, Defendant(s),)	
[identify as a vessel, entity or person] n1)	
)	
		
COMPLAINT		
1. This is a case of admiralty and maritime jurisdiction within the meaning of <i>Rule 9(h) of the Federal Rules of </i>		will more fully appear, and is an admiralty or maritime claim vil Procedure. n2
or		
or		
COUNTERCI AIM		

1. This is a counterclaim within the admiralty and maritime jurisdiction of this Court and within the meaning of Rule

FOOTNOTES:

9(h) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

(n1)Footnote 1. If the complaint is in rem, the defendant vessel should be identified, i.e, "the	e Vessel (M.V.)
[name, her engines, tackle, equipment and furnishings."	
If the complaint is in personam rather than in rem, substitute the name of the defendant for t	he vessel, <i>i.e.</i> ,
"Corporation, defendant," in lieu of "the Vessel	, Her Engines,
Tackle, etc." If the complaint is both in rem and in personam, add "and	Corporation,
defendant." If a third party has intervened in the action pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 24, add "	,
Plaintiff in Intervention."	
(n2)Footnote 2. This is substantially the jurisdiction statement in Form 2 of the Official I	Forms annexed to the
Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The latter half of the sentence should only be included if the	e pleader wishes to invoke
the distinctively maritime procedures referred to in Rule $9(h)$.	



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER I COMPLAINTS
GENERALLY

4-I Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 1-2

FORM No. 1-2 Complaint In Rem--Allegations Concerning Partiesn1

[Caption and Jurisdictional Statement] n2	
2. At all times which shall be mentioned the plaintiff,, was and still is a, was and still is a, an office and principal place of business at	, with
an office and principal place of business at	
3. At all material times, the plaintiff [choose one of the following]:	
(a) was and still is a corporation duly organized and existing under and by virtue of the laws of the State of, with an office and principal place of busi	
located at [address].	
(b) was and still is a partnership duly organized and existing under and by virtue of the laws of the State of with an office and principal place of busin located at [address].	
(c) an individual residing at [address].	
4. On information and belief, the [vessel] is now, or during the pendency of this ac within this district. n3	tion will be

FOOTNOTES:

(n1)Footnote 1. If the complaint is both $in \ rem$ and $in \ personam$, modify this form by adding paragraph "3" from Form Nos. 1-5 or 1-7 infra.

(n2)Footnote 2. See Form No. 1-1 supra.

(n3)Footnote 3. Supplemental Rule C(2) provides that "[i]n actions in rem the complaint ... shall describe with reasonable particularity the property that is the subject of the action and state that it is within the district or will be during the pendency of the action."



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER I COMPLAINTS
GENERALLY

4-I Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 1-3

FORM No. 1-3 Complaints In Rem and In Personam--Prayers for Process and Relief

[Complaint In RemPrayer for Process and Relief]
Wherefore plaintiff prays:
1. That a warrant for the arrest of the [vessel] may issue, and that all persons claiming any interest therein may be cited to appear and answer the matter aforesaid;
2. That a judgment may be entered in favor of plaintiff for the amount of its claim, with interest and costs, and that the [vessel] may be condemned and sold to pay the same; and
3. That plaintiff may have such other and further relief as the court and justice may deem just and appropriate under the circumstances of the cause.
or
[Complaint In Rem and In Personam Prayer for Process and Relief] n1
Wherefore plaintiff prays:
1. That process in due form of law, according to the practices of this Honorable Court in causes of admiralty and

maritime jurisdiction, may issue against the[\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{maritime}}}}} \text{\tin}\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\texi}\text{\text{\text{\tex{\text{\text{\texi}\text{\texit{\text{\texi}\text{\texi}\text{\texi}\text{\texi}\text{\texi}\text{\texi}\texit{\texit{\tex{	vessel], her engines, tackle, equipment and
furnishings, etc., and that all persons having or claiming any interest the	erein be cited to appear and answer, under oath,
all and singular the matters aforesaid; that plaintiff have a decree for its	damages aforesaid, with interest and costs; and
that the [vessel] be condemned and sold to sat	isfy plaintiff's judgment;
2. That process in due form of law may issue against and answer, under oath, all and singular, the matters aforesaid;	[non-vessel defendant], citing it to appear
3. That the Court order, adjudge and decree that plaintiff have a decree <i>defendant</i>], for the claim asserted by plaintiff herein, together with inter-	-
4. That plaintiff have such other and further relief as this court and justicircumstances.	ice may deem just and appropriate under the
Dated:	
Attorney for Plaintiff n2	

FOOTNOTES:

(n1)Footnote 1. If the complaint is both *in rem* and *in personam*, a prayer for process and relief may be combined with Form Nos. 1-6 and 1-8 *infra*.

(n2)Footnote 2. Fed. R. Civ. P. 11 provides that the complaint (and all other pleadings) "of a party represented by an attorney shall be signed by at least one attorney of record in his individual name, whose address shall be stated."



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER I COMPLAINTS
GENERALLY

4-I Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 1-4

FORM No. 1-4 Instructions to United States Marshal Regarding Servicen1

Instructions to United States Marshal:	
Serve defendant:	
(1) through its agent,	
(2) through the Secretary of State	
State of	
,	[address for service]
Please withhold service in rem against	
the[vessel] until further	
notice from attorneys for plaintiff.	
Dated:	
Attorney for Plaintiff n2	

FOOTNOTES:

(n1)Footnote 1. This form contains an instruction to the United States Marshall regarding service on an agent of the defendant or on a state's Secretary of State as permitted under the rules; or is an instruction not to serve a vessel. This form should be used in conjunction with the summons form or simply typed onto the summons form.

(n2)Footnote 2. Fed. R. Civ. P. 11 provides that the complaint (and all other pleadings) "of a party represented by an attorney shall be signed by at least one attorney of record in his individual name, whose address shall be stated."



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER I COMPLAINTS
GENERALLY

4-I Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 1-5

FORM No. 1-5 Complaint In Personam--Allegations Concerning Various Particular Partiesn1

[Caption and Jurisdictional Statement] n2		
	virtue of the laws of the State of	Corporation, was and still is a corporation, with an office and
or		
duly organized and existing under and by	virtue of the laws of the State of	Corporation, was and still is a corporation, and is authorized Plaintiff had legal authority th.
3. On information and belief, at all times h was and still is a corporation organized and with an office and the corporation.	d existing under and by virtue of	the laws of the State of
operated the[ves		
or		

3. At all times hereinafter mentioned, defendant, United States of America, was and still is a sovereign state which, by Act of Congress of March 9, 1919 (46 U.S.C. § 741 et seq.), as amended, known as the Suits in Admiralty Act, has

consented to be sued in this Honorable Court on the Cause or Causes of Action	
owned, operated, controlled and/or chartered the vessel	
of said defendant or was employed by said defendant, and said defendant is su	1
provision of an Act of Congress of March 3, 1925 (46 U.S.C. § 781 et seq.), a	as amended, known as the Public Vessels
Act.	
or	
3. At and during all times mentioned herein, defendant,	, was and now is a corporation
organized and existing under and by virtue of the laws of the Republic of	[identify country],
with agents for the conduct of its business within this district, i.e.,	[identify the agents], located
at[address].	
or	
3. At and during all times mentioned herein, defendant,	
[state name] operator of the	_ [vessel] for the defendant,
[state name], and/or otherwise operated or managed	[identify vessel].
or	
3. At and during all times mentioned herein, defendant, corporation organized and existing under and by virtue of the laws of a foreig structure of said corporation being unknown to plaintiff, with agents for the coi.e, [identify agent] located at	n nation, the exact nature of the corporate onduct of its business within this district,
or	
4. At and during all times mentioned herein, defendant, and/or otherwise controlled the [vessel].	Corporation, operated, managed
or	
4. During all the times herein mentioned defendant,	was the owner and operator of the goods by water for hire between
or	
4. At and during all times mentioned herein, defendants, Doe Corporation, Do	=
Doe Corporation C were and now are corporations existing under and by virtu	
States or a country of the world, and defendant, John Doe, is an individual. D	
Corporation A, Doe Corporation B, and Doe Corporation C and/or John Doe,	

their true names being unknown to plaintiff at this time.

FOOTNOTES:

(n1)Footnote 1. If the complaint is both *in rem* and *in personam*, modify this form by adding paragraph "3" from Form No. 1-2 *supra*.

(n2)Footnote 2. See Form No. 1-1 supra.



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER I COMPLAINTS
GENERALLY

4-I Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 1-6

FORM No. 1-6 Complaint In Personam--Demand for Judgmentn1

Wherefore plaintiff demands judgment against defendant in the sum ofinterest and costs.	dollars, in addition to
or	
Wherefore plaintiff prays:	
1. That process in due form of law according to the practice of this Honorable Court issue agains them to appear and answer under oath all and singular the matters aforesaid;	t the defendants, citing
2. That plaintiff may have judgment for its damages in the amount of \$ costs, against the defendants; and	, with interest and
3. That the Court grant plaintiff such other and further relief as the Court and justice may deem junder the circumstances. Dated:	ust and appropriate
Attorney for Plaintiff	

FOOTNOTES:

(n1)Footnote 1. If the complaint is both *in rem* and *in personam*, a demand for judgment against defendant may be combined with Form No. 1-3 *supra*.



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER I COMPLAINTS
GENERALLY

4-I Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 1-7

FORM No. 1-7 Complaint In Personam With Maritime Attachment--Allegations Concerning Partiesn1

[Caption and Jurisdictional Statement] n2	
2. At all times hereinafter mentioned the plaintiff,	
3. On information and belief, at all times hereinafter mentioned the defenda	
was and still is a corporation organized and existing under and by virtue of	
, with an office and place of business at	
operated the [vessel]. The plaintiff is informed an	nd believes and therefore alleges that none of
the officers of the defendant is now within this district and that the defenda	ant does not maintain an office within this
district; but that there are now or will be during the pendency of this action	certain goods, chattels, credits and effects
belonging to or claimed by the defendant within this district, to wit: the	[vessel], owned by the
defendant and in the charge of a master on board. n3	
FOOTNOTES:	
(n1)Footnote 1. If the complaint is both in rem and in personam, modify the	his form by adding paragraph "3" from Form
No. 1-2 supra.	
(n2)Footnote 2. See Form No. 1-1 supra.	
(n3)Footnote 3. Rule B(1) requires that such complaint be verified and	d "be accompanied by an affidavit signed by

the plaintiff or his attorney that, to the affiant's knowledge, or to the best of his information and belief, the defendant

cannot be found within the district." Such an affidavit is reproduced in Form No. 1-9 infra.



Wherefore plaintiff prays:

8 of 141 DOCUMENTS

Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER I COMPLAINTS
GENERALLY

4-I Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 1-8

FORM No. 1-8 Complaint In Personam With Maritime Attachment--Prayer for Process and Reliefn1

1. That a summons with process of attachment and garnishment may issue against the defendant,
; and if defendant cannot be found, then that its goods, chattels and credits within the district,
and particularly the [vessel], and all monies and/or credits in the hands of
[identify holders of assets] may be attached in an amount sufficient to answer plaintiff's claim
2. That a judgment may be entered in favor of plaintiff for the amount of its claim, with interest and costs, and that a
decree of condemnation may be issued against the property and credits of the defendant,, for
the amount of plaintiff's claim, with interest and costs; and
3. That plaintiff may have such other and further relief as the justice of the cause may require.
or
Wherefore plaintiff prays:
1. That process issue against defendants and that said defendants be cited to appear and answer the allegations of this Complaint;
2. That if defendants cannot be found within this district, then all of their property within this district be attached in the sum of \$, with interest thereon and costs, the sum sued for in this Complaint;
3. That judgment be entered against the defendants in the sum of \$, together with interest and

costs; and
4. If for any reason it is alleged and/or found that plaintiff is not entitled to the attachment of the defendants' property under Admiralty principles of foreign attachment, then in the alternative, plaintiff invokes the provisions of [insert appropriate state statutes] and Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 4(e) and Rule B(1) of
the Supplemental Rules for Certain Admiralty and Maritime Claims; and
5. That plaintiff may have such other and further relief as in law and justice it may be entitled to receive. Dated:
Attorney for Plaintiff

FOOTNOTES:

(n1)Footnote 1. If the complaint is both *in rem* and *in personam* with a clause of maritime attachment, this form may be combined with Form No. 1-3 *supra*.



FOOTNOTES:

9 of 141 DOCUMENTS

Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER I COMPLAINTS
GENERALLY

4-I Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 1-9

FORM No. 1-9 Complaint In Personam With Maritime Attachment--Affidavitn1

STATE OFCOUNTY OF)))	ss:		
	, being duly sworn, de	poses and sa	nys:		
	[insert title of Corporation, the plaint		laintiff or that affi	ant is the attorne	y for the plaintiff] of
To my knowledge [or	to the best of my information	tion and bel	ief] the defendant	cannot be found	within this district.
[name typed]					
Subscribed and sworn	to before me this d	lay of		_, 20	
Notary Public					
[Seal]					

(n1)Footnote 1. As required by Rule B(1). See Form No. 1-7 n.3 supra.



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER I COMPLAINTS
GENERALLY

4-I Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 1-10

FORM No. 1-10 Complaint In Rem and/or In Personam With Maritime Attachment--Verification by Individualn1

STATE OF, COUNTY OF,)) ss:)	
, being duly sworn, de I am the plaintiff herein. I have read the foregoin	•	nts thereof and the same is true to my
knowledge, except as to the matters therein state true.	= =	
[name typed]		
Subscribed and sworn to before me this	, day of	, 20
Notary Public		

[Seal]

FOOTNOTES:

(n1)Footnote 1. Rule C(2) provides that "[i]n actions in rem the complaint shall be verified on oath or solemn affirmation." Rule B(1) provides that "[w]ith respect to any admiralty or maritime claim in personam a verified complaint may contain a prayer for process to attach the defendant's goods and chattels, or credits and effects in the hands of garnishees to be named in the process to the amount sued for, if the defendant shall not be found within the district."



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER I COMPLAINTS
GENERALLY

4-I Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 1-11

FORM No. 1-11 Complaint In Rem and/or In Personam With Maritime Attachment--Verification by Corporationn1

STATE OFCOUNTY OF)))	ss:		
, beir	ng duly sworn, depo	oses and say	s:		
I am thehave read the foregoing completo the matters therein stated up	aint and know the c	contents their	eof and the sam	e is true of my own	knowledge, except a
The reason I make this verificathereof, to wit, its				on is a corporation a	and I am an officer
The sources of my information upon my own knowledge are re					
[name typed]					
Subscribed and sworn to before	e me this		day of		, 20

Notary 1	Public
----------	--------

[Seal]

FOOTNOTES:

(n1)Footnote 1. See Form No. 1-10 n.1 supra.



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER I COMPLAINTS
GENERALLY

4-I Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 1-12

FORM No. 1-12 Complaint In Rem and/or In Personam with Maritime Attachment--Verification by Attorneyn1

STATE OF
, being duly sworn, deposes and says:
I am the attorney for the plaintiff herein. I have read the foregoing complaint and know the contents thereof and the same is true to the best of my knowledge, except as to the matters therein stated to be on information and belief, and as to those matters I believe it to be true.
The sources of my information and the grounds of my belief are statements and records furnished me by the plaintiff, its officers and agents.
The reason this verification is not made by the plaintiff is that it is a corporation, none of the officers of which is now within this district.
or
, being duly sworn, deposes and says:
I am a member of the firm of, attorneys for the plaintiff in this action.

I have read the foregoing complaint, know the contents thereof, and the same are true to the best of my knowledge, information and belief.
The sources of my information and the grounds of my belief are documents in the possession of my firm.
The reason this verification is made by deponent and not by plaintiff is that plaintiff is a corporation, no officer of which is now within this district.
[name typed]
Subscribed and sworn to before me this day of, 20
Notary Public
[Seal]

FOOTNOTES:

(n1)Footnote 1. See Form No. 1-10 n.1 supra.



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER I COMPLAINTS
GENERALLY

4-I Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 1-13

FORM No. 1-13 Complaint In Rem and/or In Personam with Maritime Attachment--Verification on Behalf of Foreign Plaintiff by Its Agentn1

STATE OFCOUNTY OF	,)) ss:)		
with offices located at behalf. Deponent has read own knowledge, except a matters deponent believes	d the foregoing complairs to those matters thereing it to be true. This verification office in this jurisdiction	address], and is aut and knows the co in stated to be allege ication is made by co in. The grounds of d	horized to execute this ontents thereof and that and upon information a deponent because plaid eponent's belief as to	ntiff is a foreign corporation all matters not stated upon
[name typed]				
Subscribed and sworn to	before me this day	y of	, 20	
Notary Public	_			
[Seal]				

FOOTNOTES:

(n1)Footnote 1. See Form No. 1-10 n.1 supra.



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER I COMPLAINTS
GENERALLY

4-I Benedict on Admiralty FORM Nos. 1-14-1-35

RESERVED

FORM Nos. 1-14RESERVED



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER I COMPLAINTS
ADVANCES *

4-I Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 1-36

FORM No. 1-36 Complaint In Rem--Advances To Pay Repairs

[Caption, Jurisdictional Statement, and Allegations Concerning Parties] n1

4. The	[vessel], sometime in the early part of	, 20, sailed from
the port of	bound to the port of	under the command of the master,
In	the course of her voyage, and on or about the	day of, 20
	, [location] and suffered	
	[port], where it was found that	
	sive repairs, and be furnished with certain sup	
fit to go to sea.	•	,
	ent on and repaired the	
expenses of such repairs and	supplies necessarily amounting to about \$	The master, not
having the funds to pay for the	e said repairs and supplies, applied to the plai	ntiff at for a loan o
part of the amount necessary	for that purpose. The plaintiff accordingly adv	vanced to the master, for the use of the
[ves	ssel], and on her credit, on the	day of,
	, to be repaid to the plainti	
	ssel] at [address], an	
	ward payment of the said repairs and supplies.	
6. Shortly after the advance v	vas made by the plaintiff, the	[vessel] sailed from
to _	where she arrived on	the, 20
	laintiff applied to the master for repayment of	
	[vessel] has now been take	
	or make any provision therefor, to the damag	± •

\$			
7. The	[vessel], on her voyage from	to	
brought a cargo, the whole or g	greater part of which is now on board the		[vessel], and the
freight thereof is still uncollect	ed.		
[Prayer for Process and Verifi	cation] n2		
FOOTNOTES:			
(n1)Footnote 1. See Form Nos	s. 1-1 and 1-2 <i>supra</i> .		
(n2)Footnote 2. See Form	Nos. 1-3, 1-10, 1-11, 1-12 and 1-13 supra.		

* See 2 Benedict on Admiralty, § 34 (Matthew Bender 7th ed.).



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER I COMPLAINTS
ADVANCES *

4-I Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 1-37

FORM No. 1-37 Complaint In Rem and In Personam--Advances by Charterer for Bunkers and Transit Chargesn1

[Caption and Jurisdiction	nal Statement] n2		
		[vessel], her engines, tackle, earrest of the vessel pursuant to Supplement	
Agency, Inc., this is a co	mplaint <i>in personam</i>	Steamship Co., Ltd. and with a prayer for process of maritime a ral Rules of Civil Procedure as to those	ttachment and garnishment
		g Limited is a corporation organized and notipal place of business at	=
and	Shipping Agend	elevant herein, defendants cy, Inc. are foreign corporations. Upon inc. has its principal place of business lo	nformation and belief,
[address] within this jur			
	e	elevant herein, defendant [vessel], which vessel now is, or vessel now is a constant of the new isomething th	

acted as agent for the owner. 8. On or about	7. Upon information and belief, at all times i	relevant herein o	defendant		Shippi	ng Agency, Inc.
[vesset] from defendants. 9. Subsequent to the commencement of the charter party, defendants, on or about	acted as agent for the owner.					
requested that plaintiff advance funds to supply bunkers for the vessel. 10. In accordance with defendants' request, on or about, 20, and, 20, plaintiff advanced the aggregate sum of \$ to supply said bunkers. 11. In addition, on or about, 20, defendants requested that plaintiff advance certain transit charges or dues on behalf of said vessel. 12. In accordance with defendants' request, on or about, 20, plaintiff advanced the surf of \$ to pay said charges or dues. 13. On or about, 20, defendants agreed in writing to reimburse plaintiff for the sums advanced together with interest at the rate of% over prime and to pay any attorneys' fees incurred by plaintiff attempting to recover said funds. 14. Plaintiff has demanded reimbursement of said advances due from defendants. 15. Despite said demand, no funds have been reimbursed by defendants to date. [Prayer for Process and Verification] n3 FOOTNOTES: (n1)Footnote 1. Form adapted from papers filed in Laguna Shipping Limited v. M/V Oceanus Countess, Civ. No. 84-2664 (E.D.N.Y. 1984). (n2)Footnote 2. See Form No. 1-1 supra.	8. On or about	, plaintiff ndants.	f entered into an agr	eement to	charter the	
	=			ut		, 20,
bunkers. 11. In addition, on or about	10. In accordance with defendants' request, o, 20, plaintiff	on or about f advanced the a	aggregate sum of \$	_, 20	, and	_ to supply said
transit charges or dues on behalf of said vessel. 12. In accordance with defendants' request, on or about	bunkers.					
to pay said charges or dues. 13. On or about			, defendants req	uested tha	at plaintiff ad	vance certain
advanced together with interest at the rate of % over prime and to pay any attorneys' fees incurred by plaintiff attempting to recover said funds. 14. Plaintiff has demanded reimbursement of said advances due from defendants. 15. Despite said demand, no funds have been reimbursed by defendants to date. [Prayer for Process and Verification] n3 FOOTNOTES: (n1)Footnote 1. Form adapted from papers filed in Laguna Shipping Limited v. M/V Oceanus Countess, Civ. No. 84-2664 (E.D.N.Y. 1984). (n2)Footnote 2. See Form No. 1-1 supra.				_, 20	, plaintiff a	dvanced the sum
15. Despite said demand, no funds have been reimbursed by defendants to date. [Prayer for Process and Verification] n3 FOOTNOTES: (n1)Footnote 1. Form adapted from papers filed in Laguna Shipping Limited v. M/V Oceanus Countess, Civ. No. 84-2664 (E.D.N.Y. 1984). (n2)Footnote 2. See Form No. 1-1 supra.	advanced together with interest at the rate of					
[Prayer for Process and Verification] n3 FOOTNOTES: (n1)Footnote 1. Form adapted from papers filed in Laguna Shipping Limited v. M/V Oceanus Countess, Civ. No. 84-2664 (E.D.N.Y. 1984). (n2)Footnote 2. See Form No. 1-1 supra.	14. Plaintiff has demanded reimbursement o	f said advances	due from defendant	ts.		
FOOTNOTES: (n1)Footnote 1. Form adapted from papers filed in Laguna Shipping Limited v. M/V Oceanus Countess, Civ. No. 84-2664 (E.D.N.Y. 1984). (n2)Footnote 2. See Form No. 1-1 supra.	15. Despite said demand, no funds have been	n reimbursed by	defendants to date.			
 (n1)Footnote 1. Form adapted from papers filed in Laguna Shipping Limited v. M/V Oceanus Countess, Civ. No. 84-2664 (E.D.N.Y. 1984). (n2)Footnote 2. See Form No. 1-1 supra. 	[Prayer for Process and Verification] n3					
	(n1)Footnote 1. Form adapted from papers if	filed in Laguna	Shipping Limited v	. M/V Oce	eanus Counte	ss, Civ. No.
(n3)Footnote 3. See Form Nos. 1-3, 1-10 through 1-13 supra.	(n2)Footnote 2. See Form No. 1-1 supra	a.				
	(n3)Footnote 3. See Form Nos. 1-3, 1-1	0 through 1-13	supra.			

* See 2 Benedict on Admiralty, § 34 (Matthew Bender 7th ed.).



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER I COMPLAINTS
ADVANCES *

4-I Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 1-44

RESERVED

FORM No. 1-44RESERVED



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER I COMPLAINTS
ARBITRATION *

4-I Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 1-45

FORM No. 1-45 Complaint In Personam--Recovery of Arbitration Award

[Caption, Jurisaictional Statement of	ana Attegations Conc	erning Parties] ni	
4. Plaintiff	and defendant		made and entered into a charter party
contract or agreement, in writing, at			
			d incorporated herein as Exhibit "A" [exhibi
omitted].	, 13		
5. Said charter party (Exhibit "A") 1	provides, in part, as fo	ollows:	
persons in London, one to be appoint	nted by each of the parfinal, and for the purp	arties hereto, and to pose of enforcing	matter in dispute shall be referred to three he third by the two so chosen; their decision any award, this agreement may be made a
6. Plaintiff duly performed all terms performed.	s and conditions of sa	id charter party co	ontract or agreement on its part to be
•			and both parties hereto duly submitted said tration proceeding was thereupon conducted
			20, an Award was made in said ad herein as Exhibit "B" [exhibit omitted].

9. By said Award there was a	1	, .	' I I		
Un	ited States dollars, t	ogether with interest	t thereon at the rate of _		%
per annum from					
\$, t					
10. Additionally, plaintiff dissum of \$amounting to \$	and by reasor	•			
11. Defendant, despite due d	emand by plaintiff,	has wholly failed an	d refused to pay any pa	rt of said mo	onies to plaintiff.
12. By reason thereof there is	s due and owing by	said defendant to pla	aintiff the sum of \$		and
the sum of \$said Award.	, with lega	d interest therein from	m	, 20	, the date of
[Demand for Judgment] n2					
FOOTNOTES:					
(n1)Footnote 1. See Form N	os. 1-1 and 1-5 <i>supr</i>	ra.			
(n2)Footnote 2. See For	m No. 1-6 supra.				
*	See 2 Benedict on A	Admiralty, ch. VIII (I	Matthew Bender 7th ed	.).	



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER I COMPLAINTS
ARBITRATION *

4-I Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 1-46

FORM No. 1-46 Complaint In Personam--Order To Compel Arbitration

[Caption, Jurisdictional	Statement and Allegati	ons Concerning Parties] n1	
1. On or about	, 20	, in the City of	, plaintiff, as owner of the
			defendant, as charterer. A copy of said
		d herein as Exhibit A [exhib	
2. Clause	in the aforer	mentioned charter party pro-	vides as follows:
[insert charter party clat	ise compelling arbitrat	ion].	
-	•		outes arose between plaintiff and defendant.
• •		C	anded payment thereof; however, defendant ge as demanded by plaintiff.
			plaintiff's counsel, by letter dated with the provisions of Clause in the
aforementioned charter p	party (Exhibit A) and de	emanded that defendant also	o appoint an arbitrator within
as Exhibit B [exhibit om		mereor. A copy or said lette	er is attached hereto and incorporated herein
	•	· -	plaintiff's counsel therein. A reasonable time was made upon defendant herein.
	-	g defendant to appoint an art	bitrator not later than

with such order, that the Court shall appoint an arbitrator	on behalf of defendant upon	the ex parte affidavit by plaintiff's
counsel.		

Attorney for Plaintiff

FOOTNOTES:

(n1)Footnote 1. See Form Nos. 1-1 and 1-5 supra.

* See 2 Benedict on Admiralty, ch. VIII (Matthew Bender 7th ed.).



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms CHAPTER I COMPLAINTS ARBITRATION *

4-I Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 1-57

RESERVED

FORM No. 1-57RESERVED



[Caption, Jurisdictional Statement and Allegations Concerning Parties] n1

21 of 141 DOCUMENTS

Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms CHAPTER I COMPLAINTS ASSAULT AND BATTERY *

4-I Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 1-58

FORM No. 1-58 Complaint In Rem and In Personam with Maritime Attachment by Seaman Against Master and Mate--Assault and Battery

4. In the month of _______, 20 _____, plaintiff shipped on board the said ______ to _____ to _____, and back to _____ in furtherance of the business of the ____[vessel]. 5. On or about the _____ day of ______, 20 _____, while on the high seas, plaintiff was lying in his berth in the forecastle while it was his watch below, and while there heard the mate, ___ to come upon deck, whereupon he immediately arose, but before he had fairly got out of the berth the mate sprang down into the forecastle, and seizing plaintiff by the throat began to drag him along the floor, and the said master, _____, having come down with an iron belaying pin, struck plaintiff with the same, whereby plaintiff was much injured, and to this day bears the marks of the blows so received; upon another occasion to-wit, on the _____ , the said mate, without the least cause or provocation, and without the slightest warning to plaintiff, fell upon plaintiff and beat him severely with his fist about the head and face, and the said master, coming from the other side of the deck, took a wooden belaying pin from the rail, and holding plaintiff by the neck, struck plaintiff five or six times on the head with the belaying pin, and with the assistance of the mate, then beat him with the same about his legs and body for some minutes; by reason of which beating, the face and head of plaintiff were very much bruised, and his body also injured; and he still feels the effects of such beating. And plaintiff by reason of the premises claims to be entitled to recover of the said master and mate damages to the amount of

6. The said defendants	[<i>mate</i>] and	[master] at the present time have			
either fled from the jurisdiction of th	is court, or have concealed themselve	es so that they cannot be found but, upon			
information and belief, plaintiff alleg	information and belief, plaintiff alleges that they have goods and chattels in this district, and credits in the hands of				
[holder of	goods] in the City of				
[Prayer for Process, Verification and	d Affidavit in Maritime Attachment] n	2			
FOOTNOTES:					
(n1)Footnote 1. See Form Nos. 1-1,	1-2 and 1-7 <i>supra</i> .				
(n2)Footnote 2. See Form Nos.	1-3, 1-8, 1-9, 1-10 and 1-12 supra.				
* See 1B	Benedict on Admiralty, § 31 (Matthe	ew Bender 7th ed.).			



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms CHAPTER I COMPLAINTS ASSAULT AND BATTERY *

4-I Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 1-59

FORM No. 1-59 Complaint In Personam by Seaman Against Vessel Owner--Assault and Battery--Negligence

t and Allegati	ions Concerning Parties] n1
of the said re	, the plaintiff was employed by defendant aboard the aforementioned elationship between plaintiff and defendant, it was the duty of the d the said vessel and to maintain the said vessel and its appurtenances in
purtenances is ting to the pla	, the defendant failed to so provide for plaintiff's safety and failed to n a seaworthy condition, in that one of the defendant's employees aboard aintiff, to wit, the boatswain aboard the said vessel, did on said date, ssel and while the plaintiff was serving as aforesaid aboard the said
	essness and negligence of the defendant(s), plaintiff suffered injuries and ribe injuries alleged and damages suffered].
1 and 1-5 <i>sup</i>	ora.
1	, 20 of the said resistances in the said vest , 20 purtenances in the plant of the said vest tof the careled the said vest tof the careled the said vest

* See 1B Benedict on Admiralty, § 31 (Matthew Bender 7th ed.).



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms CHAPTER I COMPLAINTS ASSAULT AND BATTERY *

4-I Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 1-60

FORM No. 1-60 Complaint In Personam by Seaman Against Vessel Owner--Assault and Battery by Fellow Crew Member with Claim Under Jones Actn1

[Caption and Allegations Concerning Parties] n2

COUNT I n3

•	ction pursuant to Section utes amendatory thereof		at Marine Act of 1919, commonly known as the thereto.
member of the crew of t	he r of the said crew at all th	[vessel] in the	_, the plaintiff was employed by defendant as a capacity of deckhand and, except as otherwise r mentioned and was engaged in the furtherance of
co-employees and Maste with suitable and safe m proper condition for the foregoing, and to inspec	er and other officers, to for eans, materials, and appl proper performance of the t the aforesaid materials,	arnish plaintiff with iances in and for the said work, to pre- appliances, and m	If with an adequate number of officers and the hard a safe place in which to do his work, to supply him the performance of his work, to maintain the same in somulgate and enforce proper rules in relation to the eans, to provide plaintiff with a safe and seaworthy performance of his work.
employment and in the i	nterests of the defendant lessness and negligence of dant was responsible, and	herein, he was ser of the defendant, it	_, while plaintiff was acting within the scope of his riously injured, as hereinafter more fully described, s officers, agents, servants, employees, and other e aforesaid duties and obligations, without any fault

6. On or about the day of _	, 20 _	, while plaintiff was actually engaged in the course
of his duties aboard the	[<i>vessel</i>], he w	as deliberately struck in and about his face by a
deckhand from the	[<i>vessel</i>] who was a	also employed by defendant, and who had known
vicious, evil, and belligerent prop	ensities and who was known t	to be unequal in temperament to other men of his calling;
and plaintiff did thereby sustain s	evere permanent injuries to hi	s [describe injuries]. Plaintiff
		ish, has paid out and will pay out large sums of money
for medical and surgical attention	and medicines, has lost and v	vill lose large sums of money which he otherwise would
have earned as wages and in the f	form of board and lodging, and	d has been forced to defray the cost of his maintenance
and cure. Plaintiff verily believes	he has been permanently inju	red, all to his damage, in the sum of \$
·		
[Demand for Judgment] n4		
COUNT II		
7. Plaintiff realleges and incorpor	rates herein each and every all	egation contained in paragraphs 1 through 6, inclusive of
Count I, as fully and completely a	as though herein repleaded at l	length.
		dant to furnish plaintiff with safe and seaworthy vessels,
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	tiff to sustain the injuries hereinbefore described, to his
damage in the sum of \$	·	
[Demand for Judgment] n5		
FOOTNOTES:		
•	om papers filed in Diamond v.	. Turecamo Coastal & Harbor Towing Corp., Civ. No.
83-4061 (E.D.N.Y. 1983).		
(n2)Footnote 2. See Form N	o. 1-1 <i>supra</i> .	
(n3)Footnote 3. See Form N	os. 1-1 and 1-5 <i>supra</i> .	
(n4)Footnote 4. See Form N	o. 1-6 <i>supra</i> .	
(n5)Footnote 5. See Form N	o. 1-6 <i>supra</i> .	

* See 1B Benedict on Admiralty, § 31 (Matthew Bender 7th ed.).



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms CHAPTER I COMPLAINTS ASSAULT AND BATTERY *

4-I Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 1-65

RESERVED

FORM No. 1-65RESERVED



(n2)Footnote 2. See Form No. 1-6 supra.

25 of 141 DOCUMENTS

Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms CHAPTER I COMPLAINTS BILLS OF LADING *

4-I Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 1-66

FORM No. 1-66 Complaint In Personam Against Vessel Owner--Nondelivery of Cargo

[Caption, Jurisdictional Statement and Allegations Concerning Parties] n1
4. On, 20, defendant issued its negotiable bill of lading, dated 20, numbered, and naming plaintiff as consignee of the goods described as follows:
5. Defendant had full knowledge that the goods conformed to the description contained in the bill of lading.
6. On, 20, plaintiff tendered the bill of lading and demanded delivery of the goods.
7. Defendant failed and refused to deliver the goods to plaintiff in spite of plaintiff's demand.
8. Plaintiff had purchased the goods in good faith, for value from and had paid the sum of \$ as the price of the same.
9. Plaintiff has been damaged in the sum of \$ by reason of the defendants failure and refusal to deliver the goods.
[Demand for Judgment] n2
FOOTNOTES: (n1)Footnote 1. <i>See</i> Form Nos. 1-1 and 1-5 <i>supra</i> .

* See 2A Benedict on Admiralty, ch. IV (Matthew Bender 7th ed.); see also 2 Benedict on Admiralty, §§ 43, 106, 186 (Matthew Bender 7th ed.).



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms CHAPTER I COMPLAINTS BILLS OF LADING *

4-I Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 1-67

FORM No. 1-67 Complaint In Personam by Transferee of Bill of Lading Against Vessel Owner--Nondelivery of Cargo

[Caption, Jurisdictional Si	tatement and Allegations Concern	ing Parties] n1
4. On or about	, 20,	[third party] delivered to defendant goods
consisting of	[description of goods].	to be transported by defendant from
t	0	
accepted the goods for train		required weight charges and defendant received and ag for goods to [third party]. A Exhibit A [exhibit omitted].
6. On or about delivered and transferred i		[party] endorsed the bill of lading and
,		presented the bill of lading to the defendant at the City of deliver the goods to the plaintiff. The defendant failed and ntiff.
writing against the defendant the claim to the defendant	ant pursuant to the bill of lading for	of the goods to the defendant, plaintiff made a claim in or the loss of the goods and the value thereof and delivered lace where the goods were shipped from as provided and claim.
9. By reason of the forego	ing plaintiff has sustained damage	s in the sum of \$

[Demand for Judgment] n2

FOOTNOTES:

(n1)Footnote 1. See Form Nos. 1-1 and 1-5 supra.

(n2)Footnote 2. See Form No. 1-6 supra.

* See 2A Benedict on Admiralty, ch. IV (Matthew Bender 7th ed.); see also 2 Benedict on Admiralty, §§ 43, 106, 186 (Matthew Bender 7th ed.).



1980).

27 of 141 DOCUMENTS

Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms CHAPTER I COMPLAINTS BILLS OF LADING *

4-I Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 1--68

FORM No. 1--68 Complaint In Personam by Carrier Against Shipper--Failure To Obtain Customs Clearancen1

[Caption, Jurisdictional Statement and Allegation	ons Concerning Parties] n2	
4. Defendant was the shipper, owner and/or cons		
lading was issued to be transported from and/or operated by defendant.	to	, aboard a vessel owned
5. After the loading of said cargo and the departructure prepare, obtain and/or lodge proper export document loading, which failures were in violation of the lowest would have resulted in rejection of the cargo at of destruction.	mentation and/or failed to obtain an laws, regulations and practices of th	inspection of said cargo prior to e United States regarding export and
6. Plaintiff arranged for the discharge of said cardefendant and there delivered said cargo to defe		, pursuant to agreement with
7. Plaintiff incurred wharfage, transfer, stevedor with the carriage to and discharge atduly demanded.		
[Demand for Judgment] n3		
FOOTNOTES: (n1)Footnote 1. Form adapted from papers used	l in Sea-Land Service, Inc. v. Eurex	Int'l Corp., 80 Civ. 3007 (E.D.N.Y.

- (n2)Footnote 2. See Form Nos. 1-1 and 1-5 supra.
- (n3)Footnote 3. See Form No. 1-6 supra.

^{*} See 2A Benedict on Admiralty, ch. IV (Matthew Bender 7th ed.); see also 2 Benedict on Admiralty, §§ 43, 106, 186 (Matthew Bender 7th ed.).



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms CHAPTER I COMPLAINTS BILLS OF LADING *

4-I Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 1-69

FORM No. 1-69 Complaint--Common Carrier's Untimely Delivery of Cargo

[Caption, Jursidictional	Statement and Allegations Concerni	ng Parties] n1	
	, by its attorneys,	, alleges upon inf	Formation and belief as
follows: n2			
1. At all material times, t	he said vessels were and now are en	nployed in the common carriage	of merchandise by water
for hire, and now are or o	luring the pendency of this action w	ill be within this District and with	hin the jurisdiction of this
Court.			
2. On or about	, 20, plaintiff,	through its division,	, contracted
with defendant for defen-	dant to carry a cargo of	, in containers, from	!
	, at a freight rate of \$		
time(s) of shipment(s)].			
3. Defendant promised to	ship the first container on its vessel	l,, on or	r about
	, 20, but for reasons known o	only to defendant, failed to do so.	
4. Instead, the first conta	iner, Number	, was shipped on defendant's	
	ndant's bill of lading Number		
_	or house to house carriage, with disc		
5. Defendant notified pla	intiff of an estimated date of arrival	in of _	,
20 and a transit tir	ne to of	days. Ho	wever, for reasons known

only to defendant, the first container arrived in	substantially later. Further, although plaintiff
remitted freight in the agreed sum of \$, defendant refused to release the cargo to plaintiff's
purchaser unless plaintiff paid a greater sum of \$explained.	, the basis of which defendant never
6. The additional containers were l	ifted by defendant on its [vessel]
pursuant to bill of lading Number o	
defendant's obligation to lift these containers by	
house carriage, with discharge in a	nd transshipment to
7. On or about, 20, plaintiff	had sold the goods to, of
at a price of U.S. \$	per metric ton, for delivery of one container
promptly and the balance for shipn	nent from
8 maintained the purchase contrac	t until on or about, 20,
when they cancelled for nondelivery of the goods within the	agreed time.
AS A FIRST CASE OF ACTION	
9. Plaintiff was able to sell the first container load carried on	
	ction in the net sale price per ton. However, the contents of
the container were short delivered by per n	[amount of weight short], having a value of \$
of the bill of lading at the time the shortage was incurred, an	
AS A SECOND CASE OF ACTION	
AS A SECOND CASE OF ACTION	
10. Some tons of product carried in	n one container on the [vessel] wa
delivered damaged by water. This cargo was shipped in cont	_
bill of lading Number However, the cargo was delive	ered in a different container, Number
Therefore, defendant deviated from the bill of lading contract which it was originally shipped.	ct by placing the cargo into a different container than that in
11. The sound market value of the cargo in this container, at	\$ per
[weight] was \$ By reason of the da	
, 20 at a net price of \$	and, therefore, sustained a loss in the
amount of \$ Plaintiff was the own	er of the cargo and holder of the bill of lading when the loss
was sustained, and incurred the damages as stated.	
AS A THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION	
12. By reason of defendant's failure to lift the cargo and deli-	
reason of a falling market, plaintiff sold the remaining four c	containers on or about, 20
at a price of \$ per	[weight], less commissions and inland freight
charges. However, defendant released only one of those con-	tainers, No, containing
[weight], which plaintiff sold for a net realization of \$	But for defendant's breach of contract
and/or deviation from the bill of lading contract and/or negli	
on the sale of this containerload to	
damages in the sum \$	

13. Defendant's agent,	, has refused and	continues to refuse the	e remaining three containers to
plaintiff, as holder of the bill of ladi	-	•	
unrelated			
which, had they been timely deliver defendant is liable to plaintiff.	ed, would have had a value of	of \$, for which amount
AS A FIFTH CAUSE OF ACT	ΓΙΟΝ		
14. By reason of defendant's wrong punitive damages and legal fees in a		ng three containers, pla	nintiff is entitled to recovery of
AS A SIXTH CAUSE OF AC	TION		
15. By reason of defendant's failure consequent cancellation of plaintiff obtain substitute contracts of sale for defendant's agent, by reason of which of the cargo until each lot was sold the sum \$'s original contract of sale and or several months, and, of cou ch plaintiff suffered damages or will be sold, in the sum of	d prevailing market cor arse, has not resold the in the nature of lost in	nditions, plaintiff was not able to three containers now held by terest on the original sales price
Wherefore plaintiff requests:			
1. That process in due form of law a and the ve			
2. That plaintiff have judgment for i attorney's fees, interest and costs;	its damages in the sum of \$ _		_ plus punitive damages,
3. That the vesselplaintiff's damages; and	and vessel	be cond	demned and sold to satisfy
4. That the Court grant to the plainti Dated:	iff such other and further reli	ef as the Court may dee	em just.
Attorneys for Plaintiff			
FOOTNOTES:			
(n1)Footnote 1. See Form No. 1-1 s	supra.		
(n2)Footnote 2. See Form Nos.	. 1-1 and 1-5 <i>supra</i> .		

^{*} See 2A Benedict on Admiralty, ch. IV (Matthew Bender 7th ed.); see also 2 Benedict on Admiralty, §§ 43, 106, 186 (Matthew Bender 7th ed.).



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms CHAPTER I COMPLAINTS BILLS OF LADING *

4-I Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 1-70

FORM No. 1-70 Complaint--Common Carrier's Refusal To Release Cargo

[Caption, Jurisdictional	Statement and Allegations Concert	ung Party] n1			
Plaintiff,	by its attorneys,	, as and for its Complaint al	leges upon		
information and belief as		•			
SUBSTANTIVE A	LLEGATIONS				
4. On or about	, and	, 20,	[party]		
delivered to the Defenda	ant for shipment on	[vessel] the certain merchandise. O	n or about		
and, 20		, Defendant issued negotiable Bills of Lading			
numbered	and	(copies of which are annexed hereto as Exhibit "A			
[exhibit omitted]) respectively, naming		as consignee and owner of the goods. Γ	_ as consignee and owner of the goods. Defendant		
		nsideration of certain agreed freight charges			
agreed to transport the sl	hipment on board the	[vessel] to			
		ndition as when received by the Defendant.			
5. On or about	, 20,	delivered to the Defendant	for shipment		
on the	[vessel] certain merchandis	e. On or about, 20			
Defendant issued its neg	otiable Bill of Lading numbered	(a copy of which is an	nnexed hereto		
as Exhibit "B" [exhibit o	mitted]) naming	as consignee and owner of the goods.	Defendant		
accepted said shipment v	which was delivered to it and, in co	nsideration of certain agreed freight charges	thereupon paid		
		, and there deliver the same in			
condition as when receive	ved by Defendant.				

6. Defendant had full knowledge that the goods conformed to the description contained in the aforesaid Bills of Lading.				
7. On or about, 20				
, against Defendant, a		Arrest was issued against	t the vessel	
owned by Defendant.	•			
8. On or about, 20	and subsequ	ent thereto, several other	Complaints and Warrants of	
Arrest were issued against				
	_		= 4.44	
9. By Order dated, 20 omitted]) the Honorable), (a copy	of which is annexed here	to as Exhibit "C" [exhibit	
District of ordered th				
discharge and release the cargoes laden on boa				
deliver an original copy of the cargo manifest,		=		
, 20 at		[time].		
10. Thereafter by Order dated	20	(a copy of which is a	nnavad harato as Evhihit "D"	
[exhibit omitted]) the Honorable	, 20 nern	, (a copy of which is a nitted Plaintiff	to intervene in	
said action solely for the purpose of obtaining			to intervene in	
11. By further Order of the Honorable Judge _				
of which is annexed hereto as Exhibit "E" [exh				
forthwith commence orderly and proper discha				
	_		he cargoes to cargo owners of	
the parts or places as set forth in the pertinent b				
certain funds were to be loaned by the cargo ov	wners, including		which funds were to be	
deposited into various escrow accounts to be he	eld by the United	d States Marshal, but that	said loans and the other	
provisions of said Order would not constitute a	a waiver of any r	ghts by cargo interests ag	gainst the aforesaid vessels in	
rem or against Defendant in personam (paragra	aph, Exhil	oit E). In the Order, Judge	e	
noted that "Defendant has utterly refused to pe	rform its obligat	ions to cargo owners to d	ischarge and deliver the cargoes	
without any cause or justification whatsoever."	_			
AS AND FOR A FIRST CAUSE OF AC	TION			
ON BEHALF OF PLAINTIFF	TION			
12. Plaintiff repeats, reiterates and realleges Pa	uragraphs One the	rough, and including, Ele	even with the same force and	
effect as if set forth fully herein.				
10 414 1 701 1001 1 1 1 1 7 7 1	CT 1: 1.1	1 1 1 1 2 6 1		
13. Although Plaintiff has tendered the Bills of refused to deliver, discharge and off load the g			ods, Defendant has failed and	
refused to defiver, discharge and off foad the g	oods to Flamuii	arter due demand.		
14. In contravention of the Order of the Honora	able	dated	, 20,	
Defendant has failed to timely deliver the docu				
15. Defendant continues to refuse to arrange ar				
and	or other	wise comply with the Bi	lls of Lading.	
16. By reason of the foregoing Plaintiff		was caused to undertal	ke additional expenses to secure	
the release of the aforementioned cargo, includ				
, and the retention of l	-			

17. The Officers and Directors of Defendant	including its [Chief Executive Officer], knew or
should have known that the Defendant would be unable to l	nonor the terms of its contract with Plaintiff
at the time the contract was entered	d into.
18. The Defendant, by failing to deliver the goods in conforwith Plaintiff.	mance with the Bills of Lading, has breached its contract
20. As a direct and proximate result of the foregoing the Pla	uintiff has suffered and will
continue to suffer pecuniary loss and damage in an amount	
(\$). Plai	
) in punitive damages.	
AS AND FOR A SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION ON BEHALF OF PLAINTIFF	
20. Plaintiff repeats, reiterates and realleges Paragraphs One effect as if set forth at length herein.	e through and including Nineteen with the same force and
21. The aforesaid failures by the DefendantOrders of the Court were due solely to the fault, and negligor negligence of the Plaintiff contributing thereto.	to deliver the goods and to comply with the lawful ence of the Defendant with no fault
22. The Officers and Directors of Defendantshould have known of the aforesaid failure(s), fault and neg	
23. As a direct and proximate result of the foregoing the Pla	sintiff has suffered and will
continue to suffer pecuniary loss and damage in an amount	
Dollars (\$	
(\$) in punitive damages.	
/ in punitive damages.	
Wherefore Plaintiff demands judge	gment in an amount of at least \$ in
compensatory damages and \$ in p	unitive damages on the First Cause of Action, and of at least
\$ in compensatory damages and \$	
Second Cause of Action together with such further relief as	
circumstances.	
Dated:	
Attorneys for Plaintiff	
FOOTMATT	
FOOTNOTES:	
(n1)Footnote 1. See Form No. 1-1 supra.	
(n2)Footnote 2. See Form No. 1-1 supra.	

^{*} See 2A Benedict on Admiralty, ch. IV (Matthew Bender 7th ed.); see also 2 Benedict on Admiralty, §§ 43, 106, 186 (Matthew Bender 7th ed.).



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms CHAPTER I COMPLAINTS BILLS OF LADING *

4-I Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 1-80

RESERVED

FORM No. 1-80RESERVED



[Prayer for Process, Demand for Judgment and Verification] n2

31 of 141 DOCUMENTS

Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER I COMPLAINTS
CARGO DAMAGE *

4-I Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 1-81

FORM No. 1-81 Complaint In Rem and In Personam by Single Shipper--Cargo Damage

[Caption, Jurisdictional Statement and	nd Allegation Concerning Parties]	n1	
3. On or about,	[cargo] in good ord	der and condition, to be carrie	ed from the port of
as when shipped to the order of the p of a certain bill of lading then and the representative of the defendant	laintiff, in consideration of an agreere signed and delivered to the ship	eed freight and in accordance pper by the duly authorized a	with the valid terms gent/or
Exhibit A [exhibit omitted].			1
4. Thereafter the defendant loaded th having the merchandise on board, sai of where th good order and condition as when de	iled from the port of[stevea	and subsequently dore discharged the merchand	arrived at the port dise, not in like
but seriously injured and damaged by		=	
5. By reason of the premises the plain) as nearly a	_		
has been duly demanded.			-
6. The plaintiff has duly performed a lading.	ll the conditions precedent on its p	part to be performed under the	e terms of the bill of

FOOTNOTES:

(n1)Footnote 1. See Form No. 1-1 and 1-5 supra.

(n2)Footnote 2. See Form Nos. 1-3, 1-6, and 1-10 supra.

* See 2A Benedict on Admiralty, ch. IV (matthew Bender 7th ed.); 2 Benedict on Admiralty, § 43 (Matthew Bender 7th ed.).



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER I COMPLAINTS
CARGO DAMAGE *

4-I Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 1-82

FORM No. 1-82 Complaint In Rem and In Personam by Several Plaintiffs--Cargo Damage

[Modify Form No. 1-81 supra by substituting paragraphs 2, 5, 7 and 8 below for paragraphs 2, 3, 4, 6 and 7 of Form No. 1-81:]

4. At all the times hereinafter mentioned, the plaintiffs were, and now are, individuals, corporations or co-partnerships as follows:
(A) TAJ, Inc., a corporation duly organized, created and existing under and by virtue of the laws of the State of, with an office and place of business at
(B) WFC, an individual doing business under the trade name and style of The WFC Company, with an office and place of business at
(C) [set forth a description of each additional plaintiff in separately numbered subparagrphs as necessary].
*
5. During the month of, 20, various merchants delivered to the defendant at certain shipments of merchandise, in good order and condition, to be carried from the port or to, there to be delivered in like good order and condition as when
shipped unto the order of the respective consignees in consideration of an agreed freight, and in accordance with the valid terms of certain bills of lading, then and there signed and delivered to the respective shippers, by the duly authorized agent or representative of the defendant.

The bill of lading numbers, the shippers, the merchandise shipped, the consignee, and the present owners of the

merchandise, are set out below: B/L Plaintiff Owner Merchandise Consignee Shipper 3 KL 1.000 cartons Order TAJ cheese 17 JF 500 casesNat. WFC wine of the merchandise referred to in Paragraph 5 hereof, and prior 6. Prior to the discharge by the to the commencement of this action, the plaintiffs became the owners of such merchandise and are entitled to bring this action. 7. By reason of the premises the plaintiffs have sustained damage, so far as the same can now be estimated, as follows: TAJ, Inc. \$____ WFC Etc.______n1

8. The plaintiffs have duly performed all the conditions precedent on their part to be performed under the terms of the bills of lading.

FOOTNOTES:

(n1)Footnote 1. If the plaintiffs and shipments are very numerous, paragraphs 2, 5, and 8 may refer to schedules annexed to the complaint for the details which are contained in such paragraphs in this form.

^{*} See 2A Benedict on Admiralty, ch. IV (matthew Bender 7th ed.); 2 Benedict on Admiralty, § 43 (Matthew Bender 7th ed.).



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER I COMPLAINTS
CARGO DAMAGE *

4-I Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 1-83

FORM No. 1-83 Complaint In Rem and In Personam by Underwriters--Cargo Damage

clause in light of the facts as they develop in the course of this action.

[Modify Form No. 1-81 supra by substituting the *following for paragraphs 7, 8 and 9:*] 7. The plaintiffs were the marine insurers of the shipments referred to in Paragraph [5] hereof and became liable to pay for any loss or damage sustained by such shipments during transit from the port of to the port . The plaintiffs were in due course called upon to pay and did pay claims for the losses and damages in the sums set forth opposite their respective names on Schedule A attached hereto and incorporated herein (schedule omitted) became subrogated to the rights of the owners of such shipments. Such amounts were paid to the owners of the shipments or to their agents who were the holders of the bills of lading entitling them to delivery of the shipments at destination. 8. The plaintiffs and their respective predecessors in title and in interest have duly performed all the terms and conditions of the contracts of carriage on their part to be performed. or7. Plaintiffs were the consignees and/or underwriters of the said cargo and bring this action on their own behalf and as agents and trustees, on behalf of and for the interest of all the parties who may be or may become interested in the said cargo or their underwriters, as their respective interests may ultimately appear. 8. By reason of the premises, plaintiffs have sustained damage in the amount of ____ , as near as the same can now be determined, but plaintiffs reserve the right to amend this

* See 2A Benedict on Admiralty, ch. IV (matthew Bender 7th ed.); 2 Benedict on Admiralty, § 43 (Matthew Bender 7th ed.).



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER I COMPLAINTS
CARGO DAMAGE *

4-I Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 1-84

FORM No. 1-84 Complaint In Rem and In Personam--Cargo Damage Caused by Geographical Deviation

[Supplement Form Nos. 1-81, 1-82 or 1-83 supra by adding the following:]

FOR A SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

9 [or 10]. The plaintiffs repeat and real cause of action.	lege each and every allegation contained	d in Paragraphs 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5 of the first
10 [or 11]. Thereafter the defendant loa		
, and the vesse	el, having the merchandise on board, sai	led from the port of
for	, the contractual port of o	discharge of the cargo. During the course
		and unjustifiably deviated from her proper
course to the port of	and put in at the port of	During the course of
such unjustifiable deviation and while	lying in the port of	, the merchandise referred to in the
complaint was destroyed or damaged.		
11 [or 12]. The plaintiffs repeat and reafirst cause of action.	allege each and every allegation contain	ed in Paragraphs 7 and 8 (and 9) of the
* See 2A Benedict on Admiralty, ch. Γ	V (matthew Bender 7th ed.); 2 Benedict	on Admiralty, § 43 (Matthew Bender 7th

ed.).



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER I COMPLAINTS
CARGO DAMAGE *

4-I Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 1-85

FORM No. 1-85 Complaint In Rem and In Personam--Cargo Damage Caused by On-Deck Stowage

[Supplement Form Nos. 1-81, 1-82 or 1-83 supra by adding the following:]

FOR A SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

9 [or 10]. The plaintiffs repeat and reallege each and every allegation contained in Paragraphs $1, 2, 3, 4$ and 5 of the first
cause of action.
10 [or 11). Despite the fact that the bills of lading issued by the defendant and/or by the duly authorized agents or

representatives of the defendant and of the vessel ________ to cover the shipments set forth in Paragraph [5] of the complaint were all "clean" bills of lading and contained no special provisions providing for or allowing stowage of the cargo on deck, various shipments of _______, referred to in Paragraph 5 of the complaint, shipped at ______ and there loaded on board the vessel _______, were stowed and carried on the voyage in question as" on deck" cargo.

11 [or 12]. The plaintiffs repeat and reallege each and every allegation contained in Paragraphs 7 and 8 (and 9) of the complaint.

* See 2A Benedict on Admiralty, ch. IV (matthew Bender 7th ed.); 2 Benedict on Admiralty, § 43 (Matthew Bender 7th ed.).



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER I COMPLAINTS
CARGO DAMAGE *

4-I Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 1-86

FORM No. 1-86 Complaint In Rem and In Personam--Water Damage to Cargo

[Caption, Jurisdictional Statement and Allegations Concerning Parties] n1

5. The vessel	at all times herein mentione	d was a genera	al ship engaged	in the common
carriage of goods by water for hire bety	ween ports in the		and	··································
6. On or about	_ and	, 20,	shipments of _	
were delivered to defendants at the por	t of	_ in good order	r and condition	to be delivered to
plaintiff at in	like good order and condition	on as when ship	pped, in conside	eration of an agreed
freight and pursuant to the valid terms	of bills of lading issued by d	lefendants and	the	[vessel].
A list of said shipments is attached here	eto and incorporated herein	as Schedule" A	Δ".	
	_			
7. The cargo still in good order and cor	ndition was loaded on board	the		[vessel] but was
delivered at destination in a damaged a	nd wet condition.			
_				
8. Plaintiff became for value the owner	of said shipments and bring	gs this suit on it	ts own behalf a	nd that of all others
interested in said shipments.				
_				
9. By reason of the premises, plaintiff a	and those on whose behalf th	nis suit has bee	n brought have	sustained damages in
the sum of \$,	as nearly as the same can no	ow be estimated	d, no part of wh	nich has been paid
although duly demanded.				_

[Prayer for Process, Demand for Judgment and Verification] n2

FOOTNOTES:

(n1)Footnote 1. See Form Nos. 1-1, 1-2 and 1-5, supra.

(n2)Footnote 2. See Form Nos. 1-3, 1-6 and 1-11, supra.

* See 2A Benedict on Admiralty, ch. IV (matthew Bender 7th ed.); 2 Benedict on Admiralty, § 43 (Matthew Bender 7th ed.).



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER I COMPLAINTS
CARGO DAMAGE *

4-I Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 1-87

FORM No. 1-87 Complaint In Personam--Water Damage to Cargo

[Caption, Jurisdictional Statement and

[Demand for Judgment] n2

Allegations Concerning Parti	es] n1		
5. On or about	, 20	, the plaintiff at	delivered to the defendant
in go			
, ther	e to be delivered to	o the order of the plaintiff.	
defendant for common carriag	e subject to all the nd maritime law ar	e valid exceptions contained in and the laws of the state of	the goods and the goods were accepted by the bills of lading, as controlled and/or Copies of the bills
•	•	_	gents for the master. The name of the at as a principal on the contracts of
attempted to be made to plaint	iff, the goods were	e not in the same good order a	but when delivery was made or nd condition as when shipped at nd damaged by reason of having come in
contact with water.			
9. By reason of the foregoing,	plaintiff has susta	ined damages in the sum of \$	

FOOTNOTES:

(n1)Footnote 1. See Form Nos. 1-1 and 1-81 supra.

(n2)Footnote 2. See Form No. 1-6 supra.

^{*} See 2A Benedict on Admiralty, ch. IV (matthew Bender 7th ed.); 2 Benedict on Admiralty, § 43 (Matthew Bender 7th ed.).



[Caption, Jurisdictional Statement, and

[Prayer for Process, Demand for Judgment

38 of 141 DOCUMENTS

Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER I COMPLAINTS
CARGO DAMAGE *

4-I Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 1-88

FORM No. 1-88 Complaint In Rem and In Personam by United States--Cargo Damage

estimated. Said sum has not been paid although duly demanded and remains owing to plaintiff from defendants.

and Verification by United States Attorney] n2

FOOTNOTES:

(n1)Footnote 1. See Form Nos. 1-1, 1-2 and 1-5 supra.

(n2)Footnote 2. See Form Nos. 1-3, 1-6 and 1-12 supra.

* See 2A Benedict on Admiralty, ch. IV (matthew Bender 7th ed.); 2 Benedict on Admiralty, § 43 (Matthew Bender 7th ed.).



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER I COMPLAINTS
CARGO DAMAGE *

4-I Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 1-89

FORM No. 1-89 Complaint In Rem and In Personam Against Lighter--Cargo Damage

[Caption, Jurisdictional Statement, and Allegations Concerning Parties] n1

4. On or about the	day of	. 20	. plaintiff, acting through its	
	, chartered the vessel			
	a rate of			
	ing at Pier	_		_•
Under and by virtue of said	charter party or contract of affrei	ghtment, the seaworthin	uess of the vessel	
wa	s warranted, including the prope	r manning of the vessel.		
5. The vessel	arrived alongside the		_ on,	20
	oad a cargo of			
	M. of th			
cargo.				
6. The master of the vessel _	caused a	and permitted the barge	to be so deeply loaded that her	
free-board aft did not exceed	d 18 inches. She lay in the outer of	end of the slip with her s	stern toward the bay totally	
unprotected from the north a	and the east. The master of the sa	id barge thereupon aban	doned her, having failed to cov	er
the manholes leading into a	number of her tanks and into her	after peak. During the r	night of	,
20, a moderate storm	came up from the northeast caus	sing waves and swells or	n the bay which washed over the	e
	ed her after peak and her tanks, t	=		
the water and ultimately cau	sing her to founder, resulting in t	the total loss of most of	her cargo.	

7. The vessel	_ was unseaworth	y in the following among other pa	irticulars which will be proved
upon the trial:			
1. She was laden too deeply;			
2. Her manhole covers were le	eft off;		
3. She was abandoned by her i	master;		
4. Her master was incompeten	t.		
8. Plaintiff had purchased the said		laden on the	from
, the owner o	of the and at all the	e times herein mentioned plaintiff	was the owner of the said
·			
9. A has assi	igned all of its rig	hts in the premises, if any, to plair	ntiff.
10. Plaintiff has duly performed all ob	oligations on its pa	art to be performed.	
11. By reason of the premises plaintif	f has suffered dan	nages in the amount of \$	with interest
thereon from the	day of	, 20	
[Prayer for Process, Demand for Judgand Verification] n2	gment		
FOOTNOTES: (n1)Footnote 1. <i>See</i> Form Nos. 1-1, 1	-2 and 1-5 <i>supra</i> .		
(n2)Footnote 2. See Form Nos. 1	-3, 1-6, 1-10, 1-1	1 and 1-12 <i>supra</i> .	

^{*} See 2A Benedict on Admiralty, ch. IV (matthew Bender 7th ed.); 2 Benedict on Admiralty, § 43 (Matthew Bender 7th ed.).



[Caption, Jurisdictional Statement, and

and its agents, and was never delivered at the port of destination.

40 of 141 DOCUMENTS

Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER I COMPLAINTS
CARGO DAMAGE *

4-I Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 1-90

FORM No. 1-90 Complaint In Personam With Maritime Attachment--Cargo Damage Caused by Non-Delivery

Allegations Concerning Parties] n1 4. The [identify vessel] at and during all times hereinafter mentioned, was and now is a vessel employed in the common carriage of merchandise by water for hire, which was owned, operated, managed and otherwise controlled by the defendant. out ______, 20 _____, in the City of _____,State of ______, County of _____, there was shipped by the plaintiff, _______, and delivered to the defendant, _____, as a common carrier, ______ cartons of ______, all in good order and condition, as more fully described in documents issued by the defendants and denoted bills of lading, numbered ______, _____ [identify vessel]. The defendant accepted said shipment which was for transportation on the delivered to it and, in consideration of certain agreed freight charges thereupon paid or agreed to be paid, agreed to transport and carry the said shipment on board the ______[identify vessel] in part to and carry the balance of the shipment to _ and there deliver the same in like order and condition as when received by the defendant. 6. Thereafter, in violation of its duty as a common carrier of merchandise by water for hire and its agreement with the plaintiff, the defendant failed to load the aforesaid shipment on the [identify vessel] or any other vessel owned, operated, managed or otherwise controlled by the defendant, but, instead, permitted the shipment to remain upon a pier; as a direct result, the shipment rotted, decayed, and was destroyed at the direction of the defendant

7. Plaintiff is the owner and shipper of the shipment described in Paragraph 5 above and brings this action on its own behalf and, as agent and trustee, on behalf of and for the interest of all parties who are, or may become, interested in said shipment, as their respective interests may ultimately appear and plaintiff is entitled to maintain this action.
8. That, by reason of the aforesaid, the plaintiff has sustained damages in the amount of \$, no part of which has been paid although duly demanded.
[Prayer For Process; Demand for Judgment and Verification] n2
Attorney for Plaintiff
FOOTNOTES: (n1)Footnote 1. See Form Nos. 1-1, and 1-7 supra.

* See 2A Benedict on Admiralty, ch. IV (matthew Bender 7th ed.); 2 Benedict on Admiralty, § 43 (Matthew Bender 7th ed.).

(n2)Footnote 2. See Form Nos. 1-3, 1-6, 1-10, 1-11 and 1-12 supra.



[Caption, Jurisdictional Statement, and

41 of 141 DOCUMENTS

Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER I COMPLAINTS
CARGO DAMAGE *

4-I Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 1-91

FORM No. 1-91 Complaint In Rem and In Personam by Charterer--Jettison of Cargo

Allegations Concerning Parties] 1	n1			
4. On or about the	day of	, 20	, defendant,	
entered		_		
to hire the [identify vessel] for a p among others, the following claus		ime of delivery of sa	id vessei, the charter containing	g
"22. Should the vessel be stranded ultimately saved, the ship owner a currency, per stem of bananas, an and salvage expenses."	agrees to pay to the charterer fo	r the bananas jettiso	ned, fifty cents, United States	ıge
A copy of said charter is attached	hereto and incorporated herein	as Exhibit A.		
5. The said [<i>identify vessel</i>], there charter, sailed for the				uid
stranded on			_	,
obliged to and did jettison				
By reason of the jettison of the				of
the [iden	ntify vessel], under the provisio	ns of the said charter	party, the sum of	

6. Plaintiff also made certain advances for ac	count of the [identify vessel], and furnished
materials and repairs to the	[identify vessel] during the life of said charter in the amount of \$
, making the total of	f \$, which is due under the terms of said charter,
by defendant and the	_ [identify vessel], to plaintiff, which sum has been demanded and
payment of which has been refused.	
[Prayer for Process, Demand for Judgment, FOOTNOTES:	and Verification] n2
(n1) Footnote 1. See Form Nos. 1-1, 1-2 and	1-5 <i>supra</i> .
(n2)Footnote 2. See Form Nos. 1-3, 1-6	, 1-10, 1-11 and 1-12 <i>supra</i> .
* See 2A Benedict on Admiralty, ch. IV (ma	tthew Bender 7th ed.): 2 Benedict on Admiralty, § 43 (Matthew Bender 7th



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER I COMPLAINTS
CARGO DAMAGE *

4-I Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 1-92

FORM No. 1-92 Complaint (Third Party) In Personam--Cargo Damage

[Caption, Jurisdictional Statement and Allegations Concerning Parties n1

4. On or about, 20	, plaintiffs		filed an action in this Court
against defendantst	o recover for alleged non-	delivery, shorta	age, loss, pilferage and damage
with respect to a shipment of	[state cargo mov	ing from	to
, aboard the [identify	vessel under bills of ladin	g Nos	dated
, 20 A copy of	of the complaint is attached	l hereto and inc	corporated herein as Exhibit A.
5. The third-party defendant	, by its agents, ser	vants and/or er	nployees, discharged and
arranged for the pickup of the cargo which is agreement.			
6. Any non-delivery, shortage, pilferage, loss statute, was due to the negligence, breach of c, who should be held	ontract and breach of an in	mplied warrant	y of third-party defendant
required to indemnify the third-party plaintiff			
including the costs of defending this action.	·	101 an sums w	men they may be required to pay,
Wherefore third-party plaintiffs	pray that the	complaint be d	ismissed as to them; that the
third-party defendant			
third-party defendant			
for all of their losses	s, including legal expenses	and for such o	other and further relief as to this
Court may seem just and proper.			

Dated:	, 20	
Attorne	ey for Third-Party Plaintiffs	

FOOTNOTES:

(n1)Footnote 1. See Form Nos. 1-1 and 1-5 supra.



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER I COMPLAINTS
CARGO DAMAGE *

4-I Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 1-93

FORM No. 1-93 Complaint (Counterclaim) Alleging that Improper Packing by Plaintiff Caused Damage to Other Cargon1

[Caption, Jurisdictional Statement and Allegations Concerning Parties] n2

4. On or about, 20		
caused to be delivered to the		
, on board the	[identify vessel] to	be carried from the port of
to the port of	·	
5. Plaintiff loaded, stowed, and secured said board the vessel.	[identify car	go] in a container that was loaded on
6. During the voyage, saidcontainers stowed in areas adjacent to said gear carried on board the vessel were severely damage.	generator. As a result of said even	
7. The aforesaid losses were due solely to the ne [identify cargo] was n		=
8. As a result of said negligence, defendant, has nearly as the same can now be estimated.	s or may suffer damages in the amo	unt of \$ as
Wherefore, defendant,		= -

such other further relief as the court deems just and proper under the circumstances.
Dated:, 20
Attorney for Defendant
Attorney for Defendant
FOOTNOTES: (n1)Footnote 1. Form adapted from papers furnished through the courtesy of De Orchis & Partners, New York, New York.
(n2)Footnote 2. See Form Nos. 1-1 and 1-5 supra.
* See 2A Benedict on Admiralty, ch. IV (matthew Bender 7th ed.); 2 Benedict on Admiralty, § 43 (Matthew Bender 7th ed.).



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER I COMPLAINTS
CARGO DAMAGE *

4-I Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 1-94

FORM No. 1-94 Complaint In Rem and In Personam by Cargo Owner on Behalf of Other Cargo Owner Against Vessel Owner and Shipyard--Cargo Damagen1

[Caption, Jurisdictional Statement and Allegations Concerning Parties] n2

5. On or about	, 20	, at the port of	,	, there was delivered to the
vessel				
[identify cargo] of	mar	xed	[bill of ladin	g No.
] and	packages of _		marked
accepted and agreed to tr	ansport for certain consi	deration to the port of	f	•
6. Thereafter, the said ve otherwise damaged.	ssel arrived at the afores	aid port of destination	where the cargo wa	as delivered short and/or
7. By reason of the premobiligations as common c			reached, failed and	violated their duties and
	trustee, on behalf of and	for the interest of all	parties who may be	brings this action on its own or become interested in the o maintain this action.

10. By reason of the premises, plaintiff has sustained damages as nearly as same can now be estimated, no part of which

9. Plaintiff has duly performed all duties and obligations on its part to be performed.

has been paid, although duly demanded, in the amount of \$
FOR A CAUSE OF ACTION AGAINSTSHIPYARDS CORP.
11. Plaintiff repeats and realleges each of the allegations set forth in Paragraphs 2 through 10 with the same force and effect as if fully set forth herein at length.
12. The defendant, Shipyards Corp., is a corporation organized and existing under and by virtue of the laws of the State of, with an office and place of business at
13. The jurisdictional basis of the claim herein is the diversity of citizenship of the parties and the amount in controversy being in excess of \$50,000.00.
14. Prior to the outbound voyage of the [identify vessel] to where the aforementioned cargo was loaded certain repairs were negligently done in the No. 1 hold by the defendant, Shipyards Corp., in a defective, improper and inadequate manner as a result of which on the voyage aforementioned water entered that hold damaging the plaintiff's cargo in breach of defendant's, Shipyards Corp.'s, obligations.
[Prayer for Process, Demand for Judgment and Verification] n3
FOOTNOTES: (n1)Footnote 1. Form adapted from papers used in Lawnelson Corp. v. S.S. Iran Niru, 76 Civ. 7 (CES) (S.D.N.Y. 1976).
(n2)Footnote 2. See Form Nos. 1-1, 1-2 and 1-5 supra.
(n3)Footnote 3. See Form Nos. 1-3, 1-6 and 1-12 supra.
* See 2A Benedict on Admiralty, ch. IV (matthew Bender 7th ed.); 2 Benedict on Admiralty, § 43 (Matthew Bender 7th



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER I COMPLAINTS
CARGO DAMAGE *

4-I Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 1-95

FORM No. 1-95 Intervening Complaint In Rem and In Personam--Cargo Loss and Damage

[Caption] n1		
against the defendants	[state name], by their attorney [identify vessel], her engines, boilers, tack, In Personam, and allege as follows:	
	Court's Admiralty and Maritime jurisdiction with respectity and pendent jurisdiction with respect to the remaining	
of cargo shipped on board the defe	authorized representatives of the owners or underwrite ndant vessel which is more part or which bills of lading were issued.	=
3. Defendant	is a corporation, trustee and owner of the	[identify
interference with contract, breach	A was lost and damaged by defendants due to the faul of warranty, and conversion of defendants, their agents dition, mis-delivered and non-delivered.	_
5. The vessel is now within the jur	sdiction of this Honorable Court.	
6. Intervening plaintiffs sue on the have or hereinafter acquire an inter	r own behalf and as agents and trustees on behalf of an est in this action.	y other party who may now

7. Intervening plaintiffs' damages are in excess of \$	
Wherefore, intervening plaintiffs demand judgment in an amount exceeding \$ and costs, and pray the Court to issue its process against the aforesaid defendant and vessel. Dated:, 20	plus interest
Attorney for Intervening Plaintiffs	
FOOTNOTES:	
(n1)Footnote 1. See Form No. 1-1 supra.	

^{*} See 2A Benedict on Admiralty, ch. IV (matthew Bender 7th ed.); 2 Benedict on Admiralty, § 43 (Matthew Bender 7th ed.).



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER I COMPLAINTS
CARGO DAMAGE *

4-I Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 1-96

FORM No. 1-96 Complaint (Cross-Claim) by Vessel Owner Against Co-Defendants--General Claim of Indemnity--Cargo Damagen1

[Caption, Jurisdictional Statement and Allegations Concerning Parties] n2

FOOTNOTES:

(n1)Footnote 1. Form adapted from papers furnished through the courtesy of De Orchis & Partners, New York, New

York.

(n2)Footnote 2. See Form Nos. 1-1 and 1-5 supra.



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER I COMPLAINTS
CARGO DAMAGE *

4-I Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 1-97

FORM No. 1-97 Complaint (Cross-Claim) for Indemnity by Vessel Owner Against Shipyard--Cargo Damagen1

6. At all times hereinafter mentioned defendant,		
corporation duly organized and existing under and by		of the States of the United States, with
an office and place of business at	·	
7. Prior to the outbound voyage of the	to	where the subject cargo of
this litigation was loaded, certain repairs were negliger		
Corporation in a defective, improper and inadequate m	nanner.	
8. If the plaintiff's cargo sustained any damage or loss	as alleged in the complai	nt, which is denied, such damage or loss
was occasioned solely by the fault and neglect of, and	was attributable to, the de	efendant,
Shipyards Corporation, its agents or servants, and not t	to the defendant,	Shipping Line.
9. By reason of the aforesaid, if there should be any lia	ability imposed upon defe	endant,
Shipping Line, the defendant,	_ Shipyards Corporation,	is liable over to said defendant for the
amount of any such liability, together with the costs an	nd disbursements of this a	ction, including attorney's fees, and if
said defendant, Shipping Line	e, is held responsible for	the damages sustained by the plaintiff
herein, such liability will have been brought about and	was caused wholly as a r	result of the primary and active
negligence or fault of the defendant,	Shipyards Corpo	ration, its agents or employees, without
any fault on the part of the defendant,	Shipping Line,	contributing thereto.
10. Defendant, Shipping Line	e, has duly performed all	duties and obligations on its part to be
performed.		
Wherefore, defendant, Shipp		
alternatively, if it should be found liable to any extent		
from defendant, Shipyards C		
reasonable counsel fees incurred in the defense of this	action, and that it have su	ach other, further, and different relief as
the justice of the cause may require.		
Dated:, 20		
Attorney for		
Defendant,		
Shipping Line		
Simpping Eine		
FOOTNOTES:		
(n1)Footnote 1. Form adapted from papers used in Lav	wnelson Corp. v. S.S. "Ir	an Niru", 76 Civ. 7 (CES) (S.D.N.Y.
1976).		
(n2)Footnote 2. See Form No. 1-1 supra.		
* See 2A Benedict on Admiralty, ch. IV (matthew Ben	nder 7th ed.); 2 Benedict	on Admiralty, § 43 (Matthew Bender 7th



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER I COMPLAINTS
CARGO DAMAGE *

4-I Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 1-98

FORM No. 1-98 Complaint (Cross-Claim) for Indemnity by Vessel Owner Against Shipper--Cargo Damage--Insufficient Packaging, Limitation of Liability and Mitigation Expensesn1

[Caption, Jurisdictional Statement and Allegation Concerning Parties] n2

FIRST CROSS-CLAIM

vessel pursuant to the terms of a bill o	f lading, number	issued to Co-defendant
or its duly a	thorized agent, a true copy of	of which is attached hereto and incorporated herein as
Exhibit A.		
5. That Defendant	, its agents, or employe	es packaged and secured the metal working
machinery to a flatbed before delivering	ng it to Defendant	, at the port of
for ocean ca	riage.	

"8. SUFFICIENCY OF PACKAGING AND MARKING. The shipper, whether principal or agent, represents and warrants that the goods are properly described, marked, secured and packed and may be handled in ordinary course without damage to the goods, ship, or property or persons and guarantees the correctness of the particulars, weight of each piece or package and description of the goods and agrees to ascertain and to disclose in writing on shipment, any condition, nature, quality, ingredient or characteristic that may cause damage, injury or detriment to the goods, other property, the ship or to persons, and for the failure to do so the shipper agrees to be liable for and fully indemnify the carrier and hold it harmless in respect of any injury to death of any person and loss or damage to cargo or property. The carrier shall not be concluded as to the correctness of any such mark, descriptions or representations."

7. If the aforesaid cargo sustained dama loss resulted from the primary, active a care on the part of the Co-defendant	nd direct fault, negligence, breach	of express or implied warrant	y, and want of
8. If Plaintiff is entitled to recover dam alleged in the Complaint, which indemnity in full, including legal fees a	denies, then D	efendant	
SECOND CROSS-CLAIM			
9. That under the terms of said bill of la Defendant,, the in bulk" shall be considered a "package".	hat any "piece and all articles of a		
10. That the particulars typed onto the Defendant,, it	_	, Exhibit A, w	ere provided by
11. That if Defendant,denied defendant			
12. If Defendant is entitled to be indemnified in full by l and disbursements incurred in participation.	Defendant		
THIRD CROSS-CLAIM			
13. Defendant	= = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = =		
14. That Defendant, a result of labor and materials expended cargo.	, was forced to incur expend as a result of the damages, and e	ses amounting to \$ fforts to mitigate the damage,	as to Plaintiff's
15. By reason of Defendantdefendant has sustained damages in the			
Wherefore, defendantcosts and disbursements of this action, be adjudged in favor of Plaintiff and ag	or in the alternative, if liability for gainst, th	r the damages set forth in the C en is	Complaint should entitled to
indemnity in full, including reasonable well as the \$ such other and further relief as in the p. Dated: , 20	counsel fees, disbursements, and in labor and materials expended in remises may be just.	expenses from	, as
Attorney for Defendant			

FOOTNOTES:

(n1)Footnote 1. Form adapted from papers furnished through the courtesy of De Orchis & Partners, New York, New York.

(n2)Footnote 2. See Form Nos. 1-1 and 1-5 supra.



[Caption, Jurisdictional Statement and Allegations Concerning Parties] n2

49 of 141 DOCUMENTS

Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER I COMPLAINTS
CARGO DAMAGE *

4-I Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 1-99

FORM No. 1-99 Complaint (Cross-Claim) for Indemnity by Vessel Owner Against Stevedore--Cargo Damagen1

4. At all pertinent times mentioned in the Complaint, Defendant, ________, agreed to furnish stevedoring and terminal service to the _______ and to defendant _______, including the discharge, placing, tiering, and safekeeping on the Piers of the cargo that is the subject of this suit.

5. The various consignments of ______ in suit were allegedly discharged and delivered short or

otherwise damaged.	in suit were allegedly discharged and delivered short or
	omplaint, which Defendant, denies, at, but were actually caused by the
± ± •	, in violation of's
7. By reason of the aforesaid, Defendant	, is primarily liable for any and all damages sustained y the Defendant,, for any and all
damages that may be imposed upon it by reason of the oc	•
costs and disbursements of this action, or in the alternative	s judgment dismissing the Complaint herein, together with e for judgment against the Defendant d by the Plaintiff against defendant,,
in this action, together with reasonable counsel fees, costs Dated:	·

Attorney for Defendant

FOOTNOTES:

(n1)Footnote 1. Form adapted from papers furnished through the courtesy of De Orchis & Partners, New York, New York.

(n2)Footnote 2. See Forms Nos. 1-1 and 1-5 supra.



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER I COMPLAINTS
CARGO DAMAGE *

4-I Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 1-100

FORM No. 1-100 Complaint (Cross-Claim) for Indemnity by Vessel Owner Against Trucker--Cargo Damagen1

[Caption] n2		
ANSWER AND CR	OSS-CLAIM	
Defendant,	Marine Lines, by its attorney,	, for its Answer to the
Complaint herein alleges	upon information and belief as follows:	
1. It admits		
2. It denies		
FIRST DEFENSE		
3		
SECOND DEFEN	NSE	
4		
AS AND FOR A CR	OSS-CLAIM AGAINST	
TRANSPORTATION CO	PRPORATION	
5. Repeat and reallege each every paragraph of the Co	th and every admission, denial and denial of knowledge or in implaint answered.	formation contained in each and
6. That at the times herein	after mentioned in the Complaint, the Defendant,	Transportation

		he laws of one of the states of the United States, and
was and is doing business v	within the State of	, and was at all times mentioned in the Complaint
engaged in the common car	rriage of cargo by truck for hire.	
7. Defendant,	Marine Lines, is a corpor	ation with an office and place of business at
		omplaint was engaged in the ocean carriage of goods
by hire.		
8. That each of the shipmer	nts referred to in the Complaint, which	were carried aboard the vessel
		Transportation Corporation, or its
		nd condition at, and were
	=	Corporation to
9. That if the said shipment	ts were damaged as alleged in the Con	applaint, such damage occurred while they were in the
-		Transportation Corporation, as common
	heir delivery by the Defendant	
		rine Lines, is found liable to the Plaintiff
omission, default, or other		y operation of law and not by reason of any act,
		Marine Lines, is found liable emnity from said Defendant,
Transportation Corporation		· ————
Wherefore, the Defendant,	. Marine Lin	es, demands judgment dismissing the Complaint
		n the event judgment is rendered in this action in favor
		Marine Lines, then and in that
		mands judgment over and against the Defendant,
	ransportation Corporation, in a like an	
Dated:		
	_ Attorney for Defendant	
Marine Lines	•	
FOOTNOTES:		
(n1)Footnote 1. Form adaptyork.	oted from papers furnished through the	e courtesy of De Orchis & Partners, New York, New
(n2)Footnote 2. See Fe	orm No. 1-1 supra.	
* See 2A Benedict on Adm	niralty, ch. IV (matthew Bender 7th ed	.); 2 Benedict on Admiralty, § 43 (Matthew Bender 7th



FOOTNOTES:

51 of 141 DOCUMENTS

Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER I COMPLAINTS
CARGO DAMAGE *

4-I Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 1-101

FORM No. 1-101 Complaint (Cross-Claim) for Indemnity by Vessel Owner Against Charterer--Damagen1

Capiton, Jurisaictionai Sia	tement and Allegations Concerning Parties] n2	
4. At all times herein, and the	nroughout the voyage in question, the vessel	was operated under
the terms and conditions of	a certain tanker time charter party dated at	on
, 20	0, entered into between	, owners, and
, cl		
	r provides that, should any dispute arise between	
demand arbitration.	Defendant	hereby reserves its rights to
	is under any liability to the Plaintiff	•
-	ied, then, under the provisions of the aforesaid tin to the full extent of such liability, plus reason	
Wherefore, Defendant	, demands judgment dismiss	ing the Complaint herein, together with
costs and disbursements of	this action, and judgment against Defendant	, for all sums, if any,
which may be recovered by	Plaintiff from Defendant	_, and, whether or not Plaintiff prevails,
reasonable counsel fees, cos	sts, and disbursements of this action, and for such	other and further relief as may be just.
Dated:		
Attornay for Defendant	-	
Attorney for Defendant,		

(n1)Footnote 1. Form adapted from papers furnished through the courtesy of De Orchis & Partners, New York, New York.

(n2)Footnote 2. See Form Nos. 1-1 and 1-5 supra.



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER I COMPLAINTS
CARGO DAMAGE *

4-I Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 1-102

FORM No. 1-102 Complaint by Cargo Owner Against Common Carriers--Failure to Safely Secure, Load, Stow, Carry and Care for Cargo

[Caption] n1		
The Plaintiff	, by its attorneys	s,sue the Defendants
	[identify vessel], in rem, and	allege as follows:
	admiralty and maritime jurisdiction (h) of the Federal Rules of Civil Pa	as hereinafter more fully appears and is an admiralty or maritime cocedure.
		organized and existing under and by virtue of the laws of the State
of	, with an office and place of	f business at
	is a corporation of collace of business in	rganized and existing under the laws of
4. Defendant	is a foreign cor	poration and upon information and belief is organized and
existing under and b	by virtue of the laws of	with an office and place of business in
	, and that	, which was and still is in business as a common carrier of
merchandise by wat		or managed the vessel at all times pertinent hereto, which vessel
5. Defendant	is a foreign cor	poration and upon information and belief is organized and
existing under and b	y virtue of the laws of	, with office and place of business in
		Lines, which was and still is in business as a common

6. Defendant	is a corporation organized and existing under a	nd by virtue of the laws of the
	with an office and place of business at	•
	usiness of providing services as steamship agent and other func	
in the Port of	·	**
FOOTNOTES:		
(n1)Footnote 1. See Fo	orm No. 1-1 supra.	



[Caption, Jurisdictional Statement and

7. The sinking of the vessel

53 of 141 DOCUMENTS

Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER I COMPLAINTS
CARGO DAMAGE *

4-I Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 1-103

FORM No. 1-103 Complaint In Personam by Charterer and Cargo Owner on Behalf of Other Cargo Owners, With Demand forArbitration--Cargo Loss Caused by Vessel's Sinkingn1

result of the negligence, fault, knowledge and privity of said defendants and each of them, in the management, operation

and resultant loss of plaintiff's cargo was the direct and proximate

and maintenance of said vessel.

8. By reason of the premises aforesaid, defendants have wholly failed to make delivery of plaintiff's shipments, all in violation of their obligations and duties as common carriers of goods by water for hire.
9. Plaintiff was the [shipper, consignee or owner] of the above shipments and brings this action on its own behalf and as agent and trustee on behalf of or for the interests of all parties who may be or become interested in said shipments, as their respective interests may ultimately appear, and plaintiff is entitled to maintain this action.
10. All conditions precedent required of plaintiff and of all others interested in said shipments have been performed.
11. By reason of the premises, plaintiff has sustained damages in the sum of \$, as nearly as the same can now be estimated, no part of which has been paid, although duly demanded.
AS AND FOR A SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION ON BEHALF OF
12. Plaintiff,, repeats and realleges the allegations contained in Paragraphs 1 through 11 of this Complaint.
13. Clause of the Charter Party referred to in Paragraph 4 herein provides in effect that any and all differences and disputes arising out of the Charter be put to arbitration in the City of, as follows:
"It is mutually agreed that should any dispute arise between Owners and the Charterers, the matter in dispute shall be referred to three persons at New York for arbitration, one to he appointed by each of the parties hereto, and the third by the two so chosen; their decision or that of any two of them, shall be final and for the purpose of enforcing any award, this agreement may be made a rule of the court. The arbitrators shall be commercial men."
14. A dispute, as aforesaid, has arisen between said plaintiff, and said defendant,, which dispute plaintiff is entitled to have referred to arbitration.
[Demand for Judgment] n3
FOOTNOTES: (n1)Footnote 1. Form adapted from papers used in Nicheman Co., Inc. v. Transpacific Lines, Inc., 74 Civ. 5476 (S.D.N.Y 1974).
(n2)Footnote 2. See Form Nos. 1-1 and 1-5 supra.
(n3)Footnote 3. See Form No. 1-6 supra.
* See 2A Benedict on Admiralty, ch. IV (matthew Bender 7th ed.): 2 Benedict on Admiralty, § 43 (Matthew Bender 7th



[Caption and Jurisdictional Statement] n2

54 of 141 DOCUMENTS

Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms CHAPTER I COMPLAINTS CARGO DAMAGE *

4-I Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 1-104

FORM No. 1-104 Complaint In Personam With Maritime Attachment to Enforce Foreign Judgment--Cargo Damagen1

laws of	, with a	n office and place of	business		
3. At all times hereinafter m	entioned de	fendant,	, was and	d still is a corpor	ation duly organized
and existing pursuant to the	laws of		, with an office and p	place of business	at
·					
4. Plaintiff herein filed suit	in the	C	Court of	agai	nst, <i>inter alia</i> ,
defendant herein to recover	\$	dama	ges for loss of and da	mage to a shipm	ent of
[ic	lentify cargo	carried aboard the	vessel	under	
bi	lls of lading		, all dated at		, 20,
which action bears		Court of	inc	dex number	
of 20 Statement of c	laim is attac	hed hereto and made	part hereof as Exhibi	t "A." Notice of	Writ is attached
hereto and made part hereof	as Exhibit '	B."			
5. On	, 20	, Master [or Judge]		of the	
Court of					
"A," permitting plaintiff to i	ssue a conci	irrent Writ for servic	e upon	, at	

6. Copies of said order of Master [or Judge] ______ of 20_____, the notice of Writ, and statement of

claim w	vere personally served upon defendant,, Esquire. Affidavit of		, on	, 20_	, by
	, Esquire. Affidavit of	Service of		is attached hereto and	d made part
hereof a	as Exhibit "D."				
7. The 1	matter before the	Court of		having come on for	trial and
	nent of damages before the Honorable M				
	naving noted the default of defendant,				
20	against said defendant and in favor of	f plaintiff,	, J	, a copy of which judg	ment is
	d hereto and made part hereof as Exhibi				
8 The	Court of		had proper jur	isdiction under the law	s of
	, over said suit.		naa proper jar	isdiction, under the law	5 01
	,				
	judgment remains fully unsatisfied, and				
the judg	gment against either judgment debtor, to	wit,	and	l defendant,	·
10 Uno	on information and belief defendant,		has for all i	ntents and purposes cea	ised doing
	ss and has little or no prospect of conduc				
	f its assets from this district and from th	0			•
	consist of cash, funds and credits in its h				
names]				or no urrando una ugo.	ies (sierce
_					
	a) Accounts of	_,			
	Bank		_		
	b) Accounts of				
	Bank	_,			
	Bank		_		
	c) Accounts of	_,			
	Bank		_		
	d) Cash, funds and credits of	;.	the bands of		
	d) Cash, funds and credits of	11	i the halids of		
	e) Cash, funds and credits of	ir	the hands of		
			. 1 1		C
	f) Cash, funds, credits and accounts of _				ers of
	credit, and funds payable under said lettBank	ters of credit, in i	avor of	, at	
	Balik				
Where	fore, plaintiff prays,				
	a writ of maritime attachment and garni		_		
	at	Bank,		; (b) the accounts of	Î.
	at	Bank,		,	; (c) the
account	ts of at ; (d) cash, funds and c	1.4 C	_ Bank,	·	
	; (d) cash, funds and c	redits of		in the hands of	: 41 1 1
	,	; (e) casn, fu	funda oradita and	Lagounts of	in the nands
includi	ng, but not limited to, letters of credit, an	; (1) cash,	under said letters	of credit in favor of	
	at			of cicuit, ill lavoi of	

2. That plaintiff may have judgment against defendant herein in the amount of	, or its
equivalent in United States dollars, together with the costs and disbursements of this action and the [foreign] action.	costs of the
3. That plaintiff may have such other and further relief as this court may deem just and proper. Dated:, 20	
Attorney for Plaintiff	
[Affidavit] n3	
FOOTNOTES:	
(n1)Footnote 1. Form adapted from papers used in Philip Green & Co, Ltd. v. Sovereign Marine L	ines, Inc., Civ. No.
76C 62 (E.D.N.Y.).	
(n2)Footnote 2. See Form No. 1-1 supra.	
(n3)Footnote 3. See Form No. 1-9 supra.	
* See 2A Benedict on Admiralty, ch. IV (matthew Bender 7th ed.); 2 Benedict on Admiralty, § 43	(Matthew Bender 7th



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms CHAPTER I COMPLAINTS CARGO DAMAGE *

4-I Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 1-105

FORM No. 1-105 Complaint by Plaintiff Oil Company Against Vessel and its Owner--Cargo Shortage

[Caption] n1				
The Plaintiff herein by its attorne	ys, complaini	ing of the above named v	vessel, alleges upon inform	nation and belief:
1. This is an admiralty or maritim	e claim withi	in the Fed. R. Civ. P. 9(h	ı).	
2. The Plaintiff is a corporation of Plaintif	-	-		e of
3. That Plaintiff is the owner of a	cargo of	loa	aded aboard the	
[identify vessel] on or about metric to		, 20 in the	total amount of approxima	itely
4. The Defendant vessel		is an ocean going ve	ssel, of	flag registry and
is a common carrier of cargo betw				
Port of				
5. On or aboutsaid vessel in good order and con-				
and said cargo was accepted by sa	id vessel wh	ich agreed to transport s	ame to the Port of	, for
certain money consideration there	eupon paid or	agreed to be paid.		
6. That the vessel	arr	ived at	on or about	, 20
and discharged a portion of				

the Defendant vessel in that the amount discharged was short in the amount of approximatelybarrels, all in violation of the Defendant vessel's duties and obligations as a common carrier of merchandise by water for hire.
FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION (CONTRACT)
7. Plaintiff incorporates herein by reference the allegations of Paragraphs 1 through 6 of this Complaint.
8. Said damages resulted from the breach by Defendant vessel of its agreements and warranties, both expressed and implied, safely to secure, load, stow, and carry the said cargo of and to discharge and deliver same to Plaintiff in like good order and condition as when received by it for shipment.
9. Said damage resulted from the breach by Defendant vessel of its agreements and warranties, both express and implied, to discharge and secure the said cargo in a workmanlike manner.
10. That the Plaintiff was the [shipper, consignee and/or owner] of the shipment as above described and brings this action on its own behalf and, as agent and trustee, on behalf of and for the interest of all parties who are or may become interested in the said shipments, as their respective interest may ultimately appear, and Plaintiff is entitled to maintain this action.
11. Plaintiff has duly performed all duties and obligations on its part to be performed.
12. That as a result of the aforesaid breaches of agreements and warranties by this Defendant, Plaintiff has suffered loss of cargo, additional expenses and related damages in the approximate amount of
SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION (TORT)
13. Plaintiff incorporates herein by reference the allegations of Paragraphs 1 through 6 of this Complaint.
14. Said damage resulted from the negligence of Defendant vessel in loading, stowing, securing, carrying and/or discharging the said cargo in that among other things, the Defendant:
a. Failed to properly protect the cargo;
b. Failed to properly cover the cargo;
c. Failed to properly store, stow, load, and carry the cargo;
d. Failed to follow directions of Plaintiff as to protection required for said cargo;
e. Failed to provide a seaworthy vessel and a competent crew necessary for the protection of the cargo;
f. Converted a portion of the cargo to its own use;
g. And otherwise failed to take proper precaution for the safety of the cargo.
15. That the Plaintiff was the [shipper, consignee and/or owner] of the shipment as above described and brings this action in its own behalf and, as agent and trustee, on behalf of and for the interest of all parties

who are or may become interested in the said shipments, as their respective interest may ultimately appear, and Plaintiff is entitled to maintain this action. 16. Plaintiff has duly performed all duties and obligations on its part to be performed and in no way caused or contributed to the aforesaid damages. 17. That as a result of the aforesaid breaches of agreements and warranties by this Defendant, Plaintiff has suffered loss of cargo, additional expenses and related damages in the approximate amount of Dollars (\$ _____) as near as can now be calculated, none of which has been paid although duly demanded. THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION (BREACH OF WARRANTIES) 18. Plaintiff incorporates herein by reference the allegations of Paragraphs 1 through 6 of this Complaint. 20. That the Defendant chartered the vessel _______ to Plaintiff for the carriage of this cargo from 20. That Defendant warranted, inter alia, that the cargo would be kept at a certain temperature level for the efficient and proper carriage and discharge of the cargo. 21. That Defendant breached such warranties by failing to keep the cargo at a proper temperature, failing to maintain the heating elements in good order and condition, failing to maintain cargo pumps in good order and condition and in otherwise failing to keep the vessel's machinery in a seaworthy condition. 22. As a result of the Defendant's breach of warranty as aforesaid, Plaintiff lost certain of its cargo and was required to incur additional expenses to recover its cargo from the vessel, all of which damages, costs and expenses have been duly demanded from Defendant, but which have not been paid. 23. That as a result of the aforesaid breaches of agreements and warranties by this Defendant, Plaintiff has suffered loss of cargo, additional expenses and related damages in the approximate amount of _____ _____) as near as can now be calculated, none of which has been paid although duly demanded. wherefore, Plaintiff prays: a. That process in due form of law may issue against the Defendant, , citing it personally to appear and answer all and singular the matters aforesaid; b. That process in rem may issue in due form of law according to the practices of this Honorable Court in causes of admiralty and maritime jurisdiction, against the said vessel ______, her engines, boilers, tackle, etc. and against any and all persons having or claiming to have any and all interest therein, that they may be cited to appear and answer under oath all and singular the matters aforesaid; c. That Plaintiff may have a decree against the said vessel ______, her engines, boilers, tackle, etc. for damages sustained by the Plaintiff as aforesaid, together with interest and costs and disbursements; that the said vessel _____, may be condemned and sold to pay the same; and that Plaintiff may recover its damages together with interest, costs and disbursements from said Defendant;

d. That judgment be entered against the Defendant vessel in favor of the Plaintiff in the amount of _______ Dollars (\$ ________), together with interest and costs.

e. That this Honorable Court may grant s	uch other and further relief as may be required.
--	--

Attorneys for Plaintiff

FOOTNOTES:

(n1)Footnote 1. See Form No. 1-1 supra.



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms CHAPTER I COMPLAINTS CARGO DAMAGE *

4-I Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 1-106

FORM No. 1-106 Complaint by Plaintiff Cargo Owner Against Vessel, Vessel Owner, Time Charterer and Cargo Loader--Collapse of Container Spilling Cargo Onto Dock

[Caption] n1		
Co., by,	its attorneys, sues	, in rem,
(hereinafter referred to as "Vessel"),		
1. This is a case of Admiralty and Maritime jurisdiction as he within the meaning of <i>Fed. R. Civ. P. 9(h)</i> . This Complaint is pursuant to the Fed. R. Civ. P. Supplemental Rule C.		
2. At all times hereinafter mentioned, plaintiff was and now a, having its principal place of busine		
cargo of which is the subject of this and trustee on behalf of all parties who may be or become in ultimately appear.	s case. Plaintiff brings this	action on its behalf and as agent
3. The is a vessel that is now or will and within the jurisdiction of this Honorable Court.	ll be during the pendency of	of this action within this District
4. At all times hereinafter mentioned, defendant, in and was and now is the owner of		oration having its principal office
5. At all times hereinafter mentioned, defendant	was and now	v is a corporation having its

principal office in	and was the char	terer of the Vessel.	
6. At all times hereinafter mentioned, and was acting for defendant, which plaintiff's cargo hereinabove re	, in pe	erforming the loading and sto	corporation cowage of the container in
COUNT I	refree to had been place	cu.	
7. That on or about foot container, No for transport to overseas. In the course of loading, the vessel and onto the pier, whereby the acted negligently and in breach of its defective, unseaworthy and/or unfit for COUNT II	into which point said e flooring of said contain contents were seriously respective contract and	ch was loaded plaintiff's carg l container was to be loaded a ner collapsed, spilling its con y damaged and depreciated in warranty with plaintiff in fu	o ofaboard the Vessel for shipment atents onto the deck of the a value. That said defendant
8. That on or about was reconstruction was the the Vessel; that in the course of loadi in breach of its respective contractual spilled onto the deck of the vessel and and depreciated in value.	reived by defendant in greafter obligated to care ng on or about obligations, caused the	good order and condition at _ for and to properly and care	fully load the same on board said defendant negligently and lapse and its contents to be
9. That on or about, which were on board the Vessel, at in good ord	e loaded into a fo Terminal fo er and condition for exp	oot container, No or shipment overseas; that sai port, but in the course of load	, to be shipped d shipment was received by ing was caused to break open
and its contents spilled to the pier wh defendant,	was hired by defendant in; that said damage to	the container and contents w	o perform the loading and as caused by the negligence of
10. By reason of the premises, plainti	ff claims damages of \$	plu	is interest and costs.
Wherefore, plaintiff prays: a. That a warrant for the arrest of the charter hire may issue and that all per upon oath all and singular the matters	rsons claiming any right		
b. That the, amount found due to plaintiff, with ir			mned and sold to satisfy the
c. That the above-named defendants is decree may be entered herein in favor		_	

d. That plaintiff may hav	e such other and furthe	er relief as in law	and justice it may b	e entitled to receive	•
Dated:	, 20				
Attorney for Plaintiff					
-					
FOOTNOTES:					
(n1)Footnote 1. See Form	n No.1-1 <i>supra</i> .				

* See 2A Benedict on Admiralty, ch. IV (matthew Bender 7th ed.); 2 Benedict on Admiralty, § 43 (Matthew Bender 7th ed.).



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER I COMPLAINTS
CARGO DAMAGE *

4-I Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 1-107

FORM No. 1-107 Complaint by Plaintiff Motor Vehicle Owners Against Common Carrier's Lack of Due Care, Negligence and Unseaworthiness in Delivery of Vehicles

[Caption] n1				
Plaintiffs, by their attorn follows:	eys,	, for complaint	against	, allege as
	niralty and maritime jurisdiction in the meaning of <i>Rule 9(h) of a</i>		• • •	n admiralty and
	er mentioned, Defendant and was and still			
	er mentioned, Defendant rtue of the laws of [identify vessel].			
4. Plaintiff incorporates	herein by reference the alle	gations contained	in paragraphs 1 through 3	of this Complaint.
	was and is the und for the interest of all paultimately appear.			
6. On or about	. 20	at	. there was deliv	ered to Defendants, their

agents, servants and employees, and[identify vessel], as common carriers, the said motor				
vehicle, in good order and condition. Defendants and the vessel then and there accepted said motor vehicle so delivered				
to them in consideration of certain agreed freight, thereupon agreed to be paid. Defendants and the vessel agreed to				
ransport the said motor vehicle to and there to deliver the motor vehicle in like good order				
and condition as when received by Defendants and the vessel. The aforesaid shipment was covered by				
[state name] Bill of Lading No				
7. The damages suffered by Plaintiff resulted from the unseaworthiness of the				
[identify vessel].				
8. As the result of the aforesaid breach, lack of due care, negligence and unseaworthiness, Plaintiff				
has suffered damages in the amount of \$, as best as now can be				
determined, no part of which sum has been paid, although payment has been duly demanded.				
Wherefore, Plaintiff prays:				
(a) That process issue in due form of law, according to the practices of this Honorable Court, against				
and that it be cited to appear and answer all and singular the matters aforesaid;				
(b) That judgment be entered against Defendant (and each of them) in the sum of \$, plus interest and costs;				
interest and costs,				
(c) That this Honorable Court grant such other and further relief as the justice of this cause may require.				
Attorney for Plaintiff				
FOOTNOTES:				
(n1)Footnote 1. See Form No. 1-1 supra.				
* See 2A Benedict on Admiralty, ch. IV (matthew Bender 7th ed.); 2 Benedict on Admiralty, § 43 (Matthew Bender 7th ed.).				



recovery against the above-named defendants.

58 of 141 DOCUMENTS

Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER I COMPLAINTS
CARGO DAMAGE *

4-I Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 1-108

FORM No. 1-108 Complaint Against Ocean Carrier, River Carrier and Terminal/Stevedore

[Caption] n1				
COUNT I				
Now comes the plaintiff,		[insurance company], as su	brogee of	, by its
attorneys	, and for its c	omplaint against	, and the vessel	
		quipment, furnishings, the		
alleges and states as follows:				
1. This is a case in Admiralty within the meaning of <i>F.R.C.</i>	•	sdiction as hereinafter more ful	lly appears and is an Admira	alty claim
		ne existence of federal question 36) 46 U.S.C. Sec. 1300-15 (196	_	-
3. At all times hereinafter me	ntioned, plaintiff is	s in the business of insuring var	rious cargoes.	
		is a	corporation of	doing
business, <i>inter alia</i> , as	·			
		is subrogated to		1.6
, to t	ne full extent of ea	ich of these entities' interest in	the cargo described below a	ina for

6. At all times hereinafte	er mentioned,	is a	corporation upon
			and doing business as an ocean common
carrier of goods for hire.			
7. Upon information and	l belief, the vessel	is a vess	sel owned, operated and/or chartered by
			ess herein will be, within the jurisdiction of
this court.			
8. At all times hereinafte	er mentioned,	is a	corporation incorporated
in the State of	and now doing b	ousiness as an inland	d common carrier of goods for hire.
9. Upon information and	belief, the barges	are vess	sels owned, operated and/or chartered by
		ing the pendency of	process herein, will be within the
jurisdiction of this court	•		
10 At all times hereinaf	ter mentioned	is a	corporation incorporated
			edore, discharging terminal, and warehouse
operator.	and is now doing	5 ousmoss as a steve	actor, discharging terminar, and wateriouse
operator.			
11. Upon information ar	nd belief, defendant	has do:	ne and will continue to do business within
the jurisdiction of this co			
-			
12. Upon information ar	nd belief, defendant	has do	ne and will continue to do business within
the jurisdiction of this co	ourt.		
		has do	ne and will continue to do business within
the jurisdiction of this co	ourt.		
14.0	20		
	, 20,		
	_ for ocean transportation for hir		
	_ to Co	opies of	bill of lading
	giving rise to this complaint are	e attached hereto and	d made a part hereof as group Exhibit "1".
15 On various dates in	20	defendant	accepted the various
shipments of	in good order and	derendant	ed their hill of lading
	0		
			owed to the
contractual duty to safel	y transport and deliver all of the	shipments of	as set forth in Group
Exhibit "1".			
17. On	, 20,	contra	cted with defendant from to
	_ for inland transportation of		from to
	Copies of	bill of lading g	giving rise to this complaint are attached
hereto and made a part h	nereof as Group Exhibit "2".		
10 0	20 1.01		counted the main of the country of
18. Un	, 20, defendant	h:11 of 1 a	accepted the various shipments of ling and issued the bill of lading for
transportation for compa	_ pursuant to the ensation from	oill of lad	ing and issued the onl of fading for

20. At all times hereinafter mentioned, defendant		
contractual duty to safely transport and deliver all the shi Exhibit "2".	pments of	as set forth in Group
20. In breach of defendant's du	ty as a common carrier, vario	us portions of these shipments
were not delivered to the consignee in like good order an condition.	d condition as when received	l, but rather, were delivered in a
21. In breach of defendant's du	•	-
were not delivered to the consignee in like order and con condition.	dition as when received, but	rather, were delivered in a
22. At all times hereinafter mentioned,	was entitled to enforce	ce said bill of lading against the
defendant for their benefit and for the benefit of any other	er person having any interest	in the goods.
23. At all times hereinafter mentioned,performed.	performed all conditi	ions required of them by law to be
24. As a proximate result of defendant(s') breach of their in the amount of approximately \$	•	has been damaged
Wherefore, plaintiff, as subrog		
amount of \$ against defendant(
and other appropriate relief. Plaintiff further demands proin Admiralty and Maritime Claims may issue against the	ocess in due form of law acco	her engines, tackle
equipment and furnishings and the barges	and that the vesse	l and the
barges may be condemned and		
COUNT II		
Now comes the plaintiff,, as su		
, and for its complaint against d follows:	efendant,	, alleges and states as
1-15. Plaintiff repeats and realleges paragraphs l-15 of C paragraphs were fully set forth in detail hereunder.	ount I as and for paragraphs	1-15 of Count II as if said
16. On or about,, the def	endant received and accepted bill of lading for delivery to	l a quantity of the order of the plaintiff all in
accordance with the valid laws and terms thereof.		-
17. On or prior to, 20 the aforementioned from the vesse	e defendant was hired to safe	ly and carefully discharge the
aforementioned from the vesse	l and to hold the same in its c	are, custody and control as a
bailor and to make delivery thereof to the plaintiff in the	same order and condition as	when received by the defendant.
18. On or about, 20 the o	defendant discharged the	from the
vessel and received the same into its care, custody and co	ontrol at its terminal facility a	ıt
20. That said became additiona	lly damaged during the cours	se of discharge by the defendant

,	a direct and proximate result of the neglig	gence of said defendant.
discharge and care for the good	esult of the negligence of said defendant ar s, the said defendant delivered the goods n the contrary, in c	not, however, in the same quality or condition
21. As a proximate result of the	above and foregoing, the plaintiff has sust	tained damages in the proximate amount of \$
amount of \$, as subrogee of against defendant ight deem just and proper in the premises.	, plus costs and attorneys fees and for
By: One of its attorneys	,	
FOOTNOTES: (n1)Footnote 1. <i>See</i> Form No. 1	-1 supra.	

* See 2A Benedict on Admiralty, ch. IV (matthew Bender 7th ed.); 2 Benedict on Admiralty, § 43 (Matthew Bender 7th ed.).



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER I COMPLAINTS
CARGO DAMAGE *

4-I Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 1-109

FORM No. 1-109 Complaint--Breach of Bailment by Freight Forwarder--Cargo Damagen1

[Caption] n2	
Now comes the plaintiff,	[insurance company], subrogor and,
	, and for its complaint against the defendant,
1. At all times hereinafter mentioned, plaint	tiff,, was engaged in the business as, <i>inter alia</i> , a
2. At all times hereinafter mentioned, plaint business as	tiff,, is a lawfully organized corporation doing
to the full extent of	is subrogated to the rights of recovery of of its interest in the cargo described below and for recovery against the
above-named defendant.	
	tate of as inter alia, a freight forwarder.
	on carriage by sea from to pped to
6 That the	ol had been shipped from

, aboar	d the vessel, under bill	l of lading number	·
	good order and condi-	tion and defendant ma	are, custody and control the ade no exception on bill of lading number d made a part hereof as Exhibit 1.
		-	ogor the contractual duty to safely transport condition as when received by the
9. In breach of the defendant's d the plaintiff's subrogor in like go and da	ood order and conditio		[cargo] were not delivered to ut rather, were delivered in a
			d to enforce said bill of lading against the y interest in the
11. At all times hereinafter men performed.	tioned,	performed	all conditions required of them by law to be
12. As a proximate result of defo	endant's breach of its of	duty, the plaintiff's sub	progor has been damaged in the amount of \$
Wherefore, plaintiff, the amount of \$ [vessel] plus costs, prejudgment	against the d	defendant,	, subrogee, demand judgment in and
[Name of Party Represented] By: One of its Attorneys	·	арргорнае тепет.	
FOOTNOTES: (n1)Footnote 1. Form adapted f York.	rom papers furnished	through the courtesy of	of De Orchis & Partners, New York, New
(n2)Footnote 2. See Form 1	No. 1-1 supra.		
* See 2A Benedict on Admiralt	y, ch. IV (matthew Ber	nder 7th ed.); 2 Bened	lict on Admiralty, § 43 (Matthew Bender 7th

ed.).



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER I COMPLAINTS
CARGO DAMAGE *

4-I Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 1-110

FORM No. 1-110 Cargo Complaint

[Caption] n1			
COMPLAINT			
Now comes the plaintiff,the defendant,			
tackle, equipment and furnishings	s, states as follows:		
1. This is a case of admiralty and within the meaning of <i>F.R.C.P. R</i>		and more fully appears	and is an admiralty claim
2. Jurisdiction of this Court is also Sea Act, 40 Stat. 1207 (1936), 46 U		eral questions arising un	der the Carriage of Goods by
3. Jurisdiction of this Court is also	o founded on 28 U.S.C. § 1332	and is a claim in excess	of \$50,000.
4. At all times hereinafter mention corporation.	ned, plaintiff (hereinafter "		was and is now a foreign
5. Upon information and belief, a			
, its engi	nes, tackle, equipment and furn	ishings (hereinafter refe	erred to as
with an office and doing business	in en	gaged in the business of	f common carriage of

merchandise for hire, and at all times owned and/or chartered and operated the vessel ______.

6. Upon information and b	elief, the vessel,	, (hereinafter referred to a	as "the vessel") is now or
		is district and within the jurisdiction	
7. On or about	, 20, the	defendant re	eceived and accepted a
cargo consisting of	(hereinafter re	eferred to as "cargo") in good order	r and condition, per bill of
lading number	, a copy of which is	s attached and incorporated herein	as Exhibit 1 aboard the
vessel	for carriage to	, then to	for ultimate
delivery at	for delivery to the orde	er of the holder of the bill of lading	g, then and there issued by
the said defendant, all in a	ccordance with the valid law and	terms thereof.	
8. The	vessel defendant thereafter	transferred the said cargo by sea t	to
and there delivered the car	go to the order of the holder of th	ne aforementioned bill of lading, no	ot however, in the like
		contrary, in a damaged condition [a	
		ssel defendant's breach of their duty	y as common carriers
under the said bill of lading	g.		
entitled to enforce the afor	esaid bills of lading against the _	he said bills of lading entitled to po defendant ods. Plaintiff has performed all con	t and for their benefit and
	quence of the aforementioned breastained damages in the amount of	ach of the duty by the	vessel
Wherefore, plaintiff	demands juc	Igment in the amount of \$	including
interest, costs and attorney	's fees against the defendant	and the vesse	el
of law, according to the pro	actice of this Court in admiralty a her engines, tackle, equipment ar o appear and answer on oath, all t may be condemned and sold to sa	and furnishings. Plaintiff further pra and maritime claims may issue aga and furnishings, and that all persons the singular, matters aforesaid that thisfy the judgment of the plaintiff	ainst the vessel claiming any interest the vessel herein. The plaintiff also
prays for such other, further	er and different relief as this Cou	rt may deem just and proper in the	premises.
By:			
One of Its Attorneys			
FOOTNOTES:			
(n1)Footnote 1. See Form	No. 1-1 <i>supra</i> .		
* See 2A Benedict on Adn	niralty, ch. IV (matthew Bender	7th ed.); 2 Benedict on Admiralty,	§ 43 (Matthew Bender 7th

ed.).



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER I COMPLAINTS
CARGO DAMAGE *

4-I Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 1-111

FORM No. 1-111 Complaint for Declaratory Judgment--Cargo Loss

[Caption] n1			
Now comes the plaintiff,	, by its attorneys,		, and complaining of the
defendant,			
COUNT I			
	orized to and doing the business of v	vriting	and other insurance
in the State of	·		
2. Defendant,	, a corporation, has entered ir	nto a number of contra	cts of insurance with the
plaintiff,	Insurance Company in	•	
3. That on	, 20, plaintiff issued a pol	icy of	insurance bearing
	to the defendant,		
said policy was in full force and	effect between	, 20 and	, 20
A true and correct copy	of said policy is attached hereto and	incorporated herein as	plaintiff's Exhibit 1.
4. That said policy by its terms	provided coverage for	to	·
5. That based upon information	and belief, on or about	, 20	.,
[describe incident giving rise to	issue; for example, see paragraphs	6, 7 and 8.].	
Ex. 6. That based upon informa	ion and belief, on or about	. 20	while Barges

	were in the care, custody, and o			
	, on the	_ River, the aforesaid bar	ges were n	un aground.
E 7 Thuile 1			20	41
Ex. /. That based upor	n information and belief, on or abo in Barge	Jul	, 20	, the cargo
	om of the barge where it had been			
Kivei uliough the bott	om of the barge where it had been	noied at the time of the	aroresaru ş	grounding.
Ex. 8. That based upor	n information and belief, barge	wa	s damaged	in the amount of
	and barges			
	_			
9 That on	, 20 defendan	t	filed suit	in the
). That on	[Court] against the	. A true and	correct co	ny of said complaint is
attached hereto and m	ade a part hereto as plaintiff's Exhi	ibit 2.	001100000	py or suite complaint is
	r			
10. The defendant,	, neither rep	orted the aforesaid losses	to the plair	ntiff, nor notified the
plaintiff of the existen	ce of a claim under policy number		, nor comn	nenced a lawsuit, action, o
other proceeding again	nst the plaintiff for the recovery for	r physical loss or damage	to	until
	·			
	through as set forth above provided tha			
	n or other proceeding has been con and any further claims by policy number 20, or thereafter are bar	, for o	coverage u	nder
13. That an actual con	troversy exists between the plainti of the Civil Practice Act of the er to declare the rights and liabiliti	ff and the defendant by th State of	, a	nd that this Count is
as may be necessary in	<u>c</u>	es of the parties hereto, ar	id to give s	den outer and further fen
Wherefore, the plaint	iff,, a coi	rporation, prays:		
a) That this court deterinsurance herein above	rmine and adjudicate the rights and e described.	d liabilities of the parties h	ereto with	respect to the policy of
b) That this court find	and declare that the aforesaid poli	cy	affords	no coverage to the
defendant,	, that	is not obligated	l to pay for	any physical loss or
damage to	or for	for acts or om	issions occ	curring on or after
c) That the court grant	such other and further relief as it	deems proper under the ev	vidence and	d circumstances.
COUNT II				

1-7. Plaintiff repeats and realleges the allegations of paragraphs 1 through 7 of Count I as paragraphs 1 through 7 of

Count II, as if they had been	n fully set forth herein.	
8. That the defendant,number	failed t	to notify the plaintiff of the existence of a claim under policy, 20
		sonable opportunity to be present at a survey of the alleged rrences of, 20
parties, nor allowed the pla th	intiff the opportunity to con	name the attorneys seeking recovery against the responsible atrol said litigation as provided for under lines of policy number
as	s set forth above.	
_		number have been substantially of the defendant,
12. Plaintiff repeats and reabeen fully set forth herein.	alleges the allegations of par	ragraph 11 of Count I and paragraph 12 of Count II, as if it had
Wherefore, the plaintiff,	, a co	orporation, prays:
a. That this court determine insurance herein above description		nd liabilities of parties hereto with respect to the policy of
		ned policy number affords no coverage is not obligated to pay for any physical
loss or damage to, 2	or for	for acts or omission occurring on or after
c. That the court grant such	other and further relief as i	t deems proper under the evidence and circumstances. aragraphs 1 and 2 of Count I as paragraphs 1 and 2 of Count III,
3. That onto	, 20, plaintif	If issued a policy of cargo insurance bearing policy number, in
said policy was in full force[date]. A true and co	e and effect between orrect copy of said policy is	, in[City, State], which,[date] and, attached hereto and incorporated herein as plaintiff's Exhibit 3.
4. Said policy, by its terms, formed the cargo of		which, based upon information and belief,
and control of	, and in the tow	
	amage to aleges the allegations of para	graph 6 of Count I as paragraph 6 of Count III, as if it had been

7. Plaintiff repeats and realleges the allegations of paragraph 8 of Count I as paragraph 7 of Count III, as if it had been ully set forth herein.
That based upon information and belief, damage amounted to \$ nd pollution and clean-up costs amounted to \$
Plaintiff repeats and realleges the allegations of paragraph 9 of Count I as paragraph 9 of Count III, as if it had been ully set forth herein.
0. That defendant,, failed to notify the plaintiff of the existence of a claim under policy number until, 20
1. Paragraphs and of policy Number
provide the assurers prompt notice of any cargo shortage.
2. Paragraph of policy number excludes from coverage all losses rising from
3. That the plaintiff has been substantially prejudiced in its investigation of the actual cause and the extent of this loss s a proximate result of the defendant's failure to promptly notify the plaintiff of this loss.
4. Plaintiff repeats and realleges the allegations of paragraph 13 of Count I as paragraph 14 of Count III as if it had been fully set forth herein.
Wherefore, the plaintiff,, a corporation, prays:
) That this court determine and adjudicate the rights and liabilities of the parties hereto with respect to the policy of nsurance herein above described;
o) That this court find and declare that the aforesaid policy number affords no coverage to the defendant,, and that is not obligated to pay for any loss or ontamination to the cargo of or pay for the of cargo;
) That the court grant such other and further relief as it deems proper under the evidence and circumstances.
THIS PLAINTIFF DEMANDS TRIAL BY JURY.
Name of Party we Represent] By:
One of its attorneys
FOOTNOTES:
n1)Footnote 1. See Form No. 1-1 supra.

* See 2A Benedict on Admiralty, ch. IV (matthew Bender 7th ed.); 2 Benedict on Admiralty, § 43 (Matthew Bender 7th ed.).



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER I COMPLAINTS
CARGO DAMAGE *

4-I Benedict on Admiralty Form 1-112

Form 1-112 Complaint In Rem and In Personam -- Breach of Shipper's Warranty

COMES NOW [PLAINTIFF NAME] (hereinafter referred to as "Plaintiff") and for its complaint against Defendant [DEFENDANT NAME] (hereinafter [DEFENDANT NAME]), *in personam*, and the Defendant Vessel, M/Y [VESSEL NAME], *in rem*, alleges as follows:

GENERAL ALLEGATIONS

- 1. This is a case of admiralty jurisdiction as hereinafter more fully appears, and is an admiralty and marine claim within the meaning of *Rule 9(h)* of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. This Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1333.
- 2. Plaintiff [PLAINTIFF NAME] is a New York corporation, with an office in [PLACE OF BUSINESS]. Plaintiff is, and at all material times was, engaged in business as a non vessel operating common carrier providing ocean carriage of goods and products.
- 3. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and on such information and belief alleges, that Defendant [DEFENDANT NAME] was, and is, a business entity organized and existing under the laws of the [COUNTRY] with a base of operations on [PLACE OF BUSINESS].
- 4. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and on such information and belief alleges, that the M/Y [VESSEL NAME](hereinafter referred to as the "Vessel"), is an 87-LOA motor yacht of approximately 90 Gross Tons.
- 5. On or about [DATE], by and through a corporate officer, Defendant [DEFENDANT NAME] contracted with Plaintiff for the ocean transport and carriage of the Vessel from [PORT OF LOADING] to [PORT OF DISCHARGE] with a return voyage to the United States of America to occur several months later. A true and correct copy of Booking

Note No. [BOOKING NOTE NUMBER] is attached hereto as Exhibit "A" and incorporated herein by reference.

- 6. The terms and conditions incorporated into Booking Note No. [BOOKING NOTE NUMBER] provide that: [a]ll carriage under this booking note shall have effect subject to the provisions of the Carriage of Goods by Sea Act, 46 U.S.C. §§ 1300-1315." A true and correct copy of the Terms and Conditions are attached hereto as Exhibit "B" and incorporated herein by reference.
- 7. Defendant [DEFENDANT NAME] declared the weight of the Vessel as 75 Metric Tons with an overall length of 87-feet and a beam of 23-feet.
- 8. The Vessel was loaded on or about [DATE] at [PORT OF LOADING] for her eastbound voyage, on board the M/V [VESSEL NAME]. The loading was supervised by the Vessel's master, [MASTER NAME].
- 9. For the return voyage to the United States of America, Plaintiff secured the M/V [VESSEL NAME] with an independent lifting capacity of 85 Metric Tons. She arrived at [PORT OF LOADING] on or about [DATE] to load the Vessel on board. Repeated attempts to lift the Vessel at the maximum safe working load of the cranes on board the M/V [VESSEL NAME] were unsuccessful. During these efforts it was determined that the weight of the Vessel was 90 Metric Tons.
- 10. Following discussions between Plaintiff [PLAINTIFF NAME] and Defendant [DEFENDANT NAME] it was agreed to transfer the loading evolution to Genova, Italy where cranes of greater capacity were available to lift the Vessel on board the M/V [VESSEL NAME]. Defendant [DEFENDANT NAME] agreed to allocate the expenses associated with lifting operations in Genova, Italy for its own account.
- 11. The transfer of loading operations to [PORT OF LOADING] resulted in additional expenses associated with crane and cargo loading operations, port and customs expenses and fees, pilot and dive services, and water taxi costs in an amount of [AMOUNT].
- 12. An additional [AMOUNT] of freight was not paid for by Defendant [DEFENDANT NAME], and remains unpaid, for the ocean carriage of the Vessel from [PORT OF LOADING] to [PORT OF DISCHARGE].

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

(Against *in personam* Defendant for Violation of 46 U.S.C. § 1303(5))

- 13. Plaintiff refers to and incorporates by reference as if set forth herein at length its allegations in Paragraphs 1 through 12 above.
- 14. Plaintiff and Defendant [DEFENDANT NAME] were, at all relevant times herein, parties to a contract or contracts, pursuant to which Defendant agreed to "have guaranteed to (Plaintiff) the accuracy at the time of shipment of the marks, number, quantity, and weight, as furnished by (Defendant); and (Defendant) shall indemnify (Plaintiff) against all loss, damages, and expenses arising or resulting from inaccuracies in such particulars."
- 15. Plaintiff has performed all relevant covenants and conditions under said contract or contracts.
- 16. By virtue of the inaccurate weight declared by Defendant [DEFENDANT NAME] regarding the particulars of the Vessel, Plaintiff incurred additional expenses in loading the vessel in [PORT OF LOADING] of [AMOUNT] as a proximate result of this breach which Plaintiff is entitled to recover from Defendant. Plaintiff continues to incur damages, liabilities, costs, expenses and attorneys' fees as result of Defendant's breach and shall submit proof thereof at trial.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

(Against *in personam* Defendant for Breach of Charter Party)

- 17. Plaintiff refers to and incorporates by reference as if set forth herein at length its allegations in Paragraphs 1 through 16 above.
- 18. On or about [DATE], Plaintiff forwarded its claim for damages to Defendant [DEFENDANT NAME] and requested that the same be paid according to the terms of the booking note and charter party.
- 19. Defendant [DEFENDANT NAME] has failed to make payment of the outstanding claim amount of [AMOUNT], comprised of cargo expenses and unpaid freight, despite such demands from Plaintiff. By failing to make payment, Defendant [DEFENDANT NAME] has breached the terms of the booking note and charter party.
- 20. Plaintiff has performed all relevant covenants and conditions under said contract or contracts.
- 21. By virtue of Defendant's breach of the terms of the booking note and charter party, Plaintiff has incurred damages of [AMOUNT] as a proximate result of said breach which it is entitled to recover from Defendant. Plaintiff continues to incur damages, liabilities, costs, expenses and attorneys' fees as result of Defendant's breach and shall submit proof thereof at trial.

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

(Against in personam Defendant for Negligence)

- 22. Plaintiff refers to and incorporates by reference as if set forth herein at length its allegations in Paragraphs 1 through 21 above.
- 23. Defendant [DEFENDANT NAME] was at all material times herein responsible to accurately state the weight of the Vessel to ensure that she could be loaded on board the M/V [VESSEL NAME] within the maximum safe working load of her deck cranes and without danger to the carrying vessel or the personnel working on board.
- 24. Defendant [DEFENDANT NAME] owed a duty to Plaintiff to exercise reasonable care in the declaration of the vessel particulars of the M/Y [VESSEL NAME] to ensure that she could be properly loaded, stowed, carried and cared for by the M/V [VESSEL NAME] and without exposing the carrying vessel to danger caused by the inaccurate statement of weight.
- 25. Plaintiff's damages were proximately caused by Defendant's breach of its duty to exercise reasonable care in the declaration of the vessel particulars of the M/Y [VESSEL NAME]. Defendant's negligence includes, but is not limited to, its failure to accurately state the weight of the Vessel such that she could be properly loaded and stowed whilst lying alongside in [PORT OF LOADING].
- 26. As a proximate result of Defendant's negligence, Plaintiff has suffered damages, including, without limitation, expenses associated with crane and cargo loading operations, port and customs expenses and fees, pilot and dive services, and water taxi costs which it is entitled to recover from Defendant. Plaintiff continues to incur damages, liabilities, costs, expenses, and attorneys' fees due to Defendant's negligence and shall submit proof thereof at trial.

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(Against All Defendants for Total Indemnity)

27. Plaintiff refers to and incorporates by reference as if set forth herein at length its allegations in Paragraphs 1 through 26 above.

- 28. As a proximate result of the acts, omissions, negligence, and conduct of Defendants which caused the inaccurate declaration of vessel particulars, Plaintiff has become obligated to pay or has paid, and may in the future incur additional obligations to pay claims, liabilities, damages, costs and expenses related to the loading and carriage of the M/Y [VESSEL NAME].
- 29. Defendants, and each of them, are responsible, through active and primary negligence or other culpable conduct, or by operation of law, for the claims, liabilities, damages, costs and expenses related to the loading and carriage of the M/Y [VESSEL NAME]. The inability to load the Vessel in [PORT OF LOADING] and the necessity to conduct subsequent loading operations in [PORT OF LOADING] were not caused by any active wrongdoing on the part of Plaintiff. Any liability incurred by Plaintiff for the loading and carriage of the M/Y [VESSEL NAME] is vicarious, passive and secondary to that of Defendants which are therefore obligated to fully indemnify and hold Plaintiff harmless from and against any and all damages, claims, liabilities, costs, expenses, fees or other payments arising from or related to the loading and carriage of the Vessel. Defendants are also obligated to pay all costs, expenses and attorneys' fees incurred by Plaintiff in defending claims arising from or related to the loading and carriage of the Vessel.

FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(Action Against *in personam* Defendant For Necessaries Furnished Pursuant to 46 U.S.C. § 31341)

- 30. Plaintiff refers to and incorporates by reference as if set forth herein at length its allegations in Paragraphs 1 through 29 above.
- 31. On or about [DATE], Plaintiff, at the order and request of [CORPORATE OFFICER], President of [DEFENDANT NAME], furnished and supplied to Defendant Vessel, said vessel received and accepted, certain services relating to ocean carriage, which were of the reasonable and agreed value of [AMOUNT]. In furnishing and supplying said services, Plaintiff relied on the credit of the Defendant Vessel.
- 32. Although Plaintiff has made demand upon the *in personam* Defendant for the payment of the outstanding sum of [AMOUNT], payment has been refused. The furnishing, supplying and delivery of the said services, as aforesaid, constitute a maritime lien upon said Defendant Vessel in the amount herein mentioned.

SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(Action *in rem* Against Defendant Vessel For Necessaries Furnished Pursuant to 46 U.S.C. § 31341)

- 33. Plaintiff refers to and incorporates by reference as if set forth herein at length its allegations in Paragraphs 1 through 32 above.
- 34. On or about [DATE], Plaintiff, at the order and request of Defendant [DEFENDANT NAME], furnished and supplied to Defendant Vessel, said vessel received and accepted, certain services relating to ocean carriage, which were of the reasonable and agreed value of [AMOUNT]. In furnishing and supplying said services, Plaintiff relied on the credit of the Defendant Vessel.
- 35. Although Plaintiff has made demand upon the *in personam* Defendant and the Defendant Vessel for the payment of the outstanding sum of [AMOUNT], payment has been refused. The furnishing, supplying and delivery of the said services, as aforesaid, constitute a maritime lien upon said Defendant Vessel in the amount herein mentioned.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for judgment in its favor as follows:

- A. On the First Cause of Action Against Defendant [DEFENDANT NAME];
- 1. That the Court order judgment in favor of Plaintiff, against Defendant [DEFENDANT NAME], in the amount of [AMOUNT] plus reasonable costs, expenses and attorney's fees;
- 2. For costs of suit herein;
- 3. For interest on all of the above; and
- 4. For such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.
- B. On the Second Cause of Action Against Defendant [DEFENDANT NAME];
- 1. That the Court order judgment in favor of Plaintiff, against Defendant [DEFENDANT NAME], in the amount of [AMOUNT] plus reasonable costs, expenses and attorney's fees;
- 2. For costs of suit herein;
- 3. For interest on all of the above; and
- 4. For such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.
- C. On the THIRD Cause of Action Against Defendant [DEFENDANT NAME];
- 1. That the Court order judgment in favor of Plaintiff, against Defendant [DEFENDANT NAME], in the amount of [AMOUNT] plus reasonable costs, expenses and attorney's fees;
- 2. For costs of suit herein;
- 3. For interest on all of the above; and
- 4. For such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.
- D. On the Fourth Cause of Action Against All Defendants;
- 1. That the Court order judgment in favor of Plaintiff, against Defendant [DEFENDANT NAME] and the M/y [VESSEL NAME] in the amount of [AMOUNT], plus reasonable costs, expenses and attorney's fees;
- 2. For costs of suit herein:
- 3. For interest on all of the above; and
- 4. For such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.
- E. On the Fifth Cause of Action Against Defendant [DEFENDANT NAME];
- 1. That the Court order judgment in favor of Plaintiff, against Defendant [DEFENDANT NAME], in the amount of [AMOUNT] plus reasonable costs, expenses and attorney's fees;
- 2. For costs of suit herein:

- 3. For interest on all of the above; and
- 4. For such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.
- F. On the Sixth Cause of Action Against Defendant Vessel;
- 1. That the Court order judgment in favor of Plaintiff, against Defendant Vessel, M/Y [VESSEL NAME], in the amount of [AMOUNT] plus reasonable costs, expenses and attorney's fees;
- 2. For costs of suit herein;
- 3. For interest on all of the above; and
- 4. For such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.
- * See 2A Benedict on Admiralty, ch. IV (matthew Bender 7th ed.); 2 Benedict on Admiralty, § 43 (Matthew Bender 7th ed.).



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER I COMPLAINTS
CARGO DAMAGE *

4-I Benedict on Admiralty Form 1-113

Form 1-113 Breach of Contract -- Cargo Damage -- Wetting of Cargo

Plaintiff [PLAINTIFF NAME], by its attorneys, alleges as follows, on its behalf and on behalf of all parties interested in the cargo described below:

- 1. This is a claim under the Court's Admiralty and Maritime jurisdiction within the meaning of *Federal Rule of Civil Procedure* 9(h) with respect to the carriage of goods by water. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331, 46 U.S.C. §§ 190 et seq., 46 U.S.C. §§ 1300 et seq., 49 U.S.C. §§ 81 et seq.
- 2. Plaintiff [PLAINTIFF NAME], ("Plaintiff") is incorporated under the laws of the country of [COUNTRY NAME] and is the owner or duly authorized representative of the owners of the 250 bags of shipped on board the M/V [VESSEL NAME] on voyage Number [NUMBER], which is more particularly described below, and for which Bill of Lading No. [BILL OF LADING NUMBER] in Container No. [CONTAINER NUMBER] was issued on [DATE]. Plaintiff's principal place of business is at [PLACE OF BUSINESS].
- 3. The defendant vessel, M/V [VESSEL NAME], is a foreign flag cargo vessel which is owned by defendant, [DEFENDANT NAME], and whose office is located at [PLACE OF BUSINESS].
- 4. Defendant [DEFENDANT NAME] is a [STATE] corporation with a place of business located at [PLACE OF BUSINESS]. Defendant [DEFENDANT NAME] issued this Bill of Lading for this shipment as carrier and upon information and belief was the owner, operator, N.V.O.C.C., charterer and/or manager of the Vessel.
- 5. The vessel is now, or will be during the pendency of this action, within the jurisdiction of this Court.

FIRST COUNT

6. Plaintiff repeats and realleges each and every allegation stated in Paragraphs 1 through 5 above, as if the same were

set forth at length herein.

- 7. At the port of [PORT OF LOADING], on or about [DATE], [TYPE OF CARGO] in good order and condition, were delivered to and accepted by defendants in consideration of an agreed freight. The [TYPE OF CARGO] were loaded on board the M/V [VESSEL NAME] for carriage to [PORT OF DISCHARGE], to be delivered in like, good order and condition to Plaintiff.
- 8. The [TYPE OF CARGO] were carried pursuant to the terms of Bill of Lading No. [BILL OF LADING NUMBER] dated [DATED], in Container No. [CONTAINER NUMBER].
- 9. The [TYPE OF CARGO] were delivered in [PORT OF DISCHARGE] in a damaged condition contaminated by water, all in breach of each and every Defendants' duties to the Plaintiff. As a result of the foregoing damage, Plaintiff sustained damages in an amount of [AMOUNT], as near as now can be estimated, no part of which has been paid, although payment has been duly demanded.
- 10. Plaintiff sues on its behalf and as agents and trustees on behalf of any other party who may now have or hereinafter acquire an interest in this action.

WHEREFORE, plaintiff demands judgment as follows:

- (a) against defendants [DEFENDANT NAME], in personam, and defendant M/V [VESSEL NAME] in rem, on the First Count in the amount of [AMOUNT], plus interest and costs;
- (b) that a warrant of arrest be issued against defendant vessel, M/V [VESSEL NAME], in rem, to foreclose on plaintiff's maritime liens on the First Count for a total of [AMOUNT], plus interest and costs; and
- (c) such other relief as the Court may deem just and appropriate.
- * See 2A Benedict on Admiralty, ch. IV (matthew Bender 7th ed.); 2 Benedict on Admiralty, § 43 (Matthew Bender 7th ed.).



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER I COMPLAINTS
CARGO DAMAGE *

4-I Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 1-135

RESERVED

FORM No. 1-135RESERVED



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER I COMPLAINTS
CHARTERS *

4-I Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 1-136

FORM No. 1-136 Complaint In Rem and In Personam--Charter Hire

[Caption and Jurisdictional Statement] n1

2. The plaintiff,, is a corporation duly organized and existing under the laws of, and is, and was at all times hereinafter mentioned, the owner of the vessel	
3. The defendant,, is an individual, doing business as, very principal office and place of business in	vith a
4. By charter party dated, 20, the plaintiff chartered the vessel to the defer and a maximum period of and a maximum period of and a conditions set forth in the charter party, a copy of which and	
addendum thereto, dated, 20, is attached hereto and incorporated herein as	
5. Pursuant to the charter party, the plaintiff delivered the to the defendant,, at, on or about, 20, an	d the vessel
has been operated under the charter party since that time, and is still so operated. Clause charter party provides that payment of hire is to be made semi-monthly in advance. The defendant has from made payments to the plaintiff on account of the charter hire due for the vessel at the rate of \$	of the n time to time
per calendar month, based on dead weight tons at \$ per ton as provided in the cha	arter party.
However, from the charter hire due the plaintiff on or about and	, 20
, the defendant improperly and wrongfully deducted \$ on account of allege	
claims and for fuel oil consumed during alleged off hire periods, as set forth in the plaintiff's statement of hereto as Exhibit B, so that there is still due the plaintiff the sum of \$ for charter	

period from	to	, 20	, inclusive.	
Average contribution	of the charter party between all cargoes, and all sub-freights for a s." By virtue of that provision, plaintif	ny amounts du ff has a lien or	ue under this Charter, inc the cargoes and sub-fre	cluding General
	and belief, on or about, entered into a sub-charter of the			for
carriage of a cargo of	from		, to a	port, lay
days to commence be	from and			d that the sub-charter
is being performed, a	s hereinafter alleged; and pursuant to a are or will become due from	the terms there	eof subfreights in an am	ount in excess of \$
	d belief, plaintiff alleges that pursuant [state destination], n to the, n	[stc	ate cargo] under bill of l	ading No. 1, by
	[state destination] to			
	or during the pendency of this action [describe cargo] shipped as afore and belief, by virtue of the charter pr	said.		_
_	titled to and has a lien upon the			
	to the defendant			
the defendant to the p			Tot the charter fine and	omer mace caness or
	advised the sub-charterer,, to the defendant,			gainst the sub-freights
12. Although the plai	ntiff has made due demand upon defer	ndant,	, for th	e unpaid charter hire
and other indebtedne	ss to the plaintiff the defendant has ref d to be due to it against the charter hir	fused and negl	ected to pay the same ar	nd has asserted a right
13. The defendant ha	s paid the plaintiff charter hire at the r	, 20	_, at	A.M. (less \$
him will come and I	said to be for disbursements for o			
the rate of \$	pecome due from and after per day until redelive	ery of the	A.WI	the defendant to the
	paid the plaintiff's claim will be accord			the defendant to the
14. The plaintiff has	performed all the conditions, duties an	d obligations	imposed upon it by the	charter party.
15. The plaintiff rese	rves the right to have the dispute herei of the charter party and the provis			
1-14).				

Wherefore the plaintiff prays:

1. That a warrant for the arrest of the vessel may issue, and that all persons claiming any
interest therein may be cited to appear and answer the matters aforesaid; and that the cargo of
may be condemned and sold to satisfy the plaintiff's claim, with interest thereon and costs.
2. That a warrant may issue for the arrest of the subfreights of vessel in the hands of, and that
a summons may issue directed to to show cause why such sub-freights should not be paid into
court to abide the judgment, n2 and that all persons claiming any right or interest therein may be cited to appear and
answer the matters aforesaid. n3
A
Attorney for Plaintiff
[Verification] n4
FOODNOTES
FOOTNOTES:
(n1)Footnote 1. See Form No. 1-1 supra.
(n2)Footnote 2. See Rule C(3).
(n3)Footnote 3. For additional prayers for process and demand for judgment see Forms Nos. 1-3, 1-5 and 1-7
supra.
(n4)Footnote 4. See Form Nos. 1-10 and 1-11 supra.
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

* See 2B and 2C Benedict on Admiralty Matthew Bender).



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms CHAPTER I COMPLAINTS CHARTERS *

4-I Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 1-137

FORM No. 1-137 Complaint In Personam--Charter Hire

[Caption, Jurisdictional Statement and

discharge of the cargo in ______.

Allegations Concerning Partie	es] n1			
4. In the month	, 20	, at the port of		, plaintiff made and
concluded with defendant a cha				
day o	of	, 20	_, by which plaintiff.	, for and in consideration of the
covenants and agreements ther	einafter mentione	d, to be kept and p	erformed by defenda	nt, did covenant and agree on
the freighting and chartering of	the vessel		_ unto defendant for a	a voyage from the port of
to		, and back to		, on certain terms in the
charter party mentioned, that is	to say:			
Plaintiff engaged that the said and provided with every requise during the aforesaid voyage, all ship;	site, and with men	and provisions fo	r such voyage, and sh	nould take and receive on board
Defendant engaged to provide ports aforesaid, and to pay to p aforesaid, \$ month, that said vessel might b foreign port charges, lighterage	laintiff or his agen per calend be employed, paya	nt, for the charter of ar month for each	or freight of the said wand every month, and	d pro rata for any unexpired
The master to have what mone	y he might require	e in foreign ports f	or disbursements, and	d the balance payable on

5. On the	day of	, 20	, at the said port of
	, defendant loaded and recei	ved on board the said v	vessel a full cargo of lawful goods, with
			, where she made a due
delivery of such part of	of said cargo as was destined for	r	and afterwards on
	, 20, set sail and proc	eeded to where she ma	ade a due delivery of such part of said cargo
as was destined for	, and als	o took, loaded, and rec	ceived on board of said vessel
	bags of and other merchand	lise, to be conveyed to	, and thereupon
proceeded to the port	of, w	here she arrived on	, 20, and duly
delivered said homew	ard cargo to defendant or his ag	gents.	
	the said homeward cargo at th and upwards, for freight, for andant to plaintiff, according to	reign port charges, ligh	hterage, and pilotage became and was due
has not paid the balance	-		account of the said charter, and no more, and fendant to plaintiff, on the discharge of the
[Demand for Judgmer	nt] n2		
FOOTNOTES: (n1)Footnote 1. <i>See</i> F	Form Nos. 1-1 and 1-4 supra.		
(n2)Footnote 2. S	See Form No. 1-5 supra.		

* See 2B and 2C Benedict on Admiralty Matthew Bender).



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER I COMPLAINTS
CHARTERS *

4-I Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 1-138

FORM No. 1-138 Complaint In Personam to Recover Wrongful Deduction and Owner's Expense of Cleaning Tanks--Charter Hiren1

[Caption, Jurisdictional Sta	tement, and		
Allegations Concerning Pa	arties] n2		
4. On or about the	_ day of _	, 20	, a tanker time charter party in
			form by the terms of
which the vessel was charte			days more or
less in charterer's option.			
check monthly in advance i	foresaid charter party, it was the d in U.S. dollars at the rate of \$ om the time of delivery of the ves	p	er ton on the vessel's total
6. On	, 20, when the charter-	hire payment, for that r	nonth became due, the
defendant-charterer deducte	ed from the said monthly paymen	t the sum of \$	for an alleged
failure to deliver a certain q	uantity of cargo on a voyage whi	ch had taken place the	previous November.
	ne wrongful deduction from the c ment up until the present time, bu		that it be repaid to the plaintiffs, and
8. In addition to their claim	for the defendant-charterer's wro	ngful deduction from c	harter hire, plaintiffs have a claim
=	=	-	done in at
cost of	(equivalent to II \$ \$) nc	one of which has been paid although

duly demanded.

9. From the time of the aforesaid wrongful deduction from hire up until the present time, the parties have been in communication with each other through their attorneys and have attempted to settle their differences, but have been without success. The defendant-charterer has been fully aware of the aforesaid claims through the years of negotiation and has not been in any way prejudiced by the delay, this being a cause of action on an admiralty and maritime claim, there being no applicable statute of limitations to these claims of the plaintiffs and no laches applying.

[Demand for Judgment] n3

FOOTNOTES:

(n1)Footnote 1. Form adapted from papers furnished through the courtesy of De Orchis & Partners, New York, New York.

(n2)Footnote 2. See Form Nos. 1-1 and 1-4 supra.

(n3)Footnote 3. See Form No. 1-5 supra.

* See 2B and 2C Benedict on Admiralty Matthew Bender).



[Caption, Jurisdictional Statement, and

68 of 141 DOCUMENTS

Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER I COMPLAINTS
CHARTERS *

4-I Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 1-139

FORM No. 1-139 Complaint in Personam To Recover on a Performance Guarantee--Charter Hiren1

and there disci	narged the cargo in	accordance with th	ie terms of the c	narter party.
8. After the cargo was delivered at the p discharging ports to	-	-		_
demurrage due under the charter party, o				
outstanding of \$	_			_
of its right to the full amount due.		1 1	, ,	
9. Plaintiff has duly performed all obligi	ations resting upon	it under the aforem	nentioned charte	er party.
has breached t	he charter party in t	he particular ment	ioned aforesaid,	, and by reason of the said
breaches of charter party has caused the				
plus loss of interest in the amount of $\$ _		from the		day of 20, and
loss of interest on \$	from the		day of	, 20
undertaken to pay and make good all an for the amount still due of \$ from the day of	, as w	ell as interest at the	e legal rate on \$	
20, and interest at the legal rate of	on \$	from the		day of
, 20 forv	ward.			
[Demand for Judgment] n3				
FOOTNOTES: (n1)Footnote 1. Form adapted from par York.	pers furnished throu	gh the courtesy of	De Orchis & Pa	urtners, New York, New
(n2)Footnote 2. See Form Nos. 1-1	and 1-4 supra.			
(n3)Footnote 3. See Form No. 1-5	supra.			

* See 2B and 2C Benedict on Admiralty Matthew Bender).



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms CHAPTER I COMPLAINTS CHARTERS *

4-I Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 1-140

FORM No. 1-140 Complaint In Personam--Charter Hire

[Caption, Jurisdictional S	tatement, and Allegations Concern	ing Parties] n1	
4. On or about	, 20, at	, a charter p	party in writing was entered
into between plaintiff as o	wner, and defendant as charterer, v	whereby plaintiff agreed to let	and defendant agreed to hire
	from,		
the vessel was to be delive	ered and placed at the disposal of the thick was not to exceed \$	he charterer in the port of	, at a
	as duly delivered to defendant at the er party and earned hire thereunder	_	, and entered on the
6. The vessel is still in det contemplated by the chart	fendant's service, has not been rede er party.	livered to plaintiff and is still I	performing the voyages
therein, in that defendant,	to plaintiff the hire of said vessel us allegedly on account of cargo claim under said charter party for the mo	ms, has improperly deducted fr	om the hire earned and
This deduction is unautho party.	rized, wrongful and improper and i	s a breach of defendant's oblig	gations under said charter
8. By reason of the premis	ses the said sum of \$	with interest thereo	on has become and is due and

owing from defendant to plaintiff, payment of which sum has been duly demanded but has been refused.

9. Plaintiff has duly performed all of its obligations under the charter which it is thus far obligated to perform.

[Demand for Judgment] n2

FOOTNOTES:

(n1)Footnote 1. See Form Nos. 1-1 and 1-4 supra.

(n2)Footnote 2. See Form No. 1-5 supra.

* See 2B and 2C Benedict on Admiralty Matthew Bender).



(n2)Footnote 2. See Form No. 1-5 supra.

70 of 141 DOCUMENTS

Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER I COMPLAINTS
CHARTERS *

4-I Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 1-141

FORM No. 1-141 Complaint In Personam Against Charterer-Master--Charter Hire (Share of Profits of Voyage)

[Caption, Jurisdictional Statement and	d			
Allegations Concerning Parties] n1				
4. On or about the	day of	, 20	, at	,
plaintiff and defendant entered into a				
incorporated herein as marked Exhibit		0. 1.		
5. The agreement provided, among oth				
would hire a vessel known as				g cargo
between the City of	, in the State of		_, and the City of	
, State of	and c	lefendant would pay	to plaintiff for the us	se of the
vessel perce	nt of any profit derived from	n the sale of the car	go to be carried upon	the vessel.
6. On information and belief the defen				ation and
made a net profit of \$	over and above all	operating expenses	S.	
7. As a result of the foregoing there is	owing to the plaintiff		percent of the profits	s made by the
defendant, amounting to the sum of \$				
defendant, amounting to the sum of \$, 1	o part of which has	been paid, aithough c	Jemanueu.
[Demand for Judgment] n2				
[Eemana jer vaagment] 112				
FOOTNOTES:				
(n1)Footnote 1. See Form Nos. 1-1 ar	nd 1-4 supra.			



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms CHAPTER I COMPLAINTS CHARTERS *

4-I Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 1-142

FORM No. 1-142 Complaint In Rem and In Personam Against Vessel Owner-- Breach of Time Charter

[Caption, Jurisdictional Statement and Allegations Concerning Parties] n1

4. Plaintiff, in accordance with the provis	sions of a written charter party dated	, 20
entered into between plaintiff and Owner	s, chartered the vessel	for a voyage from a port in
to	Upon said voyage cargo was	carried and discharged at the various
	has failed to pay the expenses of this voy	
charterer, to advance and expend in order	to save the cargo a sum in excess of \$	over and
above the freight collected. Almost the en	ntire amount of the said \$	has been paid to satisfy the
maritime liens of American materialmen	, suppliers, repairmen and furnishers of oth	ner necessaries to the said ship in the
United States. Also, some \$	of these funds were advanced	I and paid to the ship's crew as wages
or given as loans to the crew, which loan	s were guaranteed by the Owners.	
5. The defendant	has personally guaranteed the payment of	of such sums of money.
6. The defendant Owners, by written agree	eement (charter party), have obligated then	mselves to pay to the plaintiff these
expenses of the voyage and are thus person	onally liable for the same.	
7. The damages sustained by the plaintiff	by reason of the premises amounted as ne	early as plaintiff can now ascertain
with respect to the vessel	is in the sum of \$, no part of which has
been paid although payment thereof has l	peen duly demanded.	

FOR A SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

8. Plaintiff realleges each and every allegation as set forth above in paragraphs "1" through "7" of this complaint.
9. Plaintiff's agent and officer in entered into with defendant "Owners" a "confidential
9. Plaintiff's agent and officer in, entered into with defendant "Owners" a "confidential agreement" dated, 20 which provided in paragraph "" thereof as follows:
") The Charter Party dated is required for a formality only and not forming part of this Confidential Agreement unless in contrary with the general indemnify Clause as specified in point 12 a/b/c 1.2.3.4. Therefore Owners to indemnify Messrs for the complete wordings of the Charter Party. Any claim resulting out of C/P is null and void."
The said agreement also provides in an addendum dated, 20 as follows:
"This arrangement/Agreement is also applicable for the Charter parties',' and',' 31.5.72."
10. Said agreement further provided that all of the expenses of voyage, ship and charter party to be borne by Owners; that all freights collected would be paid to the Owners; that plaintiff would receive a 5% commission on earned freights that plaintiff would be reimbursed by Owners for all expenses incurred with respect to the voyage.
11. The plaintiff has paid sums of money in excess of \$ over and above freights collected with respect to the said voyage of the, most of which sums of money were paid to satisfy the maritime liens of American materialmen, suppliers and other furnishers of necessaries for the Plaintiff has also advanced and paid the wages of the said ship's crew as well as advanced money to the crew for their wages, which loans were guaranteed by Owners.
12. The damages sustained by plaintiff by reason of the premises amounted as nearly as plaintiff can now ascertain to the sum of \$, no part of which has been paid although payment thereof has been duly demanded.
FOR A THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION
13. Plaintiff repeats and realleges each and every allegation set forth in paragraphs "1" through "3" of this complaint.
14. Plaintiff entered into written agreements with Owners consisting of a charter party with respect to the vessel owned by the Owners herein, and which made a voyage from to
15. Plaintiff, as charterer and/or operator of such vessel, incurred expenses in excess of \$ over and above freights collected, most of which was to satisfy the claims of American materialmen and suppliers and furnishers of other necessaries to the vessel Defendant Owners and vessel were obligated to reimburse plaintiff for such expenses.
16. Although such moneys are presently due and owing and due demand has been made for the payment of same, the said Owners and have failed to reimburse plaintiff for these expenses and, accordingly, plaintiff has sustained damages, as best can be determined at the present time, in the sum of \$
FOR A FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION

17. Plaintiff repeats and realleges each and every allegation set forth in paragraphs "1" through "3" of this complaint.

18. Plaintiff, as charterer and/or operator of the vessel	on a voyage from
	return, has expended the sum of \$
over and above the freights collected, which sum of mone defendants Owners and is to be	y by virtue of a written agreement between plaintiff and
20. Plaintiff has made due demand for repayment of such have failed to make such repayment.	
20. Accordingly, plaintiff has been damaged, as best can be	be determined now, in the sum of \$
furniture, boilers, engines and freight for the damages in t plaintiff on the first and second causes of action with cost same, and that plaintiff may have such other and further reagainst the defendants Owners and, and further that judgment be en on the third cause of action in th, 20, and further that judgment be greater than the property of the second	on the first and second causes of action in the sum of \$ tered against the defendants Owners, and with interest from
Attorney for Plaintiff	
[Verification] n2	
FOOTNOTES:	
(n1)Footnote 1. See Form Nos. 1-1, 1-2 and 1-5 supra.	
(n2)Footnote 2. See Form Nos. 1-11 and 1-12	



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms **CHAPTER I COMPLAINTS** CHARTERS *

4-I Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 1-143

FORM No. 1-143 Complaint (Counterclaim) by Charterer--Breach of Time Charter

[Caption, Jurisdictional Statement and Allegations Concerning Parties] n1

3. At all material times, plaintiff and counter-defendant was the time charterer of the vessel and operated the vessel in its service, pursuant to and subject to the terms and conditions of a certain charter party contract or agreement in writing made and entered into by and between it and the defendant, dated at on, 20 A copy of said charter party or agreement is attached
hereto and incorporated herein as Exhibit A.
4. By and pursuant to the terms and conditions of said charter party contract or agreement, plaintiff and counter-defendant, at all material times, was responsible for the bookings, reception, loading, stowing, discharging and delivery, under its bills of lading, of all cargoes carried by and on board the vessel
5. The plaintiff and counter-defendant has breached said charter party contract or agreement in several respects and on several occasions during the term and tenure thereof, including:
(specify acts)
6. The defendant and counter-claimant has performed all those things required on its part to be performed under the sai

- id charter party or agreement.
- 7. The defendant and counter-plaintiff has been required and obligated to give security to respond in damages to plaintiff's claims arising out of said charter party contract or agreement as appears from a certain letter of indemnity and undertaking a copy of which is attached hereto and incorporated herein as Exhibit B.

8. The defendant and counter-plaintiff pursuant to the provisions of Rule E(7) of the Supplemental Rules for Certain

Admiralty and Maritime Claims of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure requests security for its claim against the plaintiff and counter-defendant which will be the subject of an arbitration between plaintiff and counter-defendant and pursuant to the provisions of Clause 17 of the aforementioned written charter party or agreement.
9. As a result of the breach of said charter party or agreement by plaintiff and counter-defendant, it has been exposed to numerous and substantial claims for cargo damage and to other losses, expenses and damages, the full extent of which are not known but are presently estimated at no less than
wherefore, claimant, defendant and counterclaimant herein, prays:
1. That the complaint filed against the vessel in rem and against it, in personam, be dismissed
2. That this Court, pursuant to Rule E(7) of the Supplemental Rules for Certain Admiralty and Maritime Claims of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, direct that it be given security from plaintiff and counter-defendant,
which this Court dismiss the complaint of plaintiff and vacate any security given by to secure the release of the vessel
3. That this Court stay the trial of this action and retain jurisdiction to enter its judgment upon the award of the arbitrators against the security herein obtained by as counterclaimant, to the extent same may be capable of satisfying said award in whole or in part.
4. That the Court grant to it such other, further and different relief as justice may require.
Attorney for Plaintiff
[Verification] n2
FOOTNOTES: (n1)Footnote 1. See Form Nos. 1-1 and 1-5 supra.
(n2)Footnote 2. See Form Nos. 1-11 and 1-12 supra.



(n2)Footnote 2. See Form No. 1-6 supra.

73 of 141 DOCUMENTS

Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER I COMPLAINTS
CHARTERS *

4-I Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 1-144

FORM No. 1-144 Complaint In Personam by Charterer--Failure to Deliver Vessel--Oral Agreement

[Caption, Jurisdictional Statement and Allegations Concerning Parties] n1

4. On _______, 20 _____, _______ was owner and master of the vessel _______, of _______. On or about that date, the defendant, at the port of _______, by his duly authorized agent and broker, chartered the vessel to the plaintiff for a voyage from the port of _______, to carry a full cargo of ______, the amount of the charter to be paid on the discharge of the cargo in ______.

5. The charter was made by word of mouth and not in writing. A few days after the same was so agreed on, the defendant, as the plaintiff has been informed and believes, chartered the vessel to other persons for a different voyage, and defendant now refuses to fulfill the charter to the plaintiff.

6. The plaintiff has sustained damage to the amount of \$______ and upwards, by reason of the premises.

[Demand for Judgment] n2

FOOTNOTES:

(n1)Footnote 1. See Form Nos. 1-1 and 1-5 supra.



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER I COMPLAINTS
CHARTERS *

4-I Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 1-145

FORM No. 1-145 Complaint In Personam by Charterer--Failure to Deliver Vessel--Written Agreement

4. On or about the day of, 20, the plaintiff and defendant entered into a charter party or agreement in writing, a copy of which is attached hereto and incorporated herein as Exhibit A. 5. The agreement, among other things, provided that a ship owned by the defendant and known as should with all convenient speed sail to in the State of or other lawful merchandise which she should carry to and there load her with a full cargo of or other lawful merchandise which she should carry to and there deliver to plaintiff on payment by him to defendant of freight at the rate of \$ per ton. 6. The ship did not with all convenient speed sail to and did not take on the cargo which plaintiff was ready, willing and able to load upon her but defendant caused the ship to deviate from her voyage and abandon the same all to plaintiff's damage in the sum of \$ [Demand for Judgment] n2 FOOTNOTES: (n1)Footnote 1. See Form Nos. 1-1 and 1-5 supra.	[Caption, Jurisdictional Statement and
party or agreement in writing, a copy of which is attached hereto and incorporated herein as Exhibit A. 5. The agreement, among other things, provided that a ship owned by the defendant and known as should with all convenient speed sail to in the State of or other lawful merchandise which she should carry to and there deliver to plaintiff on payment by him to defendant of freight at the rate of \$ per ton. 6. The ship did not with all convenient speed sail to and did not take on the cargo which plaintiff was ready, willing and able to load upon her but defendant caused the ship to deviate from her voyage and abandon the same all to plaintiff's damage in the sum of \$ [Demand for Judgment] n2 FOOTNOTES:	Allegations Concerning Parties] n1
party or agreement in writing, a copy of which is attached hereto and incorporated herein as Exhibit A. 5. The agreement, among other things, provided that a ship owned by the defendant and known as should with all convenient speed sail to in the State of or other lawful merchandise which she should carry to and there deliver to plaintiff on payment by him to defendant of freight at the rate of \$ per ton. 6. The ship did not with all convenient speed sail to and did not take on the cargo which plaintiff was ready, willing and able to load upon her but defendant caused the ship to deviate from her voyage and abandon the same all to plaintiff's damage in the sum of \$ [Demand for Judgment] n2 FOOTNOTES:	
party or agreement in writing, a copy of which is attached hereto and incorporated herein as Exhibit A. 5. The agreement, among other things, provided that a ship owned by the defendant and known as should with all convenient speed sail to in the State of or other lawful merchandise which she should carry to and there deliver to plaintiff on payment by him to defendant of freight at the rate of \$ per ton. 6. The ship did not with all convenient speed sail to and did not take on the cargo which plaintiff was ready, willing and able to load upon her but defendant caused the ship to deviate from her voyage and abandon the same all to plaintiff's damage in the sum of \$ [Demand for Judgment] n2 FOOTNOTES:	4. On or about the day of , 20 , the plaintiff and defendant entered into a charter
should with all convenient speed sail to in the State of and the plaintiff should there load her with a full cargo of or other lawful merchandise which she should carry to and there deliver to plaintiff on payment by him to defendant of freight at the rate of \$ per ton. 6. The ship did not with all convenient speed sail to and did not take on the cargo which plaintiff was ready, willing and able to load upon her but defendant caused the ship to deviate from her voyage and abandon the same all to plaintiff's damage in the sum of \$ [Demand for Judgment] n2 FOOTNOTES:	
should with all convenient speed sail to in the State of and the plaintiff should there load her with a full cargo of or other lawful merchandise which she should carry to and there deliver to plaintiff on payment by him to defendant of freight at the rate of \$ per ton. 6. The ship did not with all convenient speed sail to and did not take on the cargo which plaintiff was ready, willing and able to load upon her but defendant caused the ship to deviate from her voyage and abandon the same all to plaintiff's damage in the sum of \$ [Demand for Judgment] n2 FOOTNOTES:	5. The agreement, among other things, provided that a ship owned by the defendant and known as
and the plaintiff should there load her with a full cargo of or other lawful merchandise which she should carry to and there deliver to plaintiff on payment by him to defendant of freight at the rate of \$ per ton. 6. The ship did not with all convenient speed sail to and did not take on the cargo which plaintiff was ready, willing and able to load upon her but defendant caused the ship to deviate from her voyage and abandon the same all to plaintiff's damage in the sum of \$ [Demand for Judgment] n2 FOOTNOTES:	
lawful merchandise which she should carry to and there deliver to plaintiff on payment by him to defendant of freight at the rate of \$ per ton. 6. The ship did not with all convenient speed sail to and did not take on the cargo which plaintiff was ready, willing and able to load upon her but defendant caused the ship to deviate from her voyage and abandon the same all to plaintiff's damage in the sum of \$ [Demand for Judgment] n2 FOOTNOTES:	
to defendant of freight at the rate of \$ per ton. 6. The ship did not with all convenient speed sail to and did not take on the cargo which plaintiff was ready, willing and able to load upon her but defendant caused the ship to deviate from her voyage and abandon the same all to plaintiff's damage in the sum of \$ [Demand for Judgment] n2 FOOTNOTES:	
6. The ship did not with all convenient speed sail to and did not take on the cargo which plaintiff was ready, willing and able to load upon her but defendant caused the ship to deviate from her voyage and abandon the same all to plaintiff's damage in the sum of \$ [Demand for Judgment] n2 FOOTNOTES:	
plaintiff was ready, willing and able to load upon her but defendant caused the ship to deviate from her voyage and abandon the same all to plaintiff's damage in the sum of \$ [Demand for Judgment] n2 FOOTNOTES:	per ton.
plaintiff was ready, willing and able to load upon her but defendant caused the ship to deviate from her voyage and abandon the same all to plaintiff's damage in the sum of \$ [Demand for Judgment] n2 FOOTNOTES:	6. The ship did not with all convenient speed sail to and did not take on the cargo which
abandon the same all to plaintiff's damage in the sum of \$ [Demand for Judgment] n2 FOOTNOTES:	
[Demand for Judgment] n2 FOOTNOTES:	
FOOTNOTES:	
	[Demand for Judgment] n2
(n1)Footnote 1. See Form Nos. 1-1 and 1-5 supra.	FOOTNOTES:
	(n1)Footnote 1. See Form Nos. 1-1 and 1-5 supra.
(n2)Footnote 2. See Form No. 1-6 supra.	(n2)Footnote 2. See Form No. 1-6 supra.



(n2)Footnote 2. See Form No. 1-6 supra.

75 of 141 DOCUMENTS

Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER I COMPLAINTS
CHARTERS *

4-I Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 1-146

FORM No. 1-146 Complaint In Personam by Charterer--Failure to Furnish Seaworthy Vessel

[Caption, Jurisdictiona Allegations Concerning				
				the plaintiff and sattached hereto and incorporated
	(des	scribe activity) and it		for the purpose warranted by the defendant that the
owned by plaintiff know	wn as	, she capsiz	zed, dumped her	e vessel was being loaded from a ship r cargo which had been loaded upon her which wharf was the property of
7. As a result of the fore	egoing plaintiff has s	ustained damage in th	ne sum of \$	
[Demand for Judgment]] n2			
FOOTNOTES: (n1)Footnote 1. <i>See</i> Fo	rm Nos. 1-1 and 1-5	supra.		



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms CHAPTER I COMPLAINTS CHARTERS *

4-I Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 1-147

FORM No. 1-147 Complaint In Rem and In Personam by Charterer and Cargo Owner--Failure to Dischargen1

[Caption, Jurisdictional Statement and Allegations Concerning Parties] n2

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

		on 20, Owner let and
	, as Charterer, hired the vessel	to carry a cargo of
	from to	certain ports designated by plaintiff
	Charter Party. A COPY of the Charter	
7. The terms of the	Charter Party provide, "freight shall be	payable telegraphically immediately after completion of
discharge." The vest vessel to discharge.		scharge and has instructed the
addition, plaintiff _	has offered to completion of discharge. Upon informat	able to make freight payments as set forth in Paragraph 7. In Owner to post proper security to guarantee payment of freight ion and belief, the amount of freight owed is \$
obligations and resp asserting certain of	ponsibilities on its part to be performed ther payments are due from	with the terms of the Charter Party and performance of all the vessel and its Owner refused to discharge the cargo, prior to discharge. Pursuant to the terms of the due and the Charter Party has thus been breached.
10. Owner's breach	of the Charter Party as here stated give	s rise to a maritime lien and plaintiff

has result of Owner's breach of t	•		-		continue to sustain as a mated, \$
·	·				
SECOND CAUSE OF	ACTION				
11. Plaintiff "6", "7", "8" and "9" as thou	repeats gh more fully set	and realleges each forth herein at le	ch and every allegaength.	ation contained in	paragraphs numbered
12. By reason of the foregoing	ng, Owner has bro	eached the Chart	er Party and is liab	ole to	for those
damages \$	has sustain	ned and will susta	ain, such amount be	eing as nearly as	may be approximated,
13. Plaintiff the jurisdiction of this Court of during the pendency of the claimed by defendant, to with	and that Owner of action certain g	does not maintair goods, chattels, c	n an office within the credits and effects v	his District; but, t	here are now or will
(PLAINTIFF) n3 FIRST CAUSE OF	ACTION				
14. Plaintiff (2) paragraph numbered				every allegation c	ontained in
15. Plaintiff (2)aboard the vessel			and/or beneficial (Owner of the carg	go presently
16. Plaintiff (2)					ner "after
completion of discha		o pay, or to have	para, me amount	or meight due o w	ner uner
17. Plaintiff (2) as receive the cargo lad	Pursuant	to the terms of sa	aid contract,		must
20			prior c		,
18. Should the cargo			ed to n that		
contract and, as the r to recoup its losses c vessel is worth appro	narket for the car; aused by Owner's ximately \$	go is falling, Plai s failure to delive	intiff (2)er the cargo. The an Should the car	mount of the carge	rill be unable o aboard the ged and
delivered to amount of not less th	,	, Plaintiff (2)		will suffer dan	mages in an
20. Plaintiff (2) are now within the ju					
District; but, there ar	e now or will be	during the pender	ncy of this action c	certain goods, cha	

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

20. Plaintiff (2)	repeats and realleges each and every allegation contained in ", "17", "18" and "20" as though more fully set forth herein at length
21. Plaintiff (2)	being the Owner and/or beneficial Owner of the cargo
presently laden aboard the vessel	is entitled to possession of the cargo.
	has demanded the vessel and Owner to deliver the cargo to but the Owner and vessel have refused.
	er and vessel have converted the cargo as here identified and l sustain damages in an amount of no less than \$
THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION	
24. Plaintiff (2)	repeats and realleges each and every allegation contained in 9", "15" and "17" as though more fully set forth herein at length.
25. Plaintiff Charter Party, to Plaintiff (2)	has sublet the vessel, as it is permitted to do under the terms of the
26. The refusal of Owner and the vest delay and alterations of the cargo from	isel to discharge the cargo has and will cause damage to the cargo b m its present form.
has a lien as	stated gives rise to a maritime lien and Plaintiff (2) gainst the vessel for those damages it has sustained and will
continue to sustain such amount being \$	g as nearly as may now be approximated,
wherefore, plaintiffs pray:	
-	maritime attachment and garnishment pursuant to Rule B, niralty and Maritime Claims, may issue to attach the vessel,
	em pursuant to Rule C, Supplemental Rules for Certain Admiralty the vessel be arrested;
	ue in due form of law according to the practices of this Court in risdiction against and that it be required to aplaint;
tackle, etc., for damages sustained by	against the vessel,, her engines, boilers, we plaintiffs as aforesaid, together with interest, costs disbursements at the vessel, may be condemned and sold
	defendant in the sum of \$ interest, disbursements and reasonable attorneys' fees.

F. The right of	to submit the dispute here set forth to arbitration as authorized
by the Charter Party be preserved	d; and,
G. That this Court grant such oth Dated:	ner and further relief as may be just.
Attorney for Plaintiff	
[Verification] n4	
FOOTNOTES: (n1)Footnote 1. Form adapted from pap (E.D.N.Y. 1981).	pers filed in Interpetrol Bermuda Ltd. v. The Jane Stove, Civ. No. 81-1655
(n2)Footnote 2. See Form Nos. 1-1	and 1-5 supra.
(n3)Footnote 3. See Form Nos. 1-1	and 1-5 supra.
(n4)Footnote 4. See Form Nos. 1-1	1 and 1-12 <i>supra</i> .



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER I COMPLAINTS
CHARTERS *

4-I Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 1-148

FORM No. 1-148 Complaint In Personam by Charterer--Removal of Vessel From Charter

[Caption, Jurisdictional Statement and Allegations Concerning Parties] n1 4. On or about ______, 20 _____, the plaintiff and the defendant, ______, duly made and entered into a certain charter party in writing, a true and correct copy of which is hereto attached hereto and incorporated herein as Exhibit A. 5. In and by the charter party the defendant, ______, let, and the plaintiff, as charterer hired the vessel _____, for the period of about _____, upon all the terms and conditions therein stated, such period of time to commence upon delivery of the vessel to the plaintiff. 6. The vessel _____ was delivered to the plaintiff by the defendant, _____ pursuant to the charter party, on ______, 20 _____, and the period for which the plaintiff is entitled to have the vessel under the charter party therefore will not expire until about ______, 20 _____. On or about 20 _____, the vessel duly entered upon the performance of the charter party for the plaintiff and remained in the service of the plaintiff thereunder except as hereinafter stated until on or about , 20 . 7. Upon information and belief, on or about _______, 20 _____, the vessel, having been loaded by the plaintiff with cargo for ______, arrived at ______ and there discharged the cargo on board destined for 8. On information and belief, while the vessel was at ______, the plaintiff ordered the master of the vessel to receive aboard the vessel and load thereon certain cargo destined to be transported from to ______, and ordered the master so to transport the same, but the master

and the defendant, in violat					
cargo on board the vessel a					to
, t					. 1 1 1
plaintiff has wholly lost the		s entitled to make	for the transporta	tion of the cargo fro	om
precise amount being unkn	own as yet to the pla	aintiff.	on damaged more	of in a range same of	money, ene
9. Upon information and bo	elief, on or about		, 20, iı	n violation of the ch	arter party, the
defendant,	, at		, withdrew the ve	ssel	from
the service of the plaintiff,					
to obey the lawful orders o	f the plaintiff in pur	suance to the chart	er party and/or to	perform the charter	party further,
whereby the plaintiff has w	holly lost and will v	wholly lose the use	of the vessel		from the date
of the unlawful withdrawal	thereof to the expir	ration of the charte	r period.		
10. Upon information and l	pelief, the vessel		arrived at		, as aforesaid,
on or about					
discharge being complete of					
service under the charter pa			-		_
to					
re				•	
in fact obey the orders, or a					
alleges that ever since the value plaintiff. 11. In consequence of the base o	_				-
, t					
pursuance of the terms of the great increase of rates of his					
, 2	20, the charter	r party had a perio	d of about	s	till to run. The
fair and reasonable market					
containing the terms and co					
vessel				_	
charter party rate for the ve	ssel, the market val	ue of the vessel on	time charter was	on	, 20
, and ever since has t	oeen,	. The hi	re to be paid by the ty is \$	ne plaintiff to the de	fendant, onth The plaintiff
therefore, has suffered and	will suffer damage	by reason of the b	reach of the charte	er party in the sum o	of \$
long period of time, and the	_			_	
period of time which the ve	•				
12. The plaintiff has perfor					
but the owner of the vessel		has refused	to perform, comp	lete and fulfill the c	narter party and
the covenants and undertak	ings thereof.				
13. By reason of the premisand upwards.	ses the plaintiff has	suffered and will s	uffer damage in t	he sum of \$	

[Demand for Judgment] n2

FOOTNOTES:

(n1)Footnote 1. See Form Nos. 1-1 and 1-5 supra.

(n2)Footnote 2. See Form No. 1-6 supra.



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER I COMPLAINTS
CHARTERS *

4-I Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 1-149

FORM No. 1-149 Complaint (Counterclaim) by Charterer--Breach of Warranties

COUNTERCLAIM

[Caption, Jurisdictional Statement and Allegations Concerning Parties] n1

4. By charter party, dated	, 20, the plaintiff chartered t	the vessel to the defendant for a
minimum period of	and a maximum period of	, upon the terms and
conditions therein set forth, a photost	atic copy of which charter party and of the adder	ndum thereto, dated
, 20, 1	has been attached hereto and incorporated herein	as Exhibit A. The charter party
warrants that the vessel's "hull, mach	inery and equipment" are "in a thoroughly efficie	ent state," and that the vessel is
"capable of steaming, fully laden, und	der good weather conditions, about 9 1/2/10 knot	ts"
as a result thereof, on the voyages from reason of her failure and inability to roor any other causes, took approximate than if she had made her warranted spatched payment of such additional days.	the speed warranted by the owner (the plaintiff om (list origin, destination and time periods of volume to the warranted speed, and not by reason of cely days longer actual speed. By reason of the premises the defendant has hire, as nearly as can now be estimated, in the agwhich has been paid although duly demanded.	oyages), the vessel, solely by other than good weather conditions steaming time (in the aggregate) as sustained damages on account of

FOR A SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

6. The defendant repeats and realleges each and every allegation contained in Paragraphs 1 through 5, inclusive, of this counterclaim with the same force and effect as if the same were set forth at length herein.

7. The charter party provide	s as follows:		
Insert paragraph of charter	r party upon which action is based]		
8. On the voyage from	to	, w	ith a full cargo of
, th	ne vessel encountered difficulties in	the	, (state ocean) by reason of
the unseaworthiness of the v	vessel, and she developed a		_ (state problem), a portion of her deck
cargo of	was jettisoned and she put in	to the port of	·
9. The difficulties were the	direct result of her unseaworthiness	in that	(describe problems).
10. The difficulties resulted	in substantial delays at the ports of		, the total delay amounting to a
period of	days,	hours and	minutes, as
nearly as can now be estimated	ited.		
	gh duly demanded.		nearly as can now be estimated, no part
and in which the defendant		rity, be stayed p	ut, 20, ursuant to Supplemental Rule E(7) until
2. (Demand for Judgment) 1	n2		
FOOTNOTES: (n1)Footnote 1. <i>See</i> Form N	Nos. 1-1 and 1-5 <i>supra</i> .		
(n2)Footnote 2. See Fo	orm No. 1-6 supra.		



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER I COMPLAINTS
CHARTERS *

4-I Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 1-150

FORM No. 1-150 Complaint In Personam Against Bareboat Charterer-- Damage to Vessel

damages are due and owing to the plaintiff from the defendant, with interest.

[Caption, Jurisdictional Statement and Allegations Concerning Parties] n1 4. On or about _______, 20 ______, the plaintiff entered into an agreement with the defendant, whereby the defendant chartered the from the plaintiff. By the terms of the charter, the defendant agreed to pay the plaintiff hire at a special rate; and further agreed to return the vessel _____ termination of the charter in the same good order and sound and seaworthy condition as when delivered by the plaintiff to the defendant, ordinary wear and tear excepted. 5. Thereafter and on or about the ______, 20 _____, in accordance with such agreement the plaintiff delivered to the defendant the vessel in a good, sound, and seaworthy condition. order and condition as she was when delivered to the defendant by the plaintiff, but on the contrary, returned her in a seriously damaged and unsound condition, such damages, unsoundness, and unseaworthiness not being due to or caused by ordinary wear and tear. 7. The plaintiff has sustained damage by reason of having had to make necessary repairs to restore the vessel to the same good, sound and seaworthy condition as when delivered by the plaintiff to the defendant. The damages, including cost of repairs, loss of use of the vessel ______ while undergoing repairs, incidental expenses, etc., amount to \$ ______ as nearly as can be estimated at this time, and no part thereof has been paid although payment has been duly demanded from the defendant by the plaintiff; and such

[Demand for Judgment] n2

FOOTNOTES:

(n1)Footnote 1. See Form Nos. 1-1, 1-2 and 1-5 supra.

(n2)Footnote 2. See Form No. 1-6 supra.



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER I COMPLAINTS
CHARTERS *

4-I Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 1-151

FORM No. 1-151 Complaint In Personam Against Bareboat Charterer-- Loss of Vessel

Allegations Concerning Parties			
4. On or about the	day of	, 20, at	i
plaintiff and defendant entered i incorporated herein as Exhibit A		t in writing, a copy of which	ch is attached hereto and
5. The agreement provided, amount would hire from the plaintiff the provided.			
6. The contract further provided surrender the same, with all its g good condition as at the start, fa liable and responsible for any ar	gear, furniture, and tackle at the ir wear and tear from reasonable	expiration of the contract to and proper use only exce	to the owner or his agent in as epted and defendant should be
7. At all the times herein the ves reasonable value of \$	•	tackle as demised to the de	efendant was of the fair and
8. On information and belief, the to	± •		
voyage the vessel was wrecked	and sunk and became a total los	S.	
9. As a result of the foregoing p	laintiff has sustained damages in	n the sum of \$	

[Demand for Judgment] n2

FOOTNOTES:

(n1)Footnote 1. See Form Nos. 1-1 and 1-5 supra.

(n2)Footnote 2. See Form No. 1-6 supra.



[Caption, Jurisdictional Statement and

81 of 141 DOCUMENTS

Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER I COMPLAINTS
CHARTERS *

4-I Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 1-152

FORM No. 1-152 Complaint In Personam Against Voyage Charterer and Pier Owner--Damage to Vesseln1

Allegations Concerning Parties] n2 4. At all times hereinafter mentioned, plaintiff was the disponent owner of the vessel _____ which, previous to the events hereinafter described, was in all material respects tight, staunch, strong, seaworthy and fitted for the voyage in question. 5. On or about _______, 20 _____, plaintiff entered into a voyage charter party designated as whereby plaintiff as disponent owner of the vessel _____ agreed to let and (charterer), as charterer, agreed to hire the said vessel for a stated voyage at a stated charter rate. A copy of the said charter party is attached to this Complaint incorporated herein as Exhibit A. _____ was duly delivered by plaintiff to defendant _____ (charterer) under the terms of the hereinabove mentioned charter. _____, 20 _____, during the term of the said charter, and while the vessel was being operated by plaintiff for defendant (charterer), as charterer, and pursuant to the order of defendant ______, the vessel _____ was loading a cargo of _____ at the dock of defendant _____ at _____, as directed by defendants _____ (identify stevedore) and _______, 20 _____, at the order of defendants, loading of the vessel ______ was stopped and at the further order of defendants the vessel _____ was shifted to a second berth. While moored at the second berth the vessel

was caused to go aground and suffered damage to her hull and machinery.

8. The aforesaid damages occurred while defendant (<i>dock owner</i>) was the owner of the dock at which the vessel was moored, was the shipper of the cargo being loaded aboard the vessel, and was the
charterer's agent.
9. The aforesaid damages were not caused or contributed to by any fault or neglect on the part of the vessel or those in charge of her, or of any person for whom plaintiff was or is responsible, but were caused wholly and due solely to the breach of contract, and fault or negligence of defendant (identify charterer), its agents, servants, employees or contractors, in the following respects, among others, which will be shown at the trial of this cause:
(a) By directing the vessel to an unsafe berth;
(b) By failing to provide a safe berth for the vessel;
(c) By failing to take the proper or any precautions to prevent the damage in question; and
(d) By failing to provide the minimum depth salt water guaranteed in the said charter party.
10. In the alternative, plaintiff says that the aforementioned damages were not caused or contributed to by any fault or neglect on the part of the vessel or those in charge of her, or of an person for whom plaintiff was or is responsible, but were caused wholly by and due solely to fault and negligence of defendant (dock owner), its agents, servants, and employees in the following respects, among others, which will be shown at the trial of this cause: (a) By failing to furnish a dock sufficiently strong and properly fitted at which a vessel such as the vessel
could be moored; and (b) By directing the vessel to an unsafe berth.
11. Because of the grounding of, and damage to the hull of, the vessel, it was necessary for plaintiff to incur expenses for refloating, towage, and repairs to the vessel; and plaintiff lost the use of its vessel and had other varied incidental expenses; for all of which said damages defendants are responsible.
12. By reason of the premises, plaintiff has sustained damages which, as nearly as can now be estimated, amount to approximately \$
[Demand for Judgment] n3
FOOTNOTES: (n1)Footnote 1. Form adapted from papers used in Trade & Transport, Inc. v. Caribbean S.S. Co., 384 F. Supp. 782, 2075 A.M.C. 1065 (S.D. Tex. 1974), courtesy of Kleberg, Mobley, Lockett & Weil, Corpus Christi, Texas.
(n2)Footnote 2. See Form Nos. 1-1 and 1-5 supra.
(n3)Footnote 3. See Form No. 1-6 supra.



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms CHAPTER I COMPLAINTS CHARTERS *

4-I Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 1-153

FORM No. 1-153 Complaint In Personam Against Bareboat Charterer--Damage to Vessel; Charter Hiren1

[Caption and Jurisdictional Statement] n2 2. Now and at all relevant times ______ is and was a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of ______ with its principal place of business _____, and, likewise, ______, now and at all relevant times is and was a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of ______ with its principal place of business in _____, ______, 3. At relevant times plaintiff was the title owner of vessel ______ (barge) and on or about the _____ day of ______, 20 ____ plaintiff bareboat chartered ______ to defendant, who since ______, 20 ____ and at all relevant times herein was in complete possession and control of ______. As bareboat charterer, defendant was operator and owner pro hoc vice of _____ and the only "owner" of _____ within the meaning of 33 U.S.C. §§ 409 and 415. 4. Between _______, 20 _____ and _______, 20 _____ at _______, defendant loaded, equipped and adapted ________, (barge) for the holding of sand and to serve as a work platform for use in defendant's sandblasting operations. After loading with sand, defendant, on _______, 20 _____ had ________ (barge) towed to the face of a dock at ______ on the ______ River in _______, _____ where defendant spotted and moored her for use in defendant's sandblasting operations. Sometime in the late hours of Saturday night, _______, 20 ______, 20 ______, 20 ______, 20 ______ (barge) capsized, broke her mooring lines and drifted capsized down the River. Patrol craft operated by the City of ______ discovered the capsized

barge in the river and brought her in the capsized condition to waters of a slip where she shortly thereafter sank in her capsized conditions.	
. The sinking blocked the merchant vessel's departure	
morning,, 20	e to sea which was scheduled for Sunday
5. The sinking of Barge (describe actions required	by authorities).
6. The capsizing and sinking of Barge was proxima	tely caused by the negligence and
carelessness of defendant in:	
(describe negligence)	
7. After the sinking of Barge defendant refused to defendant refu	
, plaintiff tendered to defendant the right and responsibility to salvage	
her as a wreck and upon its rejection of such tender plaintiff undertook to sal	
to navigation and notified defendant it was doing so for defendant's account a	•
duty and responsibility to salvage the barge and remove her as a menace to n	avigation. Plaintiff was required to perform
defendant's duty to salvage the barge and/or remove her as a menace to navig	gation both to mitigate defendant's ultimate
expense and damages and to prevent Barge to be co	ondemned and sold by the
(authority) to pay for such expense of removal had	he through the Corps of Engineers been
required to do so.	
8. As a direct result of defendant's negligence and defendant's failure as barel	
plaintiff paid or incurred the following costs and expenses:	
(list all costs and expenses)	
Plaintiff is entitled to interest at the rate of upon the 20	sum of \$ from
9. Plaintiff performed the duty of defendant to remove and refloat bargesalvaging vessels, equipment, personnel and incurring other risks and expens; all with and after notice to defendant of its intent to	es in an aggregate amount of \$
The things supplied and the vessels, equipment and personnel furnished by p from the river as a menace to navigation and refloat	laintiff to accomplish the removal of barge
necessary and reasonable to satisfy the requirements of public safety which d	efendant had a duty to do but refused to do
10. Under the premises plaintiff should be reimbursed by defendant for its ex made for the account and benefit of defendant and for which defendant is res	
·	

FOR A SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

11. Plaintiff incorporates herein by reference each and all of the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 6 of its first cause of action.

12. On	, 20	the master of the vessel	by letter notifie	ed plaintiff
			tion against his ship at [state location],	
			_ intended to hold plaintiff responsible	
			ots as well as loss of hire resulting from	
incident. On	, 2	0 owners of the	made demand on pl	laintiff for
the sum of \$	fo	or its aforedescribed costs and	further stated its demand would be gre	eatly
			opeller damage to the	
defense costs for any and al	money it m	ight be required to pay to the	full indemnity, including attorney fees owner, charterer or operator of the claim of judgment against plaintiff.	and
		is a real of the owner of the	asonable attorney's fee to be paid to pla	intiff by
FOR A THIRD CAUS				
15. Plaintiff incorporates he first cause of action.	rein by refer	ence each and all of the allega	ations contained in paragraphs 1 throug	h 4 of its
		delivered bargereasonably fit condition for h	to defendant on er intended use by defendant and defen	ıdant
	e to plaintiff	in a capsized, sunken and da	maged condition requiring plaintiff to e	
request of plaintiff and defe was damaged as follows: (d	ndant. As a p escribe dama	proximate result of her capsize <i>ages</i>). That the reasonable cost	ated she was drydocked and inspected ing and sinking thest of repairing barge	barge
restoring her to the condition	n she was in	prior to capsizing and sinking	g is \$	
18. It was a necessity for pla surveyor to inspect and surv	_		as and for a m	arine
amount for plaintiff's loss of	use (or loss		is thirty days and that the reason barge is at the rate of \$	
			the sum of \$ e barge to defendant on	,
	ated the char	rter on	That defendant owes pla	
21. Plaintiff is entitled to int	erest at the r	ate of	per cent per annum on all amounts c	laimed in
this cause of action from			•	
wherefore plaintiff prays for	r judgment a	against defendant as follows:		
Upon its FIRST cause of ac	tion in the ar	nount of \$	together with interest at the rate	of
		num thereon from		

Upon its SECOND cause of action in the may be required to fully indemnify plain with the additional sum of \$ irrespective of whether the defense is such	tiff in respect to claim of the ov	vners of the	, together
Upon its THIRD cause of action in the a	mount of \$	(or only	should
the full amount of \$			
thereon at the rate of			
For such other and further relief as appearance Attorney for Plaintiff	ars to the Court to be just and pr	roper.	
FOOTNOTES:			
(n1)Footnote 1. Form adapted from pap	ers used in Western Transp. Co.	. v. Pac-Mar Service	es, Inc., 376 F. Supp. 530 (D.
Ore. 1974), courtesy of White, Sutherlan	d, Parks & Allen, Portland, Ore	egon.	
(n2)Footnote 2. See Form No. 1-1 s	upra.		



[Caption, Jurisdictional Statement, and

of _____[describe cause of damage].

83 of 141 DOCUMENTS

Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms CHAPTER I COMPLAINTS CHARTERS *

4-I Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 1-154

FORM No. 1-154 Complaint In Personam Against Bareboat Charterer--Damage to Vessel; Charter Hire

Allegations Concerning Parties] n1 4. On or about the ______ day of ______, 20 ____ at _____, a charter party in writing was duly entered into by and between plaintiff and defendant, whereby plaintiff, as owner, agreed to let and defendant, as charterer, agreed to hire, the vessel ______ for a period of about _____ months at the rate of \$ _____ per ton on the vessel _____ dead weight capacity, which is ______tons. The charter contained the following, relevant provision: ______ [state provision]. A copy of the said charter party is attached hereto and incorporated herein as Exhibit "A". 5. The vessel _____ was duly delivered by plaintiff to defendant under the above mentioned charter on ______, 20 _____, being at that time in good order and condition, and remained continuously in the service of defendant until ______, 20____, at ______A.M. 6. In the latter part of ______ or early part of ______, 20 ____, the vessel _____, under the above mentioned charter, was loaded by defendant at _____ with a cargo of ______ [describe where cargo was stowed and damage to ship caused by cargo]. 7. On _______, 20 _____, at _______ A.M. defendant redelivered the vessel to the owners, not in like good order and condition as when delivered, but damaged by reason

8. By reason of the premises, it b	became necessary to make repa	irs to the vessel	in order to
restore her to the like good order	r and condition as when deliver	red to defendant under cha	rter party which repairs
amounted to \$	The time occupied in	making these repairs to th	e vessel amounted to
days a	nd hours, which at the charter j	party rate of \$	per calendar
month amounted to the sum of \$			
commission of \$	amounts to \$, to whi	ch should be added \$
being t	the cost of the	[fuel] consumed	during the time repairs were
going on, or a total of \$	·		
9. On or about			
above mentioned voyage to			
in orde			
[dama			
Defendant wrongfully and impro	- ·		
representing the			
charges amounting to \$, making a tot	al of \$	wrongfully deducted
from the hire.			
10. By reason of the premises, th			
, have t			
although duly demanded, and the	e said sum of \$	is due and owir	g from defendant to plaintiff.
11. Plaintiff has duly performed	all and singular the obligations	s resting on it under the ab	ove mentioned charter party.
[Demand for Judgment] n2			
FOOTNOTES:			
(n1)Footnote 1. See Form Nos.	1-1 and 1-5 <i>supra</i> .		
(n2)Footnote 2. See Form N	No. 1-6 <i>supra</i> .		



[Caption, Jurisdictional Statement, and

84 of 141 DOCUMENTS

Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms CHAPTER I COMPLAINTS CHARTERS *

4-I Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 1-155

FORM No. 1-155 Complaint In Personam Against Charterer by Oral Demise--Damage to Vessel

Allegations Concerning Parties] n1	
4. On or about the day of, 20, plaintiff and defendant en	ntered
into an oral agreement, whereby plaintiff agreed to demise and defendant agreed to receive on charter the vessel, at a daily rate of charter hire until defendant should return her light within the limits of	
Harbor of in as good condition as when received, ordinary wear and tear expected, and plaintiff by letter immediately confirmed said charter, which confirmation comprised the terms of the charter and received and retained by defendant without objections: a copy is attached hereto and incorporated herein as Exhibit	was
5. Pursuant to the terms of the aforesaid agreement of charter, plaintiff delivered said vessel a sound and seaworthy condition to defendant on the day of, 2, and defendant continued as bailee in the custody and control of said barge until redelivery thereof to plain	20
or about the day of, 20	
6. Defendant failed to redeliver to plaintiff the vessel in the same condition as when recordinary wear and tear excepted, but, on the contrary, returned said vessel in a grievously damaged condition, [describe damage] which was not the result of ordinary wear and tear, but was due to	eived,
defendant's breach of its obligations under said charter.	
7. By reason of the premises aforesaid, it was necessary to place the vessel in dry dock repairs and a survey was duly held thereon on the day of, 20 _ at which survey defendant was represented and the damage to said vessel was bound to amount to the sum of \$,

__ and the repairs called for by such survey were duly made on the ______, to

and including the	day of	, 20, a	period of detention of
	days, during which time plaintiff lost the	ne use of said barge in a	profitable employment at
	a day, amounting to \$, which sum wa	s the fair and reasonable value
of said detention and I	plaintiff was obliged to expend \$	for towa	age of said vessel to and from
dry dock, and \$	for surveyor's fees, ma	king a total \$, with interest
thereon from 20	_, payment of which has been duly demand	led of defendant, which	has neglected and refused to
pay any part thereof.			
[Demand for Judgmer	nt] n2		
FOOTNOTES:			
(n1)Footnote 1. See F	Form Nos. 1-1 and 1-5 supra.		
(n2)Footnote 2. S	See Form No. 1-6 supra.		



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms CHAPTER I COMPLAINTS CHARTERS *

4-I Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 1-156

FORM No. 1-156 Complaint (Third-Party) In Personam by Time Charterer Against Voyage Charterer--Damage to Vesseln1

[Caption and Jurisdictional	atement] n2	
2. Plaintiff,Exhibit A.	, has filed a complaint herein, a copy of which complaint is attached hereto as	
engaged in the shipping busi	laintiff was and now is a corporation duly organized and existing according to law, ess, and acting through its duly appointed agent, Such agent organized and existing according to law with an office for the transaction of business a	
corporation, duly organized	, at all times hereinafter mentioned, was and now is a foreign d existing according to law, with an office and usual place of business at at the present time, was appointed by	
	ent for the transaction of certain business involved herein and at all times hereinafter	
approved by the New York I the from date of delivery of the	on, 20, a time charter, on Government for oduce Exchange, was entered into between as disponet owner and defendant and third-party plaintiff, for a period of month seel to charterers, to be employed generally within American Institute Trade Warranties	r of s es.
, a voyage charter, "ap	attached hereto and incorporated herein as Exhibit B. On	

defendant for a voyage fi	om one safe port,	, v	vith a full and complete cargo of
	to	, and	, "1/2 safe berths each port."
			was duly loaded on board the, where she was berthed
			, and forthwith commenced discharge of said
			[describe damage].
an unsafe berth contrary been any breach of oblig such breach then there w	to the provisions of said ti ation on the part of defend as similar and correspondi	me charter. Defendant and third-party ng breach by third-	asible under the said time charter for furnishing ant and third-party plaintiff denies that there has plaintiff but further maintains that if there was party defendant of its obligations under said defendant and not that of defendant and
	d third-party plaintiff demo for of the plaintiff, togethe		nst third-party defendant for all sums that may be es and attorneys' fees.
Attorney for Plaintiff			
	apted from papers used in N.Y. 1973), courtesy of M	-	. v. Oswego Shipping Corp., 363 F. Supp. 1366, ww York, New York.
(n2)Footnote 2. See	Form No. 1-1 supra.		

* See~2B~and~2C~Benedict~on~Admiralty~Matthew~Bender).



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms CHAPTER I COMPLAINTS CHARTERS *

4-I Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 1-177

RESERVED

FORM No. 1-177RESERVED



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms CHAPTER I COMPLAINTS COLLISION *

4-I Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 1-178

FORM No. 1-178 Complaint In Rem and In Personam by Vessel Owner--Collision

[Caption, Jurisdictional Statement and Allegations Concerning Parties] n1

5. On the evening of	, 20, the	left the	e
Lumber Company dock at			
abouttor			
feet 7 inches forward and 20 feet a			
due course entered			
and with him were the third office	and an able-bodied seaman who v	vas at the wheel. Anothe	r seaman was stationed on
the forecastle head keeping lookov	t. The weather was fine and clear v	vith a fresh north-east w	ind, and the tide was flood
It was dark and the	had the regulation navig	ation lights properly set	and burning brightly.
While the	was proceeding down the center of	of	Channel with
	range lights directly in line astern,		
light and green side light of a vess			
channel. The masthead and range			
and were open, indicating the			
Channel from east to west. Shortly	after sighting the lights of the	the	2
sounded a passing signal of one bl			
thereby agreeing to a port to port p	assage. After the exchange of one	blast passing signals the	course of the
was direct	eted to her starboard toward the we	sterly edge of	Channel.
When she was well on her own sta	rboard side of the channel the	wa	s straightened up on a
course along the westerly edge of	he channel. The	continued to app	roach still showing her
green side light and her range light	s were still open slightly but there	was ample room for a sa	fe passage port to port

	alarm signal (four short blasts) and it was seen that she was
	The
immediately put her wheel hard to starboard in an effort	to haul away from the oncoming and
	, however, continued approaching the
and the sounded a signal of three	
	violently struck the's
	le of collision being almost a right angle. On or about the
	ed on the mud bank, lump or shoal just to the westward of the
	c. After collision the vessels remained locked together until
	tance of several tugs, they were pulled apart. As a result of the
collision the sustained serious of	
to discharge cargo in order to e	flect repairs necessitated by the collision.
6. The collision and the damages resulting therefrom wer	re not caused or contributed to by any fault or negligence on the
part of those in charge of the bu	
negligence on the part of those in charge of the	in the following particulars, among others,
which will be brought out upon the trial:	
1.61	
1. She was not in charge of competent persons.	
2. She failed to keep a good lookout.	
3. She was proceeding at an immoderate rate of s	peed under the circumstances.
4. She failed to keep on her own starboard side of	the channel as she was required to do under the
provisions of of the Inla	
5 After exchanging one blast passing signals with	theshe failed to alter her
course to starboard so as to effect a port to port pa	issage.
6. After exchanging one blast passing signals with	n theshe altered course to port
in direct violation of her agreement to pass the	
7. She suddenly and unexpectedly sheered across	channel and into the
8. She failed to stop and reverse her engines when	danger of collision was, or should have been,
apparent.	
9. She did not do anything to avoid collision.	
7. By reason of the premises and as a result of the collision	on, the plaintiff has sustained heavy damage consisting of the
cost of salvaging the, the cost of	
	substantial expenses necessarily incurred and to be incurred as
a result of the collision which, so nearly as can be estima	
dollars (\$, no part of which sun	has been paid although payment thereof has been duly
demanded.	

 $[\textit{Prayer for Process, Demand for Judgment and Verification}] \ n2$

FOOTNOTES:

(n1)Footnote 1. See Form Nos. 1-1, 1-2, and 1-5 supra.

(n2)Footnote 2. See Form Nos. 1-3, 1-6, 1-10, 1-11 and 1-12 supra.



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms CHAPTER I COMPLAINTS COLLISION *

4-I Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 1-179

FORM No. 1-179 Complaint In Rem and In Personam by Vessel Owner--Collisionn1

[Caption, Jurisdictional Statement, and Allegations Concerning Parties] n2

4. During the early afternoon of	, 20	, the vessel	[describe type
of vessel], a			
in charge of			
5. Weather conditions were	[describe]].	
6. Sea conditions were	[describe].		
7. [describe traffic conditions]			
8. At or about	P.M. (CST), on		, and in broad daylight
another vessel, which was later ide			
[give loca	ation] of the	and proceeding	in the direction of the
on a cros			
had ample time to take whatever m	= = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = =		_
avoid crossing ahead of her, the	main	tained her course and spe	ed. Thereafter, when the two
vessels were a short distance apart,	the master of the	observed	that no one was on watch in the
pilothouse of the			
hard righ	_		
each other to avoid collision by any			
proceeding at full speed, the bow s	· •		

[state location of c	contact] about 10 feet aft of bow of the	, after which
the bow of the[cree	ossing vessel] rode along and continued to scrap	e against the port side of the
from the initial poi	int of impact to her stern.	
As a result of the collision, the	suffered heavy damage	[describe
location, nature and extent of damage].		
9. After the collision the vessels exchanged	information with respect to their identities, and	the
returned to, at half	-speed under escort of the	for survey and
temporary repairs. Thereafter, the	[crossing speed] proceeded to the	ne port of
	for permanent repairs, which were not c	ompleted until
, 20	•	•
10. The aforesaid collision and damage were	e not caused, or contributed to, by any fault or n	eglect on the part of
Plaintiff, or those in charge of the	, but, on the contrary, were cause	ed wholly by, and due solely
	part of Defendant, the	
in charge of said vessel, in the following res	pects, among others, which will be more fully si	hown at the trial of this
cause:[state all all		
11. As a consequence of the aforesaid collis	ion, plaintiff has suffered loss and damage in the	e amount of \$
=	me can now be estimated, consisting of tempora	
	ry and rigging, various expenses incurred to min	
earnings and use, no part of which has been		
[Prayer for Process, Demand for Judgment	and Verification] n3	
FOOTNOTES:		
(n1)Footnote 1. Form adapted from papers	used in P.S. Fish Industries, Inc. v. St. George P	Packing Co., Inc., 307 F.
Supp. 458 (S.D. Tex. 1969), courtesy of Sto	rter, Carinhas & Cunningham, Brownsville, Tex	cas.
(n2)Footnote 2. See Form Nos. 1-1, 1-2	2 and 1-5 <i>supra</i> .	
(n3)Footnote 3. See Form Nos. 1-3, 1-6	5, 1-10, 1-11 and 1-12 <i>supra</i> .	
* See 2 Benedict on Admiralty § 10 (Matthe	ew Bender 7th ed.); 3 Benedict on Admiralty §§	21 and 32 (Matthew Bender

7th ed.).



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER I COMPLAINTS
COLLISION *

4-I Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 1-180

FORM No. 1-180 Complaint In Rem and In Personam by Vessel Owner--Collision

10. [allege damages to vessel]

[Demand for Judgment] n2

FOOTNOTES:

(n1)Footnote 1. See Form Nos. 1-1 and 1-5 supra.

(n2)Footnote 2. See Form No. 1-6 supra.



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms CHAPTER I COMPLAINTS **COLLISION ***

4-I Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 1-181

FORM No. 1-181 Complaint In Personam by Vessel Owner--Collision

[Caption, Jurisdictional Statement and Allegations Concerning Parties] n1 4. During the evening of ______, 20 _____, the vessel ______ struck and damaged the vessel ______ at Pier at ______. 5. The aforesaid collision and resulting damage were not caused or contributed to by any negligence on the part of the vessel or her owner or operator but were caused wholly by and due solely to the fault and neglect of the defendants and those in charge of the _____. 6. By reason of the foregoing, plaintiff has sustained damage in the approximate amount of \$______, as nearly as can now be estimated, no part of which has been paid although duly demanded. [Demand for Judgment] n2 **FOOTNOTES:** (n1)Footnote 1. See Form Nos. 1-1 and 1-5 supra.

(n2)Footnote 2. See Form No. 1-6 supra.



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms CHAPTER I COMPLAINTS COLLISION *

4-I Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 1-182

FORM No. 1-182 Complaint In Personam by Motorboat Owner--Collision

[Caption, Jurisdictional Statement and Allegations Concerning Parties] n1

-			dant was and still is the owner of Said vessel was of a class commonly
			feet and was
propelled by means	of a		
5. At all the times he	erein mentioned, plaintiff was a	nd still is the owner of a	[vessel]
commonly known as	s the,	which said vessel was o	f a character commonly known as a
	was approximately	feet	in length and was propelled by means of a
gasoline engine.			
6. At all the times he	erein mentioned, the vessels ow	ned and operated by pla	intiff and defendant were floating on the
	erein mentioned, the vessels ow near		intiff and defendant were floating on the
waters of	near day of	· , 20	between the hours of
waters of	near day of	· , 20	
7. On the	near day of and	, 20, plaintiff was on his	between the hours of
7. On the	near day of and feet off		between the hours of said vessel, which was anchored about
7. On the8. At the same time a	near day of and feet off	, 20, 20, plaintiff was on his at	between the hours of said vessel, which was anchored about, and was operating the same, it was

o'clock in the	noon of that day, at the place aforesaid, defendant pulled up the anchor by which				
his said vessel was anchored and permit	ted the said boat to drift and failed to start the motor or engine thereof and the				
said boat continued to drift until it collided with plaintiff's boat causing the following personal injuries to the plain [list injuries].					
10. The said accident and the injuries to of the defendant:	plaintiff resulting therefrom were caused solely by the following negligent acts [state all negligent acts].				
11. [allege damages suffered]					
[Demand for Judgment] n2					
FOOTNOTES:					
(n1)Footnote 1. See Form Nos. 1-1 and	1-5 supra.				
(n2)Footnote 2. See Form No. 1-6	supra.				



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms CHAPTER I COMPLAINTS COLLISION *

4-I Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 1-183

FORM No. 1-183 Complaint In Personam by Sailing Yacht Owner and Passenger Against Commercial Vessel Owner--Collisionn1

[Caption, Jurisdictional Statement and Allegations Concerning Parties] n2

4. On the morning of	, 20	, at approximately	hours, the sailing
) ("RU2"), owned by
	was proceeding	[list directi	on] in the area of
			ning brightly.
was at the helm of	, directin	g its navigation and with h	im was an able-bodied yachtsman who
served as a lookout and a	ided in the sailing of	, and an	other person were below deck. The
weather was	[describe weat	ther, wind and visibility].	
5. While proceeding dow	n the	, those on deck observe	d the green running light of a vessel in
the	above	[yacht] which late	er proved to be the vessel
	[owner] maneuvered	in the c	direction of the port side of the channel
to permit	[commercial vess	el] to overtake and pass to	starboard of
[yacht], however, failed t	o take action calculated to a	void	[yacht], but continued in the same
direction rapidly closing	in on	then ordered all person	s aboard to come above deck and
	aneuvered		
	shore in an effort to haul aw	vay from the oncoming	[commercial
vessel]. The bow of	howeve	er, violently struck	[yacht] starboard side
			, and another, both of whom
were thrown overboard b	y the impact of the collision		

6. The collision and the damages resulting from the collision were not caused or contributed to by any fault or				
negligence on the part of those aboard	[yacht] but were caused wholly by, and due solely to			
fault and negligence on the part of those agents and empl	oyees of in charge of			
[commercial vessel] in that such	n persons:[state alleged negligent			
acts].				
salvaging [yacht] loss of charter	owner] has sustained heavy damage consisting of the cost of r income and other substantial expenses necessarily incurred [passenger] has sustained severe injuries to his stantial expenses and suffered substantial losses.			
[Demand for Judgment] n3				
FOOTNOTES: (n1)Footnote 1. Form adapted from papers used in Lineh 1976), courtesy of Potter, Anderson & Corroon, Wilming	nan v. United States Lines, Inc., 417 F. Supp. 678 (D. Del. ton, Delaware.			
(n2)Footnote 2. See Form Nos. 1-1 and 1-5 supra.				
(n3)Footnote 3. See Form No. 1-6 supra.				



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms CHAPTER I COMPLAINTS COLLISION *

4-I Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 1-184

FORM No. 1-184 Complaint In Personam--Collision Between Yachtsn1

[Caption, Jurisdictional Statement and

FOOTNOTES:

(n1)Footnote 1. Form adapted from papers filed in Moss v. Houseboat Margie II, Civ. No. 80-2505 (E.D.N.Y. 1980).

- (n2)Footnote 2. See Form Nos. 1-1 and 1-5 supra.
- (n3)Footnote 3. See Form No. 1-6 supra.
- * See 2 Benedict on Admiralty § 10 (Matthew Bender 7th ed.); 3 Benedict on Admiralty §§ 21 and 32 (Matthew Bender 7th ed.).



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms CHAPTER I COMPLAINTS COLLISION *

4-I Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 1-185

FORM No. 1-185 Counterclaim by Vessel Owner--Collisionn1

[Caption, Jurisdictional Statement and Allegations Concerning Parties] n2

FIRST COUNTERCLAIM

4. At	hours on the	th day of	, 20
	, the vessel		
	on her starboard side of		
	was struck by the vessel	[plaintiff's v	vessel].
	d collision was caused by the negligence o in the following respects, among [describe alleged unseaworthy of	g others, which will be shown	
	nd proximate result of said collision the ve ng extensive repair.	ssel	sustained serious structural
-	the foregoing, defendant r economic losses in the amount of approx		
neglect or want	d collision and the resulting damages were to f care on the part of the defendant,		•

[Demand for Judgment] n3

FOOTNOTES:

(n1)Footnote 1. Form adapted from papers used in Prudential Lines, Inc., v. Transmarittima Sarda Italnavi Flotte Riunite S.P.A. (Genova), 2081 A.M.C. 415 (S.D.N.Y. 1980).

(n2)Footnote 2. See Form Nos. 1-1 and 1-5 supra.

(n3)Footnote 3. See Form No. 1-6 supra.



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms CHAPTER I COMPLAINTS COLLISION *

4-I Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 1-186

FORM No. 1-186 Complaint In Personam--Collision With Sunken Vessel

[Caption, Jurisdictional Statement and Allegations Concerning Parties] n1

4. At all times hereinafter			[plaintiff's ves	sel] was seaworthy, and
properly manned and equi	pped.			
5. On or about	, 20	_, the vessel		[sunken vessel] lay in sunken
				ation underwater was unknown
to the navigators in the cha	annel and the	[pla	<i>intiff's vessel</i>] or th	ose in charge of her navigation.
6. On or about	, 20	_, at some time after	•	P.M. of that day, while
the	was proceeding		_ in the	River,
[describe activity], she v	was caused without v	warning to strike a	nd to run over the submerged
hull of the	causing sever	re and serious damag	ge and injury to the	·
[plaintiff's vessel] and her	owners.			
7. The said striking of the		[sunken vessel] as	not caused and oc	casioned by any fault or neglect
on the part of the				
occasioned solely by the fa	ault, carelessness or neg	gligence of the owne	r of the	[sunken vessel]
or those in charge of her, i	n the following respects	s, among others which	ch will be brought	out at the trial, in that the owner
of the	permitted her to la	ay sunken in a navig	able channel; in pe	ermitting her to be a menace to
navigation in said waters;	in permitting her to lay	in sunken condition	without a buoy, fl	ag or light to mark her presence
in the channel so as to give	e fair and adequate noti	ce to mariners of the	said waters in wh	ich the wreck of the
1	av sunken: in failing to	give notice of her ex	xact position in the	channel or the notice of the

wreck, if any was given, was insufficient, ambiguous and incapable of warning navigators in the waters adjacent to sai wreck of the exact location thereof.					
8. By reason of the premises and of the collision aforesa	aid, plaintiff has sustained heavy and serious damage consisting				
of the cost of repairs to the hull of the	, to the loss of the use of said tug, and to the loss of her				
fuel oil and other expenses of a total of \$	as a result of the said collision, no part of which has				
been paid although duly demanded.					
[Demand for Judgment] n2					
FOOTNOTES:					
(n1)Footnote 1. See Forms Nos. 1-1 and 1-5 supra.					
(n2)Footnote 2. See Form No. 1-6 supra.					



[Caption and Jurisdictional Statement] n1

8. [statement of the plaintiff's damages as in other cases]

96 of 141 DOCUMENTS

Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms CHAPTER I COMPLAINTS COLLISION *

4-I Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 1-187

FORM No. 1-187 Complaint In Rem and In Personam Against the United States (Suits in Admiralty Act)--Collision

virtue of the laws of the	of	nd still is a corporation organized and existing under and and owner of the vessel	ı oy
		nich, until the happening of the collision hereinafter	
described, was tight, staunch, seawor	thy and in all respects p	properly equipped and manned.	
3. At all the times herein mentioned, by law consented to be sued herein.	the defendant the United	d States of America, was and still is a sovereign which	has
	e time of the occurrence	nerica was and still is the owner of the vessel e herein mentioned was operated by or for account of the is employed as a merchant vessel.	e
5. The and with		or during the pendency of this action will be within the p	ort
6. [statement of the facts and circums	stances of the collision o	as in other cases]	
7. [statement of the faults occasionin	g the collision as in othe	er cases]	

9. This suit is brought under the Act of March 9, 1919, known as the Suits in Admiralty Act. The plaintiff hereby elects to have this cause proceed in accordance with the principles of actions *in rem* and desires also to seek relief *in personam*.

Wherefore, the plaintiff prays that the defendant, the United States of America, be required to appear and answer all and singular the matters aforesaid, and that the plaintiff have judgment for the amount of its damages, with interest and costs, and such other and further relief as may be just.

Attorney for Plaintiff

[Verification] n2

FOOTNOTES:

(n1)Footnote 1. See Form No. 1-1 supra.

(n2)Footnote 2. See Forms Nos. 1-10, 1-11 and 1-12 supra.



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms CHAPTER I COMPLAINTS COLLISION *

4-I Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 1-188

FORM No. 1-188 Complaint In Personam Against the United States (Suits in Admiralty Act and Public Vessels Act)--Grounding Caused by Coast Guard's Failure to Mark a Reefn1

[Caption and Jurisd	ictional Statement] n2	
	[vessel owner], is a corpora with an office and place of business	tion organized and existing under the laws of the State at
	[plaintiff operator], is a cor with an office and place of bus	poration organized and existing under the laws of the iness at
4. Defendant United	States of America is a sovereign, which by l	aw has consented to be sued herein.
5. At all relevant tin	•	was and still is the owner of the vessel
6. At all relevant tin		operated and controlled the vessel
	ught pursuant to 46 U.S.C. § 741 et seq., kno ne Public Vessels Act.	own as the Suits in Admiralty Act, and 46 U.S.C. § 781
established and main	ntained by the United States of America as ai north to on the	e, and that the United States
Coast Guard, an age	ncy of the United States of America pursuant	to Chapter 5 of Title 14 U.S.C., was charged with the

responsibility of maintaining, keeping, a		
located in and about the area known as	located in the	in the vicinity
of the mouth of	and the Town of	·
_	aforesaid aids to navigation are customatheir position with relation to their properties the same and the same afterway.	
River, installe	to be a dangerous obstructed and over the years sought to maintain	a single red and black buoy at the south
end of the feet as the only and to haviga	tion operating vessels in the vicinity of _	Ree1.
11. On, 20	, the while	proceedingto
in the	, the while River grounded on the	e hard bottom west of the single red and
black buoy established by the U.S. Coas	st Guard to mark the hazardous condition	ons at
12 Despite the installation of the of one		d f
	mentioned buoy at thees Coast Guard, had notice and knowled	
	of had been g	-
prior to the inc		rounded at the southwest side of
buoy to mark the	States Coast Guard, knew or should hav end of Ree and thereby avert groundings sin	ef was wholly inadequate to mark the
14. The grounding of the	on	, 20 , at
	fault or neglect on the part of the plaint	
	solely to the fault, neglect, and want of c	
agents, servants, and employees, in the this action:	following particulars, among others, wh	ich will be brought out upon the trial of
A. That defendant, having know Reef, failed to properly mark the	vledge of the dangerous conditions existite obstruction.	ing at
	ald have known that the single buoy at th	
	vas wholly inadequate to mark	
extremity and failed to mark the	e western extremity of	Reef.
C. That defendant failed to main Reef.	ntain suitable aids to navigation in and ar	round the vicinity of
D. That defendant failed to warr	n mariners navigating the area in the vici	inity of
	ds to navigation at	
	and all damag	
	gligence of the United States of America	
	_ have sustained damages by reason of	defendant's negligence, fault, and want
of care in the total amount of \$		

[Demand for Judgment] n3

FOOTNOTES:

(n1)Footnote 1. Form adapted from papers filed in Eklof Marine Corp. v. United States, Civ. No. 84-2495 (E.D.N.Y. 1984).

(n2)Footnote 2. See Form No. 1-1 supra.

(n3)Footnote 3. See Form No. 1-6 supra.



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms CHAPTER I COMPLAINTS COLLISION *

4-I Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 1-189

FORM No. 1-189 Complaint In Rem and In Personam by Cargo Owner--Collision

[Caption and Jurisdictional Statement] n1 2. At all the times hereinafter mentioned the plaintiff, ______ Lumber Company was, and still is a corporation duly organized and existing under and by virtue of the laws of the State of ______, with its principal office and place of business in _______, and was and is the owner of several shipments of ______ on board the vessel ______ at the time of the collision hereinafter mentioned. 3. The vessel [vessel] was carrying a full cargo of , and is now, or during the pendency of this action will be, within this district. _____ and _____, were and still are corporations duly 4. The defendants, _____ organized and existing under and by virtue of the laws of the State of ______ with offices and places of business in _____ and were and are the owners and operators of the vessel 5. The vessel [describe events leading to collision]. 6. The collision was caused through the negligence and fault of the vessel ______, and those in charge of her in the following respects, among others, which will be more particularly pointed out at the trial of this action: [state alleged negligent acts]. 7. As a result of such collision, the plaintiff has sustained damage to its cargo on the vessel ____ which as far as is now ascertainable is estimated will amount to \$ ______ including about \$ as a general average contribution which the plaintiff's cargo will be compelled, because of the

collision, to make to the owner of the vesse	
--	--

[Prayer for Process, Demand for Judgment and Verification] n2

FOOTNOTES:

(n1)Footnote 1. See Form No. 1-1 supra.

(n2)Footnote 2. See Form Nos. 1-3, 1-6, 1-10, 1-11 and 1-12 supra.



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms CHAPTER I COMPLAINTS COLLISION *

4-I Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 1-190

FORM No. 1-190 Complaint In Rem--Collision With Pier

[Caption and Jurisdictional Statement] n1

no part of which has been paid, although payment has been duly demanded from the owner of

the vessel	
[Prayer for Process and Verification] n2	
FOOTNOTES: (n1)Footnote 1. <i>See</i> Form No. 1-1 <i>supra</i> .	
(n2)Footnote 2. See Form Nos. 1-3, 1-10 and 1-12 supra.	

^{*} See 2 Benedict on Admiralty § 10 (Matthew Bender 7th ed.); 3 Benedict on Admiralty §§ 21 and 32 (Matthew Bender 7th ed.).



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms CHAPTER I COMPLAINTS COLLISION *

4-I Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 1-191

FORM No. 1-191 Complaint In Rem and In Personam--Collision With Piern1

[Caption] n2

	•	3	ie United States and this Honora	U
under the provision	s of the Extension of Admiralty	and Maritime Juris	sdiction Act, 46 U.S.C. § 740, an	nd within the
meaning and intent	of Rule 9(h) of the Federal Rule	les of Civil Procedu	re.	
2. At all times perti	nent herein, the plaintiff	was and still is a corporation org	ganized and	
existing by virtue o	f the laws of the State of	,	authorized to do and doing bus	iness in the State
of	and was and now is th	e owner of a wharf	and grain shipment facility lega	lly constructed
and maintained adja	acent to the left descending sho	re of the	River at	
3. The vessel	is a register	ed vessel of	gross tons,	
	net tons, and a length of		", a beam of	'
			" with a l	
	, that plaintiff believes on	information and be	lief is owned and/or operated by	y defendant
	, a limited	exiting u	nder the laws of	, not
			amenable to service of process i	
			authority], and which vessel is	
	y of this action within the juriso			
4. On or about	, 20	, at approximately ₋	hours,	the vessel
			was neglige	
	ž •	•	_ facility causing extensive dan	•

5. The cause of the collision was in no way the fault of plaintiff but was in all respects caused by the fault of vessel and her operators in the following particulars, amongst others which will be shown at the tria
of this matter: [state alleged negligent acts].
6. By reason of the aforesaid collision the plaintiff has suffered damages in the amount of \$ a nearly as same can presently be estimated.
7. All and singular the aforesaid premises are true and correct and within the admiralty and maritime jurisdiction of the United States and this Honorable Court.
[Prayer for Process, Demand for Judgment, and Verification] n3
FOOTNOTES: (n1)Footnote 1. Form adapted from papers used in Bunge Corp. v. M/V Furness Bridge, 390 F. Supp. 603 (E.D. La. 1974), and 396 F. Supp. 852, 2075 A.M.C. 1444 (E.D. La. 1975), courtesy of Monroe & Lemann, New Orleans, Lousiana.
(n2)Footnote 2. See Form No. 1-1 supra.
(n3)Footnote 3. See Form Nos. 1-3, 1-6, 1-11 and 1-12 supra.



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms CHAPTER I COMPLAINTS COLLISION *

4-I Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 1-192

FORM No. 1-192 Complaint (Third-Party) In Rem and In Personam Collision With Pier

[Caption and Jurisdiction	onal Statement] n1			
2. Plaintiff,	has filed agains	t defendant,	, a comp	laint, a copy of
	as Exhibit A, asserting an ad			
3. At all times hereinafte	er mentioned defendant was a	and still is a	organize	d and existing under
	, having an office nings, in furnishing tug boat a		s at	, and is
4. Upon information and	l belief at all said times the _		_ was and still is a corpo	oration organized
_	ws of the state of, and the owner of the vessel		-	of business at
5. Upon information and within this district.	l belief the vessel	is now, o	or during the pendency	of this action will be
6. The true facts with refacts of collision].	spect to the damage alleged in	n the complaint are as f	follows:	[state
7. The collision with the	pier and the resulting damag	ge were not caused or c	ontributed to by any fau	ılt or negligence on
	or of anyone for whom the c			
	e vessel			
employees in the follow	ing respects, among others: _		_ [state alleged neglige	nt acts].

8. Defendant further allege				
F	oursuant to a contract wh	hich provided, among other	er things:	[state
contractual provision].				
Defendant says that the ve	ssel	was using her own	propelling power, that	t defendant's tugs
8	nd	and Captain	, Ma	ster of the tug
	were assisting the vesse	el	_, and that if any fault	on the part of Captain
,	who was on board the v	ressel	, caused or contri	buted to the collision
between the vessel	and t	he pier, third party defend	lant	[vessel owner]
and not the defendant, is li	able therefor.			
9. If the defendant is under				
which liability the defenda		all such liability was caus		
liability should be borne b				
Wherefore defendant pray	'S :			
1. That a warrant for the appersons claiming any inter				
2. That a judgment may be defendant (a) in favor of p				
3. That defendant may have	e such other and further	r relief as the court may de	eem appropriate under	the circumstances.
Attorney for Defendant	_			
[Verification] n2				
FOOTNOTES: (n1)Footnote 1. <i>See</i> Form	No. 1-1 supra.			
` ,	Form Nos. 1-11 and 1-12	2 supra.		



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms CHAPTER I COMPLAINTS COLLISION *

4-I Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 1-193

FORM No. 1-193 Complaint In Personam Against Bridge Owner--Barge Collision With Bridgen1

[Caption and Jurisdictional Statement] n2			
2. At all relevant times, plaintiff,under and by virtue of the laws of the State of,	, with	an office and place of b	usiness at
all relevant times, was tight, staunch, strong and se			
3. Defendant,, was and st by virtue of the laws of the State of Street,			-
4. Defendant, the City ofunder and by virtue of the laws of the State of	_		nnized and existing
5. Heretofore and on or about duly delivered in writing to the defendants, and dewhich this action is founded, for adjustment, and n Bridge, located at	fendants received, notice on otice that the claim arose of	of the claim hereinafter second of a defective condition	et forth, and upon
	1	6 11 11 1 1 1 1 1 1	

6. More than 30 days have elapsed since such delivery and receipt of notice of said claim and said defendants have not adjusted the same and have neglected and refused to make any payment or adjustment of said claim and causes of action.

7. This action was commenced within		[state time] after the cause of	action occurred, and is not
barred by any applicable statutes of limitati	ion or laches.		
8. At all times hereinafter mentioned, the d located at,			Bridge
9. At all times hereinafter mentioned, the d aforesaid Bridge,			ged and/or controlled the
10. At all times hereinafter mentioned, the Bridge.	defendant, City of	, owned	l the aforesaid
11. At all times hereinafter mentioned, the controlled the aforesaid			
12. On or about, and bound from	20, the Barg	ge while	e in tow of the Tug
, and bound from the Bridge, which collision resulted in seve	ere and extensive d	amage to the Barge	
13. The collision and the damages resulting the part of the plaintiff herein or of those in, nor by any unsea due solely to, the recklessness, carelessness [state alleged neg	n charge of the Bar aworthiness on the s, fault and neglige	ge or the part of said Vessels, but rather w	ne Tug vere caused wholly by, and
14. By reason of the premises and as a resusurveys and repairs to the Barge effect the repairs, and substantial additional can be estimated at present, will amount to Dollars, no part of which has been paid, alt	the distribution, the distribution, the distribution, the distribution, the distribution and the distribution are distribution, the distribution are distribution, the distribution are distribution, the distribution are distribution and distribution are distribution.	e loss of use of said vessel during rily incurred as a result of the co	g the period required to llision, which, as nearly as
[Demand for Judgment] n3			
FOOTNOTES: (n1)Footnote 1. Form adapted from papers 76C-532 (E.D.N.Y.).	s used in McAlliste	er Bros. Inc. v. New York City Ti	ransit Authority, Civ. No.
(n2)Footnote 2. See Form No. 1-1 sup	ora.		
(n3)Footnote 3. See Form No. 1-6 sup	ora.		
* See 2 Benedict on Admiralty § 10 (Matth	new Bender 7th ed.); 3 Benedict on Admiralty §§ 22	1 and 32 (Matthew Bender

7th ed.).



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms CHAPTER I COMPLAINTS COLLISION *

4-I Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 1-194

FORM No. 1-194 Complaint (Counterclaim) by Bridge Owner Against Vessel Owner--Collision With Bridge

[Caption, Jurisdictional Statement and Allegations Concerning Parties] n1

	_ jurisdiction, control, possession and supervision of Bridge, including a steel platform of	
5. On or about	, 20,	[vessel owner], owned the vessel
	and the tow	
6. On or about	, 20,	[vessel operator], operated, managed and
	and the tow	
7. On or about or before	, 20, the vessel	and the tow
	were attached together by the plaintiff,	; the aforesaid attached tug
and tow were traveling _	through the	Bridge and struck and damaged a
steel platform of the brid	lge.	
8. Said collision caused	damage to the steel platform of the bridge.	
O. The oforesaid collision	n was not due to any fault or neglect on the part of	the defendant its agents servants and/or

10. The aforesaid accident was caused by the fault and neglect of the persons in charge of and operating the tug

and the tow connected thereto, in that they failed to navigate and operate the tug and the tow
connected therewith so as to prevent a collision with the bridge and the platform which was an integral part of the
bridge; the tug was manned by incompetent persons who were inattentive to their duties; they did not keep and maintain
an alert and efficient lookout; they misjudged the distance between the tug and the tow, on
which a boom was affixed and was an integral part thereof, on the one hand, and the opened bridge on the other hand;
they navigated too closely to the bridge fenders, and the bridge; they failed to keep the tug and tow under control so as
to be able to stop the tug and tow from striking the bridge; they caused and permitted the tug and tow to strike the
bridge; they failed to properly attach the tug and tow to each other in a proper manner so that they were under control at
all times; they failed to secure the boom on the tow, and they failed to take into consideration
the motion of the sea and navigated too closely to the center pier fender and bridge, and allowed the swaying boom to
strike the bridge; they were proceeding at an excess rate of speed under the circumstances; they failed to take timely or
proper steps to avoid the collision when the danger of collision was or should have been apparent.
11. By reason of the premises, defendant has sustained damages amounting to \$ for the repair
of the aforesaid steel platform of the aforesaid bridge.
Wherefore, the defendant demands judgment dismissing the complaint together with the costs and disbursements of
this action and defendant further demands judgment against the plaintiff in the sum of \$, with
interest, together with costs and disbursements of this action, and that the plaintiff may have such other, further and
different relief as may be just and proper.
Dated:
Attorney for Defendant
FOOTNOTES:
(n1)Footnote 1. See Forms Nos. 1-1 and 1-5 supra.

^{*} See 2 Benedict on Admiralty § 10 (Matthew Bender 7th ed.); 3 Benedict on Admiralty §§ 21 and 32 (Matthew Bender 7th ed.).



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms CHAPTER I COMPLAINTS COLLISION *

4-I Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 1-195

FORM No. 1-195 Complaint--Negligence: Yacht Owner

[Caption] n1				
	, as subrogee and complaining of the defendant			
	sed to do business in t	the State of	an	, was at all times and had insured the vessel
				yacht which was moored at, 20
3. At all relevant times, t		, w	as the owner of the	defendant yacht
4. On or aboutstruck the			broke	e free from its moorings and
				had the duty to properly secure ther yachts in the harbor.
				to properly secure and moor the

7. As a direct and proximate result of the neglige in the amount of \$	ent acts of the defendant(s), the	was damaged
8. At all material times, thesafety of his property.	was in exercise of due care and caution	for his own safety and the
9. As a result of the damage sustained by the to expend money to reimburse its insured pursua		
did expend the sum of		
interest of its insured against the defendant(s) an		
Wherefore, the plaintiff, plus costs, deems proper.		
By:		
One of its attorneys		
FOOTNOTES:		
(n1)Footnote 1. See Form No. 1-1 supra.		

^{*} See 2 Benedict on Admiralty § 10 (Matthew Bender 7th ed.); 3 Benedict on Admiralty §§ 21 and 32 (Matthew Bender 7th ed.).



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms CHAPTER I COMPLAINTS COLLISION *

4-I Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 1-196

FORM No. 1-196 Complaint--Vessel Damage--Negligent Tug Allision with Bridge

COMPLAINT

Now comes the plaintiff, _______, by its attorneys ______ and for its complaint states against the defendant ______, in personam and the tug ______, in rem, as follows:

1. This court has jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to its admiralty and maritime jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1333 and Rule 9(h) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and has diversity jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1332 and is a claim in excess of \$50,000 exclusive of interest and costs.

2. Plaintiff _______, (hereinafter "______") is a _______ corporation which does business in the United States and specifically, the State of ______.

3. At all times pertinent to this complaint, the _______ plaintiff was the owner and operator of the vessel ______ (hereinafter "______"). The _______ is a ______ [describe vessel] with her port of registry at ______.

4. Defendant ______ (hereinafter "_______") was and is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of _______ with its principal place of business in ______ and with an office in ______.

5. At all times pertinent, ______ was the owner and operator of the tug _______ and held itself out to be skillful, experienced and knowledgeable in the handling of said tug and in the safe and proper

navigation of the ______ is and

		1	r n :
		hours, the	
		[address] and discharge eted at hours.	
	Said discharge was comple	nours.	
7. At	hours, the	[vessel] requested the tu	g assistance of
		ring the [water	
		dock in order to load a new cargo.	
		spatched the tug	
8. At	[<i>time</i>], the	[vessel] departed the	docl
		dant knew or	
	=	and that the	was
under the direction ai	nd control of the	·	
0. Danassa 4ha	[
		under the direction and control of the had a duty to the plain	
		e safe and proper performance of similar	
circumstances.	navigator would employ for the	sare and proper performance of similar	ai services under the
circumstances.			
10. The	made fast to the	[vessel] and ma	neuvered the
10. THC	[vessel] ahead outhound on	the River. As	s the
	approached the	Bridge, it was the duty o	f the defendant to
		ge opened in time to allow safe passage	
		duty of the defendant to wait until the	
		[vessel].	
11. As the	[vessel] passed tl	hrough theBi	ridge, there was a collision
	=	[vessel] such as to cause e	xtensive damage to the
vessel and, conseque	ntly, to the	plaintiff.	
10 0 : 1 11: :			
	s the result of the negligence of	and the tug _	in
failing to:			
a) communica	eta with the bridge tender to have	e the bridge opened in time to allow sa	fo passage of the
	[vessel];	e the bridge opened in time to allow sa	ne passage of the
	[vesset],		
b) wait until t	he Bri	dge was open before proceeding ahead	with the
o) wait until t	[vessel]; and,	age was open serore proceeding anead	With the
	[,essev], and,		
c) properly pa	ass through the bridge draw, with	h the [vessel]	in tow having due
	operation of the bridge.		C
<u> </u>			
		and the nd incurred expenses in excess of \$	
10 1 1 0.1	1	1 41	1

14. At all times pertinent, plaintiff was exercising due care and regard for its own safety.

Wherefore, plaintiff	, respectful	lly prays for judgment against defend	ant
and	d the tug	in the amount of \$	plus
interests and costs. Plaintiff	further prays that process in	the due form of law, according to the	practice of this court in
admiralty and maritime clain	ms may issue against the tug	, her engine	s, tackle, equipment and
furnishings, and that all pers	ons claiming any interests th	erein, may be required to appear and	answer on oath, all and
singular, matters aforesaid; a	and, that the tug	may be condemned and	sold to satisfy the
judgment of the plaintiff her	ein. Plaintiff also prays for s	uch other, further and different relief	as this court may deem
just and proper in the premis	ses.		
[name of party represented]			
By:			
One of its attorneys			
FOOTNOTES:			
(n1)Footnote 1. See Form N	No. 1-1 <i>supra</i> .		

^{*} See 2 Benedict on Admiralty § 10 (Matthew Bender 7th ed.); 3 Benedict on Admiralty §§ 21 and 32 (Matthew Bender 7th ed.).



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms CHAPTER I COMPLAINTS COLLISION *

4-I Benedict on Admiralty Form 1-197

Form 1-197 Complaint -- Collision -- Personal Watercraft

COMES NOW Defendant and Cross-Complainant [DEFENDANT NAME] (hereinafter "[DEFENDANT NAME]") and for a cause of action against the Cross-Defendants, and each of them, alleges as follows:

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

- 1. That the true and correct names or capacities, whether individual, corporate, associates or otherwise of the Cross-Defendants, ROES 1 through 10, inclusive, are unknown to Cross-Complainant who therefore sues the Cross-Defendants by such fictitious names. Cross-Complainant is informed and believes and upon such information and belief alleges that each of the Cross-Defendants designed herein as a ROE is legally responsible in some manner for the events and happenings referred to hereinafter and legally caused injuries and damage thereby to the Cross-Complainant, as hereinafter alleged. Cross-Complainant will seek leave of the court to amend this Cross-Complainant to show the true names and capacities of said ROE defendants when the same have been ascertained.
- 2. That Cross-Complainant is informed and believes and, upon such information and belief, alleges that at all times mentioned herein, some of the Cross-Defendants were the agent, servant or employee of the remaining Cross-Defendants and at all times herein were acting within the purpose and scope of said agency and/or employment.
- 3. That Cross-Complainant is informed and believes and, upon such information and belief, alleges that at all relevant times hereto, Cross-Defendant [PLAINTIFF NAME] was a resident of the [PLACE OF RESIDENCE].
- 4. That Cross-Complainant is informed and believes and, upon such information and belief, alleges that at all relevant times herein, [PLAINTIFF NAME] and ROES 1 through 10, inclusive, were the owners of a personal watercraft.
- 5. That Cross-Complainant is informed and believes and, upon such information and belief, alleges that at all relevant times therein [PLAINTIFF NAME] and ROES 11 through 20, inclusive, were piloting the aforementioned Kawasaki

personal watercraft with the knowledge, permission and consent of the owners of said personal watercraft.

- 6. That on or [DATE], at the [PLACE OF COLLISION], Cross-Defendant [PLAINTIFF NAME] and ROES 1 through 10, inclusive, were operating the aforedescribed personal watercraft at the [PLACE OF COLLISION] entrance in close proximity to the personal watercraft operated by Cross-Complainant [DEFENDANT NAME].
- 7. That at said time and place, the Cross-Defendant and each of them, negligently, carelessly and unlawfully piloted, managed, maintained, drove, operated and manufactured said personal watercraft so that the same was caused to and did then and there collide with the personal watercraft operated by Cross-Complainant [DEFENDANT NAME].
- 8. That as a further direct and legal cause of the negligent, careless and unlawful conduct of the Cross-Defendants, and each of them, as aforesaid, Cross-Complainant's personal watercraft sustained certain property damages which are presently not fully ascertainable. Cross-Complainant will seek leave of court to amend this Cross-Complaint to show the true amount of said damages when the same have been fully ascertained.

WHEREFORE, Cross-Complaint prays for judgment against the Cross-Defendants, and each of them, as follows:

- 1. For property damage to the personal watercraft in an amount not presently ascertainable.
- * See 2 Benedict on Admiralty § 10 (Matthew Bender 7th ed.); 3 Benedict on Admiralty §§ 21 and 32 (Matthew Bender 7th ed.).



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms CHAPTER I COMPLAINTS COLLISION *

4-I Benedict on Admiralty through FORM No. 1-217

RESERVED

through FORM No. 1-217RESERVED



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER I COMPLAINTS
CONTAINERS

4-I Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 1-218

FORM No. 1-218 Complaint--Breach of Equipment Lease Agreements

[Caption] n1			
Plaintiff,	, by its attorneys,	for its verified complaint against t	the defendants, alleges:
and Rule D of the Supple Court's admiralty and ma	emental Rules of Certain Ad	ne meaning of <i>Rule 9(h) of the Fed</i> Imiralty and Maritime Claims, and to 28 <i>U.S.C.</i> § 1333. Plaintiff resin.	d is also a claim within this
2. Plaintifflocated at		corporation with its	s principal place of business
containers for "intermod		ortation equipment on a per diem over ocean-going trade routes and overland.	_
	and is e	, is a, is a	
("Leases") certain shippi equipment is identified b	ng containers, chassis, generally the serial number which a	om plaintiff pursuant to various equator sets and tractors ("marine equappears in the first column of the contract pursuant to which each iter	uipment"). Each item of computer printout annexed hereto

	is sel forth in the second collimn of that co	ambilier brinioul annexed nerelo as exhibit	
[omitted].	_ is set form in the second column of that co	Amputer printout unitexed hereto us Exhibit	
5. Each of the Leases b marine equipment is a	<u>*</u>	for the use of the aforementioned	i
dated that Agreement, defend certain payments on ac	, 20, a copy of which is attach lant affirmed its ob- count of outstanding arrearages and to provi	o a Memorandum of Agreement ("Agreement ned hereto and incorporated herein as Exhibit digations under the Leases and agreed to make additional security to plaintiff. The Agreem ffect, except as modified by the Agreement.	B. By
	s of the Leases and the Agreement,entals and other charges, and on account of	was obligated to make certain pre-existing arrearages.	n
	has failed to make timely pa eriodic payments due under the Agreement.	yment of the rentals and other charges due un	der the
9its obligations under th		ases identified in Exhibit A and is also in defa	ault of
notice to	_'s possession of the marine equipment and . Plaintiff has exercised those rig	y	en prior
11	has failed and refused to return the afor	oresaid items of marine equipment to plaintiff	·
litigation aboard		within this district during the pendency of thi and or at	.S
Wherefore, plaintiff pr	ays:		
and maritime jurisdiction of the Federal Rules of	on and pursuant to Rule D of the Supplemen	ctice of this Honorable court in causes of adm tal Rules for Certain Admiralty and Maritime s of marine equipment identified by serial num A which may be found within this district;	Claims
appear before this Hone		interest in the aforesaid equipment may be ci n of the items of equipment should not be del	
3. That the Court order	, to turn over to pla	uintiff the aforesaid items of equipment; and	
4. That plaintiff may ha	eve such other and further relief as is just and	l proper.	

Attorney for Plaintiff

FOOTNOTES:

(n1)Footnote 1. See Form No. 1-1 supra.



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER I COMPLAINTS
CONTAINERS

4-I Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 1-228

RESERVED

FORM No. 1-228RESERVED



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER I COMPLAINTS
CONTRACTS

4-I Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 1-229

FORM No. 1-229 Complaint--Nonpayment for Metallurgical Testing Services

[Caption] n1			
Plaintiff,alleges on information		ys for its complaint aga	ainst the defendant,,
_	titutes an Admiralty or Mar within the subject matter juri		e meaning of Rule 9(h) of the Federal Rules of
	, was and sti te with an office and place		nized and existing under and by virtue of the
			n the business of metallurgical consultation. As omponents of ocean vessels.
	the laws of one of the state		other business entity organized and existing with an office and principal place of business at
			, employees of plaintiff performed a survey
			el while the vessel was
	This surv		
	Plaintiff prepared a wri	tten report of the resul	ts of the survey dated,
20,and forward	ed the report to defendant.		

6. On	, 20	, plaintiff invoiced defendant,	, for \$
	representing	the cost of the survey performed on board the	ne vessel
described in paragraph 5	above. Despit	e repeated follow-up phone calls and letters	requesting payment, to date plaintiff
has not been paid for the	survey perfor	med on board the vessel at the request of de	fendant,
Corp.			
5 4 4		1	
/. At the request of defer	ndant,	, plaintiff performed a che	mical analysis of two weld pad
		Plaintiff prepared a writte	en report of the results of its analysis
dated	, 20	and forwarded the report to defendant.	
8. On	. 20	, plaintiff invoiced defendant,	, for \$
		the cost of the chemical analysis described	
		ers requesting payment, to date plaintiff has	
		fendant, Corp.	r
, 1	1	1	
9. At the request of defer	ndant,	, plaintiff performed labor	atory tests of drillings taken from a
seal ring from the vessel		Plaintiff prepared a written rep	ort of the results of its laboratory
analysis dated		_, 20, and forwarded the report to de	fendant.
		, plaintiff invoiced defendant,	
_	•	test described in paragraph 9 above. Despite	
	_	ntiff has not been paid for the laboratory tes	sts performed at the request of
defendant,	·		
11 On	and	, 20, employe	as of plaintiff performed a fiberantic
evamination of the waste	anu heat boiler co	oils on board the vessel, employe	es of plantin performed a noeropuc
		request of defendant,	
This examination was pe	mornica at the	request of defendant,	·•
12. On	, 20	plaintiff invoiced defendant,	, for \$
		the cost of the fiberoptic examination performance	
		in paragraph 11 above. Despite repeated fol	
		as not been paid for the fiberoptic examinati	
the request of defendant,	·	·	
		ribed outstanding invoices is \$	
		a total of \$ in con	nection with those invoices, leaving a
balance due and owing o	f \$	·	
14 D	:1-:4:C	Sharanatainad danasa in the amanut of the	
no part of which has been		has sustained damages in the amount of \$	plus interest
no part of which has bee.	n paid aimoug	n dury demanded.	
[Prayer for Process, Dev	nand for Judos	ment and Verification] n2	
L. ajor jor 1 rocess, Der		a.a. , or greation; 112	
FOOTNOTES:			
(n1)Footnote 1. See Fort	m No. 1-1 <i>sup</i>	ra.	

(n2)Footnote 2. See Form Nos. 1-3, 1-6, and 1-10 through 1-13 supra.



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER I COMPLAINTS
CONTRACTS

4-I Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 1-230

FORM No. 1-230 Complaint--Nonpayment Under Data Processing Services Contract

[Caption and Jurisdictional Statement] n1

AS AND FOR A FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION: BREACH OF CONTRACT

DILLITETI OF CO.				
	eats and realleges each		on set forth in paragraphs	through
entered into an agreplaintiff agreed to seed defendant,	eement ("the data processell and defendant agree's carg	ssing services cor d to purchase cer o loading and car	, for value of tract") with defendant, tain data processing services who discharging operations in the data processing services contact the data p	, whereby hich are essential to e vessel services that it
	_		, provided defendant,, accepted without protest or o	
provided by the dat the below-describe Invoice No.	ta processing-services of d invoices in the amoun Invoice Date	ontract although of t of \$ Amount		

8. Defendant	has failed and refused to make payment of the sur	ns due and owing, although
	demanded by plaintiff,	<i>C, C</i>
9. Plaintiff,processing services cont	, has performed all its obligations and satisfied any stract.	and all conditions of the data
	ant,'s breach of the contract, plaintiff, _ nount of \$, plus interest and costs.	, has
AS AND A SECO ACCOUNT STATED	ND CAUSE OF ACTION:	
	, repeats and realleges each and every allegation se, above, as if fully set forth he	
between defendant, owing to plaintiff,	ne services pursuant to the contract was made, a full, just and true, and plaintiff,, wh; which account was delivered to, received ar, and retained by said defendant without objection being made to	nich showed a balance due and accepted by defendant,
	, has failed and refused to pay the sum of \$ I although payment has been duly demanded by plaintiff,	
	regoing, an account was stated between plaintiff, which showed a balance due and owing by said defendant to sa	
	ntiff,, in the amount of \$	
	, respectfully prays that this honorable Co , jointly or severally, as follows:	urt will give the following
	of action, payable to plaintiff,, in the ar(\$), plus interest and costs, for breach	
contract.		
2. Under the second cau	ise of action, payable to plaintiff,, in the, in the, second costs, for failure to satisfy the account.	
	, prays that this honorable court grant judg , for the disbursements of this action and such other and further	
this Court seem just and Dated:	<u> </u>	
Attorney for Plaintiff		
FOOTNOTES:		

(n1)Footnote 1. See Form No. 1-1 supra.



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER I COMPLAINTS
CONTRACTS

4-I Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 1-231

FORM No. 1-231 Complaint--Maritime Lien--River Gambling Boats and Cityn1

[Caption]			
COMPLAINT			
Now comes the Plaintif	f,[<i>city</i>], by its	attorney,	, for its verified
Complaint in Admiralty	states as follows:		
COUNT I MARIT	TIME CONTRACT		
Plaintiff,	, for its Complaint in admiral	ty, against the Defend	ant,
	upon 28 U.S.C. § 1333 and 46 U.S.C. § aim is within the admiralty and maritime		-
2. City is a municipal conavigable waterway.	orporation located in	on the	River, a
3business at	[vessel owner] is an	corporation	with its principal place of
4. The vessel,	, Official Number	, is	s a vessel of the United States with
its home port at	Its owner is	and i	t is now within the navigable
waters of this district ar	nd subject to the jurisdiction of this Cour	t under Supplemental	Rule B of the Admiralty
jurisdiction of this Cour	t.		

					" [the Lease], as
					day of
		A copy of the cor	ntract is attached as E	XIIIDIU A . II.2	
6. Also	a party to the Le	ease was	. an		not-for-profit corporation, which
					consists of three (3)
	•				ed, under a regional concept, to
					Gaming Commission.
	_	-		-	n [vessel
					which is attached as Exhibit "B".
Exhibi	t "B" designates (City as a third party	beneficiary.		
7. Purs	suant to the Licen	se and Operations A	agreement and the Le	ase Agreement,	[vessel
					n excursion/gambling riverboat out
of a do	ock known as the		located in City'	s	·
8. The		is the vesse	el contemplated by the	e License and Oper	rations Agreement and the Lease.
9. The		[<i>dock</i>] was	constructed for the v	essel	and since its completion
has be	en used exclusive	ly by the	City's	s Lease Agreement	runs for a term of
		_ years starting		, (page of E	xhibit "A") and calls for an annual
					ee starting,
	ntinuing each		thereafter during t	he term of the Leas	e Agreement (page of Exhibit
"A").					
10. In	the Lease, the cor	nsideration flowing	to	was the erec	etion, by City, of a docking facility
					rate its business of riverboat
			y was		
11. In	the Lease the con	sideration flowing to	o City was:		
				Thousand Dollar	rs paid annually on
			one-half of one perce	nt of the adjusted g	gross gambling receipts
	payable to City,				
	3) a gate tax [sta	ututory] equaling		_ Cents for each pe	erson boarding off of City's
	dock; and				
	4) discretionary	incomea fee charg	ed by	based or	n the number of passengers
	boarding the rive	erboat per year. City	receives	perc	ent of the total fee charged
	by			-	•
12. On	l		[vesse	el owner] announce	ed its intention to move the
		_ [vessel] to	·	- 	[vessel owner] had given City
					of the Lease.
13.		's announceme	nt on	was an a	nticipatory breach of the Lease.

14	's anticipatory breac	ch of the contract was a declaration of the	eir intent to remove the
·	from	and perform no further under the	e Lease. That intent was
subsequently expres	ssed in a letter from	to City marked Exhibit	"C".
15	's anticipatory breac	ch was a breach of each and every subseq	ment year of the term of the
		and every subsequent year of the contract	
16. At the time	entered	into the License and Operations Contrac	ct with
		gnated a third party beneficiary), all part	
provided for payme	nt of discretionary income de	erived from's ri	verboat gambling business to
		sement to City and that a loss of discretion	onary income would occur to
City if	ceased operation	ns.	
17. The City's loss of	of revenue from the gate tax a	and receipts tax was a loss that was in co	ntemplation of both parties at
the time the Lease v	vas executedand foreseeable	e as a probable damage to City resulting	from
ceasing operations.			
18	ceased doing busing	ess at the on	
	•		
		the future loss of wharfage revenue and	consequential damages for the
future loss of the ga	te tax, and receipt tax.		
20 As a third party	beneficiary to the License an	nd Operations Contract, City is entitled to	o compensatory damages for
loss of future discre		id Operations Contract, City is chilica to	reompensatory damages for
	·		
21. City's claim for	compensatory and consequer	ntial damages flow from	's breach of a
maritime contract.			
22 City's loss of fut	ure revenue totals	Dollars as shown by Exh	aihit "D" (Exhihit "D" is a
calculation of estima	ated annual revenues from C	ity's four sources of income, (gate tax, re	eceipts tax. discretionary
		Lease) based on the actual ridership and	
		partial season of	
		"D-2" explains the conservative method	
22 City alaims com	managetary and consequential	damages (loss of wharfage and loss of re	avanua) tatalina
		it of foreign attachment of the vessel,	
	B of the Supplemental Rules		, under
Wilson Comp. Cit.			
Wherefore, City pra	ays:		
A. That process in d	lue form of law according to	the practice of this Court in causes of ad	miralty and maritime
jurisdiction, issue ag	gainst the Defendant,	, and a writ of foreign	attachment against the vessel
and that all persons	claiming an interest in the ve	essel be cited to appear and answer the co	omplaint;
B. That the Lease be	e declared to be a maritime co	ontract;	
C. That this Court e	nter judgment against the De	efendant,, in per	rsonam, in an amount to satisfy
Plaintiff's claim for	damages of	Dollars resulting from breach o	f its maritime contract, plus
interest costs exper	nses and reasonable attorneys	s' fees	

D. That the Plaintiff may have such other and further relief as may be deemed just by this Court.
Respectfully submitted,
By:

FOOTNOTES:

(n1)Footnote 1. Form adapted from papers used in The City of Fort Madison, Iowa v. Steamboat Southeast, Inc., 3-92-CV-70100 (E.D. Mo. 1993), courtesy of Warren J. Marwodel of Keck, Mahin & Cate.

(n2)Footnote 2. No exhibits are represented.



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER I COMPLAINTS
CONTRACTS

4-I Benedict on Admiralty Form 1-232

Form 1-232 Complaint -- Breach of Contract -- Contract of Carriage

COMES NOW [PLAINTIFF NAME], (hereinafter referred to as "Plaintiff") and for its complaint against Defendant [DEFENDANT NAME] (hereinafter referred to as "Defendant "A""), [DEFENDANT NAME] (hereinafter referred to as "Defendants") and DOES One through Fifty alleges as follows:

GENERAL ALLEGATIONS

- 1. Plaintiff [PLAINTIFF NAME] is now, and at all material times was, a corporation duly organized under the laws of the State of [STATE], with an office in [PLACE OF BUSINESS]. [PLAINTIFF NAME] is, among other things, engaged in the provision of international freight forwarding services.
- 2. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and on such information alleges, that Defendant [DEFENDANT NAME] is, and at all material times was, a corporation doing business in the [PLACE OF BUSINESS]
- 3. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and on such information alleges, that Defendant [DEFENDANT NAME] is, and at all material times was, a corporation doing business in the [PLACE OF BUSINESS]. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and on such information alleges, that Defendant [DEFENDANT NAME] is, and at all material times was, an alter ego and or successor in interest to Defendant [DEFENDANT NAME].
- 4. The true names and capacities, whether individual, corporate, associate or otherwise, of Defendants Does One through Fifty, inclusive, are unknown to Plaintiff who, therefore, sues said Defendants by such fictitious names and will ask leave of Court to show their true names and capacities when the same have been ascertained. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and on such information and belief alleges, that each of said Defendants was negligent or in some other objectionable manner legally responsible for proximately causing the events, happenings, and occurrences referred to

herein.

- 5. Plaintiff has insufficient information to allege the place of business or residence of Defendants Does One through Fifty. Plaintiff requests leave of Court to amend this complaint when the true names and place of business or residence of Defendants One through Fifty is determined.
- 6. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and on such information and belief alleges, that Defendants Does One through Fifty, and each of them, at all relevant times, were individuals or corporations residing in or doing business in the [PLACE].
- 7. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and on such information alleges, that Defendants, and each of them, were the agents and or employees, joint venturers, partners, or in some manner agents and or principles for each other and acting with the course and scope of said agency and or employment.

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

- 8. On or about [DATE], an ocean shipment of cargo consisting of [TYPE OF CARGO] was loaded aboard the M/V [VESSEL NAME] at [PORT OF LOADING] pursuant to a bill of lading [BILL OF LADING NUMBER] issued by [CARRIER NAME] as carrier. Said bill of lading provided for discharge of the cargo at the [PORT OF DISCHARGE]. A true and correct copy of the bill of lading is attached hereto as Exhibit "A".
- 9. On or about [DATE], an ocean shipment of cargo consisting of [TYPE OF CARGO] was loaded aboard the M/V [VESSEL NAME] at [PORT OF LOADING] pursuant to a bill of lading [BILL OF LADING NUMBER] issued by [CARRIER NAME] as carrier. Said bill of lading provided for discharge of the cargo at the [PORT OF DISCHARGE]. A true and correct copy of the bill of lading is attached as Exhibit "B".
- 10. On or about [DATE], an ocean shipment of cargo consisting of [TYPE OF CARGO] was loaded aboard the M/V [VESSEL NAME] at [PORT OF LOADING] pursuant to a bill of lading [BILL OF LADING NUMBER] issued by [CARRIER NAME] as carrier. Said bill of lading provided for discharge of the cargo at the [PORT OF DISCHARGE]. A true and correct copy of the bill of lading is attached as Exhibit "C".
- 11. On or about [DATE], an ocean shipment of cargo consisting of [TYPE OF CARGO] was loaded aboard the M/V [VESSEL NAME] at [PORT OF LOADING] pursuant to a bill of lading [BILL OF LADING NUMBER] issued by [CARRIER NAME] as carrier. Said bill of lading provided for discharge of the cargo at the [PORT OF DISCHARGE]. A true and correct copy of the bill of lading is attached as Exhibit "D".
- 12. On or about [DATE], an ocean shipment of cargo consisting of [TYPE OF CARGO] was loaded aboard the M/V [VESSEL NAME] at [PORT OF LOADING] pursuant to a bill of lading [BILL OF LADING NUMBER] issued by [CARRIER NAME] as carrier. Said bill of lading provided for discharge of the cargo at the [PORT OF DISCHARGE]. A true and correct copy of the bill of lading is attached as Exhibit "E".
- 13. Said cartons of cargo were carried to and discharged at the [PORT OF DISCHARGE] without incident. Thereafter, Defendant [DEFENDANT NAME], as Consignee, issued to Plaintiff LETTER[S] OF INDEMNITY FOR DELIEVRY OF CARGO WITHOUT SURRENDER OF BILLS OF LADINGS (hereinafter referred to as the "Letters of Indemnity") to induce Plaintiff to release said cargo without production or surrender of the bill(s) of lading. The Letters of Indemnity expressly provided that "to induce [Plaintiff] to so deliver the goods without the prior production and surrender of the original of such negotiable bill or bills of lading, properly endorsed ... we warrant to pay to you on demand all freights, general average and other charges which may be due and chargeable in respect of said shipments; and to defend, indemnify and hold you, the vessel, her owners, charters, operators, masters, and agents, harmless from

all demands, claims, liabilities and actions." Attached hereto are true and correct copies of the Letters of Indemnity as Exhibit "F".

- 14. As a result of the warranties and guarantees made by Defendants in providing the Letters of Indemnity, Plaintiff released the cartons of cargo to Defendants without production of the original bills of lading.
- 15. A claim for money damages in the amount of [AMOUNT] was made against Plaintiff by the agent for the [SHIPPER] of said cargo, [SHIPPER NAME] (hereinafter referred to as "[SHIPPER]") on the basis that Defendants had not paid [SHIPPER] for the [TYPE OF CARGO] contained within said cartons of cargo. Plaintiff provided notice of the [SHIPPER]'s claim and tendered defense of said claim to Defendants and Defendants failed at all material times herein has refused to accept Plaintiff's tender and has refused to indemnify Plaintiff.
- 16. Plaintiff hereby demands that Defendants [DEFENDANT NAME], [DEFENDANT NAME], and Does One through Fifty, and each of them, indemnify Plaintiff for the claim made by the [SHIPPER]; for all costs and expenses incurred by Plaintiff associated with the claim; and for all costs and attorney's fees incurred by Plaintiff in this action.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

(Against All Defendants for Breach of Contract and Indemnity)

- 17. Plaintiff refers to and incorporates by reference as if set forth herein at length its allegations in Paragraphs 1 through 16 above.
- 18. Plaintiff and Defendants were at all relevant times parties to a contract or contracts, pursuant to which Defendants agreed, for an agreed consideration, to release said cargo based on the Letters of Indemnity provided by Defendants.
- 19. Plaintiff performed all relevant covenants and conditions under said contract or contracts.
- 20. Plaintiff's potential liability to [SHIPPER], and the damages complained of by [SHIPPER], were the direct, proximate, and sole result of the breach by Defendants, and each of them, of their agreements and warranties, express or implied, under the aforesaid contract(s).
- 21. By reason of the foregoing, Defendants are obligated to indemnify Plaintiff in the amount of [AMOUNT], which amount Plaintiff is obligated to [SHIPPER]; such costs and expenses incurred by Plaintiff or its agents in defending such claim; and such costs, expenses, and attorney's fees that are incurred by Plaintiff in this action.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

(Express Indemnity Pursuant to California Civil Code § 2772 et seq.)

- 22. Plaintiff refers to and incorporates by reference as if set forth herein at length its allegations in Paragraphs 1 through 21 above.
- 23. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereupon alleges, that on or prior to [DATE] it entered into a written agreement with Defendant [DEFENDANT NAME] and Does One through Fifty, whereby Defendants agreed to defend, hold harmless, and indemnify Plaintiff, its employees, its agents, and its direct or indirect subcontractors from all demands, claims, liabilities, and actions related to the release of the cargo to Defendants without surrender of the bill(s) of lading.
- 24. Plaintiff has performed all relevant covenants and conditions under said contract(s).
- 25. Plaintiff alleges that on or prior to [DATE], Defendants and Does One through Fifty took possession of said cargo

pursuant to the Letters of Indemnity. Having taken possession of said cargo, Defendants became obligated under the Letters of Indemnity to defend, hold harmless and indemnify Plaintiff, its employees, its agents and its direct or indirect subcontractors from any demands, claims, liabilities and actions related to the release of the cargo without surrender of the bill(s) of lading.

- 26. The claim made by [SHIPPER] has caused, and will continue to cause, Plaintiff damages, including, but not limited to, [AMOUNT], for claims made by [SHIPPER]; and the attorney's fees, expenses and costs incurred by Plaintiff in this action for indemnity. Plaintiff is not fully advised of the nature and extent of the unspecified items of damages and therefore begs leave to amend this complaint when more fully advised and to offer proof accordingly.
- 27. Plaintiff alleges that it is entitled to indemnity from Defendants and Does One through Fifty in the full amount of [AMOUNT] for claims made by [SHIPPER]; the costs and expenses incurred by Plaintiff or its agents in defending said claim; and the attorney's fees, expenses and costs incurred by Plaintiff in this action for indemnity.

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

(Fraud Against All Defendants)

- 28. Plaintiff refers to and incorporates by reference as if set forth herein at length its allegations in Paragraphs 1 through 27 above.
- 29. Plaintiff alleges that on or prior to [DATE], Defendants, and each of them, intentionally failed to inform Plaintiff that it had not paid [SHIPPER] for the goods subject to the bill(s) of lading and thus were not lawfully entitled to possession of the cargo. Defendants represented and warranted to Plaintiff that Defendants were "entitled to the possession of the goods and to the delivery thereof." In reliance on Defendants' representations and the execution of the Letters of Indemnity Plaintiff released said cargo.
- 30. Defendants, and each of them, represented and warranted that the failure to produce the bill(s) of lading was "due to the non-arrival or loss thereof." Defendants made these representations and warranties to "induce [Plaintiff] to deliver and release the goods without the prior production and surrender of the original of such negotiable bill or bills of lading. In fact, Defendants' failure to produce the bill(s) of lading was that Defendants had failed to pay [SHIPPER] for said goods.
- 31. Defendants' intentional misrepresentations and omissions proximately and legally caused injury to Plaintiff in an amount not less than [AMOUNT], the exact amount to be established according to proof.
- 32. Defendants' intentional misrepresentations and omissions were done maliciously and with reckless disregard for the rights of Plaintiff, warranting punitive damages.

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(Declaratory Relief Against All Defendants)

- 33. Plaintiff refers to and incorporates by reference as if set forth herein at length its allegations in Paragraphs 1 through 32 above.
- 34. There is an actual controversy between Plaintiff and Defendants relating to the legal rights and duties arising from the act alleged in this Complaint. Plaintiff contends that it is entitled to [AMOUNT] for claims made by [SHIPPER]; the costs and expenses incurred by Plaintiff or its agents in defending said claim; and such costs, expenses, and attorney's fees incurred by it in this action. The exact nature of the contentions of Defendants is unknown to Plaintiff, but it is informed and believes, and thereupon alleges, that Defendants deny and dispute in some manner the contentions of Plaintiff.

35. Plaintiff seeks a declaration of the rights and liabilities of Plaintiff and Defendants.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for judgment in its favor as follows:

- 1. That the Court declare the rights, duties and obligations of the parties herein;
- 2. That the Court order judgment in favor of Plaintiff [PLAINTIFF NAME] against Defendants [DEFENDANT NAME], [DEFENDANT NAME] and Does One through Fifty, and each of them, in the amount of [AMOUNT] for claims made by [SHIPPER] and all reasonable costs and expenses incurred in connection with the defense and settlement of said claim;
- 3. That the Court order judgment in favor of Plaintiff [PLAINTIFF NAME] against Defendants [DEFENDANT NAME], [DEFENDANT NAME] and Does One through Fifty, and each of them, for all reasonable costs, expenses and attorney's fees incurred by Eastern Shipping in connection with this action;
- 4. For costs of suit herein;
- 5. For interest on all of the above; and
- 6. For such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER I COMPLAINTS
CONTRACTS

4-I Benedict on Admiralty Form 1-233

Form 1-233 Breach of Contract -- Nonpayment for Marine Engines

COMES NOW [PLAINTIFF NAME] (hereinafter referred to as "Plaintiff") and for its complaint against Defendants [DEFENDANT NAME], *in personam*, [DEFENDANT NAME], *in personam*, (hereinafter referred to as "Defendants") and the M/v [VESSEL NAME], *in rem*, and the M/Y NO. 251, *in rem*, (hereinafter referred to as the "Defendant Vessels") alleges as follows:

GENERAL ALLEGATIONS

- 1. This is a case of admiralty jurisdiction as hereinafter more fully appears, and is an admiralty and marine claim within the meaning of *Rule 9(h)* of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. This Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 46 U.S.C. § 31341, 28 U.S.C. § 1331, and 28 U.S.C. § 1333.
- 2. Plaintiff BOATSWAIN'S LOCKER, INC. is a California corporation, with an office in Costa Mesa, California. Plaintiff is, and at all material times was, engaged in furnishing marine engines and appurtenances to vessels of various kinds and descriptions.
- 3. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and on such information and belief alleges, that Defendant GENESIS CUSTOM SKIERS, INC. is, and at all material times was, a corporation doing business in the County of Riverside, California.
- 4. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and on such information and belief alleges, that Defendant GENESIS MARINE, INC. is, and at all material times was, an alter ego and or successor in interest to Defendant GENESIS CUSTOM SKIERS, INC.
- 5. M/Y NO. 217 is a fiberglass motor yacht with a 21-foot LOA and powered with a Mercury MerCruiser inboard marine engine. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and on such information and belief alleges, that the vessel is located within the jurisdiction of this Court.

- 6. M/Y NO. 251 is a fiberglass motor yacht with a 25-foot LOA and powered with a Mercury MerCruiser inboard marine engine. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and on such information and belief alleges, that the vessel is located within the jurisdiction of this Court.
- 7. On or about August 19, 2003, Defendant GENESIS CUSTOM SKIERS, INC. executed an Account Agreement (hereinafter referred to as the "Agreement") under which Defendant would purchase marine engines and supplies with payment due on the tenth day of the month following receipt of the goods. The Agreement also provided notice to Defendant that all parts and services furnished to vessels were secured by maritime liens for necessaries and state liens arising under *Cal.Harb. & Nav. Code § 491*. A true and correct copy of the Agreement is attached hereto as Exhibit "A".
- 8. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and on such information and belief alleges, that on or about August 14, 2003, Defendant GENESIS CUSTOM SKIERS, INC., purchased from Plaintiff a Magnum inboard gasoline powered marine engine and various attendant appurtenances thereto for use on board a marine vessel in the amount of Eleven Thousand and Seven Hundred and Twenty Dollars and Seventy Five Cents. (\$11,720.75). A true and correct copy of Invoice No. 1090733 is attached hereto as Exhibit "B". The installation of the marine engine on board the vessel was necessary for her maintenance in seaworthy condition and contributed to her ability to navigate upon the waters of the United States.
- 9. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and on such information and belief alleges, that on or about August 14, 2003, Defendant GENESIS CUSTOM SKIERS, INC., purchased from Plaintiff a Magnum inboard gasoline powered engine and various attendant appurtenances thereto for use on a marine vessel in the amount of Thirteen Thousand Seven Hundred and Seventy One Dollars and Eleven Cents (\$13,771.11). A true and correct copy of Invoice No. 1090739 is attached hereto as Exhibit "C". The installation of the marine engine on board the vessel was necessary for her maintenance in seaworthy condition and contributed to her ability to navigate upon the waters of the United States of America.
- 10. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and on such information and belief alleges, that at all material times herein, the marine engines and appurtenances attendant thereto were necessaries furnished to a vessel within the meaning of 46 U.S.C. § 31341 ("Commercial Instruments and Maritime Liens Act").
- 11. Following receipt of the marine engines and appurtenances furnished to the Defendant Vessels, GENESIS CUSTOM SKIERS, INC. failed to make timely payment for the goods supplied and several financial drafts that were received were returned for want of sufficient funds.
- 12. On or about February 26, 2004, Defendant GENESIS CUSTOM SKIERS, INC. granted to Plaintiff a security interest in the Defendant Vessels as security for the prior marine engine purchases. A true and correct copy of the Security Agreement is attached hereto as Exhibit "D".
- 13. On or about February 26, 2004, Defendant GENESIS CUSTOM SKIERS, INC. recorded liens on behalf of Plaintiff that were recorded on the Manufacturer's Statement of Origin to a Vessel Sold in the State of California (hereinafter referred to as the "Manufacturer's Statements") for the Defendant Vessels. Attached hereto are the Manufacturer's Statements for the Defendant Vessels as Exhibit "E".
- 14. On or about July 22, 2004, Plaintiff tendered written demand for payment of the outstanding balance of \$15,996.68 and provided notice to Defendants that it would commence an action to perfect its maritime liens.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION (Against All Defendants for Breach of Contract)

- 15. Plaintiff refers to and incorporates by reference as if set forth herein at length its allegations in Paragraphs 1 through 14 above.
- 16. Plaintiff and Defendants were at all relevant times parties to a contract or contracts, pursuant to which Defendants agreed, for good and valid consideration, to purchase marine engines and attendant appurtenances for cash payment.
- 17. Plaintiff performed all relevant covenants and conditions under said contract or contracts.
- 18. By reason of Defendants' breach of the Agreement, Defendants are indebted to Plaintiff in the amount of \$15,996.68 plus interest commencing on September 15, 2004, plus costs of arrests and attachment, reasonable attorney's fees, costs of suit, and such other sums as the court may award.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

(Against All Defendants for Open Book Account)

- 19. Plaintiff refers to and incorporates by reference as if set forth herein at length its allegations in Paragraphs 1 through 18 above.
- 20. Pursuant to the Agreement herein alleged between Plaintiff and Defendants, an open book account was kept regarding the monies owed, which illustrated the debits and credits in connection therewith, and against whom and in the favor of whom the entries were made. Such book account was entered in the regular course of business and was kept in a reasonable and permanent form.
- 21. From August 14, 2003 to September 13, 2004, Defendants became indebted to Plaintiff on an open book account for money due in an amount not less than \$15,996.68.
- 22. Neither the whole nor any part of the above sum has been paid, although a demand therefore has been made, and there is now due, owing, and unpaid a sum not less than \$15,996.68, with interest thereon at the prescribed rate.

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

(Action *in personam* Against All Defendants For Necessaries Furnished Pursuant to 46 U.S.C. § 31341)

- 23. Plaintiff refers to and incorporates by reference as if set forth herein at length its allegations in Paragraphs 1 through 22 above.
- 24. On or about August 14, 2003, Plaintiff, at the order and request of Mr. Francisco Casillas, President, GENESIS CUSTOM SKIERS, INC., furnished and supplied to Defendant Vessels, said vessels received and accepted on board, certain marine engines and attendant appurtenances, which were of the reasonable and agreed value of \$25,491.86. In furnishing and supplying said marine engines and attendant appurtenances, Plaintiff relied on the credit of the Defendant Vessels.
- 25. Although Plaintiff has made demand upon the said Vessels and upon the *in personam* Defendants, for the payment of the outstanding sum of \$15,996.68, payment has been refused. The furnishing, supplying and delivery of the marine engines and attendant appurtenances, as aforesaid constitutes a maritime lien upon said Defendant Vessels in the amount herein mentioned.

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(Action *in rem* Against Defendant Vessels For Necessaries Furnished Pursuant to 46 U.S.C. § 31341)

- 25. Plaintiff refers to and incorporates by reference as if set forth herein at length its allegations in Paragraphs 1 through 24 above.
- 26. On or about August 14, 2003, Plaintiff, at the order and request of GENESIS CUSTOM SKIERS, INC., furnished and supplied to Defendant Vessels, said vessels received and accepted on board, certain marine engines and attendant appurtenances, which were of the agreed value of \$25,491.86.
- 27. In furnishing and supplying said marine engines and attendant appurtenances, Plaintiff relied on the credit of the Defendant Vessels.
- 28. Although Plaintiff has made demand upon the said Vessels and upon the *in personam* Defendants, for the payment of the outstanding sum of \$15,996.68, payment has been refused. The furnishing, supplying and delivery of the marine engines and attendant appurtenances, as aforesaid constitutes a maritime lien upon said Defendant Vessels in the amount herein mentioned.

FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(Action in personam Against All Defendants For Fraud)

- 29. Plaintiff refers to and incorporates by reference as if set forth herein at length its allegations in Paragraphs 1 through 28 above.
- 30. On or about February 26, 2004, Defendant GENESIS CUSTOM SKIERS, INC. recorded liens on behalf of Plaintiff that were recorded on the Manufacturer's Statements for the Defendant Vessels. The statements warranted that title to the Defendant Vessels was incapable of transfer prior to satisfaction of Plaintiff's liens. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and on such information and belief alleges, that title to the Defendant Vessels was transferred to parties unknown without disclosure of Plaintiff's liens and in violation of applicable state law requirements regarding disclosure of assignments and liens on manufacturer's statements.
- 31. Defendants' intentional misrepresentations and omissions proximately and legally caused injury to Plaintiff in an amount not less than \$15,996.68, the exact amount to be established according to proof.
- 32. Defendants' intentional misrepresentations and omissions were done maliciously and with reckless disregard for the rights of Plaintiff, warranting punitive damages.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for judgment in its favor as follows:

- A. On the First Cause of Action Against All Defendants;
- 1. That the Court order judgment in favor of Plaintiff, against Defendants GENESIS CUSTOMS SKIERS, INC. and GENESIS MARINE, INC., and each of them, in the amount of \$15,996.68, plus costs of arrests and sale, reasonable costs, expenses and attorney's fees;
- 2. For costs of suit herein;
- 3. For interest on all of the above; and
- 4. For such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.
- B. On the Second Cause of Action Against All Defendants;

- 1. That the Court order judgment in favor of Plaintiff, against Defendants GENESIS CUSTOMS SKIERS, INC. and GENESIS MARINE, INC., and each of them, in the amount of \$15,996.68, plus costs of arrests and sale, reasonable costs, expenses and attorney's fees;
- 2. For costs of suit herein;
- 3. For interest on all of the above; and
- 4. For such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.
- C. On the Third and Fourth Causes of Action in rem and in personam;
- 1. That process *in rem* and warrant of arrest be issued in due form of law in accordance with the practice of this Honorable Court in cases of admiralty and maritime jurisdiction against the Vessel M/Y NO. 217, her engines, masts, anchors, cables, chains, rigging, tackle, apparel, furniture, and all necessaries thereto appertaining, with notice to all persons claiming an interest therein to appear in answer to this Verified Complaint.
- 2. That process *in rem* and warrant of arrest be issued in due form of law in accordance with the practice of this Honorable Court in cases of admiralty and maritime jurisdiction against the Vessel M/Y NO. 251, her engines, masts, anchors, cables, chains, rigging, tackle, apparel, furniture, and all necessaries thereto appertaining, with notice to all persons claiming an interest therein to appear in answer to this Verified Complaint.
- 3. That process *in rem* and warrant of arrest be issued in due form of law in accordance with the practice of this Honorable Court in cases of admiralty and maritime jurisdiction against any vessel maintained or owned by Defendants, their engines, masts, anchors, cables, chains, rigging, tackle, apparel, furniture, and all necessaries thereto appertaining, with notice to all persons claiming an interest therein to appear in answer to this Verified Complaint.
- 4. That the necessaries provided to Defendant Vessels be declared valid and subsisting liens upon the DEFENDANT VESSELS, their engines, masts, anchors, cables, chains, rigging, tackle, apparel, furniture, and all necessaries thereto appertaining, prior and superior to the interests, liens, and claims of all persons whatsoever, except such persons as may hold preferred maritime liens on the DEFENDANT VESSELS.
- 5. That this Honorable Court shall direct and proscribe the manner in which the actual notice of the commencement of this suit shall be given by Plaintiff pursuant to 46 U.S.C. § 31301 et seq.
- 6. That the DEFENDANT VESSELS, their engines, masts, anchors, cables, chains, rigging, tackle, apparel, furniture, and all necessaries thereto appertaining be condemned and sold by order of this Honorable Court, and applied toward the amounts required to be paid by Defendants, including, without limitation, \$15,966.68, plus interest commencing on September 13, 2004, plus costs of retaking and sale, late fees, reasonable attorney's fees, costs of suit and all sums allowed by the Court.
- 7. That any VESSEL owned by Defendants GENESIS CUSTOM SKIERS, INC., and GENESIS MARINE, INC., and maintained in their fleet, their engines, masts, anchors, cables, chains, rigging, tackle, apparel, furniture, and all necessaries thereto appertaining be condemned and sold by order of this Honorable Court, and applied toward the amounts required to be paid by Defendants, including, without limitation, \$15,966.68, plus interest commencing on September 13, 2004, plus costs of retaking and sale, late fees, reasonable attorney's fees, costs of suit and all sums allowed by the Court.
- 8. That judgment be entered in favor of Plaintiff against Defendants GENESIS CUSTOM SKIERS, INC., and

GENESIS MARINE, INC., for the full amount of indebtedness or for any deficiency owed after the sale of the DEFENDANT VESSELS and distribution of the proceeds thereof; and

- 9. That it be decreed that any and all persons claiming an interest in the DEFENDANT VESSELS arising prior to the sale are thereafter barred and foreclosed of and from all right, equity of redemption, and claim of, in, or to the DEFENDANT VESSELS, and every part thereof, by reason of any such interest.
- D. On the Fifth Cause of Action Against All Defendants;
- 1. For punitive damages in an amount to be determined by this Honorable Court.
- 2. For such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER I COMPLAINTS
CONTRACTS

4-I Benedict on Admiralty through FORM No. 1-252

RESERVED

through FORM No. 1-252RESERVED



[Caption, Jurisdictional Statement and

(n2)Footnote 3. See Form No. 1-6 supra.

116 of 141 DOCUMENTS

Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER I COMPLAINTS
CONVERSION 1

4-I Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 1-253

FORM No. 1-253 Complaint In Personam by Assignor--Conversion of Vessel

1 See 2 Benedict on Admiralty § 6 (Matthew Bender 7th ed.).



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER I COMPLAINTS
CONVERSION 1

4-I Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 1-254

FORM No. 1-254 Complaint In Personam--Conversion of Cargon1

[Caption, Jurisdictional Statement and Allegations Concerning Parties] n2

4. Upon information and belief, on or about the		day of	, 20	
	, and at all times thereafter until the			
20 defenda	nt,, was the owner of a	and entitled to immediate possess	sion of a certain lot of	
	with weight of			
5. Upon informati	on and belief, on or about the	day of	, 20,	
	, delivered the lot of			
for shipment on th				
6. Upon informati	on and belief, on or about the	day of	, 20, the	
Receiving Mate of	f the ship, acting as the	appointed agent of defendants		
	,,,		, executed	
	efendant a written receipt and contract (hereinaf			
Receiving Mate ac	cknowledged receipt from defendant,	of the lot of		
for shipment on th	e vessel and it was agreed that "Ocean Bill of La	ading to be Released Only Again	nst Surrender of This	
Mate's Receipt Pro	operly Endorsed."			
7. Upon informati	on and belief, although the Mate's Receipt had n	ot been surrendered, and withou	t the knowledge or	
consent of defenda	ant,, or of the plaintiff,	defendant	, acting as	
	defendants,,			

	, County of				on or
about the	day of	, 20 _	, covering	g the lot of	
8	as well as other	then 1	ocated on the v	vessel	
The bill of lading listed the	e Con	npany,		, Street,	
,	as the shipper of the		and the bill of	lading was delive	red on or about
	company of				
=	pelief, the bill of lading was a do				_
assigns.	, 02 1110 101 01				
	, 20, in the				
	and State of				
from defendant,	, pursuant to pri	or contracts wit	h defendant, _		, paid
defendant,	, the sum of \$		Defendant,	,	,
thereupon transferred all o and delivered the Mate's R	of its right, title, and interest in t	he lot of		to plaintiff an	nd endorsed
10. From and after	, 20, p	laintiff was the	owner entitled	to immediate pos	ssession of the
lot of	and was the assignee of al	ll of the rights o	f defendant,		, with
respect thereto, including	the right to recover damages for , rights under the Mate's Receip	r any prior conv			
,	ering the bill of lading to the, and _		, aide	d and abetted by o	
possession of the lot of	wrongfully interfered with right and con Company, or any assignee of the	verted the lot to	their own use	and to the use of	the
	·	<i>U</i>	1		
12. The value of the lot of \$	on		20	, was	
13. By reason of the foreg	oing, plaintiff has been damage	ed in the sum of	\$		
	AUSE OF ACTION AGAINST [set forth all d				
14. Plaintiff repeats Paragrant	raphs 1 through 10 of this comp	olaint.			
15. On or about the	day of		. 20	. upon discoverir	ng that an ocear
bill of lading had been im	properly released, plaintiff notif	fied defendants.	,	_,r	-8
	properly released, plaintiff notif , and _, that the bill of lading		, by a	dvice to their Gen	eral Agent,
defendant,	, that the bill of lading	g had been impr	operly release	d and that plaintif	f held the
Mate's Receipt with respe	ct to the lot of	·			
	belief, notwithstanding notice f				
,	, and, still on l		, acted	d inconsistently w	ith plaintiff's
ownership of the lot of	, still on !	board the vessel		, and o	continued to

treat the lot of	as the property of the	company or	of any assignee of	
the bill of lading, and to c	arry out instructions of the	Company with respect thereto.		
17 Dry continuing to treet	the hill of leding as well d and hy continuing	to tweet the lot of	og the	
	the bill of lading as valid and by continuing			
	or of any assignee of the bill			
,	aided and abetted by defendant,	, wrongfully inte	erfered with	
	ship and possession of the lot of			
	to their own use and to the use of the			
the bill of lading.		1 3	, ,	
18. The value of the lot of	f on	, 20, was		
\$				
19. By reason of the foreg	going, plaintiff has been damaged in the sum	of \$		
FOR A THIRD CAU	JSE OF ACTION AGAINST			
	[set forth all defendants]			
20. Plaintiff repeats Parag	graphs 1 through 10, and Paragraph 15 of this	s complaint.		
21. Upon information and	belief, notwithstanding notice from plaintiff	f, defendants	, thereafter	
transformed and delivered	the lot of to an age	nt or agancy of	, therearter	
pursuant to an assignment	t of the hill of lading	in or agency or	Kepublic	
pursuant to an assignment	of the off of facing.			
22 By reason of the forest	going, defendants,,			
	and aided-and abe			
	ghts of ownership and possession in the lot o			
	to their own use and to the use of		d converted the	
23 At the time the lot of	was delivered to the	A [st	ate country] or	
within a reasonable time t	thereafter, its value was \$	[3i	are country, or	
within a reasonable time t	nercarer, πs varue was ψ	·		
24. By reason of the foreg	going, plaintiff has been damaged in the sum	of \$		
[Demand for Judgment] n	3			
FOOTNOTES:				
(n1)Footnote 1. Form ada	apted from papers used in R.L. Rothstein Conherpick, Regan & Davis, New York, New Y	=	d 897, 258 N.Y.S.2d	
(n2)Footnote 2. See	Form Nos. 1-1 and 1-5 supra.			
(n3)Footnote 3. See 3	Form No. 1-6 supra.			

1 See 2 Benedict on Admiralty § 6 (Matthew Bender 7th ed.).



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER I COMPLAINTS
CONVERSION 1

4-I Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 1-255

FORM No. 1-255 Complaint In Personam Against Ocean Carrier--Conversion of Cargo by Wrongful Deliveryn1

[Caption and Jurisdictional Stateme	<i>ent</i>] n2				
4. At all times herein, defendant		was a c	common carrier	r of goods by sea.	
5. At all times herein, plaintiff the following goods:		was the o	owner of and en	ntitled to the immediate posses	ssion of
6. At all times herein, the goods we	re of the fair an	nd reasonable m	narket value of	\$	
7. On or about		-		_	rein for
transportation from to be deliv					on
surrender of proper documentation.		er or the		giianetti institution jup	<i>3</i> 11
8. Defendant converted the goods d on or about				authority to another not entitled	d thereto,
[Demand for Judgment] n3					

FOOTNOTES:

(n1)Footnote 1. Form adapted from papers used in Koreska v. United Cargo Corp., 23 A.D.2d 37, 258 N.Y.S.2d 432 (1965), courtesy of Wachtell Manheim & Grouf, New York, New York.

(n2)Footnote 2. See Form Nos. 1-1 and 1-5 supra.

(n3)Footnote 3. See Form No. 1-6 supra.

1 See 2 Benedict on Admiralty § 6 (Matthew Bender 7th ed.).



[Caption and Jurisdictional Statements] n2

119 of 141 DOCUMENTS

Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER I COMPLAINTS
CONVERSION 1

4-I Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 1-256

FORM No. 1-256 Complaint In Rem and In Personam by Voyage Charterer and Cargo Interest Against Vessel Owner--Conversion and Wrongful Attachment of Cargon1

discharge and to deliver Plaintiff's cargo in accordance with the lawful orders of charterer but instead caused about [state quantity] to be liened, allegedly as security for unpaid freight and demurrage.

7. Plaintiffs repeat and reallege each of the allegations contained in Paragraphs 1 through 5 hereof with the same force and effect as if same were set forth in full herein.
8. Under the terms and conditions of the governing charter party, a true copy of the working draft of which is attached and incorporated herein as Exhibit A, freight is payable [insert charter provision]. Charterer directed the defendant vessel and Owner to discharge the entire cargo of unloaded gasoline into a barge, designated by Charterer. In these circumstances the charter party contract remains executory insofar as the right of Owner and the vessel to earn freight is concerned and, accordingly, the defendants have no rights to payment of freight at this time or to lien the cargo in respect of freight.
9. Under the terms and conditions of the governing charter party, demurrage is not payable by charterer unless [insert charter provision]. No such claim with supporting documents has been received by Charterer from Owner in respect of demurrage at discharge. Demurrage for the load port has been paid in full by Charterer. In these circumstances, the defendants have no rights to payment of demurrage at this time or to lien the cargo in respect of demurrage.
10. By reason of the foregoing, Owner and the defendant vessel have wrongfully converted the remaining cargo to their use as a consequence of which plaintiff Cargo has suffered damages in the amount of \$ (the value of the cargo remaining on board the vessel) no part of which has been paid.
FOR A SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION
11. Plaintiffs repeat and reallege the allegations contained in Paragraphs 1 through 5, 8, and hereof with the same force and effect as if same were set forth in full herein.
12. The liening of plaintiff Cargo's was wrongful as a matter of law in breach of the charter party and of the governing bill of lading.
13. By reason of the foregoing, Owner and the defendant vessel have wrongfully converted the remaining cargo to their use as a consequence of which plaintiff has suffered damages in the amount of \$
14. Plaintiffs repeat and reallege each of the allegations contained in Paragraphs 1 through 5 hereof with the same force and effect as if same were set forth in full herein.
15. Prior to arrival of the defendant vessel in the Port of
16. The exercise of the alleged lien on cargo was the breach of the agreement reached on, 20
17. By reason of the foregoing Owner and the defendant vessel have wrongfully converted the remaining cargo to their use as a consequence of which plaintiff cargo has suffered damages in the amount of \$ (the value of the cargo remaining on board the Motor Tanker) no part of which has been paid.
18. Plaintiffs repeat and reallege each of the allegations contained in Paragraphs 1 through 5, 8, and 9 hereof with the

same force and effect as if same were set forth in full herein.

[Prayer for Process, Demand for Judgment, and Verification] n3

FOOTNOTES:

(n1)Footnote 1. Form adapted from papers filed in Lagon Maritime Overseas Ltd. v. Motor Tanker Fort Kipp, Civ. No. 84-2297 (E.D.N.Y. 1984).

(n2)Footnote 2. See Form No. 1-1 supra.

(n3)Footnote 3. See Form Nos. 1-3, 1-6 and 1-10 through 1-13 supra.

1 See 2 Benedict on Admiralty § 6 (Matthew Bender 7th ed.).



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER I COMPLAINTS
CONVERSION 1

4-I Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 1-257

FORM No. 1-257 Complaint--Custom Broker's Conversion of Funds

[Caption and Jurisdictional Statement] n1

FIRST CASE OF ACTION

4. Plaintiff repeats and realleges each and every herein.	y allegation contained in paragraphs 1 through 3 in plaintiff's complaint
	, a freight forwarder, engaged defendant pursuant to a ed States as a customhouse broker, freight forwarder, and breakbulk
	, plaintiff engaged defendant as a Custom Broker to perform certain, which arrived aboard the vessel, 20
	gent transferred to defendant's bank account \$, endant was to use these funds to pay the U.S. Customs Duty. Defendant has failed to pay U.S. Customs Service.
8. Plaintiff has called for the return of the \$ plaintiff.	but defendant has failed to return the same to
9. Defendant, in breach of its contract, express property to its own use.	or implied, and in breach of its fiduciary duty, converted plaintiff's

10. By reason of the premises, plaintiff has sustained damages, no part of which has been paid, although duly demanded, in the amount of \$
11. Plaintiff repeats and realleges each and every allegation contained in paragraphs 1 through 10 in plaintiff's complaint herein.
12. On or about, 20, the U.S. Customs Service demanded \$ liquidated damages for failure to file timely entry.
13. By reason of the premises, plaintiff has sustained damages, no part of which has been paid although duly demanded, in the amount of \$
THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION
14. Plaintiff repeats and realleges each and every allegation contained in paragraphs 1 through 13 in plaintiff's complaint herein.
15. As a direct result of defendant's actions, plaintiff has suffered and will continue to suffer loss of good will and loss of profits from plaintiff's customers.
16. By reason of the premises, plaintiff has sustained damages, as nearly as the same can now be estimated, in the amount of \$
FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION
17. Plaintiff repeats and realleges each and every allegation contained in paragraphs 1 through 16 in plaintiff's Complaint herein.
18. By reason of said premises, plaintiff's customers have or will pay certain sums to U.S. Customs Service or other private or government organizations. Said customers have or will have claims against plaintiff. Plaintiff seeks full indemnity from defendant and to be held harmless against all claims against plaintiff arising out of this lawsuit.
FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION
19. Plaintiff repeats and realleges each and every allegation contained in paragraphs 1 through 18 in plaintiff's Complaint herein.
20. Defendant represented to plaintiff that defendant would pay U.S. customs and represented that it had paid said U.S. Customs Duty to the U.S. Customs Service. Defendant has failed to pay said duty.
21. At the time of defendant's representations, defendant knew they were false or made them recklessly without knowing whether they were true and false.
22. Defendant made the representations with intent to deceive and defraud plaintiff and to induce plaintiff to act in accordance with the representations.
23. Plaintiff was ignorant of the falsity of the representations and believed them to be true. Plaintiff, in reliance on defendant's representations, paid defendant \$
24. As a result of the false and fraudulent representations of the defendant, plaintiff was damaged in the sum of \$

·
SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION
25. Plaintiff repeats and realleges each and every allegation contained in paragraphs 1 through 24 in Plaintiff's Complaint herein.
26. That by virtue of the willful tort, breach of fiduciary duty, and fraudulent conduct, defendant is liable to plaintiff for punitive damages in the amount of \$
Wherefore, plaintiff demands judgment against defendant in the amount of plaintiff's damages together with interest, costs, disbursements, reasonable attorney's fees and for such other and further relief as this Court may deem proper and just. Dated:
Attorney for Plaintiff
FOOTNOTES:
(n1)Footnote 1. See Form No. 1-1 supra.

1 See 2 Benedict on Admiralty § 6 (Matthew Bender 7th ed.).



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER I COMPLAINTS
CONVERSION 1

4-I Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 1-258

FORM No. 1-258 Complaint by Plaintiff Cargo Owner--Loss of Cargo--Oil

[Caption] n1				
, Pla	intiff, by its attorneys _		and	, for its
Complaint against the vessel _ follows:		_ and	, (herein	after "Defendant") says as
1. This is a case of admiralty a maritime claim within the mea	_			is is an admiralty and
2. At all times hereafter menti- laws of the State of				under and by virtue of the
3. Upon information and belie pendency of this case, within t			vessel which is now	, or will be during the
4. Upon information and belie, that				
found within this District.	r			,
5. Under the terms of the applresolved by arbitration, and Placcordance with the provision	aintiff hereby expressly	_	•	-
6. On	20 a charter r	party was entered in	to whereby a cargo	of oil belonging to Plaintiff

was carried on board the vessel	When the oil was offloaded, the quantity delivered was
barrels less	than the quantity loaded, resulting in loss and damage to Plaintiff in the amount of
\$	
7. On, 20	, a charter party was entered into whereby a cargo of oil belonging to Plaintiff
was carried on board the vessel	. When the oil was offloaded, the quantity delivered was
barrels less	than the quantity loaded, resulting in loss and damage to Plaintiff in the amount of
\$	
FOOTNOTES:	
(n1)Footnote 1. See Form No. 1-1 su	pra.

1 See 2 Benedict on Admiralty § 6 (Matthew Bender 7th ed.).



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER I COMPLAINTS
CONVERSION 1

4-I Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 1-269

RESERVED

FORM No. 1-269RESERVED



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER I COMPLAINTS
DEMURRAGE *

4-I Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 1-270

FORM No. 1-270 Complaint In Rem Against Cargo--Demurragen1

[Caption and Jurisdictional Statement] n2

them responsible for all demurrage that might accrue.

6. While the vessel	wa	as in the port of	,	&
	loaded and placed on	board the vessel	the	
			said cargo was to be delivered	
			ey paying freight and all other	
and exceptions to be as		_		
-				
7. Thereafter and on or	r about the	day of	20,	
	&	directed the master of	the vessel	to
proceed to	for orders.			
•				
8. Pursuant to the said	direction the vessel	sailed fr	rom the port of	on
	, 20, and arrived	d at the port of	on	, 20
		were no orders awaiting the r		
		and no orders were rec		
	, 20, at	a.m. The ve	ssel was delayed at	
			the master orders as provided	l in said
charter party for a peri		_	-	
	•			
9. Thereafter and on _		20, at	p.m. the vessel	
		f and arrived at the port of		
		a.m. Notice		
			onsignees of the said cargo. Th	nereafter the
			, but said berth, being o	
			could safely e	
			f the said charterers and of the	
		=	arge of the cargo has been grea	
C	ī	1 37		, ,
10. Plaintiff estimates	that the discharge of the	said cargo will not be comple	eted in the time allowed by the	e said charter
	_		or	
days.	· ·	1		
•				
11. By reason of the pr	remises, plaintiff has sust	ained the following losses as	a result of the failure of the sa	aid charterers
		or delay in loading at		
1	days at \$	a day, or \$; for a de	lay of
	hours at	,\$; for a delay in disc	charging at
			a day, \$	
12. Plaintiff, therefore	claims a lien on the said	cargo for the sum of \$	and has de	emanded
		part thereof has been paid.		
1 3	,	1		
[Prayer for Process and	nd Verification] n3			
[- · ···/ · · · J · · · · · · · · · · · ·	, ,			
FOOTNOTES:				
(n1)Footnote 1. See Fo	orm No. 1-284 infra.			
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	5			
(n2)Footnote 2. S	ee Form No. 1-1 supra.			
(n3)Footnote 3. S	ee Form Nos. 1-10 and 1	-12 supra.		

* See 1 Benedict on Admiralty § 236 (Matthew Bender 7th ed.).



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER I COMPLAINTS
DEMURRAGE *

4-I Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 1-271

FORM No. 1-271 Complaint In Personam Against Consignee of Cargo--Demurrage

[Caption, Jurisdictional Statement, and Allegations Concerning Parties] n1

4. In the month of	, 20 , at			Co.
shipped on board the vessel				
therein carried from				
condition, to defendant or his assigns				
per ton; and accordingly the master of				
of, 20				•
5 Showly thereofter the vessel	sat s	ail from	for	
5. Shortly thereafter, the vessel, with the ca				dov
of, with the ca				
vessel duly vessel was ready to discharge cargo.	served upon defendant, t			
6. Defendant accepted the cargo, and irregular times, and detained the vess which day the last of the cargo was to	sel until theaken out by him and his a	day of		, 20, on
of her cargo having taken	days.			
7. The usual and reasonable time to centitled to have of defendant the damperiod of date date date	nages sustained by them b	by reason of the unjust deter	ention of said ves	ssel for the

* See 1 Benedict on Admiralty § 236 (Matthew Bender 7th ed.).

per day, amounting in all to \$
[Demand for Judgment] n2
FOOTNOTES: (n1)Footnote 1. See Form Nos. 1-1 and 1-5 supra. (n2)Footnote 2. See Form No. 1-6 supra.



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER I COMPLAINTS
DEMURRAGE *

4-I Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 1-272

FORM No. 1-272 Complaint In Personam by Ship's Husband Against Charterers--Demurrage

[Caption, Jurisdictional Statement, and Allegations Concerning Parties] n1

4. On the	of	, 20	, plaintiff made	and concluded with
	party of the vessel			
	ng other things, that defendants		-	
	within which to load and di			
	, and in case the vessel shou	ld be longer detain	ed, defendants sho	ould pay plaintiff demurrage at
the rate of \$	per day, for each	ch and every day s	o detained, provide	ed such detention should
happen by default of	defendants or their agent; and it	was further under	stood and agreed t	hat the cargo should be
received and delivere	ed alongside, within reach of the	vessel's tackles; ar	nd it was further u	nderstood and agreed that the
charter, and the	days, shoul	d commence when	the said vessel wa	as ready to receive cargo at
	, her place of loading, and n	otice thereof was g	iven to defendants	or to their agent.
5. Plaintiff further al	leges that the vessel was put in r	eadiness to receive	cargo at	, and due
notice thereof was gi	iven to defendants, pursuant to the	ne terms of the char	rter party, on	, 20
and defendants comr	menced to furnish the cargo. But	notwithstanding th	ne fact that the ves	sel was, from that time, at the
direction and disposa	al of defendants, and notwithstan	ding that there was	s no fault or remis	sness on the part of plaintiff,
defendants, by their	own default, did not load the said	d vessel within		days, but delayed her,
contrary to the terms	of the charter party, until the		day of	thereafter,
and plaintiff became	thereby entitled to receive from	defendants demuri	rage for	days, at the
rate of \$	per day, amounting	g to the sum of $\$ _		, which sum is still due.

[Demand for Judgment] n2

FOOTNOTES:

(n1)Footnote 1. See Form Nos. 1-1 and 1-5 supra.

(n2)Footnote 2. See Form No. 1-6 supra.

* See 1 Benedict on Admiralty § 236 (Matthew Bender 7th ed.).



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER I COMPLAINTS
DEMURRAGE *

4-I Benedict on Admiralty through FORM No. 1-283

RESERVED

through FORM No. 1-283RESERVED



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER I COMPLAINTS
FREIGHT

4-I Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 1-284

FORM No. 1-284 Complaint--Freight Charges

[Caption and Jurisdictional Statement] n1

2. At all times herein mentioned, the plaintiff was and now is a corporation, and the owner of the vessel ________, registered under the laws of the Republic of ______.

3. The ________ [identify cargo] lately laden on board the vessel ________ proceeded against are now located in railroad cars on the premises of the ________ near the ________ Pier, Baltimore, Maryland, and are within this district.

4. On or about ________, 20 ______, the plaintiff and ________, hereinafter called the charterer, entered into a charter party, which will be produced at the trial thereof, by the terms of which the vessel _______ was to proceed to _______, and there load a full and complete cargo of _______.

5. Pursuant to this charter party the vessel ______ proceeded to _______, and the Master of the vessel there requested on behalf of the owner that he be furnished with ________ of ______, but the charterer, its agents and representatives furnished the vessel with a cargo of only _______, which cargo is now within this district as aforesaid.

6. By reason of the failure of the charterer, its agents and representatives to furnish the _______ as requested, the charterer became liable for dead freight on the _______ which it failed to furnish at the

7. The plaintiff has demanded that the charterer pay the dead freight due the plaintiff as aforesaid, but the charterer has

rate of \$ ______ per ton, as provided in the charter party, or a total of \$ _____.

failed and refused to pay the same.
8. The charter party provides in thereof as follows:
[state charter terms]
9. The bill of lading issued at Manzanillo with respect to the there loaded contains, among others, the following provisions:
[state charter terms]
10. The cargo has been discharged from the vessel but kept in the possession of the plaintiff i order to retain and enforce its lien, and is now in railroad cars, subject to the order of the plaintiff. If the dead freight now due the plaintiff and the freight to become due are not promptly paid, demurrage and other charges will accrue against said cargo.
11. The plaintiff has duly performed all conditions and obligations on its part to be performed.
Wherefore the plaintiff prays that a warrant for the arrest of the tons of tons of lately laden on board the may issue, and that all persons claiming
any interest therein may be cited to appear and answer the matters aforesaid, and that the plaintiffs may have judgment for the amount of the dead freight, and any other freight and other charges which may accrue on said, together with interest and costs, and that the may be condemned an
sold to pay the same, and that plaintiff may have such other and further relief as the justice of the cause may require.
Attorney for Plaintiff
[Verification] n2
FOOTNOTES: (n1)Footnote 1. <i>See</i> Form No. 1-1 <i>supra</i> .
(n2)Footnote 2. See Form Nos. 1-11 and 1-12 supra.



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER I COMPLAINTS
FREIGHT

4-I Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 1-285

FORM No. 1-285 Complaint In Rem Against Cargo--Enforcement of Bill of Lading Freight Lien

[Caption, Jurisdictional Statement, and Allegations Concerning Parties and Property] n1

			_ various quantities of	
	-	and th	here delivered to the order of Bank, as follows:	, notify
Port	Date		Bill of Lading	
			Exhibit A	
	, 20	tons,		
			Exhibit B	
	, 20	tons,		
- <u></u>			_ Exhibit C	
	, 20	tons,		

[state terms]				
The bill of lading issued at _		_ contains, among o	thers, the following provis	sion:
[state terms]				
6. The vessel				
from the ports of on				ort oi
7. The plaintiff duly notified the vessel at persons entitled thereto on procompliance with government parties entitled to delivery with delivery it would put the cargother expenses.	delivery won resentation of the bills all or other requirement are not present and pre-	uld be made in accor of lading and payments. The plaintiff furt epared to receive the	dance with the contracts of ent of freight and all other her notified such parties the cargo and meet all conditions.	f carriage to the charges due and nat if the persons or ions necessary to
8. After the vessel arrived at appeared to receive delivery from Railroad Company in	of the same and after to m the vessel, had it we	the lapse of a reason	able time the plaintiff disc	harged the
9. The outturn weight of the lon lon sum remains due and unpaid	g tons and the freight	_	from the vessel at	
10. In addition the following and storage of the aforesaid _	expenses have been n	:		on with the weighing
Expenses of weighing	\$			
Expenses of moving the fro	m the ship's			
side and storage expenses				
3% tax on weighing and stor	rage _		_	
11. The storage of the Rai	lroad Company would	d hold the	for the acc	ount of the plaintiff
and the vessel and subject to expenses incurred in connect plaintiff remains in possessic expenses necessarily incurred	the plaintiff's direction with the weighing on of the cargo and the	on and control and subgrand storage of the _eplaintiff retains its l	bject also to its liens for fr ar iens thereon for the freigh	eight and other nd accordingly the t due and other
12. After the	was placed	in storage due demar	nds were made for paymer	nt of the freight and

other expenses but to da	te these sums have not been paid.	
payment of the freight a	still is in storage with the, and storage charges continue to accrue thereoned expenses, the bills of lading have not been sure has anyone demanded delivery or offered to co	n and no one has made or offered to make rrendered to the plaintiff and no delivery of the
14. The plaintiff has dul	y performed all conditions and obligations on its	s part to be performed.
[Prayer for Process n2	and Verification] n3	
FOOTNOTES: (n1)Footnote 1. <i>See</i> For	m No. 1-1 <i>supra</i> .	
(n2)Footnote 2. See	Form No. 1-284 supra.	
(n3)Footnote 3. See	Form Nos. 1-11 and 1-12 supra.	



[Caption, Jurisdictional Statement, and

Attorney for Plaintiff

129 of 141 DOCUMENTS

Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER I COMPLAINTS
FREIGHT

4-I Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 1-286

FORM No. 1-286 Complaint In Personam by Carrier Against Shipper--Freight and Demurragen1

FOOTNOTES:

(n1)Footnote 1. Form adapted from papers filed in Sea-Land Service, Inc. v. La Isla Transport Corporation, Civ. No. 80-1821 (E.D.N.Y. 1980). *See also* Forms Nos. 1-270 through 1-272.

(n2)Footnote 2. See Form Nos. 1-1 and 1-5 supra.

(n3)Footnote 3. See Form No. 1-6 supra.



[Demand for Judgment] n3

130 of 141 DOCUMENTS

Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER I COMPLAINTS
FREIGHT

4-I Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 1-287

FORM No. 1-287 Complaint in Personam by Trustee in Bankruptcy Against Shipper--Freightn1

[Caption and Jurisdictional Stateme	nt] n2		
2 and and			
seq. Prior to that time,			
corporations, with its pri	with its principal plac	ce of business in	and
common carriers by water inter alia,	in the foreign commerc	e of the United States a	as defined in the U.S. Shipping Act of
1984 and were common carriers in s	uch commerce of defend	dant's cargoes as hereir	nafter set forth.
3. Defendant, of the states of the United States have the goods described in the bill of lad Honorable Court.	ring its principal place of	f business at	and is the shipper of
4. On or about	, 20, the defer	ndant delivered to the v	vessel
5. Despite due demand, defendant, _		, has failed to pay the f	freight and charges due with respect
to the aforesaid carriage of cargo fro	m	to	and there is now due and
owing to plaintiff from defendant, _	,	the total of	, together with interest
thereon.			

Dated	
FOOTNOTES	
(n1)Footnote 1	Form adapted from papers filed in Wyle, Trustee, v. Nesmer, Inc., Civ. No. 80-177 (E.D.N.Y. 1980).
(n2)Footno	te 2. See Form No. 1-1 supra.
(n3)Footno	ote 3. See Form No. 1-6 supra.



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER I COMPLAINTS
FREIGHT

4-I Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 1-288

FORM No. 1-288 Complaint In Personam Against Vessel Owner--Prepaid Freight

[Caption, Jurisdictional Statement and Allegations Concerning Parties] n1

4. On or about	. 20		[state delivering party] deli	ivered to the
			from the City of	
_	• •		to the City of	, in the
, wl				
5. On or about	, 20	, defendant made and d	delivered to	
[delivering party] a bill of la	ding datedonditions under whi	, 20 ch the goods were to be to	, acknowledging receipt of the ransported. A copy of the bill of	e goods, and
6. On defendant in the sum of \$, 20,	[deli 	vering party] prepaid the freigh	t charges to
7. Onto plaintiff.	, 20,	[deli	vering party] assigned the bill o	of lading herein
8. On or about for		, defendant placed the	goods upon the vessel	
9. On or about were lost.	, 20	, the vessel	was sunk at sea a	and the goods

10. Defendant failed to deliver the goods and therefore the sum of \$plaintiff as freight charges was not earned by defendant.	paid to defendant by
11. By reason of the foregoing, defendant owes plaintiff the sum of \$	_ with interest.
[Demand for Judgment] n2	
FOOTNOTES: (n1)Footnote 1. <i>See</i> Form Nos. 1-1 and 1-5 <i>supra</i> .	

(n2)Footnote 2. See Form No. 1-6 supra.



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER I COMPLAINTS
FREIGHT

4-I Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 1-289

FORM No. 1-289 Complaint In Rem and In Personam/General Contractor's Failure To Pay Agreed Freight

[Caption] n1	
Plaintiff,, by its attorneys, for its Complaint In I follows:	Personam and In Rem, respectfully alleges as
At all times hereinafter mentioned, plaintiff,, with its principal office and place of business at Court.	
2. At all times hereinafter mentioned, was enga	ged in the transportation of cargoes by barge.
3. At all times hereinafter mentioned defendant,engaged in the construction industry.	, was and is a Pennsylvania Corporation and
4. At all times hereinafter mentioned defendant, the construction of the at Honorable Court.	
5. This is a maritime action In Personam and In Rem within the meaning <i>Procedure</i> .	of Rule 9(h) of the Federal Rules of Civil
6. That on or about, 20, the plaintiff and evidenced by a sub-contract dated, 20 an wherein plaintiff agreed to furnish transportation services to transportation.	nd a letter dated, 20

4-I Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 1-289

short tons of	from	,,	to
	, in tw	o trips by barge.	
7. By the terms of the a its services.	aforementioned contract defendant	t agreed to pay plaintiff \$	per trip for
	neduled to take place between mitting, with payment for the trip , 20		
-	scheduled to take place between, 20, weather permitting,, 20		
• •	erformed the obligations it agreed rsuant to the terms of the agreeme	-	th defendant and has
	failed to pay the agreed freight and \$ Dol		e sum of
12. The plaintiff has a l	lien against the cargo transported	for the amount of the freight due	and owing.
	are presently laden on nt either at		
	equired, will remove the and incorporate them in the cor		and
-	permitted to carry out this operation ying the cargo and asserting its lie		
Wherefore, plaintiff pr	rays for the following relief:		
	ne arrest of the cargo presently lad_ may issue, and that all persons		
2. That judgment may cargo presently laden a	be entered in favor of the plaintiff board the barges together with disbursements, in	and against the defendant, and and that the carg	, and the for the sum of \$ o may be condemned and solo
to satisfy said judgmen	t.		
3. That the defendant b	e restrained from discharging the	cargo of presently on the barges	and
4. That plaintiff may had Dated	ave such other and further relief as	s may be just in the premises.	
Attorney for Plaintiff			

FOOTNOTES:

(n1)Footnote 1. See Form No. 1-1 supra.



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER I COMPLAINTS
FREIGHT

4-I Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 1-312

RESERVED

FORM No. 1-312RESERVED



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER I COMPLAINTS
GENERAL AVERAGE *

4-I Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 1-313

FORM No. 1-313 Complaint In Rem by Cargo Owner--General Average

[Capitol, Jurisdictional Statement, and Allegations Concerning Parties] n1

4. In the month of	, 20	, the vessel	was at	, having gone
there to be loaded with a cargo of				
loaded to proceed through the				
5. In the months of	and		, 20	, there was loaded by the plaintiffs
upon the vessel	a cargo of _		, and	d bills of lading given therefor, in each
of which the vessel master acknowleds	ged the receipt of	of the cargo ther	ein mention	ned in good order, and well
conditioned, and agreed to transport th	-	-		
good order, to	-	-	-	
deliverable, as security for moneys adv				
letters of credit issued by the bankers,			•	1
and have delivered the bills of lading t		-		•
	· ···· F-······,			
6. Thereafter the vessel	saile	d with the cargo	o laden on b	poard consisting of
, weighing		,		, having received orders to call
at as provide	d in the charter,	and while she	was prosecu	ting her voyage, and on or about
, 20, w				
hold, located forward of the engine roo				
the master of the vessel caused the hat		_		
extinguish the fire, the engines being k				

master caused a call for assistance to be sent, and it being found impossible on account of the intensity of the fire to continue the voyage, the vessel was moored to the canal bank and like efforts to extinguish the fire continued, and
assistance having been sent from, which reached the vessel on the same day, more water was
on that day and the following day pumped into the No. 2 hold, and by flooding it and submerging the cargo there
stowed, the fire was finally extinguished. That the water so poured into No. 2 hold destroyed the cargo and also
destroyed a large part and greatly damaged a further portion of the cargo in the after holds.
7. The fire having been completely extinguished, the vessel, after discharging part of the cargo
of sugar from No. 3 and No. 4 holds, and pumping the water out of her holds, was towed to,
where she was repaired, and thereafter proceeded on her arrived in the port of on
, 20, where she now is.
8. The vessel is liable to contribute in general average for the value of the plaintiffs' cargo
damaged and destroyed by the water poured into the vessel in order to extinguish the fire.
9. The value of the damaged and destroyed cargo is estimated at the sum of dollars and the
value of that brought forward about dollars.
10. The contributory value of the vessel is estimated at about the sum of
dollars.
11. The amount of the contribution in general average which the vessel should pay is
estimated at the sum of dollars.
[Prayer for Process and Verification] n2
FOOTNOTES:
(n1)Footnote 1. See Form Nos. 1-1 and 1-2 supra.
(n2)Footnote 2. See Form Nos. 1-3, 1-10, 1-11 and 1-12 supra.
* See 2 Benedict on Admiralty, ch. XIII (Matthew Bender 7th ed.).



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms CHAPTER I COMPLAINTS GENERAL AVERAGE *

4-I Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 1-314

FORM No. 1-314 Complaint In Personam for Readjustment/General Average

[Caption and Jurisdictional Statement] n1

2. Plaintiff now is, and at a virtue of the laws of the St				-	-	•
3. Defendant,		_	_	-		sewhere as
shipowner, and at all the ti						
4. Defendants,	an	d	are, and at all	l the times herein	after me	entioned
were, copartners doing bus	siness under the r	name of	in		as s	ship brokers
and as agents for shipowne	ers, including def	endant,	·			
5. Defendant,	, is a	a corporation created	under and existing	by virtue of the la	aws of th	he State of
,	carrying on busir	ness in	,		_•	
6. In	, 20, tl	here was shipped at or	n board the vessel _		,	as a
common carrier, various c	argoes of	in §	good order and con	dition belonging	to plain	tiff and
consigned to or for plainting						
,						
plaintiff						
,					he lawfu	ıl owner of

the same were valid and binding shipment. Said cargoes were a said vessel for the voyage and	lso shipped pursuant to a	an agreement of defe	endant,	, to provide
cause the same to be conveyed	under customary bills o	f lading.		
7. On	_, 20, the vessel _		sailed from	1
bound for	, having on board sa	aid	[cargo	and a general cargo laden
there and belonging in part to	other owners than plainti	ff.		
8. On the morning of	, 20	, the vessel		being off her course,
stranded on	Reef, off	Is	sland, near	, and
went on the reef at virtually fu	-	•		_
seriously in peril. Although he				
list to starboard, pounding hea	-	f the day took water	into all bilges a	and tanks, so that her
destruction and the loss of her	cargo were imminent.			
9. During the morning of				
below				C
and save her from destruction,				
belor				
, 20 _				
, 20 _				
to resume her voyage and then some four days.	earter to arrive at the por	t or	via w	nere sne put in and remained
10. The following is a stateme	nt of the quantity and val	lues at the port of _		as of the time of the
arrival of the	at	, of the	cargo belonging	to plaintiff which were
jettisoned:				
Total amount claimed in gener	al average account		\$	
11. After the arrival of the vess	sal	at the port of		On
, 20	average bonds da	at the port or ted	20	were taken from the
various cargo owners, includin	, average conds, ac	se of securing paym	nent of their gen	eral average contributions
plaintiff also making a money	deposit. The bonds were	made to defendant,	,	, and/or defendant,
, who made for an adjustment of gen	were named in the bond eral average by	ls alternatively as tr	ustees for all co	ncerned, provision being
12. After completing the disch sailed	arge of her cargo on		, 20	, the vessel
saile	d from the port of	,	defendant vesse	el,
making, as plaintiff is informe subsequently stated by said de	•		e terms of the ge	neral average adjustment
13. In the general average adju				
at only \$, instead of \$, ti	he true value of	said cargo at the port of
·				
14. In the adjustment, the adju	-	-	•	•
overege either against defende	nt	on the reseast		, or against any of the

other owners of cargo saved by reason of the jettison of cargo belonging to plaintiff.
15. The statement of general average made by the adjusters was completed under date of
payment at \$, but that erroneously neither said vessel nor defendant,,
as owner of the vessel, was required to pay or contribute in general average anything to plaintiff by reason of the jettison of cargo belonging to plaintiff.
16. It further appears from the statement of general average that the total contributory value of the cargo saved was adjusted at \$
17. Plaintiff has duly and repeatedly claimed and demanded of the adjusters that a readjustment in general average be made, in accordance with the claims of plaintiff as aforesaid, and that the trustees settle the claims of plaintiff accordingly, but said demands have been refused both by said defendants and on the part of the defendant,
Wherefore plaintiff demands that defendants be cited to appear and answer all and singular the foregoing, and that by direction of this Honorable Court a readjustment in general average be made in accordance with the lawful claims of plaintiff herein set forth, and that plaintiff be decreed to recover from defendant,, the amounts properly recoverable in general average by plaintiff from said defendant by reason of the jettison of cargo belonging to plaintiff, as herein set forth, and that defendants,, as trustees under said average bonds, be decreed to pay or cause to be paid to plaintiff from the other cargo owners to the extent of their proper ratable contributions in general average by reason of the jettison of cargo belonging to plaintiff, as herein set forth, and that plaintiff have such other and further relief as may be just.
FOOTNOTES: (n1)Footnote 1. See Form No. 1-1 supra.

* See 2 Benedict on Admiralty, ch. XIII (Matthew Bender 7th ed.).



[Demand for Judgment] n3

136 of 141 DOCUMENTS

Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms CHAPTER I COMPLAINTS GENERAL AVERAGE *

4-I Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 1-315

FORM No. 1-315 Complaint In Personam by Vessel Owner Against Charterer, General Averagen1

[Caption, Jurisdictional Statement and
Allegations Concerning Parties] n2
4. On or about, 20, plaintiff as owner of the vessel, and defendants entered into a charter party contract to carry a bulk cargo of from the United States
to India.
5. The aforesaid contract contained provisions under which defendants agreed to contribute in General Average under the circumstances hereinafter set forth.
6. On or about, 20, with the contract cargo of on board, the vessel, suffered a casualty which required her to enter a port of refuge for necessary repairs.
7. A General Average Statement was duly prepared and published by, Average Adjusters,
which determined that defendants' contribution to General Average expense and loss arising out of the aforesaid
incident should be as of, 20
8. Plaintiff has duly performed all conditions required by it under the contract of transportation.
9. As a result of the defendants' breach, there is due and owing to plaintiff the sum of \$, no part of which has been paid although duly demanded.

FOOTNOTES:

(n1)Footnote 1. Form adapted from papers used in Malmonides Transportation Corp. v. India Supply Mission, 76 Civ. 5676 (LPG) (S.D.N.Y. 1976).

(n2)Footnote 2. See Form Nos. 1-1 and 1-5 supra.

(n3)Footnote 3. See Form No. 1-6 supra.

* See 2 Benedict on Admiralty, ch. XIII (Matthew Bender 7th ed.).



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms CHAPTER I COMPLAINTS GENERAL AVERAGE *

4-I Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 1-316

FORM No. 1-316 Complaint In Personam by Vessel Owner Against Marine Insurer/General Averagen1

[Caption, Jurisdictional Statement and Allegations Concerning Parties] n2

				was a containership	tons,
				inches in lengt	
					ly loaded on summer marks
					entioned, she was operated
				and ports in	_
5. On or about	, 20), th	ne vessel	was e	enroute to ports in
				ooard cargo in containers v	-
				She was at this time in the	
				he cargo was being carried	
				e	
			-	ated herein as Exhibit 1.	•
6. On	, 20, a	at about _		hours, a fire bro	ke out in the engine room.
					nula - 2 should appear as a
					having spread through the
upper engine room trun	k area and superstru	cture, the	living quarter	rs, radio room and bridge.	The fire was extinguished
before it spread to any o	of the cargo.				_
7 After the fire was ext	inquished the vesse	1		was with- out nower a	nd in a position of peril

Assistance was obtain	ee was obtained from another vessel, the vessel, for the sending of wireless mes		
On	, 20, the vesse	el came alongside and commenced towing the	
	to,, on the basis of a "l		
salvage agreement.			
8. The vessel	arrived at	in tow of the on	
		the vessel was surveyed, and on the basis of the	
		hat permanent repairs should be made at,	
	<u>_</u> ·		
9. On	, 20,	containers and crib racks	
were discharged and tr	ransshipped to the vessel _	and thereafter, it was arranged that the other where adequate facilities were available, and carried	
cargo on board should	be transshipped at	where adequate facilities were available, and carried	
on to final destinations	s in substitute vessels, all ir	accordance with the terms of the bills of lading, and that the vessel	
should then be towed	to	for permanent repairs.	
10 Refore or at about	the time the cargo was tran	asshipped, representatives of the various cargo interests entered into an	
	_	rests Agreement", a copy of which is attached hereto and incorporated	
0 0	•	greed to be and are bound by the said Average Agreement in addition	
	-	lading issued for each shipment.	
to the terms and condi	tions of the original offis of	rading issued for each simplificate.	
11 In accordance with	the terms of the "Average	Agreement and Non Separation of Interests Agreement", the	
		nterests agreed to pay to plaintiff a proportion of all proper General	
		rges, and/or other expenses or sacrifices for which the cargo is liable a	
		under the Contract of Affreightment and the	
		nt, said defendants and/or other representatives of the cargo interests	
	_	charged a second freight, and in order to minimize expenses and	
_	_	go, that General Average items should be payable by the cargo	
_		argo had been retained on board the during	
		to and underwent permanent repairs.	
profoligation of the vo	yage wille she was lowed	and underwent permanent repairs.	
		was towed from,	
	to	Marine Terminal at, where the	
remaining containers a	and other cargo were discha	irged.	
13. The containers and	l other cargo discharged at	were reloaded into four vessels, the vessel	
	. the vessel	, the vessel and the vessel	
	in which vessels they v	vere carried to their original destinations and were discharged and	
delivered to the rightfu			
14 The weed	was tales	n in tow by two tugs and was towed to,	
		surveyed, drydocked and repaired at After	
		n ballast to, to	
racima trading and am	, sile sailed i	on, 20	
resume trading and an	iveu at	, 20	
15 The hills of lading	under which the cores on 1	poard the vessel was carried, and by which	
		llowing clauses, among others:	
me derendants herein	are bound, contained the 10	nowing clauses, among others.	

[state general average clause]

1936, Title 46, U.S.C. § 1300, et seq. , which governs the responsibilities and liabilities of the plaintiff and defendants herein. Section 4 of the said Act provides, among other things, 46 U.S.C. § 1304(2):
"Neither the carrier nor the ship shall be liable or responsible for loss or damage arising or resulting from * * *
(b) Fire, unless caused by the actual fault or privity of the carrier."
17. In addition, plaintiff, as owner of the vessel, was entitled to the protection of the U.S. Fire Statute, 46 U.S.C. § 182, which provides that no owner of any vessel shall be liable for loss or damage to any merchandise by reason of any fire happening on board a vessel unless such fire is caused by the design or neglect of such owner.
18. Under the aforesaid statutes and the contracts of carriage, the plaintiff is not responsible for the fire which occurred on board the vessel on, 20, or the consequences which followed therefrom, because it was not caused by any design or neglect or any actual fault or privity on the part of the plaintiff herein.
19. As a consequence of the aforesaid fire, plaintiff made and incurred certain sacrifices, disbursements and expenses of a General Average nature for the common benefit and to relieve the adventure of a common peril, for the payment of a proper share of which defendants have agreed to be and are bound.
20. Plaintiff appointed as General Average Adjusters,, of, and these average adjusters prepared a General Average Adjustment dated, 20, according to which the proportion of General Average due from each of the respective cargo interests is therein stated.
21. Each of the respective defendants herein executed a General Average Guarantee at or before the time said cargo was delivered at its final destinations, by the terms of which they agreed to pay all proper General Average, salvage and/or special charges for certain specific goods named and listed in the respective General Average Guarantees. A list of the defendants herein and the respective amounts due from each under the terms of the General Average Guarantees executed by same is contained in Schedule A annexed hereto [schedule omitted]. The total amount of General Average contributions due from the defendants listed in Schedule A hereto is \$
22. Plaintiff has demanded payment of the aforesaid amount from the respective defendants hereto, but said defendants have failed and refused to pay their respective proportions of the General Average as stated by
[Demand for Judgment] n3
FOOTNOTES: (n1)Footnote 1. Form adapted from papers used in Containerschiffsreedei T.S. Columbus New Zealand v. Corporation of Lloyd's, 2081 A.M.C. 60 (S.D.N.Y. 1980).
(n2)Footnote 2. See Form Nos. 1-1 and 1-5 supra.
(n3)Footnote 3. See Form No. 1-5 supra.

* See 2 Benedict on Admiralty, ch. XIII (Matthew Bender 7th ed.).



[Caption and Jurisdictional Statement] n1

138 of 141 DOCUMENTS

Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER I COMPLAINTS
GENERAL AVERAGE *

4-I Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 1-317

FORM No. 1-317 Complaint In Personam by Average Adjusters Against Insurer of Cargo/General Average

Bills of lading were issued th	11	1
merchandise, wherein and whereby it was agreed and p contained in the bills of lading the goods were to be can		_
the freight therein stipulated.	ried and derivered as agreed in consideration	on or the payment of
7. Thereafter the vessel, with and proceeded on her voyage	the cargo, described on board, sailed from for the port of	the port of
voyage she accidentally ran aground and stranded, caus sums of money in the salvaging of the steamer and her	sing heavy damages and sacrifices and the e	expenditure of large
8. By reason of the foregoing, it was necessary to separ	ate the vessel and cargo at the port of	
and transship the cargo on the vessel		
, for the port of	, where in due course the vessel	arrived prepared to
deliver to the various consignees the cargo.		
9. The cargo shipped upon the vessel for the value of the sacrifices, damages and expenditure described.	, became and was liable to contributes made and suffered by the vessel owner a	te in general average s hereinbefore
10. The amount of general average to be contributed by by the contract of carriage, as well as by the general law regulations and customs obtaining and in force at the pointerests were separated, and that under and by virtue of the cargo for purposes of general average is % of its real value.	w maritime, was subject to and determined ort of, where the vertices the second fit of the seco	by the laws, vessel and cargo ontributing value of
11. Thereafter and pursuant to its rights under the contribution were appointed by the vessel owner as its adjusters to dispayable by the various vessel and cargo interests therein payable by all of the interest at risk including the cargo plaintiffs were by agreement between the consignees of owner, made trustees therefor in the collection of the general cargo in the cargo in th	lraw up a statement of general average, incl n, and proceeded to make up a statement of and the particular lots of cargo hereinbefor f the cargo hereinbefore particularly describ	uding the amount the general average re described, and bed and the vessel
12. Upon the arrival of the cargo, including the lots her the defendant for a valuable consideration gave at the p agreement in writing to the effect that in consideration hereinbefore described, the defendant agreed to pay an special charges for which the merchandise was liable, a vessel owner delivered the merchandise to the respective demands for the payment of the cargo "s share of the general charges".	ort of to the plain of the delivery to the consignees of the two d guarantee the payment of all general averand thereupon and solely in consideration over consignees thereof free and clear of all li	tiffs a general average lots of merchandise age, salvage and/or f the agreement the
13. The amount of the contribution found by the adjuste	ers to be due in general average from the	by the adjusters to be
is \$	_ is \$, making a	total of \$
due from the defendant to the	plaintiffs herein as trustees for the vessel of	owner.
14. The sums of \$ and \$	respectively, remessent	the amount managel.
14. The sums of \$, and \$ and reasonably due and payable from and by the	bales of consigned to	the amount property
and the	cases of consigned to	Company.

15. By reason of the foregoing the defendant owes plaintiffs the sum of \$		with interest from	
the	day of	, 20, wh	ich sum has been demanded but no part of
which has been paid.			
[Demand for Judgment] n2		
FOOTNOTES:			
(n1)Footnote 1. See Fo	orm No. 1-1 supra.		
(n2)Footnote 2. Se	ee Form No. 1-6 supra.		
	* See 2 Benedict on Ac	dmiralty, ch. XIII (Matthey	v Bender 7th ed.).



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms CHAPTER I COMPLAINTS GENERAL AVERAGE *

4-I Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 1-328

RESERVED

FORM No. 1-328RESERVED



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms CHAPTER I COMPLAINTS INDEMNITY

4-I Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 1-329

FORM No. 1-329 Complaint by Vessel Owner--Indemnification for Seaman's Wrongful Death Due to Negligent Maintenance of Dockn1

[Caption] n2		
Now COMES	, plaintiff, and for its complaint against _	, defendant,
alleges on information and belief as for		
1. This is an admiralty and maritime c	claim within the meaning of Rule 9(h) of th	ne Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.
vessel, a ves	_, is now and was at all times herein menti ssel of United States registry of several tho ad navigation on the	usand tons burden, duly enrolled and
State of, with and/or controlled certain premises and	_, a corporation organized and existing un h an office and place of business in d docks situated on the used for the purpose of	, owned, operated, in,
by defendant to proceed to	, 20, the vesse.	at for
accordance with defendant's instruction on defendant's dock for the purpose of	. When the When the ons, several crew members, including f handling mooring cables in the process of work, [identify]	, were put ashore f securing the vessel prior to loading the

water and died.
5. At the time of the occurrence, was employed by plaintiff as a seaman aboard the vessel, and as a consequence of his being so employed, claim for wrongful death was made by his personal representative pursuant to the Jones Act, 46 U.S.C. § 688.
6. Defendant was advised of the claim of
7. While defendant agreed that the terms of the proposed settlement were reasonable, defendant declined to accept liability for the claim, and thus, plaintiff concluded settlement with
8. The maintenance, care and condition of the Dock No and its appurtenances were under the sole and exclusive direction and control of the defendant.
9. The claim for damages arising as a result of the death of is a claim for which defendant impliedly agreed to assume responsibility and to indemnify plaintiff.
10. [state reason for death of employee]
11. Defendant invited the to the Dock No without disclosing the existence of the power failure and without disclosing the existence of unsafe conditions at the dock.
12. Defendant warranted and agreed to keep its dock in a reasonably safe condition and to reimburse plaintiff for any damages arising from defendant's breach of said obligation and to indemnify and safe harmless plaintiff from and against any and all liability to third persons caused by negligent breach by defendant of its said warranties.
13. The death of was not caused or contributed to by any negligence, fault or want of care on the part of plaintiff or any unseaworthiness on the part of the vessel, but was directly and immediately due to the negligent failure of defendant, its officers, agents and employees to maintain its dock in a reasonable safe condition and to provide plaintiff with a safe berth.
14. Plaintiff's liability for damages to
15. At all times mentioned herein, the vessel was on the navigable waters of the United State and the rights, duties and liabilities of the parties are governed by the general maritime law of the United States.
Wherefore, plaintiff prays for judgment against defendant in the amount of \$ with interest thereon from, 20, together with reasonable attorneys' fees, costs, expenses and disbursements and for such other and further relief as may be just and proper in the premises.
Attorney for Plaintiff

FOOTNOTES:

(n1)Footnote 1. Form adopted from papers filed in Oglebay Norton Co. v. CSX Corp., 788 F.2d 361 (7th Cir. 1986). Papers furnished through the courtesy of Thompson, Hine and Flory, Cleveland, Ohio.

(n2)Footnote 2. See Form No. 1-1 supra.



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER I COMPLAINTS
INDEMNITY

4-I Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 1-340

RESERVED

FORM No. 1-340RESERVED