

## 1 of 157 DOCUMENTS

## Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

## Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms CHAPTER III MOTIONS AND REMEDIES

4-III Benedict on Admiralty III.syn

## § III.syn Synopsis to Chapter III: MOTIONS AND REMEDIES

FORM No. 3-1 Summons, Rule 4(b)

Scope

FORM No. 3-2 Affidavit of Service of Process

Scope

FORM No. 3-3 Motion for Service of Summons in Manner Prescribed by State Law, Rule 4(d)

Scope

FORM No. 3-4 Motion for Service of Summons Without State Pursuant to Federal Statute, Rule 4(e)

Scope

FORM No. 3-5 Order for Service Without State Pursuant to Federal Statute, Rule 4(e)

Scope

FORM No. 3-6 Motion for Service of Summons Without United States Pursuant to Federal Statute, Rule 4(i)

Scope

FORM No. 3-7 Order for Service Without United States Pursuant to Federal Statute, Rule 4(i)

Scope

FORM No. 3-8 Affidavit of Service on Party or Attorney by Mailing, With Variations, Rule 5(b)

FORM No. 3-9 Affidavit of Service on Party or Attorney Other Than By Mailing, With Variation, Rule 5(b)

Scope

FORM Nos. 3-10-3-20 Reserved

FORM No. 3-21 Stipulation Extending Time, Rule 6(b)

Scope

FORM No. 3-22 Stipulation for Extension of Time/Salvage

Scope

FORM No. 3-23 Order--Approval of Stipulation for Extension of Time, Rule 6(b)

Scope

FORM No. 3-24 Motion to Extend Time, Rule 6(b)

Scope

FORM No. 3-25 Motion to Extend Time to Answer or Otherwise Plead Pending Motion for Summary Judgment, Rule 6(b)

Scope

FORM No. 3-26 Motion for Enlargment of Time to Answer and Accompanying Affidavit (Case Removed to Federal Court), Rule 6(b)

Scope

FORM No. 3-27 Plaintiff's Motion for an Enlargment of Time to Respond to Motion to Dismiss or Motion for Summary Judgment

Scope

FORM No. 3-28 Order to Extend Time, Rule 6(b)

Scope

FORM No. 3-29 Order Granting Plaintiff Motion for Enlargment of Time to Respond to Motion to Dismiss or Motion for Summary Judgment

Scope

FORM No. 3--30 Order Granting Defendant's Request for an Extension of Time to File Reply Memorandum

```
FORM Nos. 3-31-3-41 Reserved
```

FORM No. 3-42 Notice of Motion--General Form Rule 7(b)

Scope

FORM No. 3-43 Notice of Motion Combined With Motion--General Form, Rule 7(b)

Scope

FORM No. 3-44 General Form of Order Granting or Denying Motion, Rule 7(b)

Scope

FORM No. 3-45 Motion To Stay Proceedings Pending Arbitration, Rule 7(b)

Scope

FORM No. 3-46 Affidavit in Support of Motion To Stay Proceeding Pending Arbitration, Rule 7(b)

Scope

FORM No. 3-47 Notice of Motion To Compel Arbitration, Rule 7(b)

Scope

FORM No. 3-48 Order To Submit to Arbitration, Rule 7(b)

Scope

FORM No. 3-49 Notice of Motion To Confirm Arbitrators' Award, Rule 7(b)

Scope

FORM No. 3-50 Notice of Cross-Motion To Vacate Award of Arbitrator, Rule 7(b)

Scope

FORM No. 3-51 Motion for Leave To File Supplementary Memorandum in Support of Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment, Rule 7(b)

Scope

FORM No. 3-52 Motion for Leave to File Brief (or Memorandum of Law) With Excess Number of Pages, Rule 7(b)

Scope

FORM No. 3-53 Order Denying Plaintiff's Motion To Reconsider, Rule 7(b)

FORM Nos. 3-54-3-64 Reserved

FORM No. 3-65 Motion to Compel Separate Paragraphing of Complaint, Rule 10(b)

Scope

FORM No. 3-66 Motion to Compel Statement of Claims in Separate Count, Rule 10(b)

Scope

FORM No. 3-67 Motion to Compel Separate Paragraphing of Defenses, Rule 10(b)

Scope

FORM No. 3-68 Motion for Fees and Expenses

Scope

FORM No. 3-69 Motion To Dismiss--General Defenses, Rule 12(b)

Scope

FORM No. 3-70 Notice of Motion To Dismiss, Rule 12(b)

Scope

FORM No. 3-71 Motion To Dismiss--Failure To State Claim: Lack of Service of Process; Improper Venue; Lack of Jurisdictional Amount, Rule 12(b)

Scope

FORM No. 3-72 Motion To Dismiss-Stevedore and Compensation Insurer Not Real Parties in Interest in Longshoreman's Injury Suit Against Vessel Owner, Rule 12(b)

Scope

FORM No. 3-73 Motion To Dismiss--Lack of Jurisdiction Over the Person, Rule 12(b)

Scope

FORM No. 3-74 Affidavit in Support of Motion To Dismiss for Lack of Jurisdiction Over the Person, Rule 12(b)

Scope

FORM No. 3-75 Motion To Dismiss for Lack of Jurisdiction Over the Person--No Lawful Service, Rule 12(b)

Scope

FORM No. 3-76 Affidavit in Support of Motion To Dismiss for Improper Service on Corporation

FORM No. 3-77 Appearance by State Attorney General and Motion To Vacate Arrest and To Dismiss for Lack of Jurisdiction

Scope

FORM No. 3-78 Notice of Motion To Dismiss--Lack of Subject Matter Jurisdiction

Scope

FORM No. 3-79 Affidavit in Support of Motion To Dismiss--Lack of Subject Matter Jurisdiction

Scope

FORM No. 3-80 Motion To Dismiss--Lack of Jurisdiction (Sovereign Immunity), Rule 12(b)

Scope

FORM No. 3-81 Motion To Dismiss for Lack of Jurisdiction--Foreign Sovereign Immunities Act, Rule 12(b)

Scope

FORM No. 3-82 Affidavit of Attorney in Support of Motion To Dismiss--Foreign Sovereign Immunities Act, Rule 12(b)

Scope

FORM No. 3-83 Affidavit of Government Official in Support of Motion To Dismiss--Foreign Sovereign Immunities Act, Rule 12(b)

Scope

FORM No. 3-84 Motion To Dismiss--Lack of Admiralty Jurisdiction; Lack of Diversity of Citizenship, Rule 12(b)

Scope

FORM No. 3-85 Motion To Dismiss--Primary Jurisdiction of Federal Maritime Commission, Rule 12(b)

Scope

FORM No. 3-86 Motion To Dismiss--Forum Non Conveniens, Rule 12(b)

Scope

FORM No. 3-87 Motion To Dismiss Forum Non Conveniens; Lack of Jurisdiction Over All Necessary Parties, Rule 12(b)

Scope

FORM No. 3-88 Motion To Transfer--Forum Non Conveniens, Rule 12(b)

```
FORM No. 3-89 Affidavit in Support of Motion To Transfer--Forum Non Conveniens, Rule 12(b)
```

FORM No. 3-90 Affidavit in Support of Motion for Change of Venue

Scope

FORM No. 3-91 Notice of Motion for Change of Venue

Scope

FORM No. 3-92 Order for Transfer of Venue, Rule 12(b)

Scope

FORM No. 3-93 Motion To Dismiss--Laches, Rule 12(b)

Scope

FORM No. 3-94 Motion To Dismiss for Failure To Join Indispensable Party Under Rule 20, Rule 12(b)

Scope

FORM No. 3-95 Motion To Dismiss Action for Declaratory Judgment Lack of Justiciable Controversy, Rule 12(b)

Scope

FORM No. 3-96 Motion To Dismiss Action for Declaratory Judgment and To Transfer Case to Civil Docket Rule 12(b)

Scope

FORM No. 3-97 Motion To Dismiss--Res Judicata; Statute of Limitations, Rule 12(b)

Scope

FORM No. 3-98 Motion To Dismiss--Res Judicata; Forum Non Conveniens Rule 12(b)

Scope

FORM No. 3-99 Notice of Motion To Dismiss--Statute of Limitations

Scope

FORM No. 3-100 Motion To Stay Action, Rule 12(b)

Scope

FORM No. 3-101 Order To Stay Action, Rule 12(b)

FORM No. 3-102 Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings--Arbitrable Issue, Rule 12(c)

Scope

FORM No. 3-103 Motion To Dismiss or for More Definite Statement--Violation of Rule E(2)--Requirements for Complaint, Rule 12(b) & (e)

Scope

FORM No. 3-104 Motion To Strike--Insufficient Defenses, Rule 12(f)

Scope

FORM No. 3-105 Order To Strike Defenses From Answer, Rule 12(f)

Scope

FORM No. 3-106 Motion by Bareboat Charterer (Third Party Defendant) To Strike Count of Vessel Owner's Third Party Complaint Seeking Indemnity for Negligence, Rule 12(f)

Scope

Form 3-107 Reply in Support of Motion to Quash Service, Rule 12(b)(4)

Scope

FORM Nos. 3-107-3-117 Reserved

FORM No. 3-118 Motion To Bring in Third-Party Defendant, Rule 14(a)

Scope

FORM No. 3-119 Summons and Complaint Against Third-Party Defendant, Rule 14(a)

Scope

FORM No. 3-120 Third-Party Complaint of Tug Company Against Vessel Owner--In Rem and In Personam

Scope

FORM No. 3-121 Third Party Complaint Against Owner of Shore Structure Alleging Failure To Maintain--Collision, Rule 14(a) and (c)

Scope

FORM No. 3-122 Third-Party Complaint of Vessel Owner Against Stevedore--Cargo Damage, Rule 14(a) and (c)

Scope

FORM No. 3-123 Third Party Complaint of Vessel Owner Against Connecting Rail Carrier--Cargo Damage, Rule 14(a) and (c)

FORM No. 3-124 Third-Party Complaint of Vessel Owner Against Seaman In Action All eging Assault on Fellow Crew Member, Rule 14(a) and (c)

Scope

FORM No. 3-125 Order To Bring in Third Party Defendant, Rule 14(a)

Scope

FORM Nos. 3-126-3-136 Reserved

FORM No. 3-137 Notice of Motion To Amend Answer, Rule 15(a)

Scope

FORM No. 3-138 Motion for Leave To File Amended Answer, Rule 15(a)

Scope

FORM No. 3-139 Affidavit in Support of Motion To File Amended Answer To Assert Defense of Limitation of Liability, Rule 15(a)

Scope

FORM No. 3-140 Motion for Leave To File Amended Complaint, Rule 15(a)

Scope

FORM No. 3-141 Motion for Leave To File Amended Complaint Requesting the Issuance of a Warrant of Arrest and Adding the Necessary Verification, Rule 15(a)

Scope

FORM No. 3-142 Motion for Leave to File Amended Complaint After Discovery and/or the Filing of a Motion to Dismiss the Complaint, Rule 15(a)

Scope

FORM No. 3-143 Motion for Leave To File Amended Complaint

Scope

FORM No. 3-144 Answer To Motion to Stay Proceeding Pending Arbitration

Scope

FORM No. 3-145 Order for Leave To File Amended Complaint, Rule 15(a)

```
FORM No. 3-146 Order To Show Cause--Amended Complaint, Rule 15(a)
```

FORM Nos. 3-147-3-157 Reserved

FORM No. 3-158 Notice of Pre-Trial Conference, Rule 16

Scope

FORM No. 3-159 Motion for Pre-Trial Conference, Rule 16

Scope

FORM No. 3-160 Order for Initial Pre-Trial Conference, Rule 16

Scope

FORM No. 3-161 Stipulation and Order Re Schedule for Pre-Trial Preparation, Rule 16

Scope

FORM No. 3-162 Pre-Trial Order--General Form, Rule 16

Scope

FORM No. 3-163 Pre-Trial Order--Breach of Towage Contract, Rule 16

Scope

FORM No. 3-164 Motion to Strike Certain Exhibits for Failure to Comply With Pre-Trial Order, or, Alternatively, to Extend Time to File a List of Trial Exhibits, Rule 16

Scope

FORM No. 3-165 Note of Issue and Statement of Readiness, Rule 16

Scope

FORM Nos. 3-166-3-176 Reserved

FORM No. 3-177 Petition To Include Real Party in Interest, Rule 17(a)

Scope

FORM No. 3-178 Motion To Substitute Real Party in Interest, Rule 17(a)

Scope

FORM No. 3-179 Motion To Dismiss--Plaintiff Not Real Party in Interest, Rule 17(a)

```
FORM No. 3-180 Order To Dismiss Unless Real Party in Interest Be Substituted, Rule 17(a)
```

FORM No. 3-181 Motion To Drop Parties Not Real Parties in Interest Pursuant to Rule 21

Scope

FORM No. 3-182 Order To Drop Parties as Not Real Parties in Interest, Rule 21

Scope

FORM No. 3-183 Motion To Drop Defendant or for Severance of Claim, Rule 21

Scope

FORM No. 3-184 Order To Sever Claims Against Defendant, Rule 21

Scope

FORM No. 3-185 Notice of Motion (Combined With Motion) for Determination That Action Is Maintainable as Class Action, Rule 23(c)

Scope

FORM No. 3-186 Order Class Action, Rule 23(c)

Scope

FORM Nos. 3-187-3-192 Reserved

FORM No. 3-193 Notice of Motion for Intervention, Rule 24(a)

Scope

FORM No. 3-194 Motion To Intervene--General Form, Rule 24(a)

Scope

FORM No. 3-195 Motion by Materialman To Intervene as Plaintiff, Rule 24(a)

Scope

FORM No. 3-196 Motion by Insurance Carrier To Intervene To Assert Lien Against Longshoreman's Recovery, Rule 24(a)

Scope

FORM No. 3-197 Affidavit in Support of Applicants' Motion To Intervene

```
FORM No. 3-198 Order Permitting Intervention, Rule 24(a)
```

FORM No. 3-199 Order Permitting Intervention on Consent, Rule 24(a)

Scope

FORM No. 3-200 Stipulation Consenting to Intervention, Rule 24(a)

Scope

FORM No. 3-201 Complaint of Intervention by Insurance Carrier for Reimbursement Out of Proceeds of Longhoreman's Recovery, Rule 24(c)

Scope

FORM No. 3-202 Order Granting/Denying Intervention, Rule 24(c)

Scope

FORM No. 3-203 Motion by Plaintiff's Representative for Substitution, Rule 25(a)

Scope

FORM No. 3-204 Order for Substitution of Plaintiff's Representative, Rule 25(a)

Scope

FORM No. 3-205 Motion by Plaintiff for Substitution of Defendant's Representative, Rule 25(a)

Scope

FORM No. 3-206 Order for Substitution of Defendant's Representative, Rule 25(a)

Scope

FORM No. 3-207 Suggestion of Death Upon the Record, Rule 25(a)

Scope

FORM No. 3-208 Motion for Substitution of Corporate Transferee, Rule 25(c)

Scope

FORM No. 3-209 Order for Substitution or Joinder of Transferee, Rule 25(c)

Scope

FORM No. 3-210 Motion To Stay Taking of Deposition, Rule 26(c)

FORM No. 3-211 Order To Stay Taking of Deposition Pending Taking of Deposition in Another Action, Rule 26(c)

Scope

FORM No. 3-212 Motion to Quash Notice of Deposition, Rule 26(c)

Scope

FORM No. 3-213 Notice of Motion to Dismiss and to Stay Discovery Pending Decision on Motion to Dismiss, Rule 26(c)

Scope

FORM No. 3-214 Joint Motion for Extension of Time Within Which to Complete Discovery, Rule 26(d)

Scope

FORM No. 3-215 Order for Completion of Discovery, Rule 26(d)

Scope

FORM No. 3-216 Motion for Leave to File Additional Interrogatories, Rule 26

Scope

FORM No. 3-217 Protective Order

Scope

Form 3-218 Ex Parte Application for Order Allowing Immediate Discovery

Scope

FORM Nos. 3-219-3-227 Reserved

FORM No. 3-228 Petition To Perpetuate Testimony Before Action, Rule 27(a)(1)

Scope

FORM No. 3-229 Motion for Commission To Take Deposition, Rule 28(b)

Scope

FORM No. 3-230 Order for Commission To Take Deposition, Rule 28(b)

Scope

FORM No. 3-231 Commission To Take Depositions, Rule 28(b)

```
FORM No. 3-232 Application and Order for Letters Rogatory, Rule 28(b)
```

FORM No. 3-233 Letters Rogatory, Rule 28(b)

Scope

FORM Nos. 3-234-3-244 Reserved

FORM No. 3-245 Notice of Deposition--General Form, Rule 30(b)

Scope

FORM No. 3-246 Notice of Deposition and To Produce--Cargo Damage, Rule 30(b)

Scope

FORM No. 3-247 Notice of Deposition and To Produce--Cargo Damage, Rule 30(b)

Scope

FORM No. 3-248 Notice of Deposition and To Produce--Collision, Rule 30(b)

Scope

FORM No. 3-249 Notice of Deposition, With Statement of Future Unavailability of Deponent, Rule 30(b)

Scope

FORM No. 3-250 Notice To Take Depositions Under Subpoena Duces Tecum--Cargo Shortage

Scope

FORM No. 3-251 Motion for Leave To Take Deposition and Enter and Obtain Production of Documents and Things on an Expedited Basis

Scope

FORM No. 3-252 Notice of Deposition--Cargo Shortage, Rule 30(b)(6)

Scope

FORM No. 3-253 Schedule of Documents--Cargo Loss

Scope

FORM No. 3-254 Order Authorizing Videotaped Depositions

Scope

FORM Nos. 3-255-3-264 Reserved

FORM No. 3-265 Notice of Deposition Upon Written Question in Foreign Country Before United States Consul, Rule 31(a)

Scope

FORM No. 3-266 Motion to Compel Answers to Interrogatories

Scope

FORM Nos. 3-267-3-277 Reserved

FORM No. 3-278 Request for Production--General Form, Rule 34

Scope

FORM No. 3-279 Motion for Expedited Discovery--Inspection of Vessel Scheduled to Leave Port, Rule 34

Scope

FORM No. 3-280 Affidavit in Support of Motion for Expedited Discovery, Cargo Plaintiff, Rule 34

Scope

FORM No. 3-281 Order for Expedited Discovery--Inspection of Vessel Scheduled to Leave Port, Rule 34

Scope

FORM No. 3-282 Request for Production--General Form, Rule 34

Scope

FORM No. 3-283 Request for Production--Prefatory Statement, Rule 34

Scope

FORM No. 3-284 Request by Plaintiff for Production of Documents--Cargo Damage, Rule 34

Scope

FORM No. 3-285 Request by Plaintiff for Production of Documents--Contamination of Cargo of Oil, Rule 34

Scope

FORM No. 3-286 Request by Defendant for Physical Inspection of Cargo Sample Taken by Plaintiff--Contamination of Cargo of Oil, Rule 34

Scope

FORM No. 3-287 Request by Plaintiff for Production of Document Cargo Lost From Ve ssel's Sinking Enroute, Rule 34

FORM No. 3-288 Request by Defendant for Production of Documents--Cargo Damage, Rule 34

Scope

FORM No. 3-289 Request by Plaintiff for Production of Documents--On-Deck Cargo Lost Overboard, Rule 34

Scope

FORM No. 3-290 Defendant Cargo Loader's Request for Production of Documents--Collapse of Container Spilling Cargo Onto Deck

Scope

FORM No. 3-291 Request by Plaintiff for Production of Documents--Collision, Rule 34

Scope

FORM No. 3-292 Request by Plaintiff for Production of Documents/Collision, Rule 34, Another Form

Scope

FORM No. 3-293 Request by Defendant for Production of Documents--Collision Involving Fishing Vessel, Rule 34

Scope

FORM No. 3-294 Request by Defendant (Charterer) to Vessel Owner for Production of Documents: General Average, Rule 34

Scope

FORM No. 3-295 Request by Plaintiff for Production of Documents--Injury, Rule 34

Scope

FORM No. 3-296 Request by Plaintiff for Production of Documents, Additional Request--Injury, Rule 34

Scope

FORM No. 3-297 Request for Production, Inspection and Copying of Documents--Seaman's Personal Injury

Scope

FORM No. 3-298 Request by Defendant for Production of Documents--Personal Injury, Rule 34

Scope

FORM No. 3-299 Request by Defendant for Production of Documents: Injury to Longshoreman, Rule 34

Scope

FORM No. 3-300 Request by Plaintiff for Production of Documents--Injury Caused by Collision, Rule 34

FORM No. 3-301 Request by Plaintiff for Production of Documents: Injury to Harbor worker, Rule 34

Scope

FORM No. 3-302 Request by Plaintiff for Production of Documents--Wrongful Death Action by Estate of Seaman, Rule 34

Scope

FORM No. 3-303 Motion To Shorten Time for Responding to Request for Production of Documents--Safety Regulation, Rule 34

Scope

FORM No. 3-304 Order for Inspection of Vessel, Rule 34

Scope

FORM No. 3-305 Request for Production of Documents--Insurance Agreement, Rule 34

Scope

FORM No. 3-306 Request by Intervening Materialman to Ship Mortgagee for Production of Documents--Sale of Vessel, Rule 34

Scope

FORM No. 3-307 Request by Plaintiff for Production of Documents--Action to Recover Unpaid Repair Charge, Rule 34

Scope

FORM No. 3-308 Request by Plaintiff for Production of Documents--Enforcement of Maritime Lien, Rule 34

Scope

FORM No. 3-309 Request by Plaintiff for Production of Documents--Action To Recover Damage for Cargo Shortage

Scope

FORM No. 3-310 Request for Production of Documents--Fire Damage to Stored Vessel

Scope

FORM Nos. 3-311-3-321 Reserved

FORM No. 3-322 Stipulation for Physical Examination, Rule 35(a)

FORM No. 3-323 Motion for Physical Examination, Rule 35(a)

Scope

FORM No. 3-324 Order for Physical Examination, Rule 35(a)

Scope

FORM No. 3-325 Motion To Require Production of Physician's Report, Rule 35(b)

Scope

FORM No. 3-326 Order Requiring Production of Physician's Report, Rule 35(b)

Scope

FORM Nos. 3-327-3-337 Reserved

FORM No. 3-338 Request for Admissions--General Form, Rule 36(a)

Scope

FORM No. 3-339 Plaintiff's Request for Admissions--Damage to Cargo During Shipment, Rule 36(a)

Scope

FORM No. 3-340 Plaintiff's Request for Admissions--Damage to Cargo During Discharge, Rule 36(a)

Scope

FORM No. 3-341 Plaintiff's Request for Admissions--Damage to Cargo While Stored at Port, Rule 36(a)

Scope

FORM No. 3-342 Defendants' Request for Admissions to Defendant Freight Forwarder--Failure to Safely Secure, Load, Stow and Care for Cargo

Scope

FORM No. 3-343 Defendants' Request for Admissions to Defendant Operating Company--Failure to Safely Secure, Load, Stow and Care for Cargo

Scope

FORM No. 3-344 Defendants' Request for Admissions to Plaintiff Cargo Shipper--Failure to Safely Secure, Load, Stow and Care for Cargo

Scope

FORM No. 3-345 Plaintiff's Request for Admissions--Collision With Moored Barge, Rule 36(a)

FORM No. 3-346 Request by Plaintiff (Vessel Owner) to Marine Insurers for Admissions--General Average, Rule 36(a)

Scope

FORM No. 3-347 Plaintiff's Request for Admissions--Maritime Lien for Fuel Oil, Rule 36(a)

Scope

FORM No. 3-348 Plaintiff's Request for Admissions--Maritime Lien for Canal Expenses, Rule 36(a)

Scope

FORM No. 3-349 Plaintiff Request for Admissions--Injury to Seaman While Descending Ladder From Barge to Crewboat, Rule 36(a)

Scope

FORM No. 3-350 Plaintiff's Request for Admissions--Injury to Jones Act Seaman, Rule 36(a)

Scope

FORM No. 3-351 Plaintiff's Request for Admissions--Injury to Seaman Struck by Falling Port Scallop Drag Assembly, Rule 36(a)

Scope

FORM No. 3-352 Plaintiff Request for Admissions--Wrongful Death Action by Estate of Jones Act Seaman, Rule 36(a)

Scope

FORM No. 3-353 Request for Admissions--Marine Insurance Policy, Claim for Loss of Vessels, Rule 36(a)

Scope

FORM No. 3-354 Motion To Shorten Time for Answers to Request for Admissions, Rule 36(a)

Scope

FORM No. 3-355 Order To Shorten Time for Answer to Request for Admissions, Rule 36(a)

Scope

FORM No. 3-356 Motion for Order That Matter Be Taken as Admitted, Rule 36(a)

Scope

FORM Nos. 3-357-3-367 Reserved

```
FORM No. 3-368 Motion To Require Responsive Answers to Interrogatories, Rule 37(a)
```

FORM No. 3-369 Motion To Compel Answers to Interrogatories, Rule 37(a)

Scope

FORM No. 3-370 Motion To Require Third-Party Defendant To Answer Interrogatories, Rule 37(a)

Scope

FORM No. 3-371 Order Requiring Party To Answer Interrogatories, Rule 37(a)

Scope

FORM No. 3-372 Motion To Compel Production of Witnesses for Deposition, Rule 37(a)

Scope

FORM No. 3-373 Order To Compel Production of Witnesses for Deposition, Rule 37(a)

Scope

FORM No. 3-374 Motion To Compel Answer to Questions Upon Oral Examination, Rule 37(a)

Scope

FORM No. 3-375 Order Compelling Answer to Question Upon Oral Examination, Rule 37(a)

Scope

FORM No. 3-376 Motion To Compel Production of Documents, Rule 37(a)

Scope

FORM No. 3-377 Order To Compel Production of Document, Rule 37(a)

Scope

FORM No. 3-378 Motion for Default for Failure to Comply With an Order Compelling Discovery, Rule 37(b)

Scope

FORM No. 3-379 Motion To Impose Sanction for Failure To Comply With an Order Compelling Discovery, Rule 37(b)

Scope

FORM No. 3-380 Order That Fact Be Taken a Established, Rule 37(b)

```
FORM No. 3-381 Motion for Expenses on Failure To Admit, Rule 37(c)
```

FORM No. 3-382 Order for Expenses on Failure To Admit, Rule 37(c)

Scope

FORM No. 3-383 Notice of Motion To Dismiss--Failure To Answer Interrogatories, Rule 37(d)

Scope

FORM No. 3-384 Motion To Dismiss--Failure To Answer Interrogatories, Rule 37(d)

Scope

FORM No. 3-385 Notice of Motion to Strike Pleadings and for Judgment by Default--Failure to Answer Interrogatories, Rule 37(d)

Scope

FORM No. 3-386 Affidavit in Support of Motion To Strike Pleadings and for Judgment by Default--Failure To Answer Interrogatories, Rule 37(d)

Scope

FORM No. 3-387 Order To Dismiss--Failure To Answer Interrogatories, Rule 37(d)

Scope

FORM Nos. 3-388-3-399 Reserved

FORM No. 3-400 Motion for Voluntary Dismissal, Rule 41(a)

Scope

FORM No. 3-401 Order of Dismissal--General Form, Rule 41(a)

Scope

FORM No. 3-402 Stipulation of Voluntary Dismissal, Rule 41(a)

Scope

FORM No. 3-403 Stipulation and Order of Dismissal

Scope

FORM No. 3-404 Stipulation and Order of Dismissal With Prejudice

FORM No. 3-405 Stipulation of Discontinuance, Rule 41(a)

Scope

FORM No. 3-406 Order of Discontinuance, Rule 41(a)

Scope

FORM No. 3-407 Motion for Order of Voluntary Dismissal of Intervening Complaint

Scope

FORM No. 3-408 Dismissal of Intervening Complaints With Prejudice and Motion for Cancellation of Stipulation for Value

Scope

FORM No. 3-409 Order to Show Cause--Involuntary Dismissal of Complaint for Lack of Prosecution, Rule 41(b)

Scope

FORM Nos. 3-410-3-420 Reserved

FORM No. 3-421 Motion for Consolidation for Trial, Rule 42(a)

Scope

FORM No. 3-422 Motion for Consolidation for Trial, Rule 42(a)

Scope

FORM No. 3-423 Affidavit in Support of Motion for Consolidation for Trial--Action Against Vessel Owner and Inland Carrier for Water Damage to Cargo, Rule 42(a)

Scope

FORM No. 3-424 Order For Consolidation for Trial, Rule 42(a)

Scope

FORM Nos. 3-425-3-435 Reserved

FORM No. 3-436 Notice of Motion--Determination of Foreign Law, Rule 44.1

Scope

FORM No. 3-437 Notice of Intent To Rely Upon Foreign Law

Scope

FORM Nos. 3-438-3-448 Reserved

FORM No. 3-449 Defendant's Request for Special Jury Questions--Seaman's Injury Action With Jones Act and

Unseaworthiness Claims, Rule 49

Scope

FORM No. 3-450 Special Verdict Interrogatories--Injury to Seaman Allegedly Caused by Unseaworthy Ship, Rule 49(a)

Scope

FORM Nos. 3-451-3-461 Reserved

FORM No. 3-462 Motion on Behalf of Defendant for Directed Verdict--Head Injury Due to Collapsing Hatch

Scope

FORM Nos. 3-463-3-473 Reserved

FORM No. 3-474 Defendant's Request for Instructions to the Jury--Seaman's Injury Action With Jones Act and Unseaworthiness Claims, Rule 51

Scope

FORM Nos. 3-475-3-485 Reserved

FORM No. 3-486 Additional Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law Suggested by Plaintiff--Action for Damage to Ship, Rule 52(b)

Scope

FORM No. 3-487 Motion for Reconsideration of Memorandum and Order Confirming Arbitration Award, Rules 52(b) and 59(a), (e)

Scope

FORM Nos. 3-488-3-498 Reserved

FORM No. 3-499 Interlocutory Decree on a Complaint In Rem and In Personam, With Reference to Master, Rule 54(b)

Scope

FORM No. 3-500 Interlocutory Decree in Action for General Average Adjustment, With Reference to Adjuster, Rule 54(b)

Scope

FORM No. 3-501 Judgment--Negligence and Unseaworthiness

Scope

FORM No. 3-502 Motion for Amendment of Judgment

```
Scope
```

FORM Nos. 3-503-3-513 Reserved

FORM No. 3-514 Request to Enter Default, Rule 55(a)

Scope

FORM No. 3-515 Affidavit in Support of Request to Enter Default, Rule 55(a)

Scope

FORM No. 3-516 Default Judgment With Order for Hearing on Damages, Rule 55(b)

Scope

FORM No. 3-517 Default Judgment--Possessory Action, Rule 55(b)

Scope

Form 3-518 Request to Enter Default, Rule 55(a)

Scope

Form 3-519 Ex Parte Application for Entry of Default Judgment, Rule 55(a)

Scope

Form 3-520 Default Judgment [Proposed]

Scope

Form 3-521 Declaration of Employee in Support of Default Judgment and Sale (With Reference to First Preferred Ship Mortgage)

Scope

FORM Nos. 3-522-3-528 Reserved

FORM No. 3-529 Notice of Motion for Summary Judgment, Rule 56(a)

Scope

FORM No. 3-530 Motion for Summary Judgment by Co-Defendant Vessel Owner--Action for Negligence Involving Bareboat Charter Party

Scope

FORM No. 3-531 Motion for Summary Judgment and/or to Dismiss, Rule 56(b)

Scope

FORM No. 3-532 Affidavit in Support of Motion for Summary Judgment Action for Repairs, Rule 56(b)

FORM No. 3-533 Order for Summary Judgment and Directing Payment of Security Deposit--Action for Repairs, Rule 56(b)

Scope

FORM No. 3-534 Motion for Summary Judgment by Defendant Vessel Owner on Grounds That Cargo Damage Did Not Occur During Ocean Carriage, Rule 56(b)

Scope

FORM No. 3-535 Affidavit in Support of Defendant Vessel Owners' Motion for Summary Judgment on Grounds That Cargo Damage Did Not Occur During Ocean Carriage, Rule 56(b)

Scope

FORM No. 3-536 Motion for Partial Summary Judgment Invoking COGSA Package Limitation--Action for Cargo Damage, Rule 56(b)

Scope

FORM No. 3-537 Notice of Motion for Summary Judgment To Dismiss Passenger's Injury Claim on Grounds of Contractual Time Bar, Rule 56(b)

Scope

FORM No. 3-538 Affidavit in Support of Motion for Summary Judgment To Dismiss Passenger's Injury Claim on Ground of Contractual Time Bar, Rule 56(b)

Scope

FORM No. 3-539 Defendant Cruise Line's Motion to Dismiss, or, in the Alternative, for Summary Judgment

Scope

FORM No. 3-540 Order Granting Motion for Summary Judgment, Rule 56(b)

Scope

FORM No. 3-541 Order for Summary Judgment and Judgment, Rule 56(b)

Scope

FORM No. 3-542 Order Denying Summary Judgment, Rule 56(b)

Scope

FORM Nos. 3-543-3-553 Reserved

FORM No. 3-554 Petition for Declaratory Judgment--Obligation To Pay for Medical Procedure Requested by

```
Seaman, Rule 57
```

FORM Nos. 3-555-3-565 Reserved

FORM No. 3-566 Satisfaction of Judgment, Rule 58

Scope

FORM No. 3-567 Consent Order for Satisfaction of Judgment, Rule 58

Scope

FORM No. 3-568 Order--Consent Judgment, Rule 58

Scope

FORM Nos. 3-569-3-579 Reserved

FORM No. 3-580 Motion for a New Trial, Rule 59(a)

Scope

FORM Nos. 3-581-3-591 Reserved

FORM No. 3-592 Motion To Vacate Judgment Dismissing Complaint and Denying Petitioner's Motion To Intervene, Rule 60(b)(4)

Scope

FORM No. 3-593 Notice of Cross Appeal

Scope

FORM Nos. 3-594-3-604 Reserved

FORM No. 3-605 Motion for Stay of Execution Pending Disposition of Motion Addressed to Judgment, Rule 62(b)

Scope

FORM No. 3-606 Order To Show Cause With Stay Provision--General Form, Rule 62(b)

Scope

FORM Nos. 3-607-3-617 Reserved

FORM No. 3-618 Notice of Lien

Scope

FORM Nos. 3-619-3-629 Reserved

FORM No. 3-630 Motion for Preliminary Injunction, Rule 65(a)

Scope

FORM No. 3-631 Order To Show Cause--Injunction, Rule 65(a)

Scope

FORM Nos. 3-632-3-642 Reserved

FORM No. 3-643 Motion for Release of Funds Pursuant to Settlement

Scope

FORM No. 3-644 Motion for Order for Distribution of Funds Pursuant to Settlement With Movant Intervening Claimant

Scope

FORM No. 3-645 Consent by Intervening Plaintiff to Motion for Release of Funds Pursuant to Settlement

Scope

FORM No. 3-646 Consent by Intervening Plaintiff to Motion for Release of Funds Pursuant to Settlement--Alternate Form

Scope

FORM No. 3-647 Order for Release of Funds Pursuant to Settlement

Scope

FORM No. 3-648 Order for Distribution of Funds Pursuant to Settlement With Movant Intervening Claimant

Scope

FORM No. 3-649 Motion for Cancellation of Bonds Posted by Various Shippers

Scope

FORM No. 3-650 Motion for Order Directing Clerk to Accept Funds in Reduction of Stipulation, to Sell Treasury Bills, and for Distribution of Funds Pursuant to Settlement

Scope

FORM No. 3-651 Consent to Motion for Order Directing Clerk to Accept Funds in Reduction of Stipulation, to Sell Treasury Notes, and for Distribution of Funds Pursuant to Settlement

Scope

FORM No. 3-652 Order Directing Clerk to Accept Funds in Reduction of Stipulation, to Sell Treasury Notes, and for Distribution of Funds Pursuant to Settlement

FORM No. 3-653 Motion for Order Directing Clerk to Accept Funds in Reduction of Stipulation and for Distribution of Funds Pursuant to Settlement

Scope

FORM No. 3-654 Plaintiff Banks' Motion for Order Directing Reduction of Stipulation Filed in Lieu of Sale Proceeds of Vessel

Scope

FORM No. 3-655 Order Directing Reduction of Stipulation

Scope

FORM Nos. 3-656-3-676 Reserved

FORM No. 3-677 Notice of Deposition of Judgment Debtor--With Restraining Notice Under State Statute

Scope

FORM Nos. 3-678-3-688 Reserved

FORM No. 3-689 Notice of Motion to Compel Arbitration, Rule 81(a)

Scope

FORM No. 3-690 Order Compelling Arbitration, Rule 81(a)

Scope

FORM No. 3-691 Motion To Confirm Arbitration Award

Scope

FORM No. 3-692 Motion of Defendant for Stay Pending Arbitration

Scope

FORM No. 3-693 Order To Stay Proceeding Pending Arbitration

Scope

FORM No. 3-694 Order Confirming Arbitration Award

Scope

FORM No. 3-695 Petition for Remand and Consolidation

```
FORM No. 3-696 Notice of Removal, Rule 81(c)
```

FORM No. 3-697 Petition for Removal, Rule 81(c)

Scope

FORM No. 3-698 Petition for Removal, Rule 81(c)

Scope

FORM No. 3-699 Petition for Removal, Rule 81(c) United States of America, Petitioner

Scope

FORM No. 3-700 Verified Statement of Facts Entitling Defendant to Removal, Rule 81(c)

Scope

FORM No. 3-701 Plaintiff's Jury Demand in Action Removed to District Court, Rule 81(c)

Scope

FORM No. 3-702 Notice of Motion To Strike Plaintiff's Jury Demand in Action Removed to District Court, Rule 81(c)

Scope

FORM No. 3-703 Notice of Motion To Remand Action Removed to District Court, Rule 81(c)

Scope

FORM No. 3-704 Affidavit in Support of Motion To Remand Action Removed to District Court, Rule 81(c)

Scope

FORM No. 3-705 Stipulation Remanding Action Removed to District Court, With Release of Bond, Rule 81(c)

Scope

FORM Nos. 3-706-3-710 Reserved

Form 3-711 Motion to Exclude Evidence of Worker's Compensation

Scope

Form 3-712 Motion to Exclude Evidence of Other Litigation

Scope

FORM Nos. 3-713-3-719 Reserved

```
Scope
```

FORM No. 3-720 Request for Writ of Foreign Attachment, Rule B(1)

Scope

FORM No. 3-721 Summons and Process of Attachment and Garnishment, Rule B(1)

Scope

FORM No. 3-722 Order to Show Cause Why Process of Attachment Should Not Be Dissolved, Rule B(1)

Scope

FORM No. 3-723 Order To Dissolve Process of Attachment and Garnishment, Rule B(1)

Scope

FORM No. 3-724 Order To Quash Attachment of Funds, Rule B(1)

Scope

FORM No. 3-725 Order Fixing Bond and Directing That Attachment Be Dissolved Upon Filing of Such Bond, Rule B(1)

Scope

FORM No. 3-726 Release of Attachment Bond, Rule B(1)

Scope

FORM No. 3-727 Bond Agreement--Substitution of Security for Attachment of Vessel, Rule B(1)

Scope

FORM No. 3-728 Affidavit of Diligence in Giving Notice, Rule B(2)

Scope

FORM No. 3-729 Interlocutory Decree on Default Where Plaintiff and Garnishee Dispute Amount Garnished, Rule B(2)

Scope

FORM No. 3-730 Answer of Garnishee to Writ of Foreign Attachment, Rule B(3)

Scope

FORM Nos. 3-731-3-741 Reserved

FORM No. 3-742 Notice to Clerk of Publication of Notice of Arrest-In Newspaper of General Circulation, Rule C(4)

FORM No. 3-743 Motion for Court To Designate Newspaper of General Circulation When Property Not Released Within Ten Days After Execution of Process, Rule C(4)

Scope

FORM No. 3-744 Motion for Publication of Notice of Attachment, Rule C(4)

Scope

FORM No. 3-745 Order Designating Newspaper of General Circulation, Rule C(4)

Scope

FORM No. 3-746 Order Directing Manner of Notice

Scope

FORM No. 3-747 Claim by Owner, Rule C(6)

Scope

FORM No. 3-748 Claim of Owner, Rule C(6)

Scope

FORM No. 3-749 Claim to Cargo, Rule C(6)

Scope

Form 3-750 Attorney Declaration in Support of Issuance of Warrant for Arrest, Rule C(3)

Scope

Form 3-751 Ex Parte Application for Order Issuing Warrant of Arrest, Rule C(3)(a)

Scope

Form 3-752 Declaration of Bank Employee in Support of Ex Parte Application for Warrant of Arrest (With Reference to First Preferred Ship Mortgage)

Scope

Form 3-753 Notice of Maritime Arrest (Marshal's), Rule C(4)

Scope

Form 3-754 Order for Issuance of Warrant of Arrest, Rule C(3)

```
Form 3-755 Warrant of Arrest, Rule C(3)(a)(with Marshal's Return)
```

FORM Nos. 3-756-3-760 Reserved

FORM No. 3-761 Order for Publication of Arrest

Scope

FORM Nos. 3-762-3-772 Reserved

FORM No. 3-773 Motion for Order Directing Marshal To Take Possession of Vessel, Rule E(4)

Scope

FORM No. 3-774 Warrant for Arrest of Vessel, Rule E(4)

Scope

FORM No. 3-775 Order Dispensing with the Prepayment of Marshal's Cost on the Arrest of a Vessel in a Seaman's Wage Action

Scope

FORM No. 3-776 Order of Issuance of Process of Maritime Attachment and Arrest

Scope

FORM No. 3-777 Arrest of Vessel: Marshal's Return, Rule E(4)

Scope

FORM No. 3-778 Arrest of Submerged Vessel

Scope

FORM No. 3-779 Request to Clerk to Issue Warrant of Arrest, Rule E(4)

Scope

FORM No. 3-780 Request to Clerk To Withhold Execution of Process, Rule E(4)

Scope

FORM No. 3-781 Motion for Order Appointing Substitute Custodian of Submerged Vessel

Scope

FORM No. 3-782 Order Appointing Substitute Custodian, Rule E(4)

```
FORM No. 3-783 Affidavit of Counsel in Support of Appointment of Substitute Custodian
```

Form 3-784 Declaration of Substitute Custodian, Rule E(4)

Scope

FORM No. 3-785 Amendment to Order Appointing Substitute Custodian of Submerged Vessel

Scope

FORM No. 3-786 Affidavit of Substitute Custodian, Rule E(4)

Scope

FORM No. 3-787 Motion to Permit Arrested Vessel to Shift Berth, Rule E(4)

Scope

FORM No. 3-788 Affidavit in Support of Motion to Permit Arrested Vessel to Shift Berth, Rule E(4)

Scope

FORM No. 3-789 Order Permitting Arrested Vessel to Shift Berth, Rule E(4)

Scope

FORM No. 3-790 Stipulation and Order Permitting Arrested Vessel to Move to Pier and Discharge Cargo, Rule E(4)

Scope

FORM No. 3-791 Claim to Vessel

Scope

FORM No. 3-792 Stipulation for Value, Rule E(5)

Scope

FORM No. 3-793 Stipulation Releasing Portion of Cargo Under Arrest, Rule E(5)

Scope

FORM No. 3-794 Order To Release Vessel, Rule E(5)

Scope

FORM No. 3-795 Order To Release Vessel, Rule E(5)

```
FORM No. 3-796 Order for Release of and Partial Discontinuance as to One Defendant Vessel, Rule E(5)
```

FORM No. 3-797 Order for Release of Vessel From Arrest Upon Voluntary Dismissal of Action, Rule E(5)

Scope

FORM No. 3-798 Consent Order Vacating Attachment, Rule E(6)

Scope

FORM No. 3-799 Release of Vessel by Marshal, Rule E(5)

Scope

FORM No. 3-800 Motion To Vacate or Reduce Security, Rule E(6)

Scope

FORM No. 3-801 Stipulation and Order Extending the Date for Release of Security

Scope

FORM No. 3-802 Order To Show Cause--Posting of Security, Rule E(5)

Scope

FORM No. 3-803 Motion for Restricted Appearance, Rule E(8)

Scope

FORM No. 3-804 Motion for Restricted Appearance

Scope

FORM No. 3-805 Motion for Restricted Appearance and To Dissolve Writ of Foreign Attachment, Rule E(8)

Scope

FORM No. 3-806 Order--Decree of Forfeiture, Rule E(9)

Scope

FORM No. 3-807 Motion for Order of Default Judgment and Sale of Vessel, Rule E(9)

Scope

FORM No. 3-808 Order of Default (With Reference to Rights of Intervenors, Rule E(9)

```
FORM No. 3-809 Notice of Motion for Interlocutory Sale of Vessel, Rule E(9)
```

FORM No. 3-810 Affidavit in Support of Motion for Interlocutory Sale of Vessel, Rule E(9)

Scope

FORM No. 3-811 Order to Show Cause-Sale of Vessel, Rule E(9)

Scope

FORM No. 3-812 Order for Interlocutory Sale, Rule E(9)

Scope

FORM No. 3-813 Order for Interlocutory Sale, With Terms, Rule E(9)

Scope

FORM No. 3-814 Motion For Order of Sale of Vessel, Rule E(9)

Scope

FORM No. 3-815 Final Judgment--Condemnation and Sale of Vessel, Rule E(9)

Scope

FORM No. 3-816 Consent Judgment With Provisions for Sale of Vessel and Satisfaction From Proceeds, Rule E(9)

Scope

FORM No. 3-817 Order--Sale of Vessel, Rule E(9)

Scope

FORM No. 3-818 Order--Sale of Vessel, Rule E(9)

Scope

Form 3-819 Order -- Sale of the Vessel (With Reference to Right for Credit Bid)

Scope

FORM No. 3-820 Order--Sale of (With Reference to Rights of Intervenors) Rule E(9)

Scope

FORM No. 3-821 Motion to Confirm Sale, Rule E(9)

FORM No. 3-822 Marshal's Notice of Interlocutory Sale

Scope

FORM No. 3-823 Motion for Confirmation of Sale (With Reference to Supporting Affidavits by Plaintiff's Attorney and Marine Surveyor, Rule E(9)

Scope

FORM No. 3-824 Affidavit by Plaintiff's Attorney in Support of Motion To Confirm Sale (With Reference to Objections of Intervenors)

Scope

FORM No. 3-825 Affidavit of Value by Marine Surveyor

Scope

FORM No. 3-826 Order Confirming Sale of Vessel, Rule E(9)

Scope

Form 3-827 Order Confirming Sale of Vessel, Rule E(9)

Scope

FORM No. 3-828 Order Confirming Sale of Vessel (With Reference to Rights of Intervenor), Rule E(9)

Scope

FORM No. 3-829 Stipulation Adjourning Sale, Rule E(9)

Scope

FORM No. 3-830 Order Directing Publication of Notice of Sale

Scope

FORM No. 3-831 Notice for Clerk of Publication of Notice of Sale, Rule E(9)

Scope

FORM No. 3-832 Motion for Order Directing the Marshal and Clerk To Pay Plaintiff for Cost of Sale and To Deposit Remainder of Proceeds in a Savings Account, Rule E(9)

Scope

FORM No. 3-833 Judgment and Order of Distribution, Rule E(9)

Scope

FORM No. 3-834 Letter of Undertaking

Form 3-835 Ex Parte Application For an Order to Appoint Substitute Custodian, Rule E(4)

Scope

Form 3-836 Memorandum of Points and Authorities in Support of Motion for Relief from Stay

Scope

Form 3-837 Proposed Notice of Marshal's Sale, Rule E(9)

Scope

Form 3-838 Writ of Venditioni Exponas, Rule E(4)

Scope

Form 3-839 Certification of Interested Parties

Scope

Form 3-840 Ex Parte Application for Confirmation of Sale of Vessel (With Reference to Foreclosure of First Preferred Ship Mortgage), Rule E(9)

Scope

Form 3-841 Ex Parte Application for Order - Sale of Vessel, Rule E(9)

Scope

Form 3-842 Order Appointing Substitute Custodian, Rule E(4)



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms CHAPTER III MOTIONS AND REMEDIES RULE 4 -- PROCESS

4-III Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 3-1

# FORM No. 3-1 Summons, Rule 4(b)

**FOOTNOTES:** 

Corporation	on, )		
Corporation	, Her En- )	Civil Action	
gines,	)	No Summons	<del></del>
Broilers, etc. n1	)	Summons	
	)		
To the above-named Defendant:			
			, plaintiff's attorney, whose address is ed upon you, within 20 [60] days after
service of this summons upon you [t	he United States Attorn	ney for the	
against you for the relief demanded i		,	.,,
Clerk			
[Seal of the U.S. District Court]			
Dated:			
(This summons is issued pursuant to	Rule 4 of the Federal I	Rules ofCivil Pro	cedure.)

(n1)Footnote 1	. If the complaint is in personam rather than in rem, substitute the nam	e of the defendant for the vessel,
i.e., "	Corporation, defendant," in lieu of "Steamship	, Her Engines,
Boilers, etc." I	f the complaint in both in rem and in personam, add "and	Corporation,
defendant."		



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms CHAPTER III MOTIONS AND REMEDIES RULE 4 -- PROCESS

4-III Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 3-2

#### FORM No. 3-2 Affidavit of Service of Process

[Caption] n1				
	, one of the attorneys for Plaintiff			
	ry, that based upon my personal knowledge, I so $O(C)(ii)$ of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure			,
	, 20, I served by certified ma			1
	_, a Summons, Complaint,			
Notice/Acknowledgmen	nt for service on defendant and a return envelop Exhibit			
2. That the	received the above-described mate	erials and	letter on	, 20
, as evidenced by	the return receipt received by this office on		20	, which receipt is
signed by "	" and dated	, 20	, and which is at	tached as Exhibit
Attorneys for Plaintiff				
FOOTNOTES:				
(n1)Footnote 1. See For	rm No. 3-1 <i>supra</i> .			



(n1)Footnote 1. See Form No. 3-1 supra.

# 4 of 157 DOCUMENTS

Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms CHAPTER III MOTIONS AND REMEDIES RULE 4 -- PROCESS

4-III Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 3-3

FORM No. 3-3 Motion for Service of Summons in Manner Prescribed by State Law, Rule 4(d)

[Caption] n1		
Now comes the Plaintiff an order permitting summons to be serve		
Under the laws of the State of in the courts of general jurisdiction of the in the following.	at state may be made under the following	-
2. The conditions for the service of the si state statute is applicable], as more fully		
Wherefore, plaintiff moves this court fo	r an order permitting summons to be ser	rved upon the defendant herein by:
Dated:		
Attorney for Plaintiff		
FOOTNOTES:		



#### Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms CHAPTER III MOTIONS AND REMEDIES RULE 4 -- PROCESS

4-III Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 3-4

# FORM No. 3-4 Motion for Service of Summons Without State Pursuant to Federal Statute, Rule 4(e)

[Caption] n1		
Now comes the Plaintiffsummons to issue to the defendant at		, and moves this court for
	ure of action], under thehereto annexe	Act, as more fully shown by the ad.
	, is not an inhabitant of, and canno nhabitant of the	
	[as more fully shown by the affidavit of h	
3. Personal service upon said defend	ant at is permitt	ted by the Act.
4. The period ofsummons served upon him at	days is a reasonable period within to appear and defend to	which defendant should be required by this action.
-	t for an order that summons issue from the	
days after service of said summons u		rarded to the United States Marshal for the
prescribed by the Federal Rules of C Dated:	ivil Procedure.	

# Attorney for Plaintiff

# **FOOTNOTES:**

(n1)Footnote 1. See Form No. 3-1 supra.



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms CHAPTER III MOTIONS AND REMEDIES RULE 4 -- PROCESS

4-III Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 3-5

FORM No. 3-5 Order for Service Without State Pursuant to Federal Statute, Rule 4(e)

[Caption] n1		
Upon motion of the plainti	ff, it is	
Ordered, that summons is	sue from this court to defendant,	, requiring said defendant to appear
and defend this action with	in days after service	e of said summons upon him, and that said
summons be forwarded by	the Clerk to the United States Marshal for the	e District of
f	or personal service upon said defendant in the	manner prescribed by the Federal Rules of
Civil Procedure.	•	•
Dated:	, 20	
United States District Judg	e e	
FOOTNOTES:		
(n1)Footnote 1. See Form	No. 3-1 <i>supra</i> .	



\_ methods:

# 7 of 157 DOCUMENTS

Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms CHAPTER III MOTIONS AND REMEDIES RULE 4 -- PROCESS

4-III Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 3-6

FORM No. 3-6 Motion for Service of Summons Without United States Pursuant to Federal Statute, Rule 4(i)

[Caption] n1		
		, and moves this court for
an order that summons issue and show	ws to the court as follows.	
		Act, as more fully shown by the
complaint herein [and the affidavit of	fhereto attach	ed].
		ot be within, any of the States of the United
States, and is an inhabitant of	, residing at	(as more fully shown by
the affidavit of	hereto annexed).	
3. Personal service upon said defenda	ant is permitted by the	Act.
4. The law of	requires that personal service be made	e by [foreign official].
5. The period of	days is a reasonable period within	which defendant should be required by
	to appear and defend	
	for an order that summons issue from the aid defendant to appear and defend this	
	pon him, and that said summons be forw	
for parsonal	l service upon said defendant by any one	o or more of the following
for persona.	i service upon said defendant by any one	e of more of the following

Dated:
United States District Judge
<b>FOOTNOTES:</b> (n1)Footnote 1. <i>See</i> Form No. 3-1 <i>supra</i> .



(n1)Footnote 1. See Form No. 3-1 supra.

# 8 of 157 DOCUMENTS

Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms CHAPTER III MOTIONS AND REMEDIES RULE 4 -- PROCESS

4-III Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 3-7

FORM No. 3-7 Order for Service Without United States Pursuant to Federal Statute, Rule 4(i)

[Caption] n1		
Upon motion of the plaintiff, it is		
Ordered, that summons issue from this cour	t to defendant	, requiring said defendant to appear
and defend this action within	days after	service of said summons upon him, and that said
summons be forwarded to	in	for personal service upon said
defendant by any one or more of the followi	ng	methods:
1 2		
3, etc.		
United States District Judge Dated:, 20		
FOOTNOTES:		



#### Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

# Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms CHAPTER III MOTIONS AND REMEDIES RULE 5 SERVICE AND FILING OF PLEADINGS AND OTHER PAPERS

4-III Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 3-8

FORM No. 3-8 Affidavit of Service on Party or Attorney by Mailing, With Variations, Rule 5(b)

[Caption] n1				
[Use Paragraph A, B or C a	as appropriate.]			
	)			
A	) )	SS		
	,			
, b	eing duly sworn, says that [ha	e/she] served the	attached upon ], on, 20,	b.
			address [or last known address of attorned]	
	) )	SS		
_				
	, being first duly sworn, depo			
	d resident of the State of		over twenty-one (21) years of age, ar	

Post Office at,		, a true copy o	f] identify papers served] in a sealed
envelope, with first-class postage thereon fully prep	paid, and	addressed to	, United States
District Attorney,, in a similarly sealed envelope, with first-class posta		; and anot	her true copy of [identify papers served]
in a similarly sealed envelope, with first-class posta	age there	on fully prepaid, and	d addressed to
and, Attorneys at Law,		,,	their last known
address.			
That and		are attorneys for A	ppellant in said cause, and have their
office in and reside at,			
mail between said City of	and said	l City of	
on the one hand, and between said City of		,	, and said City of
on the other hand. n2			
[Jurat]			
	)		
C	)	SS	
	Ś		
	j j		
On this day personally appeared before me,			
sworn, deposes and says that she is employed in the	e law off	ice of	, attorneys for the plaintiffs
in the above styled cause, and that on the		day of	, A.D. 20, at
o'clock P.M., she served a	a true and	d correct copy of [id	entify paper] upon
, the attorney of record for			
at his last known address, said copy being enclosed			
States postage stamps, by depositing said envelope,			
given below, in the post office at			, said envelope being addressed as
follows: n3			
FOOTNOTES:			
(n1)Footnote 1. See Form No. 3-1 supra.			
(n2)Footnote 2. Adapted from the papers on a S. Ct. 529, 86 L. Ed. 836 (1942).	ppeal in	Great Northern Ry	v. Co. v. United States, 315 U.S. 262, 62
(n3)Footnote 3. Adapted from the papers on a Ed. 9 (1943).	ppeal in	Meredith v. Winte	r Haven, 320 U.S. 228, 64 S. Ct. 7, 88 L.



#### Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

# Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms CHAPTER III MOTIONS AND REMEDIES RULE 5 SERVICE AND FILING OF PLEADINGS AND OTHER PAPERS

4-III Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 3-9

# FORM No. 3-9 Affidavit of Service on Party or Attorney Other Than By Mailing, With Variation, Rule 5(b)n1

[Caption] n2					
[Use Paragraph A, E	3, C, D, E, F, G, or H as	s appropriate]			
A. (Affidavit of pers	onal service on party or	on his attorney)			
		)			
		) ss			
		)			
	him personally at			upon , 20, b	y
[Jurat]					
B. (Counsel's certific	cate of service at attorne	y's office)			
I,	, attorney for plai	ntiff in the above-ent	itled action, hereby cert	ify that on the	
	day of	20	Ţ	served the attached	

	upon	, attorney for defendant, by	leaving a copy at [his/her] office at
	, with	[his/her] clerk [or, the per	son in charge thereof; or, leaving it
	place therein, there being r		
C. (Counsel's cer	rtificate of service at attorne	y's home)	
		ntiff in the above-entitled action, hereb	
		defendant, by leaving a copy at his dwe	
		on of suitable age and discretion then i	
	defendant's attorney having		<i>g ,</i>
D. (Counsel's cer	rtificate of personal service	on attorney)	
I,	, attorney for plai	ntiff in the above-entitled action, hereb	by certify that on the
		, 20, I served the at	
		defendant, by delivering a copy to [him	
		, , ,	
E. (Affidavit of s	service on party or on his att	orney by leaving it at his office)	
		says that [he/she] served the attached _	
	, the defendant here	in [or, attorney for defendant], on	, 20, by
leaving a copy at	t defendant's [or defendant's	attorney's] office at	Street
		, the person in charge thereo	
place in defenda	nt's/defendant's attorney's o	ffice, there being no one in charge]. n4	
F. (Affidavit of s	service on party or on (his/ho	er) attorney by leaving it at his home)	
	, being duly sworn,	says that [he/she] served the attached _	upon
	, the defendant here	in [or, attorney for defendant] on	, 20, by
leaving a copy at	t his dwelling house [or, usu	al place of abode] with	, a person of suitable age
	en residing therein, defenda lant's attorney having no off	nt's office [ <i>or, defendant's attorney's oj</i> ice]. n5	ffice] then being closed [or,
G. (Affidavit of	service on party or [his/her]	attorney by leaving it with Clerk of Co	ourt)
		says that [he/she] served the attached _	
	, the defendant here	in [or, attorney for defendant], on	, 20, by
leaving a copy w defendant] being		ne address of	the defendant [the attorney for the
H. (Counsel's cer	rtificate of service on attorno	ey by leaving paper with Clerk of Cour	t)
I,	, attorney for plai	ntiff in the above-entitled action, hereb	by certify that on the
	, I	served the attached	upon
		dant, by leaving a copy with the Clerk	of the Court, the address of the
defendant's attor	ney being unknown.		

# **FOOTNOTES:**

- (n1)Footnote 1. The appropriate title for each of the eight forms below is given at this head.
  - (n2)Footnote 2. See Form No. 3-1 supra.
  - (n3)Footnote 3. Requires complete affidavit form, including state and county of notarization and *jurat*.
  - (n4)Footnote 4. See Note 3 supra.
  - (n5)Footnote 5. See Note 3 supra.
  - (n6)Footnote 6. See Note 3 supra.



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER III MOTIONS AND REMEDIES
RULE 5 SERVICE AND FILING OF PLEADINGS AND OTHER PAPERS

4-III Benedict on Admiralty FORM Nos. 3-10-3-20

#### Reserved

FORM Nos. 3-10Reserved



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms CHAPTER III MOTIONS AND REMEDIES RULE 6 TIME

4-III Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 3-21

FORM No. 3-21 Stipulation Extending Time, Rule 6(b)

It is hereby stipulated between the parties hereto that an order may be entered herein extending the time of the plaintiff

[or, defendant] to \_\_\_\_\_\_\_ [name the particular act] to and including the \_\_\_\_\_\_\_ day

of \_\_\_\_\_\_, 20 \_\_\_\_\_ [or, for \_\_\_\_\_\_\_ days from the date hereof].

Dated: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

#### **FOOTNOTES:**

(n1)Footnote 1. See Form No. 3-1 supra.



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms CHAPTER III MOTIONS AND REMEDIES RULE 6 TIME

4-III Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 3-22

# FORM No. 3-22 Stipulation for Extension of Time/Salvage

Motions.

[Caption] n1			
Whereas, the State of waiving any defenses, by filing a Motion to and			
Whereas, plaintiffs have requested the State	e's consent to an extension of tir	ne for filing resp	onses to said Motions; and
Whereas, the State is willing to consent to the salvage operations concerning the subject veresponses to the State's Motions, and provide extension does not waive any points in the State payment of custodial fees to plaintiffs provided in the state of the salvage of the salva	essel will occur prior toed further that it is stipulated by state's Motions, including specif	y plaintiffs that th	days after their filing of the State's consent to this tion in its Motion to Amend
Now Therefore:			
1. The State of con of their responses to defendant's Motions.	isents to an extension of		_ days for plaintiffs' filing
2. Plaintiffs, on behalf of themselves, their e salvage operations concerning the referenced and any excavation or displacement of sand	d vessel, including specifically	but not limited to	any removal of artifacts

area lying within the three-mile limit off defendant's coast, until such time as plaintiffs file their responses to defendant's

3. Plaintiffs further stipulate any points raised in its mot	e that the consent by the State to this extension sions.	hall not be deemed a waiver by the State or
custodial fees shall accrue t	e that, notwithstanding this Court's Order of to plaintiffs during the period of time between the ntiffs' response to said Motions, except such fees	e State's filing of its Motions and fifteen
Stipulated by:		
Attorney for Plaintiffs	_	
Attorney for the State of		
Approved this, 2		
Judge	_	

# **FOOTNOTES:**

(n1)Footnote 1. See Form No. 3-1 supra.



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms CHAPTER III MOTIONS AND REMEDIES RULE 6 TIME

4-III Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 3-23

FORM No. 3-23 Order--Approval of Stipulation for Extension of Time, Rule 6(b)

[Caption] n1		
Upon consideration of the	attached stipulation, it is	
-	* **	and that the time of the plaintiff [or, defendant] to extended to and including the
		days from the date hereof].
Dated:		
United States District Judg	<del></del>	
FOOTNOTES:		
(n1)Footnote 1. See Form	No. 3-1 <i>supra</i> .	



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms CHAPTER III MOTIONS AND REMEDIES RULE 6 TIME

4-III Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 3-24

FORM No. 3-24 Motion to Extend Time, Rule 6(b)

Attorney for Plaintiff [Defendant]

[Caption] n1				
plaintiff [ <i>defendant</i> ] ma	oves the court to extend until any, or have been obtained for the de	n the ground that		No previous
or				
Plaintiff [ <i>Defendant</i> ] sh	nows to the court as follows:			
1. [Set out former proce	eedings in the case which are i	relevant to the motion.]		
2. [State facts showing	that failure to do the act requi	red within the prescribed	time was t	the result of excusable neglect.
Wherefore, plaintiff [d	efendant] moves the court for	an order enlarging the pe	riod within	which he may
	until the	day of		, 20
	_, notwithstanding the expirat	ion of the period original	ly prescrib	ed [or, as extended by order of
	day of			
aforementioned act.				
Dated:				

# **FOOTNOTES:**

(n1)Footnote 1. See Form No. 3-1 supra.



**FOOTNOTES:** 

# 16 of 157 DOCUMENTS

Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms CHAPTER III MOTIONS AND REMEDIES RULE 6 TIME

4-III Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 3-25

FORM No. 3-25 Motion to Extend Time to Answer or Otherwise Plead Pending Motion for Summary Judgment, Rule 6(b)n1

[Caption] n2		
Now comes the Defendant, to extend the time in which to answer or other Federal Rules of Civil Procedure on the follow	wise plead to and until	
1. Defendant is still preparing certain affidavit	s in support of a motion for summa	ary judgment in this matter.
2. The plaintiff's action is under the	Act for	·
3. A determination of this motion will aid the J	parties by saving time and expense	
4. A request for an extension of time to file an	answer or otherwise plead was ma	nde to plaintiff's attorneys and denied.
5. No previous application has been made to the	his court for an extension of time to	o answer or otherwise plead.
Wherefore, defendant	_ moves this court to extend the ti	me in which to answer or otherwise
Attorney for Defendant		

(n1)Footnote 1. Adapted from the papers in Hughes v. United States, 383 F. Supp. 1071 (S.D. Iowa 1973), furnished

through the courtesy of Paul A. Zoss, Esq. of the Office of the United States Attorney, Des Moines, Iowa. (n2)Footnote 2. *See* Form No. 3-1 *supra*.



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms CHAPTER III MOTIONS AND REMEDIES RULE 6 TIME

4-III Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 3-26

FORM No. 3-26 Motion for Enlargment of Time to Answer and Accompanying Affidavit (Case Removed to Federal Court), Rule 6(b)n1

[Caption] n2		
Now Come the defendants,	and	, by their attorneys,
		or an order enlarging the time in which these
		omplaint until, said
		upon the defendant
Attorney for Defendants		
Office and P.O. Address		
	)	
STATE OF	) ss	
COUNTY OF	)	
, being duly s	sworn deposes and says: That he	is one of the attorneys for the defendants in this
		t and amended complaint was made upon the
defendant in		on,
and upon defendant	at	on, at some date thereafter; that attorneys for said
defendants first received copies of said		
and will not l	have sufficient time to properly in	nvestigate the facts of this cause so as to prepare

a defendants' answer and po	ssible counterclaim within	the time required by Rule 81(c):	
That said summons on amer	nded complaint, issued by	plaintiff under process of the	Court of
the State of	for	County required said de	fendants to answer only
		utside of the State of	
said summons on amended	complaint was in fact made	e upon said defendants outside the St	ate of
, ar	nd that pursuant to said sur	nmons and the provisions of	, the
defendants would have sixty	(60) days from date of se	rvice to answer the plaintiff's amende	ed complaint with its cause to
remain in the	Court and not l	be removed to this court; and that it is	s just and equitable that the
defendants, having removed	this cause to the United S	tates District Court, should neverthel	less have sixty (60) days
from date of service on	,,	, to prepare, serv	ve, and file their answer, and
any counter-claim that migh	t be appropriate in this cau	use; and that said sixty (60) days wou	ıld expire on
·			
[Signature with name printe	d underneath]		
[Jurat]			
[Jurui]			
FOOTNOTES:			
	om naners in Ritton v. Inte	ernational Transport, Inc., 437 F.2d 8	17 (9th Cir. 1970) furnished
(11)1 oothote 1. Adapted In	m papers in Ditton v. Inte	Thansport, me., 75/1.200	17 (7th Cir. 1770), turilished

through the courtesy of Randall and Danskin, Spokane, Washington.

(n2)Footnote 2. See Form No. 3-1 supra.



Attorneys for Plaintiffs

# 18 of 157 DOCUMENTS

Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms CHAPTER III MOTIONS AND REMEDIES RULE 6 TIME

4-III Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 3-27

FORM No. 3-27 Plaintiff's Motion for an Enlargment of Time to Respond to Motion to Dismiss or Motion for Summary Judgment

[Caption] n1				
Plaintiffs.	and	, by their att	tornevs.	
	Court for an enlargement of			
= -	, to and including	_		
	Dismiss or Motion for Summ			_
first request for an enla	rgement of time for this pur	pose. Counsel for defenda	nt has been consulted	on this matter and
_	he] has no objection to this?	_		
	on, plaintiffs			
•	able to complete the work of I for plaintiffs have been occ	•	-	•
	, with trial expected to con		-	
	insel for plaintiffs has been of			
case of	and	The two above	e cases concern	
[description of claim] a	and involve extensive pretria	al preparation. The enlarge	ment of time requeste	ed will be needed to
allow counsel sufficien	t time to review defendant's	Motions and prepare the r	necessary response.	
For the foregoing reason	on, plaintiffs respectfully req	quest that their Motion for	an Enlargement of Ti	me be granted.
Respectfully submitted	,			
	<del></del>			

# **FOOTNOTES:**

(n1)Footnote 1. See Form No. 3-1 supra.



# Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms CHAPTER III MOTIONS AND REMEDIES RULE 6 TIME

4-III Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 3-28

FORM No. 3-28 Order to Extend Time, Rule 6(b)

[Caption] n1			
		until	
Ordered, that plaintiff's [de	fendant's] time to	in the above entit	tled cause be extended to and
including the	day of	, 20	
or			
		the period within which plaintiff	
		day of	
	9	that plaintiff's [defendant's] failuesult of excusable neglect, it is	re to do the aforementioned
Ordered, that plaintiff's [de	fendant's] time to	in the above entit	tled cause be extended to and
	day of		
Dated:			
United States District Judge	-		

**FOOTNOTES:** 

(n1)Footnote 1. See Form No. 3-1 supra.



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms CHAPTER III MOTIONS AND REMEDIES RULE 6 TIME

4-III Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 3-29

FORM No. 3-29 Order Granting Plaintiff Motion for Enlargment of Time to Respond to Motion to Dismiss or Motion for Summary Judgment

[Caption] n1			
And now this	day of and		
-	s are hereby granted an enlarge rnative, Motion for Summary.	*	ponse to the defendant's Motion to, 20
United States District	Judge		
<b>FOOTNOTES:</b> (n1)Footnote 1. <i>See</i> F	form No. 3-1 <i>supra</i> .		



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms CHAPTER III MOTIONS AND REMEDIES RULE 6 TIME

4-III Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 3--30

FORM No. 3--30 Order Granting Defendant's Request for an Extension of Time to File Reply Memorandum

[Caption] n1			
			, upon the defendant's by file a Reply Memorandum, it is
		ment of time to file its Reply Motion for Summary Judgment unt	•
United States District Judge	_		
<b>FOOTNOTES:</b> (n1)Footnote 1. <i>See</i> Form	n No. 3-1 <i>supra</i> .		



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms CHAPTER III MOTIONS AND REMEDIES RULE 6 TIME

4-III Benedict on Admiralty FORM Nos. 3-31-3-41

#### Reserved

FORM Nos. 3-31Reserved



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms CHAPTER III MOTIONS AND REMEDIES RULE 7 PLEADINGS ALLOWED; FORM OF MOTIONS

4-III Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 3-42

FORM No. 3-42 Notice of Motion--General Form Rule 7(b)n1

[Caption] n2			
Please take noti	ce, that the undersigned will bring the at	tached [or, above] motion on for	hearing before this court at
Room	, United States Court Hou	use, City of	, on the
<del></del>	day of 20, at	o'clock in the	of that
day or as soon th	hereafter as counsel can be heard.		
Dated:			
Attorney for De	fendant		

#### **FOOTNOTES:**

(n1)Footnote 1. This is substantially the "Notice of Motion" reproduced in Form 20 of the Official Forms annexed to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

(n2)Footnote 2. See Form No. 3-1 supra.



(n1)Footnote 1. See Form No. 3-1 supra.

#### 24 of 157 DOCUMENTS

Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms CHAPTER III MOTIONS AND REMEDIES RULE 7 PLEADINGS ALLOWED; FORM OF MOTIONS

4-III Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 3-43

FORM No. 3-43 Notice of Motion Combined With Motion--General Form, Rule 7(b)

[Caption] n1			
Please take notice	e, that the undersigned will move th	is court at Room	, United States Court
House,	City of	, on the	day of
	, 20, at	o'clock in the	of that day o
as soon thereafter ground(s) with particle.		er [set forth relief or order sought]	, on the ground(s) that [state
Attorney for Defe	endant		



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms CHAPTER III MOTIONS AND REMEDIES RULE 7 PLEADINGS ALLOWED; FORM OF MOTIONS

4-III Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 3-44

FORM No. 3-44 General Form of Order Granting or Denying Motion, Rule 7(b)

[Caption] n1
Granting motion:
This cause came on for hearing on, 20, on plaintiff's motion to, and the court having heard the argument of counsel and being fully advised, it is
Ordered, that the plaintiff's motion be granted and that
or
Upon consideration of plaintiff's motion to
It Is Ordered that the said motion be granted and that
or
Plaintiff having moved the court to, and it appearing to the court that and that the said motion should be granted, it is
Ordered that

Denying motion:		
This cause came on for hearing on	, 20	, on plaintiff's motion to
, and the court having he		
Ordered, that the plaintiff's motion be denied.		
or		
Upon consideration of plaintiff's motion to		
It Is Ordered that the said motion be denied.		
Dated:, 20		
United States District Judge		
FOOTNOTES:		
(n1)Footnote 1. See Form No. 3-1 supra.		



(n1)Footnote 1. See Form No. 3-1 supra.

#### 26 of 157 DOCUMENTS

Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms CHAPTER III MOTIONS AND REMEDIES RULE 7 PLEADINGS ALLOWED; FORM OF MOTIONS

4-III Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 3-45

FORM No. 3-45 Motion To Stay Proceedings Pending Arbitration, Rule 7(b)



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms CHAPTER III MOTIONS AND REMEDIES RULE 7 PLEADINGS ALLOWED; FORM OF MOTIONS

4-III Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 3-46

FORM No. 3-46 Affidavit in Support of Motion To Stay Proceeding Pending Arbitration, Rule 7(b)n1

[Caption] n2			
STATE OF COUNTY OF	) ) ss )		
, being duly sworn, d	leposes and says:		
1. I am a member of the firm of	, attorneys for	the defendants herei	n.
2. This is a suit brought in admiralty against the contamination of cargo carried on board the verification. Suit is brought unde negotiable bill of lading and thereby is the own	essel from r the contract of carriage	to the Pore. Plaintiff alleges that	t of t it is the lawful holder of a
3. A copy of the bill of lading is attached heret whatsoever" of the contract between the shipo and the defendants d which is attached hereto as Exhibit "B." The contract between the shipo and the defendants d	wner and the shipper, that ated	at is, the charterparty, 20	contract between, a copy of
[Insert Provision]			

- 4. This motion is brought pursuant to the Federal Arbitration Act, 9 *U.S.C.* § 3, which provides that whenever a suit is brought in a United States District Court upon any issue referable to arbitration, the Court shall stay the trial of the action until such arbitration has been had in accordance with the terms of the agreement.
- 5. Plaintiff's counsel has refused to voluntarily submit this controversy to arbitration, as is required by the terms of the contract of carriage.

Wherefore, defendants pray that this Court grant an Order referring all matters alleged in the Complaint herein to arbitration in accordance with the arbitration clause of the charterparty, which is part of the contract of carriage herein, and that all proceedings in this case be stayed until such arbitration has been had in accordance with the terms of the agreement.

Attorney for Defendants		
Sworn to and subscribed before me this	day of	, 20
[Seal]		
Notary Public		

#### **FOOTNOTES:**

(n1)Footnote 1. Adapted from papers filed in Marc Rich & Co. International Ltd. v. M/T Nikos Kazantzakis, Civ. No. 83-40 (E.D.N.Y. 1983).



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms CHAPTER III MOTIONS AND REMEDIES RULE 7 PLEADINGS ALLOWED; FORM OF MOTIONS

4-III Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 3-47

FORM No. 3-47 Notice of Motion To Compel Arbitration, Rule 7(b)

[Caption] n1

	d States Code, at a stated term for the hearing of m, United States Court House, City of	
	, 20, at	
	, or as soon thereafter as counsel can be hea	
to arbitration and t	to appoint an arbitrator not later than	days after the entry of such order and
	re to comply with the order, that an arbitrator shall	
the Respondent up	oon the <i>ex parte</i> affidavit of Petitioner's counsel.	
Please Take Furth	ner Notice that the Petitioner applies for such other	and further and different relief as to the Court may
	itable under the circumstances.	
seem just and equi Dated:		



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms CHAPTER III MOTIONS AND REMEDIES RULE 7 PLEADINGS ALLOWED; FORM OF MOTIONS

4-III Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 3-48

FORM No. 3-48 Order To Submit to Arbitration, Rule 7(b)

**FOOTNOTES:** 

(n1)Footnote 1. See Form No. 3-1 supra.



(n1)Footnote 1. See Form No. 3-1 supra.

#### 30 of 157 DOCUMENTS

Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms CHAPTER III MOTIONS AND REMEDIES RULE 7 PLEADINGS ALLOWED; FORM OF MOTIONS

4-III Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 3-49

FORM No. 3-49 Notice of Motion To Confirm Arbitrators' Award, Rule 7(b)



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms CHAPTER III MOTIONS AND REMEDIES RULE 7 PLEADINGS ALLOWED; FORM OF MOTIONS

4-III Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 3-50

FORM No. 3-50 Notice of Cross-Motion To Vacate Award of Arbitrator, Rule 7(b)

[Caption] n1		
Please Take Notice, that upon the annexed affidavit		
day of 20, the exhibits thereto, and all the plea	• •	-
cross-move this Court at Room	, United States C	Courthouse, City and State of
, on the	day of	, 20
, at	_ P.M. or as soon the	reafter as counsel can be heard, for an Order
pursuant to the provisions of the United States Arbita and for such other, further or different relief as may	ration Act, 9 U.S.C. §	3 10, vacating the award of arbitrators herein;
Dated:	J. J. T.	
Attorney for Respondent		
FOOTNOTES:		
(n1)Footnote 1. See Form No. 3-1 supra.		



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms CHAPTER III MOTIONS AND REMEDIES RULE 7 PLEADINGS ALLOWED; FORM OF MOTIONS

4-III Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 3-51

FORM No. 3-51 Motion for Leave To File Supplementary Memorandum in Support of Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment, Rule 7(b)n1

[Caption] n2	
Now Comes the plaintiff, by and through its counsel,, and requests leave of the Court to file the memorandum attached hereto supplementary to its Memorandum in Su Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment.	
Plaintiff requests leave to take the opportunity to address itself to the decision of the	
Counsel for defendants, having been advised of the filing of this motion, does not oppose the granting of the	same.
Wherefore, it is respectfully requested that the Court grant movant leave to file the above Supplementary Memorandum.	
Attorney for Plaintiff	

#### **FOOTNOTES:**

(n1)Footnote 1. Adapted from papers in Amerace Corp. v. National Labor Relations Court, 431 F. Supp. 453 (D. Tenn. 1977), furnished through the courtesy of Jon P. McCalla, Armstrong Allen Braden Goodman McBridge & Prewitt, Memphis, Tennessee.



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms CHAPTER III MOTIONS AND REMEDIES RULE 7 PLEADINGS ALLOWED; FORM OF MOTIONS

4-III Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 3-52

FORM No. 3-52 Motion for Leave to File Brief (or Memorandum of Law) With Excess Number of Pages, Rule 7(b)n1

[Caption] n2		
brief [or memorandum of	law] in opposition to defendant's motion to _	, moves this Court for leave to file a and in support of a motion, plaintiff shows the Court as follows:
	, Plaintiff has combined its brief in opposition and in support of its motion for	
	pages in length, or of this Court's rules.	pages in excess of the number
3. The extra length in the	orief was necessary to properly present Plain	tiff's position with respect to both motions.
Wherefore, it is prayed th	at this Court grant leave for Plaintiff to file s	uch brief.
Attorney for Plaintiff	_	
FOOTNOTES:		

(n1)Footnote 1. Adapted from papers in DiFoggio v. United States, 484 F. Supp. 233 (N.D. Ill. 1979), furnished

through the courtesy of William J. Wise, Coles & Wise, Ltd., Chicago, Illinois.



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms CHAPTER III MOTIONS AND REMEDIES RULE 7 PLEADINGS ALLOWED; FORM OF MOTIONS

4-III Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 3-53

FORM No. 3-53 Order Denying Plaintiff's Motion To Reconsider, Rule 7(b)

[Caption] n1
On this day came on to be considered Plaintiff's Motion to Reconsider, and after careful consideration, this Court is of the opinion and does find that said Motion should be denied. It is therefore,
Ordered, Adjudged and Decreed that Plaintiff's Motion to Reconsider be DENIED.
Signed and Entered this day of 20
District Court Judge

## **FOOTNOTES:**



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms CHAPTER III MOTIONS AND REMEDIES RULE 7 PLEADINGS ALLOWED; FORM OF MOTIONS

4-III Benedict on Admiralty FORM Nos. 3-54-3-64

## Reserved

FORM Nos. 3-54Reserved



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms CHAPTER III MOTIONS AND REMEDIES RULE 10 FORM OF PLEADINGS

4-III Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 3-65

FORM No. 3-65 Motion to Compel Separate Paragraphing of Complaint, Rule 10(b)

[Caption] n1		
Now Comes the Defendant	by his attorneys,	, and moves the court
for an order requiring plaintiff to serve	e and file an amended complaint in which plaintiff	's averments of claim are set
forth in separate numbered paragraphs	s, each paragraph to be limited to a statement of a s	single set of circumstances, on
the ground that such averments of clai	m [or, the averments of claim in paragraphs	and
of plaintiff's	complaint] are not now so set forth.	
Attorney for Defendant		
FOOTNOTES:		
(n1)Footnote 1. See Form No. 3-1 sup	ora.	



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms CHAPTER III MOTIONS AND REMEDIES RULE 10 FORM OF PLEADINGS

4-III Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 3-66

FORM No. 3-66 Motion to Compel Statement of Claims in Separate Count, Rule 10(b)

[Caption] n1		
	by its attorneys,	
an order directing plaintiff(s) to serve an	amended complaint herein, stating in separate	counts each of the alleged
claims for relief set forth in the complain	nt [or, the claim(s) asserted by plaintiff	and the
claim(s) asserted by plaintiff	, respectively; the claim(s) assert	ted against defendant
and the claim(.	s) asserted against defendant	] on the ground that said
claims are founded upon separate transactories presentation of the matters set forth, in the	ctions [or occurrences] and separate statement hat	is essential to the clear
Attorney for Defendant		
FOOTNOTES:		
(n1)Footnote 1. See Form No. 3-1 supra	i.	



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms CHAPTER III MOTIONS AND REMEDIES RULE 10 FORM OF PLEADINGS

4-III Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 3-67

FORM No. 3-67 Motion to Compel Separate Paragraphing of Defenses, Rule 10(b)

[Caption] n1			
Now Comes the Plaintiff	by its attorney	s	_ and moves the court to
order defendant to serve and file an amer	nded answer stating in sep	parate numbered paragrap	hs his averments of defense
each paragraph to be limited to a stateme	ent of a single set of circui	mstances, on the ground t	hat such averments of
defense [or, the averments of defense in ]	paragraphs	and	of
defendant's answer] are not now so set for	orth.		
Attorney for Plaintiff			
FOOTNOTES:			
(n1)Footnote 1. See Form No. 3-1 supra	! <b>.</b>		



## Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER III MOTIONS AND REMEDIES
RULE 11 SIGNING OF PLEADINGS, MOTIONS, AND OTHER PAPERS; SANCTIONS

4-III Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 3-68

## FORM No. 3-68 Motion for Fees and Expenses

[Caption] n1		
Now Comes the Defendant,	ntiffs and their counsel, particularly for e amount of reasonable expenses and f	or an Order pursuant to the Federal
The defendant states as reasons therefor that texisting law or a good faith argument for the 20, (and judgment entered onneedless delay and an increase in the cost of the cos	reversal of this Court's opinion and de , 20), and the p	ecision of,
The defendant attaches hereto its Memorandu Judgment attached hereto in support of this m	= =	Judgment or For Relief From
Attorneys for Defendant		
FOOTNOTES:		



#### Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER III MOTIONS AND REMEDIES
RULE 12 DEFENSES AND OBJECTIONS--WHEN AND HOW PRESENTED--BY PLEADING OR
MOTION--MOTION FOR JUDGMENT ON PLEADINGS

4-III Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 3-69

FORM No. 3-69 Motion To Dismiss--General Defenses, Rule 12(b)n1

[Caption] n2		
FIRST DEFENSE		
The complaint fails to state a claim against defendant upon	which relief can be granted.	
SECOND DEFENSE		
If defendant is indebted to plaintiffs for the goods mentione [Joint debtor] is alive; is a citizen this district, is subject to the jurisdiction of this court, as to without depriving this court of jurisdiction of the present pa	n of the State ofboth service of process and venue; can be	and a resident of
THIRD DEFENSE		
Defendant admits the allegations contained in paragraphs _ complaint; alleges that he is without knowledge or informat allegations contained in paragraph _ allegation contained in the complaint.	tion sufficient to form a belief as to the tru	ith of the

## FOURTH DEFENSE

The right of action set forth in the complaint did not accrue within six years next before the commencement of this action.

#### **COUNTERCLAIM**

[Here set forth any claim as a counterclaim in the manner in which a claim is pleaded in a complaint. No statement of the grounds on which the court's jurisdiction depends need be made unless the counterclaim requires independent grounds of jurisdiction.]

## CROSS-CLAIM AGAINST DEFENDANT

[Here set forth the claim constituting a cross-claim against defendant in the manner in which a claim is pleaded in a complaint. The statement of grounds upon which the court's jurisdiction depends need not be made unless the cross-claim requires independent grounds of jurisdiction.]

## **FOOTNOTES:**

(n1)Footnote 1. This is substantially Form 20 of the Official Forms annexed to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.



#### Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER III MOTIONS AND REMEDIES
RULE 12 DEFENSES AND OBJECTIONS--WHEN AND HOW PRESENTED--BY PLEADING OR
MOTION--MOTION FOR JUDGMENT ON PLEADINGS

4-III Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 3-70

FORM No. 3-70 Notice of Motion To Dismiss, Rule 12(b)

Attorney for Defendant

[Caption] n1
Please Take Notice that upon the attached affidavit of, sworn to the day of 20, and upon all the pleadings and proceedings heretofore had herein, the undersigned will move this Court before the Honorable on the day of 20 in Room of the United States Courthouse, in the City of, at o'clock in the forenoon of that day or as soon thereafter as counsel can be heard for an order pursuant to Rule 12(b) of the Rules of Civil Procedure for the following relief:
can be heard, for an order pursuant to Rule 12(b) of the Rules of Civil Procedure for the following relief:  1. To dismiss the action or in lieu thereof quash the return of summons on the ground that defendant is a corporation
organized under the laws of and was not and is not subject to service of process within the District or State of
2. To dismiss the complaint on the ground that the action is barred by the statute of limitations.
3. To dismiss the complaint because the court lacks jurisdiction over the subject matter.
Please Take Further Notice that you are hereby required to serve any and all affidavits to be used in answer to this motion on the undersigned one day before the return date.  Dated:

# **FOOTNOTES:**



#### Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER III MOTIONS AND REMEDIES
RULE 12 DEFENSES AND OBJECTIONS--WHEN AND HOW PRESENTED--BY PLEADING OR
MOTION--MOTION FOR JUDGMENT ON PLEADINGS

4-III Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 3-71

FORM No. 3-71 Motion To Dismiss--Failure To State Claim: Lack of Service of Process; Improper Venue; Lack of Jurisdictional Amount, Rule 12(b)n1

[Caption] n2		
Now Comes the defendantfollows:	, by its attorneys,	, and moves the court as
1. To dismiss the action because the complaint fa	ails to state a claim agair	nst defendant upon which relief can be granted.
2. To dismiss the action or in lieu thereof to quas	h the return of service o	f summons on the grounds (a) that the
defendant is a corporation organized under the la	ws of	and was not and is not subject to
service of process within the	District of	, and (b) that the defendant
has not been properly served with process in this	action, all of which mo	re clearly appears in the affidavits of
and		
respectively.		<del></del>
3. To dismiss the action on the ground that it is in solely on the ground that the action arises under to corporation incorporated under the laws of the St business in the District	the Constitution and law tate of	ys of the United States and (b) the defendant is a and is not licensed to do or doing
affidavits of and	here	to attached as Exhibits
and	respectively.	

4. To dismiss the action on the ground that the court lacks jurisdiction because the amount actually in controversy is less

Dated:
Attorney for Defendant
<b>FOOTNOTES:</b> (n1)Footnote 1. This is substantially Form 20 of the Official Forms attached to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure

than fifty thousand dollars exclusive of interest and costs.



[Caption] n2

## 43 of 157 DOCUMENTS

#### Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER III MOTIONS AND REMEDIES
RULE 12 DEFENSES AND OBJECTIONS--WHEN AND HOW PRESENTED--BY PLEADING OR
MOTION--MOTION FOR JUDGMENT ON PLEADINGS

4-III Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 3-72

FORM No. 3-72 Motion To Dismiss-Stevedore and Compensation Insurer Not Real Parties in Interest in Longshoreman's Injury Suit Against Vessel Owner, Rule 12(b)n1

[ •]					
				[Insurance	
Company], by its at	torneys,	pursuant to th	e Federal Rules of Ci	ivil Procedure, and particular	rly
Rule 12(b)(6) there	of, move this court to	dismiss Count	of plai	ntiff's complaint filed agains	t
	and	and in supp	ort of the Motion state	e the following facts:	
1. Plaintiff has com	menced the instant act	tion to recover for persona	al injuries sustained or	n or about	
	, 20, aboa	rd the vessel	, owned a	and operated by defendant,	
	•				
2. At the time of the	e accident that is the su	abject matter of the suit, p	laintiff was employed	l by	_ as
a longshoreman and	d was covered by the L	Longshoremen and Harbon	Workers' Compensa	tion Act, as amended in 1972	2,
33 U.S.C. §(EN)90	1, et seq.	-	_		
	•				
3. Plaintiff's medica	al expenses resulting fr	rom his alleged injuries ha	we been paid by	pursuai	nt
				plaintiff pursuant to the Act	
		, to			
	's compensation				
4	and	have asse	rted a medical and co	ompensation lien against any	
recovery by plaintif	ff in this matter pursua	ant to the Act and the law	applicable thereto.		

5. In Count	of the Complain	t, plaintiff has requested tha	t the court enter a Declaratory
			parties in interest in the third party
action against	to the extent of	of their medical and compen	sation liens, that they be joined as
involuntary plaintiffs, and t	that plaintiff may bring this	s action for damages to whic	th he may be entitled in excess of said
liens separate and apart fro	m the lien claims of	and	·
		ate, as (1) this court lacks ju	
			tory scheme exists to reconcile the
			) there is no actual controversy
between		, and plaintiff.	
7 C . H C 1 : .: CO	1: (6:1)	1 ' 1 ' 1' C ' 1	. 1
		n upon which relief can be g	
		_ are real parties in interest t	to this action nor are they susceptible
to joinder as involuntary pl	aintiffs.		
8 Count II of plaintiff's cou	mnlaint fails to set forth a c	cause of action upon which i	relief can be granted, as plaintiff's
_	=	=	asserted is contrary to the terms and
			ded in 1972, and the law applicable
thereto, as is more fully set		-	ded in 1972, and the law applicable
unorous, as is more raily see	10101111101010101010101	or zaw www.	
9. Plaintiff has failed to set	forth a claim against	and	upon which
relief can be granted.	ζ		1
C			
Wherefore,	[Shipping Comp	pany] and	[Insurance Company] pray
			issed pursuant to Rule 12(b)(6) of the
			elief as may be just and appropriate.
	_		
Attorney for Defendants			

## **FOOTNOTES:**

(n1)Footnote 1. Form adapted from papers filed in Passman v. Companhia de Navegacao Maritima Netumar, 544 F. Supp. 451 (E.D. Pa. 1982), *aff'd*, 725 F.2d 669 (3d Cir. 1983), furnished through the courtesy of Thomas S. Brown, Esq., Hecker Rainer and Brown, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania.



#### Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER III MOTIONS AND REMEDIES
RULE 12 DEFENSES AND OBJECTIONS--WHEN AND HOW PRESENTED--BY PLEADING OR
MOTION--MOTION FOR JUDGMENT ON PLEADINGS

4-III Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 3-73

FORM No. 3-73 Motion To Dismiss--Lack of Jurisdiction Over the Person, Rule 12(b)

[Caption] n1			
quash the return of summons or	, and moves  the ground that the defendant is a as not and is not subject to service	corporation organized under the	e laws of the State of
	, as more clearly appears in the		
	o attached as Exhibit		
respectively.	dutation us Emilon	and 2/mort	
Dated:	-		
Attorneys for Defendant			
or [where service is without the	district]		
	, a		
	noves the court, pursuant to Federa		
	n for and upon the grounds that thi		
	; that it is a		
	ot doing business in the State of		
-	the type which would provide a ba		
under the	"long-arm" statutes,		et seq.

Attached hereto is the Affidavit of	, which is submitted in support of this Motion to Dismiss.
Additionally, defendant is filing simultaneously he	rewith its Brief in Support of Motion to Dismiss.

Wherefore, defendant prays that upon consideration of this Motion, the Court enter an Order dismissing the plaintiff's Complaint for and upon the grounds above set forth. n2

## **FOOTNOTES:**

(n1)Footnote 1. See Form No. 3-1 supra.

(n2)Footnote 2. Form adapted from papers in *Jem Engineering & Manufacturing, Inc. v. Toomer Electric Company, Inc., 413 F. Supp. 481 (D. Okla. 1976)*, furnished through the courtesy of William C. Anderson, Esq., Doerner, Stuart, Saunders, Daniel & Langenkamp, Esqs., Tulsa, Oklahoma.



#### Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

# Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms CHAPTER III MOTIONS AND REMEDIES RULE 12 DEFENSES AND OBJECTIONS--WHEN AND HOW PRESENTED--BY PLEADING OR MOTION--MOTION FOR JUDGMENT ON PLEADINGS

4-III Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 3-74

FORM No. 3-74 Affidavit in Support of Motion To Dismiss for Lack of Jurisdiction Over the Person, Rule 12(b)n1

[Caption] n2			
	, residing at	, being duly swo	orn, deposes and says:
under and by virtue of		wi	s a corporation organized and existing th an office and principal place of
vacate the service of t motion to dismiss are this Honorable Court. or bank accounts in the	he summons and complaint and to that defendant, It is a non-resident of the State of the State of	dismiss the action aga [Shipping Corp and the claim asserte	[Shipping Corporation] to inst said defendant. The grounds for this oration], is not within the jurisdiction of and does not have any office or agent d in the complaint did not arise from any the State of
	[Shipping Corporation] is e		of ocean carriage and is not the owner of
			[Steamship Company] pursuant to a
charter party dated			then sub-chartered the vessel to
4. I have been inform	ed that plaintiffs,	and	, commenced this action

## 4-III Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 3-74

as owners of a shipment of	carried pursuant to a bill of lading issued by		
for carriage from	to	to recover damages for	
alleged shortage and damage to that shipment.			
5[Shipping Corporate	ion] was not a party to any bills of la	ading or contract for the carriage of	
goods to a port within this Court's jurisdiction as	nd had no contact of any kind with t	his jurisdiction.	
6. In summary, the defendant,			
, is not present in the S	tate, has never conducted business in	n the State, and the claims asserted	
in the complaint did not arise out of any transact	tion of business by	[Shipping Corporation] in	
the State of			
Deponent			
Sworn to and subscribed before me this	day of	, 20	
·			
[Seal]			
U.S. Consular Officer			
FOOTNOTES:			

(n1)Footnote 1. Form adapted from papers filed in ICC Handels, A.G. v. S/S Seabird, 544 F. Supp. 58 (S.D.N.Y. 1982), furnished through the courtesy of Jane Elizabeth Lawson, Esq., Kirlin, Campbell & Keating, New York, New York.



#### Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER III MOTIONS AND REMEDIES
RULE 12 DEFENSES AND OBJECTIONS--WHEN AND HOW PRESENTED--BY PLEADING OR
MOTION--MOTION FOR JUDGMENT ON PLEADINGS

4-III Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 3-75

FORM No. 3-75 Motion To Dismiss for Lack of Jurisdiction Over the Person--No Lawful Service, Rule 12(b)n1

[Caption] n2
Pursuant to <i>Rule 12(b)</i> of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, defendant,, appearing specially herein through its undersigned counsel for the limited purpose of contesting service of process and personal jurisdiction, hereby moves the Court to dismiss this action against defendant on the grounds that no lawful service of process has been made on defendant and that defendant is not subject to the jurisdiction of this Court. In support of this motion, defendant relies upon (1) the complaint and (2) the affidavit of, dated, 20 filed herewith.
Wherefore, defendant respectfully prays that the Court grant this motion and that plaintiff's action against defendant be dismissed for lack of service of process and want of personal jurisdiction over defendant, or that the service of process be quashed, and grant such further relief as the Court may find just and appropriate under the circumstances.
Attorney for Defendant
FOOTNOTES:

(n1)Footnote 1. Form adapted from papers filed in Robinson v. Ravenel Co., Inc., 411 F. Supp. 294 (N.D. Ga 1976), furnished through the courtesy of Frederick K. Heller, Jr., Esq., Kilpatrick, Cody, Rogers, McClatchey & Regenstein, Esq., Atlanta, Georgia.



#### Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER III MOTIONS AND REMEDIES
RULE 12 DEFENSES AND OBJECTIONS--WHEN AND HOW PRESENTED--BY PLEADING OR
MOTION--MOTION FOR JUDGMENT ON PLEADINGS

4-III Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 3-76

## FORM No. 3-76 Affidavit in Support of Motion To Dismiss for Improper Service on Corporation

[Caption] n1		
, being duly sworn, depor	ses and says:	
That I am an associate of the firm of the above-entitled action.	, attorneys for the defendant,	, in
That I am familiar with the facts and circumstance office.	s of this matter being based upon the file ma	aintained thereon in my
I submit this affidavit in support of the motion for Corp., on the grounds set Law.	0.1	
This action embraces a claim for personal injury to which happened aboard a ship known as the "		
That upon a personal review of the Court files, it a was filed with this Court on or about	, 20 A copy of said filing	g obtained from the Court
That as can be seen from plaintiff's amended comp		

allegedly incurred by the plaintiff on	, 20 w	hile plaintiff was we	orking aboard a vessel
known as the "" allege	edly owned by the codefenda	.nt	·
Apparently, this action seeks recovery for the n Longshoremen's and Harbor Workers' Compen			, pursuant to the
That upon information and belief, the defendant, 20, when a ris	k manager by the name of _		received a telephone
call from, attorney for	r the	_, inquiring as to _	's
legal representation in this matter.			
Apparently, upon information and belief, as a r of the amended complaint to			
Whereupon, your affiant reviewed this Court's attempted personal service upon the defendant,	, in	this action by perso, 20 A	onal delivery to one copy of plaintiff's
alleged affidavit of service is attached hereto for	or this Court's convenience as	s Exhibit	[omitted].
Your affiant then contacted Termstevedores at the to	ninal from approximately		
However, the stevedores at said terminal on the had ceased to be steve			
Your affiant is informed that upon information personal service on behalf of was effected, is in your affiant's assumption that, as such, complaint to the codefendant, the amended summons and complaint.	n fact an employee of the cod merely for	warded the copy of	It is said summons and
That annexed hereto as Exhibit Said affi	davit clearly sets forth that _		
served with a copy of the summons and compla	aint in the above-entitled acti	on.	
Further, said affidavit sets forth that but, in fact, upon info on the date of alleged	rmation and belief, was not	son authorized to ac even an employee o	ecept service on behalf of r agent of
On, your a of this Court for a sta discussed.			
Judge directed that a said conference, and that plaintiff's attorney ser said motion, and that, in turn, the defendant, days of service of pla	ve opposition papers within, serve		days of service of

That as is more fully set out in the accompanying Memorandum of Law, this motion should be granted since the

defendant,	_, has never been properly served with a copy of plaintiff's summons and complaint		
with the 120-day period subsequent	to filing provided by Rule 4(j) of the Federal Rules of Ca	ivil Procedure and that said	
claim is further time barred by the th	nree-year statute of limitations which ran on	, 20	
Attorney for Defendant			
Sworn to before me this			
day of	, 20		
Notary Public			
FOOTNOTES:			
(n1)Footnote 1. See Form No. 3-1 s	upra.		



#### Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER III MOTIONS AND REMEDIES
RULE 12 DEFENSES AND OBJECTIONS--WHEN AND HOW PRESENTED--BY PLEADING OR
MOTION--MOTION FOR JUDGMENT ON PLEADINGS

4-III Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 3-77

FORM No. 3-77 Appearance by State Attorney General and Motion To Vacate Arrest and To Dismiss for Lack of Jurisdiction

[Caption] n1			
, Attorney Gener	al of	, and	, Assistant
Attorney General, appear specially on beh	alf of the State of	, v	without waiving the State's
sovereign immunity, and move this Court	to vacate the arrest effe	cted by the United Sta	ates Marshal and to dismiss the
Complaint filed by Plaintiffs. As reasons f	for the State's motion, th	ey state:	
1. The abandoned, wrecked, and unidentif from the coordinates in the heading of the territorial and navigable waters of the Stat property of the State.	case and from the Com	plaint, under an undet	termined amount of sand beneath
2. Pleadings filed by the Plaintiffs indicate that sank in 18 _		e Defendant property	consists of the remains of a
3. Under § of the, any object of his			
or controlled by the state is the property of vessels and their effects recovered from te property in fact consists of the remains the	the state. Moreover, urritorial waters of the St	nder the State's sovere tate belong to the Stat	eign prerogative, abandoned e. Therefore, if the Defendant

	•	•	corporations against the State of	
claims of	corporations.	Because this is an acti	on in which corporate citizens st be dismissed, and the Defer	s of the State of
must be released fro		i State property, it mus	st be dismissed, and the Defei	idani State property
they have found or le	Č i	y and that they intend	They have merely alleged in the to raise and recover that proper salvage.	
	vessel is not presently engague upplemental Admiralty Rule		mmerce and therefore is not a	proper object of a
proper service under		le D, in that they have	of a petitory suit, Plaintiffs hat not given notice in the mann	
Court's admiralty jun	risdiction. Furthermore, there	is no diversity of citiz	alvage or as a petitory action, zenship, no allegation of the a y without jurisdiction in the c	mount in
For all of the reason	s stated, the State of	prays	s:	
1. That the arrest of	the Defendant property be va	cated and that the prop	perty be released from custod	y;
2. That the Complain	nt of the Plaintiffs be dismiss	ed for want of jurisdic	ction over the Defendant prope	erty;
3. That the Complain	nt of the Plaintiffs be dismiss	ed for want of subject	matter jurisdiction;	
4. Such other and fu	rther relief as the Court may	find appropriate.		
Attorney General				
Assistant Attorney C	General			
FOOTNOTES:				
(n1)Footnote 1. See	Form No. 3-1 supra.			



#### Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER III MOTIONS AND REMEDIES
RULE 12 DEFENSES AND OBJECTIONS--WHEN AND HOW PRESENTED--BY PLEADING OR
MOTION--MOTION FOR JUDGMENT ON PLEADINGS

4-III Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 3-78

FORM No. 3-78 Notice of Motion To Dismiss--Lack of Subject Matter Jurisdictionn1

[Caption] n2				
SIRS:				
	te that, upon the complaint day of			nis Court on the p.m., or as soon
thereafter as couns	sel may be heard, for an ord	der pursuant to Fed. I	R. Civ. P. 12(b)	(1) dismissing the complaint for lack of clief as to the court may seem just and
Dated:				
Attorneys for Defe	endant			

## **FOOTNOTES:**

(n1)Footnote 1. Form adapted from papers filed in Continental Cameras Co. v. FOA & Son Corporation, 658 F. Supp. 287 (S.D.N.Y. 1987). Papers furnished through the courtesy of Rivkin, Radler, Dunne & Bayh, Uniondale, New York.



#### Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER III MOTIONS AND REMEDIES
RULE 12 DEFENSES AND OBJECTIONS--WHEN AND HOW PRESENTED--BY PLEADING OR
MOTION--MOTION FOR JUDGMENT ON PLEADINGS

4-III Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 3-79

FORM No. 3-79 Affidavit in Support of Motion To Dismiss--Lack of Subject Matter Jurisdictionn1

[Caption] n2	
, being duly sworn, deposes and says:	
1. I am an attorney associated with the firm of atto I submit this affidavit in support of the motion of	
Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(b)(1) dismissing the complaint of	<del>-</del>
This case is before the court on plaintiffs' contention that subject matter juri	•
jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1333. As will be demonstrated below, the	he claims for relief stated against defendant
do not arise under the admiralty jurisdiction of this court.	
2. This action arises from a purported cancellation of an ocean marine open	cargo insurance policy with endorsements
covering goods stored in domestic warehouses. The policy was issued by _	[Insurance
Company] to Defendant was the broker for	in procuring the
insurance. It is claimed by plaintiffs that defendant, upon learning of the car	ncellation from
[Insurance Company] failed to notify the insureds of such cancellation and	failed to procure alternative insurance. As a
result, it is claimed that certain inventory goods located in a warehouse in _	known as the
warehouse were destroyed in a fire on	, 20, without the benefit
of having fire insurance in place to cover the loss.	

3. Plaintiffs' complaint alleges jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1333 claiming that this action arises from a breach of duties under a maritime insurance contract. A copy of plaintiffs' complaint is attached hereto as Exhibit "A". A copy of defendant's answer denying the jurisdictional allegation is attached hereto as Exhibit "B". Defendant was merely a

		e of the policy. As a broker, defendant ac	C
	in collecting and submitting	g cargo reports and premiums. Defendan	t also acted as
	's agent for receipt of cancel	lation notices. Plaintiffs, in paragraph 7	of their complaint,
characterize these brok	er functions as a contractual ur	ndertaking by defendant under the	-
		as not a party to that contract, either by re	
		behalf of, or b	
on bobolf of	Company on	policy, in pertinent part, is annexed as I	Exhibit "C"
on behan of	The insurance	poncy, in pertinent part, is annexed as i	EXHIBIT C.
4. In connection with the	he above, paragraph	of the policy, entitled "R	Reporting Clause" reads, as
follows:			
[Insert Provision]			
Paragraph	does not make de	efendant a party to this contract. Nor doe	es it obligate defendant
under the insurance pol	licy to do anything, as plaintiff	fs would have this court believe (see par-	a
of the complaint). Rath	er, it is a clause wherein	, as the assured, war	rants to report and pay
premiums to	Insurance Co.,	, either directly to this insurance compan	y or through defendant.
		rts and premiums to the insurance compa	
		undertaking within the four corners of the	
5. Plaintiffs' complaint	in paragraph	admits that defendant was a me	ere "broker" and
		e specific terms of the contract of insura	
presumably referring to	paragraph	of the policy, which reads as foll	ows:
relating to, connected v	with or affecting this insurance	gents of the Assured and not of the Come. Any notice given or mailed by or on be insurance or its cancellation shall be deer	ehalf of the Company to
The plain language of p	paragraph	evidences the understanding and in	ntent that defendant, as the
"intermediary" in the p	olicy, was the "agent of the ass	sured," and not of	[Insurance Company]
As an "agent" and "inte	ermediary," it is clear defendan	nt was not a party to the insurance contra	act. Thus, while
		_ Insurance Co.'s contract may refer to	
	1 7 1	cy or obligated under it. Any obligations, and, even if related t	•
		he transmission of premiums or the recei	
policy, defendant perfo agreement with	rmed these duties on behalf of to service th	f, pursuant to it he policy, and not as a party to the insura	ts own separate agency ance contract. Thus.
plaintiffs' allegation the	at this lawsuit arises from a pur	rported breach arising of a "marine insur	rance contract" is utterly
= =		contradiction of the clear language of the	
	-	gence, broker malpractice or breach of a	
		ot the subject of admiralty jurisdiction.	in agency agreement, an or
7 The complaint when	a sheared of its amonagus	ductions as to the role and abligations of	defendent merely alleges
_		clusions as to the role and obligations of	defendant, merely alleges
		failed to procure future coverage for wn in our accompanying memorandum of	of law it is a black latter

rule of law in admiralty that the breach of a contract to procure or obtain insurance is a nonmaritime agreement not cognizable within admiralty jurisdiction.

8. It should also be noted that the underlying loss for which plaintiff seeks to recover was covered under the policy only
by virtue of the domestic warehouse endorsement. Whatever goods were lost in the fire were simple inventory in a
warehouse at the time of the loss. They had lost whatever connection they may have ever had with the admiralty
interests of this court. As shown in our accompanying memorandum of law, an ocean marine policy which also covers
domestic warehouses, at least to the extent of the domestic warehouse endorsement, is not an admiralty contract
cognizable within the jurisdiction of this court. Thus,'s entitlement (if any) to insurance
recovery for the warehouse loss arose out of a nonmaritime contract in any event. It is respectfully submitted that an
admiralty court lacks subject matter to compel a party in the position of defendant to answer for damages, nonmaritime
in nature, which derive ultimately from nonmaritime insurance coverage.
in nature, which derive ultimatery from nonmartime insurance coverage.
9. This motion is not made for delay. Indeed, there is no stay of discovery. In this connection, it is essential for
defendant to assert the jurisdictional defect inasmuch as plaintiffs, if permitted to proceed under the admiralty
jurisdiction of this court in error, would deprive defendant of its right to a trial by jury.
jurisdiction of this court in error, would deprive defendant of its right to a trial by jury.
Whomstone it is reconnectfully required that the motion he around
Wherefore, it is respectfully requested that the motion be granted.
Affiant
Airight
Sworn to before me this
day of, 20
duy 01, 20
<del></del>
Notary Public
roung rubite

### **FOOTNOTES:**

(n1)Footnote 1. Form adapted from papers filed in Continental Cameras Co. v. FOA & Son Corporation, 658 F. Supp. 287 (S.D.N.Y. 1987). Papers furnished through the courtesy of Rivkin, Radler, Dunne & Bayh, Uniondale, New York.



#### Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER III MOTIONS AND REMEDIES
RULE 12 DEFENSES AND OBJECTIONS--WHEN AND HOW PRESENTED--BY PLEADING OR
MOTION--MOTION FOR JUDGMENT ON PLEADINGS

4-III Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 3-80

FORM No. 3-80 Motion To Dismiss--Lack of Jurisdiction (Sovereign Immunity), Rule 12(b)n1

[Caption] n2

Now Comes the Defendant United States of America and moves pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(b) to dismiss plaintiff's action for lack of jurisdiction.

The reasons for this motion, as more fully appear in the supporting memorandum attached hereto, are that defendant United States of America has waived its sovereign immunity from suit and consented to be sued on plaintiff's alleged cause of action only pursuant to the terms and conditions of the Suits in Admiralty Act, 46 U.S.C. § 741, with the forthwith service requirements of which, at 46 U.S.C. § 742, plaintiff did not comply, in that plaintiff failed to serve defendant United States of America with a summons or a copy of the complaint for more than eight months after plaintiff's complaint was filed. Defendant United States of America has not waived its sovereign immunity from suit where the service of process is not timely and insufficient and defective, and plaintiff's action must therefore be dismissed for lack of jurisdiction.

Dated:	
Attorney for Defendant	

### **FOOTNOTES:**

(n1)Footnote 1. Form adapted from papers filed in Brown v. United States, 403 F. Supp. 472, 2076 A.M.C. 1139 (C.D. Cal. 1975), courtesy of the U.S. Department of Justice, Admiralty and Shipping Section, San Francisco, California.



#### Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER III MOTIONS AND REMEDIES
RULE 12 DEFENSES AND OBJECTIONS--WHEN AND HOW PRESENTED--BY PLEADING OR
MOTION--MOTION FOR JUDGMENT ON PLEADINGS

4-III Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 3-81

FORM No. 3-81 Motion To Dismiss for Lack of Jurisdiction--Foreign Sovereign Immunities Act, Rule 12(b)n1

[Caption] n2		
Now Comes the Defendant,	[Ocean Line], by its attorneys	, moves
this Court pursuant to <i>Rule 12(b)</i> of the Federal Rule on the grounds of lack of jurisdiction.	<i>lles of Civil Procedure</i> for an Order dis	missing plaintiff's complaint
As more fully appears from the affidavits of	and	, attached hereto as
Exhibits A and B respectively, defendant	[Ocean Line] is an age	ency or political subdivision of
the government of the Republic of		
[Ocean Line] is immune	from suit in the courts of the United Sta	ates under the provisions of the
Foreign Sovereign Immunities Act, 28 U.S.C. § 16		
operated by defendant,[(		
United States under the terms of the said Act.		
Wherefore, defendant,[6	Ocean Line], prays that the Complaint l	be dismissed and that
defendant be awarded its costs, reasonable attorney	y's fees, and such other and further relie	ef as this court may deem just
and proper.		
Attorney for Defendant		

# **FOOTNOTES:**

(n1)Footnote 1. Form adapted from papers filed in O'Connell Machinery Co. v. M.V. Americana, 566 F. Supp. 1381, (S.D.N.Y. 1983), *aff'd*, 734 F.2d 115 (2d Cir. 2084), *rehearing denied*, (June 14, 1984), *petition for cert. pending*,

furnished through the courtesy of De Orchis & Partners, New York, New York. *See also* Form Nos. 3-82 and 3-83, Affidavits in Support, *infra*.



#### Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

# Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms CHAPTER III MOTIONS AND REMEDIES RULE 12 DEFENSES AND OBJECTIONS--WHEN AND HOW PRESENTED--BY PLEADING OR MOTION--MOTION FOR JUDGMENT ON PLEADINGS

4-III Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 3-82

FORM No. 3-82 Affidavit of Attorney in Support of Motion To Dismiss--Foreign Sovereign Immunities Act, Rule 12(b)n1

[Caption] n2		
STATE OF COUNT OF	) ) ss )	
, being duly sworn, de	eposes and says:	
1. I am associated with the firm of	, attorneys for defendant	[Ocean
Line], and make this affidavit in support ofcomplaint on the ground that plaintiff violated to forcing [Ocean Line] to	[Ocean Line's] motion to he Foreign Sovereign Immunities Act, 26 U.S.	o dismiss plaintiff's L.C. § 1602, et seq. , by
2. Plaintiff's counsel was advised on [Ocean Line], that it is		
which is owned by, a		
oversees State-owned shipping companies.		
organization of the Republic of		
industries counsel fo	r plaintiff, indicated during a telephone conve	rsation with deponent that

he was aware of the political subdivisions entitled		and	, t	out did not
believe that they created a defense of sovereign imm	unity for	[O	cean Line].	
was provided with an affid	avit on	, 20	, by	
was provided with an affid, commercial counselor to t	he Ambassador of	the Republic of		, which
explained in detail the structure of the governmental	organization that o	controls	[ <i>O</i>	cean Line].
A copy of that affidavit is attached as Exhibit				
3. On, 20, plaintiff's	counsel telephone	ed deponent and info	ormed him that th	e vessel
would be arriving in the Po	-	-		
, and that if a Letter of Undertaking was not po	osted the vessel wo	ould be arrested. In	order to avoid for	mal arrest. a
Letter of Undertaking was put up in the amount of \$				
attached hereto. Plaintiff's				
tantamount to an actual arrest of the defendant's vess				_
Undertaking.				
disbursements. It was exactly this sort of litigation a was intended to prevent. Plaintiff is employing every Government and its steams damages. A letter of guarantee or bond has never be litigation. If permitted now, the threat of arrest to thi plaintiff would enjoy a special security allowed only Wherefore, deponent respectfully requests this Hone the grounds that plaintiff violated the Foreign Sovered defendant [Ocean Line] to	y conceivable arguing ship line to put up a gen required ofs foreign state's very to claimants under orable Court to issue ign Immunity Act post security to average or to average ign Immunity to average ign Immunity to average ign Immunity to average ign Immunity Act post security to average ign Immunity	ment, including come a bond or letter to consels would be ever the U.S. Admiralty ue an Order dismiss 1, 26 U.S.C. § 1602, oid arrest of its vess	stitutionality, to a over plaintiff's cla _ [Ocean Line] b present and in the y Rules of Procec- ing plaintiff's con et seq., by forcin	force the nimed efore this is case the lure.  mplaint on ng the
and for such other and further relief as this Court ma	y deem just in the	premises.		
Attorney for Defendant				
Subscribed and sworn to before me this	day o	of	, 20	_•
Notary Public				
[Seal]				

# **FOOTNOTES:**

(n1)Footnote 1. Form adapted from papers filed in O'Connell Machinery Co. v. M.V. Americana, 566 F. Supp. 1381, (S.D.N.Y. 1983), *aff'd*, 734 F.2d 115 (2d Cir. 2084), *rehearing denied* (June 14, 1984), *petition for cert. pending*, furnished through the courtesy of De Orchis & Partners, New York, New York. *See also* Form Nos. 3-82 and 3-83, Affidavits in Support, *infra*.



#### Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

# Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms CHAPTER III MOTIONS AND REMEDIES RULE 12 DEFENSES AND OBJECTIONS--WHEN AND HOW PRESENTED--BY PLEADING OR MOTION--MOTION FOR JUDGMENT ON PLEADINGS

4-III Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 3-83

FORM No. 3-83 Affidavit of Government Official in Support of Motion To Dismiss--Foreign Sovereign Immunities Act, Rule 12(b)n1

[Caption] n2		
STATE OFCOUNTY OF	) ) ss )	
, being duly	y sworn, deposes and says:	
1. I am the Counselor of Commercia and am chief officer of the Commerc States of America.	l Activities of the Republic of cial Office at the Embassy of the Republic of	at to the United
Government of the United States of A hereof is a true copy of the Diplomat of the Republic of	nselor of commercial Activities of the Republic of America since	Attached hereto and made a par Counselor of Commercial Activities the United States of America on
3. According to the official records of	of the Republic of,	[Ocean Line]

is now and has been continuous flying	sly from	, 20	to the present the sole owner of the vessel
	cean Line] is a stock corporati	on created and	d organized under the laws of the Republic of
5. The majority of the shares of	[00	cean Line] are	owned by the
			with the purpose of coordinating and is a subdivision of
, 20	, and erected into a public , is authorized to accompl	c financial enti lish all the fina	ity pursuant to [insert law or decree] of ancial operations with the enterprises of
	ally, directly or indirectly, pro		s, or with public entities whose formation State. The instructions for the entity's
8. [Describe legal relationship	between state owned Compan	y and Ocean I	Line]
9. In view of the foregoing, government of the Republic of .		Line] is a sepa	parate legal person and instrumentality of the
10[C of any third country.	Ocean Line] is neither a citizer	of a State of	the United States nor created under the laws
(Deponent)			
Subscribed and sworn to before	me this	day of	, 20
[Seal]			
Notary Public			

# **FOOTNOTES:**

(n1)Footnote 1. Form adapted from papers filed in O'Connell Machinery Co. v. M.V. Americana, 566 F. Supp. 1381, (S.D.N.Y. 1983), *aff'd*, 734 F.2d 115 (2d Cir. 1984), *rehearing denied* (June 14, 1984), *petition for cert. pending*, furnished through the courtesy of De Orchis & Partners, New York, New York. *See also* Form Nos. 3-82 and 3-83, Affidavits in Support, *infra*.



#### Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER III MOTIONS AND REMEDIES
RULE 12 DEFENSES AND OBJECTIONS--WHEN AND HOW PRESENTED--BY PLEADING OR
MOTION--MOTION FOR JUDGMENT ON PLEADINGS

4-III Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 3-84

FORM No. 3-84 Motion To Dismiss--Lack of Admiralty Jurisdiction; Lack of Diversity of Citizenship, Rule 12(b)

[Caption] n1
Defendant moves to dismiss the complaint upon the following grounds:
The facts alleged do not constitute a cause of action within the admiralty and maritime jurisdiction.
or
The court lacks jurisdiction because the controversy is not between citizens of different states, in that defendant is citizen of the State of, the state of which plaintiff is also alleged to be a citizen.  Dated:
Attorney for Defendant
FOOTNOTES:
(n1)Footnote 1. See Form No. 3-1 supra.



#### Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER III MOTIONS AND REMEDIES
RULE 12 DEFENSES AND OBJECTIONS--WHEN AND HOW PRESENTED--BY PLEADING OR
MOTION--MOTION FOR JUDGMENT ON PLEADINGS

4-III Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 3-85

FORM No. 3-85 Motion To Dismiss--Primary Jurisdiction of Federal Maritime Commission, Rule 12(b)

[Caption] n1
Pursuant to Rule 12(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, moves this Court to:
(1)(a) dismiss all claims which allege violations of the Shipping Act of 2084 as amended on the ground that the Federal Maritime Commission has primary jurisdiction of these claims; and
(b) stay all claims which allege violations of the Sherman, Clayton and Robinson-Patman Acts pending action by the Federal Maritime Commission on the ground that the Federal Maritime Commission has primary jurisdiction to adjudicate the facts which constitute the basis of the antitrust claims; or, in the alternative,
(2)(a) dismiss all claims which allege violations of the Shipping Act of 2084 as amended on the ground that the Federal Maritime Commission has primary jurisdiction of these claims; and
(b) dismiss all claims which allege violations of the antitrust laws on the grounds:
(1) plaintiffs lack standing to sue defendant under the Sherman, Clayton and Robinson-Patman Acts, and
(2) plaintiffs have failed to state a cause of action under the Clayton and Robinson-Patman Acts.
(3) dismiss all claims which allege violations of the Merchant Marine Act of 1919 as amended on the ground plaintiffs have failed to state a cause of action.

Defendant	requests that oral argument on this Motion be granted.
Dated:	
Attorney for Defendant	
FOOTNOTES:	
(n1)Footnote 1. See Form No. 3-1.	supra.



#### Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

# Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms CHAPTER III MOTIONS AND REMEDIES RULE 12 DEFENSES AND OBJECTIONS--WHEN AND HOW PRESENTED--BY PLEADING OR MOTION--MOTION FOR JUDGMENT ON PLEADINGS

4-III Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 3-86

# FORM No. 3-86 Motion To Dismiss--Forum Non Conveniens, Rule 12(b)n1

[Caption] n2		
Now Comes the defendant	, by its attorneys,	, and moves that this
Court, pursuant to $Rule\ 12(b)$ of the Federal $Rules$ non conveniens.	of Civil Procedure dismiss this a	action under the doctrine of forum
And as reason therefore the defendant says:		
1. This is an action brought by plaintiff for persona	l injuries allegedly sustained wh	ile she was a passenger aboard a
ferry during a voyage from	to	
2. At the time of the accident and for a period of tir plaintiff resided in and was employed in		mencement of this action) the
3. The defendant is a corp	poration.	
4. All of the members of the crew of the vessel who	•	
near	$\underline{}$ . These include the [state $c$	rew members] who attended to the
plaintiff following the accident.		
5. The plaintiff was treated after the accident by		
Hospital in		
, of	•	

6. No witnesses ha	iving knowledge of facts relating to	this accident or to medical treatment given to the plaintiff reside
or are found in or	near	
7. The	Court of	, a court of general trial jurisdiction for the
	, is located in	, and circuit sessions of that court are held in
		plaintiff were heard in that court, witnesses having knowledge of
the accident could	attend trial at a minimum of inconv	enience and expense. Only the plaintiff (whom counsel upon
information and be	elief states now lives in	) would be required to travel in order to testify at
trial, which she wo	ould have to do even were the case to	ried in Boston.
	issue has no relationship to the Unit dant had an agent here for purposes	ted States of America and was commenced in this District only of service of process.
9. The interest of j	ustice so require.	
In support of this i	notion, the defendant files herewith	an affidavit of its claims agent,
Attorney for Defer	adant	
FOOTNOTES:		
(n1)Footnote 1. F	orm adapted from papers used in Mo	cCarthy v. Canadian National Railways, 322 F. Supp. 1207, 2072
A.M.C. 557 (D. M	lass. 21971), courtesy of Hale & Do	rr, Boston, Mass.



#### Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER III MOTIONS AND REMEDIES
RULE 12 DEFENSES AND OBJECTIONS--WHEN AND HOW PRESENTED--BY PLEADING OR
MOTION--MOTION FOR JUDGMENT ON PLEADINGS

4-III Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 3-87

FORM No. 3-87 Motion To Dismiss Forum Non Conveniens; Lack of Jurisdiction Over All Necessary Parties, Rule 12(b)n1

#### SEAMAN'S CASE

[Caption] n2

Now Comes the Defendant,	[Drilling Company], by its attorr	neys,,
pursuant to Rule 12(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Pr support of such motion would show the following:	ocedure, and files this its motion	to dismiss this action, and in
1. This is a suit brought against	[Drilling Company] and two (	other defendants for injuries
plaintiff allegedly received on or about	, 20, while on a	fixed platform located on the
Brazilian continental shelf. It is further alleged that the	plaintiff was a Jones Act seaman	n or a Sieracki seaman in the
service of the tender vessel,,	at the time of the accident. Plaint	iff is a resident citizen of
The sole and only basis of the	ne plaintiff's Complaint and dama	iges, in the instance described in
the Complaint, is that it allegedly occurred in the water	rs off the coast of	comprising the
continental shelf, which is su		
·		
2. At the time that the plaintiff was injured, he was em	ployed by	ha
not filed an answer in this lawsuit. The defendant is wi		
to know whether or not it is s	subject to the jurisdiction of this	Court. From what little is known
by this defendant as to the accident and cause of injury	to plaintiff, it would appear that	would
be a proper party to this action. The plaintiff,		

By plaintiff's own admission in h	is deposition testimony, h	ie has never traveled away from
With one exception, all of the ma	nterial witnesses are presen	ntly located in
There are no witnesses that resid	e in the	Division of the
District of	Therefore, this Honora	able Court should dismiss this
action for Forum Non Conveniens and/or for lack of jurisdi	ction over all the necessar	ry parties, because whatever rights
the plaintiff may have can be more adequately litigated in _	·	
Wherefore,[Drilling Company],	respectfully prays that its	Motion to Dismiss for Forum Non
Conveniens and/or lack of jurisdiction over all parties be be	ought on for hearing, and	upon final hearing hereof, this
Motion be in all things granted and sustained, that it have s	uch other and further relie	f, general and special, to which it
may show itself justly entitled.		
Dated:		
Attorney for Defendant		
Autority for Defendant		

# **FOOTNOTES:**

(n1)Footnote 1. Form adapted from papers filed in De Oliveira v. Delta Marine Drilling Company, 684 F.2d 337 (5th Cir. 1982), furnished through the courtesy of Dixie Smith, Esq., Fulbright & Jaworski, Houston, Texas.



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER III MOTIONS AND REMEDIES
RULE 12 DEFENSES AND OBJECTIONS--WHEN AND HOW PRESENTED--BY PLEADING OR
MOTION--MOTION FOR JUDGMENT ON PLEADINGS

4-III Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 3-88

FORM No. 3-88 Motion To Transfer--Forum Non Conveniens, Rule 12(b)

[Caption] n1			
States District Court for th	ie	pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1404(a) tra District of I the interest of justice will be serve	_
This	day of	, 20	
Attorney for Petitioner			
ECOTNOTES.			



#### Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

# Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms CHAPTER III MOTIONS AND REMEDIES RULE 12 DEFENSES AND OBJECTIONS--WHEN AND HOW PRESENTED--BY PLEADING OR MOTION--MOTION FOR JUDGMENT ON PLEADINGS

4-III Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 3-89

FORM No. 3-89 Affidavit in Support of Motion To Transfer--Forum Non Conveniens, Rule 12(b)n1

[Caption] n2		
STATE OFCOUNTY OF	) ) ss )	
, being	duly sworn, deposes and says:	
		to the firm of, attorneys fo l the pleadings and proceedings heretofore had in thi
interest of justice and for the cor	evenience of parties and witnesses,	hat in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 1404(a), in the this action should be transferred from the United to the United States District
Complaint on defendantowned by defendant	, alleging that dur , he contracted an illn	ommenced this action by service of a Summons and ring the course of plaintiff's employment on a vessel ness and upon being repatriated to  , with the illness. (See Exhibit "A").

3. On	, 20, defendant ansv	wered plaintiff's complaint and serve	d its Request for
Production of Documen	ts, Notice to Take Deposition, and	d Interrogatories.	
		are residents of the State o	of
	_, residing at	·	
5 Defendant is a corpor	ration incorporated in	and having its principa	I place of business in the
State of	_	and having its principal	i place of business in the
	<del></del> -		
6. Plaintiff	signed on defendant	's vessel on	, 20, in the capacity
of			
		e the vessel was at sea in route from _	
		I. The ship radioed to the	Hospital in
	for advice as to treatment for plant	aintiff.	
0. 0	20 1	the discount of a second	1
		the ship reached port at	
was sent to a shoreside i	lospital and was examined by	and found not	in for duty for one week.
9 On or about	20 when	the ship reached the next port at	
		who declared plain	
	repatriated on		and and and
1			
10. Upon his arrival in _	, plaintiff	f was treated by	in
	for what was diagnosed as	[disease].	
		nis wife, plaintiff	, was allegedly
infected with	[disease].		
12	.1	and is curren	
there.	also received treatment in	and is curren	my said to be bedridden
uicic.			
13. Defendant	does business and	d has an office in the State of	, and is
	that state as it is in this jurisdicti		······································
	·		
14. Medical expert with	esses in this action reside in	and would find	
to be a more convenient	forum than this jurisdiction.		
		f's choice of forum due to the fact the	
		e to travel to this jurisdiction to be de	eposed or to be examined
by defendant's medical of	expert.		
16 Defendant would als	so he prejudiced by sustaining pla	intiff's choice of forum in that defend	dant would be unable to
		r hospital personnel who have treated	
treating the plaintiffs.	ar or any or the doctors, nurses, o.	i nospitui personnei wno nave treatec	s or who are currently
aranis die pidilitiis.			
17. Plaintiff would not b	be prejudiced in any way by the tr	ransfer of this action to the District Co	ourt in
	_·		

18. The facts and circumstances of this claim do not establish a substantial nexus to this jurisdiction so as to justify

sustaining plaintiff's choice of	of forum.		
19. This motion was commentate taken place in the action	•	er before any significant motion p	practice or discovery proceeding
Wherefore, defendant	re	spectfully requests that the Court	transfer this action from the
	trict of	to the	District of
Dated:			
Attorney for Defendants			
Subscribed and sworn to before	ore me this	day of	, 20
Notary Public			
[Seal]			
<b>FOOTNOTES:</b> (n1)Footnote 1. Form adapte 1983).	ed from papers filed in	n Collard v. United States Lines, I	nc., Civ. No. 83-5030 (E.D.N.Y



#### Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER III MOTIONS AND REMEDIES
RULE 12 DEFENSES AND OBJECTIONS--WHEN AND HOW PRESENTED--BY PLEADING OR
MOTION--MOTION FOR JUDGMENT ON PLEADINGS

4-III Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 3-90

# FORM No. 3-90 Affidavit in Support of Motion for Change of Venue

defendant claims before a single judge.

[Caption] n1		
being duly sworn, depose	s and says that:	
1. He is a member of the firm of proceedings involved in this case.	, counsel for plaintiff herein and i	s familiar with the facts and
2. This Affidavit is submitted in support of plaintiff United States District Court for the		
3. Eleven actions have been filed in the	District of	against
, and one or more of its ves		
former two vessels are berthed insaid pending actions.		
4. At a motion before Judge District would be consolid	_	
docket number. Based upon a list of defendant cases		
appears that the District ca	÷	
V		
5. It will be in the interest of justice and it will be m		rties to have all the

6. This action could ha	we been brought	initially in the	District of	·•
		presently within the Port of		
		the concurrent jurisdiction of		
		on information and belief,		
	_	t to in personam jurisdiction in	the	District of
		, plaintiff caused the vessel		to be arrested in this
		ed to entry of an order herein re		
		el in the cases pending in the _		
		that an Order be entered trans District of		
Court for the the consolidated cases			for assignmen	t therein to the judge handling
Attorney for Plaintiff				
Sworn to before me the	is	day of	, 20	
Notary Public				
[Seal]				
FOOTNOTES:				
(n1)Footnote 1. See Fe	orm No. 3-1 <i>sup</i>	ra.		



#### Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

# Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms CHAPTER III MOTIONS AND REMEDIES RULE 12 DEFENSES AND OBJECTIONS--WHEN AND HOW PRESENTED--BY PLEADING OR MOTION--MOTION FOR JUDGMENT ON PLEADINGS

4-III Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 3-91

# FORM No. 3-91 Notice of Motion for Change of Venue

[Caption] n1			
SIRS:			
Please Take Notice that upon the attache	ed Affidavit of	, sworn to on	n the
day of	, 20	, the accompanying Men	norandum of Law, and the
pleadings and proceedings herein, plainti	ff will move this Court b	before the Honorable	, United
States District Judge, on the	day of	, 20	at
A.M., or as soo			
, for entry of an			
District Court for the			
Dated:			
Attorney for Plaintiff			
FOOTNOTES:			
(n1)Footnote 1. See Form No. 3-1 supra	•		



#### Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER III MOTIONS AND REMEDIES
RULE 12 DEFENSES AND OBJECTIONS--WHEN AND HOW PRESENTED--BY PLEADING OR
MOTION--MOTION FOR JUDGMENT ON PLEADINGS

4-III Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 3-92

FORM No. 3-92 Order for Transfer of Venue, Rule 12(b)

[Caption] n1

Dated:

			cause to the United States Distri	
			, and the court having heard the	
counsel and being	g fully advised, and it appea	ring to the court that defend	dant's motion should be granted	for the
convenience of pa	arties and witnesses in the in	nterest of justice, it is		
Ordered, that this	s action be transferred from	the United States District C	Court for the	District of
		Division, and it is fu	rther	
Ordered, that the	Clerk of this United States	District Court for the	District of	
<del></del>	, be and hereby is di	rected to transfer all record	s and papers in this action (toge	ether with the
deposit made by t	he plaintiff), to the Clerk of	f the United States District	court for the	District
of	,	Division, together	r with a certified copy of this or	der, and it is
further				
Ordered, that the	time for the defendant,	, to ar	nswer the plaintiff's complaint, b	be and the same
			said defendant personally or by	
at its office at		, by the	e plaintiff of a notice that the tra	ansfer to the
		District of		
	Division has been e	effected.		

District Court Judge	
District Court studge	

# FOOTNOTES:



#### Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER III MOTIONS AND REMEDIES
RULE 12 DEFENSES AND OBJECTIONS--WHEN AND HOW PRESENTED--BY PLEADING OR
MOTION--MOTION FOR JUDGMENT ON PLEADINGS

4-III Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 3-93

FORM No. 3-93 Motion To Dismiss--Laches, Rule 12(b)

[Caption] n1			
Now Comes the Defendant,	, by its attorneys,	, ;	and files this, its
Motion to Dismiss the above styled and num following:			
1. This case involves an accident which alleg until of 20, o			
2. That as this case was brought under the diapply and the year			
3. In addition, defendants will state that the a defense, therefore the doctrine of laches show records destroyed and its crew disbursed. The filing of same some	ald apply in that the vesselerefore the defendant has been I	ha	as long been sold, its
4. In addition, plaintiff herein has signed a fu is not a seaman, is not a ward of the court an release is attached hereto and made a part he	d signed the release for a not ins	_	_
5. In addition, defendants herein show unto t accident plaintiff was working for		•	

# 4-III Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 3-93

, and the defendants herein, though incorporated in the State of	, have
principal offices in and	
6. In addition, defendant would show that the plaintiff herein has been paid his full benefits under the Longsho and Harbor Workers' Compensation Act.	remen's
Wherefore, Premises Considered, defendant prays the above cause be dismissed with costs.  Dated:	
Attorney for Defendant	
FOOTNOTES:	
(n1)Footnote 1. See Form No. 3-1 supra.	



(n1)Footnote 1. See Form No. 3-1 supra.

# 65 of 157 DOCUMENTS

Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER III MOTIONS AND REMEDIES
RULE 12 DEFENSES AND OBJECTIONS--WHEN AND HOW PRESENTED--BY PLEADING OR
MOTION--MOTION FOR JUDGMENT ON PLEADINGS

4-III Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 3-94

FORM No. 3-94 Motion To Dismiss for Failure To Join Indispensable Party Under Rule 20, Rule 12(b)

[Caption] n1	
Defendant moves the court to dismiss the action on the ground that this action under <i>Rule 20 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure</i> and is not within the jur	
cannot be made a party hereto without depriving this court of jurisdiction, he being a citiz	
], as more particularly appears from the affidavits of	· ·
, attached hereto as Exhibit A and Exhibit B respectively.	
Dated:	
Attorney for Defendant	
FOOTNOTES:	



(n1)Footnote 1. See Form No. 3-1 supra.

# 66 of 157 DOCUMENTS

Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER III MOTIONS AND REMEDIES
RULE 12 DEFENSES AND OBJECTIONS--WHEN AND HOW PRESENTED--BY PLEADING OR
MOTION--MOTION FOR JUDGMENT ON PLEADINGS

4-III Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 3-95

FORM No. 3-95 Motion To Dismiss Action for Declaratory Judgment Lack of Justiciable Controversy, Rule 12(b)

[Caption] n1
Defendant moves to dismiss the complaint on the ground that it fails to show the existence of an actual controversy between the parties of the nature required by Article III of the United States Constitution and § 2201 of the Judicia Code, Title 28.  Dated:
Attorney for Defendant
FOOTNOTES:



relief is unknown in maritime law.

#### 67 of 157 DOCUMENTS

#### Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER III MOTIONS AND REMEDIES
RULE 12 DEFENSES AND OBJECTIONS--WHEN AND HOW PRESENTED--BY PLEADING OR
MOTION--MOTION FOR JUDGMENT ON PLEADINGS

4-III Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 3-96

FORM No. 3-96 Motion To Dismiss Action for Declaratory Judgment and To Transfer Case to Civil Docket Rule 12(b)n1

[Caption] n2		
Now Comes Defendant	, by its attorneys,	, and moves the Court to
dismiss the admiralty Complaint for	Declaratory Judgment filed by Plaintiff, The fadmiralty jurisdiction and transfer the action	e Insurance Company of the State of
thereof to the effect that this Declara	to amend its Complaint by striking the allegal atory Judgment action is "an action upon an a reby given to Plaintiff that the Defendant den es of Civil Procedure.	admiralty and maritime claim within the
In support of the above Motion, Def	Fendant directs the Court's attention to the fac	et that Rule 9(h), relied upon by

Plaintiff, requires the Plaintiff to set forth a claim for relief within the admiralty and maritime jurisdiction. It has been held that a claim for relief by way of Declaratory Judgment is not within admiralty jurisdiction and that such a claim for

The Declaratory Judgment Act, 28 USC § 2201, is the basis of Rule 57, Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. This rule of civil practice provides that either party to a Declaratory Judgment lawsuit may demand a jury trial in the manner provided in Rules 38 and 39, Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. In 1961, the year the States Marine case was decided, the Supreme Court permitted a Declaratory Judgment suit to be filed in Admiralty provided that the right of trial by jury was preserved and that either party could demand a jury trial. See Admiralty Rule 59. This rule was the same as Rule 57, Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. In 1966, under the unification of Civil and Admiralty Rules, a Declaratory Judgment suit may be filed under Rule 57 Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, with a right to jury trial preserved to either

party to the lawsuit.

Plaintiff Insurance Company obviously seeks to deprive the Defendant of his constitutional right to a trial by jury by alleging that its Declaratory Judgment action is filed as an admiralty claim under *Rule 9(h)*, *Federal Rules of Civil Procedure*. As heretofore stated, Defendant contends that the Declaratory Judgment suit herein filed is not a maritime claim but a lawsuit seeking a Federal Court's interpretation of Plaintiff's insurance contract. Since the Federal Court in this case has civil jurisdiction of this Declaratory Judgment action by way of diversity and amount and since the Trial Court has discretion to take jurisdiction of a Civil Action for Declaratory Judgment with right of trial by jury and since Declaratory Judgment relief is not within Admiralty jurisdiction, an Order should be entered to transfer this action to the Civil side of the docket or direct Plaintiff to Amend its Complaint.

Premises Considered, Defendant	prays that the Declaratory Judgment action herein filed in
Admiralty be dismissed for lack of jurisdiction in Admir	ralty, and transferred to the Civil side of the docket or that
Plaintiff be directed to amend its Complaint by deleting	that part of the Complaint which alleges that this is an action
upon an Admiralty and Maritime claim within the mean	ing of Rule 9(h) and that Defendant Assured be granted the
right to a trial by jury.	
Dated:	
Attorney for Defendant	

#### **FOOTNOTES:**

(n1)Footnote 1. Form adapted from papers in The Insurance Co. of the State of Penn. v. Clarence J. Amaral 44 FRD 45 (D. Tex. 1968), furnished courtesy of Messrs. Storter & Carinhas, Brownsville, Texas.



(n1)Footnote 1. See Form No. 3-1 supra.

# 68 of 157 DOCUMENTS

#### Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER III MOTIONS AND REMEDIES
RULE 12 DEFENSES AND OBJECTIONS--WHEN AND HOW PRESENTED--BY PLEADING OR
MOTION--MOTION FOR JUDGMENT ON PLEADINGS

4-III Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 3-97

FORM No. 3-97 Motion To Dismiss--Res Judicata; Statute of Limitations, Rule 12(b)

[Caption] n1			
Now Comes the Defendant	, by its attorneys,		, and for its motion to
1. The judgment heretofore entered in the case <i>res judicata</i> as to the issues and claims alleged estopped to assert the claims made in this caus	by plaintiffs in this cause of		
2. The action is barred by the provisions of the years prior to the filing		ct in that the c	claim arose more than
Wherefore, defendant moves for an order of the Dated:	ne court dismissing plaintiff	s complaint.	
Attorney for Defendant			
FOOTNOTES:			



#### Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER III MOTIONS AND REMEDIES
RULE 12 DEFENSES AND OBJECTIONS--WHEN AND HOW PRESENTED--BY PLEADING OR
MOTION--MOTION FOR JUDGMENT ON PLEADINGS

4-III Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 3-98

FORM No. 3-98 Motion To Dismiss--Res Judicata; Forum Non Conveniens Rule 12(b)n1

[Capnon] n2				
Now Comes the Defendants _		and	by its	attorneys
, upor				
Court, pursuant to Rules 12 and				iereor, nereor move the
(a) Dismissing the Complaint				
V	, F	F. Supp., in the Ur	nited States Distric	t Court for the
Distr	ict of	and on app	eal thereafter in th	e United States Court of
Appeals for the	Circuit		_ F.2d	, all the <i>forum</i>
non conveniens issues in this c the opinions and decisions in t compel the dismissal of this ca	he aforementioned case t	_	_	
(b) Dismissing the Complaint controversy herein; and	filed in this action on the	grounds of forum	non conveniens a	nd lack of jurisdiction of the
(c) Dismissing the Complaint	filed in this action agains	t Defendant		on the grounds that the
Complaint fails to state a claim	n upon which relief can b	e granted.		
Dated:	_			
Attorney for Defendant				

# **FOOTNOTES:**

(n1)Footnote 1. Form adapted from papers used in Pastewka v. Texaco, Inc., 420 F. Supp. 641 (D. Del. 1976), *aff'd* 565 F.2d 851, 2079 A.M.C. 200 (3d Cir. 1977), furnished through the courtesy of Edmund N. Carpenter, II, Esq., Richards, Layton & Finger, Wilmington, Delaware.



#### Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER III MOTIONS AND REMEDIES
RULE 12 DEFENSES AND OBJECTIONS--WHEN AND HOW PRESENTED--BY PLEADING OR
MOTION--MOTION FOR JUDGMENT ON PLEADINGS

4-III Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 3-99

# FORM No. 3-99 Notice of Motion To Dismiss--Statute of Limitations

[Caption] n1		
Please Take Notice that upon the attached affidavit of _		, duly sworn to on the
day of	_, 20	_, and the attached affidavit of
, Secretary of	, a	nd the attached Memorandum of Law, the
undersigned will bring the above motion on for hearing		
on the	_ day of _	, 20, or as soon
thereafter as counsel can be heard for an Order:		
1. Dismissing plaintiff's claim as against the defendant, complaint for plaintiff's claim has never been properly so days of the filing of the complaint pursuant to $Rule\ 4(j)$	erved upoi	n the defendant,, within 120
2. That plaintiff's claim as against the defendant, commence said claim within the		, is barred by virtue of plaintiff's failure to
And for such other and further relief as to this Court may Dated:	y seem jus	t and proper.
Attorney for Defendant		
FOOTNOTES:		



#### Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER III MOTIONS AND REMEDIES
RULE 12 DEFENSES AND OBJECTIONS--WHEN AND HOW PRESENTED--BY PLEADING OR
MOTION--MOTION FOR JUDGMENT ON PLEADINGS

4-III Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 3-100

FORM No. 3-100 Motion To Stay Action, Rule 12(b)

[Caption] n1			
Now Comes the Defendant	by its attor	neys a	nd moves the court for
an order staying further proc	eedings in this case, and in support	of this motion states as follows:	
1. That there is an action per	nding in the	_ Court of the State of	, in and
for the County of	, entitled	V	, numbered
in 1	the files of said court, involving idea	ntical issues to those involved in	this action, and which
	Court of		
	l by the Honorable		
	, sitting in said		
County.	-		
and to the precedents of Federmatter, but, on the other hand determined by the	dency of said action in the State coueral practice that this court should ad, it should grant an order staying processing the state of	ssume jurisdiction and concurrer roceedings herein until said issue , in an	atly try the same subject is have been tried and id for the County of
	d then ascertain whether or not any	issues presented in the present a	ction are available for
consideration by this court.			
complaint and answer and af	oon this notice, upon the complaint of the plaintiff herein filed a County, ar	s a defendant in said action No.	-

etting forth said portions of said answer and affidavit in their relation to the facts alleged in the complaint herein.
Dated:
Attorney for Defendant
FOOTNOTES:
n1)Footnote 1. See Form No. 3-1 supra.



### Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER III MOTIONS AND REMEDIES
RULE 12 DEFENSES AND OBJECTIONS--WHEN AND HOW PRESENTED--BY PLEADING OR
MOTION--MOTION FOR JUDGMENT ON PLEADINGS

4-III Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 3-101

FORM No. 3-101 Order To Stay Action, Rule 12(b)

(n1)Footnote 1. See Form No. 3-1 supra.

[Caption] n1
This cause came on to be heard on motion of defendant for an order staying proceedings in this action pending
determination of an action entitledv, pending in the Court of
, and it appearing to the court that the said action is between the same parties and involves the
same subject matter as this action, and that it was commenced prior to this action,
It Is Ordered, that this action be and it hereby is stayed, and that plaintiff and his attorneys be and they hereby are stayed from taking any further action in this cause, until entry of final judgment in the said case of
Dated:
United States District Judge
FOOTNOTES:



### Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER III MOTIONS AND REMEDIES
RULE 12 DEFENSES AND OBJECTIONS--WHEN AND HOW PRESENTED--BY PLEADING OR
MOTION--MOTION FOR JUDGMENT ON PLEADINGS

4-III Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 3-102

FORM No. 3-102 Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings--Arbitrable Issue, Rule 12(c)n1

[Caption] n2		
	, by its attorneys t on the pleadings upon the following grou	
1. There is no genuine issue as to any ma	aterial fact, and plaintiff is entitled to judgr	ment as a matter of law.
	ate the grievance which was numbered conditions precedent to the arbitration of the	
plaintiff, and the defendant continues to	decline to arbitrate that grievance.	
3. The grievance is arbitrable as a matter	of law.	
•	fendant's answer are irrelevant hereto, for the All questions of contract interpretation are	
Wherefore, plaintiff moves this court fo Dated:	r an order entering judgment in accordance	e with this motion.
Attorney for Defendant		

### **FOOTNOTES:**

(n1)Footnote 1. Form adapted from papers used in Air Engineering Metal Trades Council & Affiliated Unions,

AFL-CIO v. Aro, Inc., 307 F. Supp. 934 (E.D. Tenn. 1969), courtesy of Di-Risio & Reingold, Chattanooga, Tennessee. (n2)Footnote 2. *See* Form No. 3-1 *supra*.



### Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER III MOTIONS AND REMEDIES
RULE 12 DEFENSES AND OBJECTIONS--WHEN AND HOW PRESENTED--BY PLEADING OR
MOTION--MOTION FOR JUDGMENT ON PLEADINGS

4-III Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 3-103

FORM No. 3-103 Motion To Dismiss or for More Definite Statement--Violation of Rule E(2)--Requirements for Complaint, Rule 12(b) & (e)

[Caption] n1		
Now Comes the defendant		
1. Defendant moves the court to dismiss the requirements of Rule E(2)(a) that it "shall that the defendant or claimant will be able of the facts and to frame a responsive pleasure."	state the circumstances from which the s, without moving for a more definite sta	claim arises with such particularity
2. Specifically, the plaintiff's complaint is	deficient for the following reasons:	
[Insert Reasons]		
3. In the alternative, defendant moves the following matters:	0.1	file a more definite statement of the
[Describe Matters]		

Wherefore, defendant moves this	court for an	order in accord	lance with thi	s motion.
Dated:				
Attorney for Defendant				
FOOTNOTES:				
(n1)Footnote 1. See Form No. 3-1	supra.			



### Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER III MOTIONS AND REMEDIES
RULE 12 DEFENSES AND OBJECTIONS--WHEN AND HOW PRESENTED--BY PLEADING OR
MOTION--MOTION FOR JUDGMENT ON PLEADINGS

4-III Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 3-104

FORM No. 3-104 Motion To Strike--Insufficient Defenses, Rule 12(f)

(n1)Footnote 1. See Form No. 3-1 supra.

[Сарпоп] п1		
Now Comes the Plaintiff	, by its attorneys	and moves the court for
	ver the defenses numbered	
	that said defenses fail to state a legal dentiff moves the court to strike the affirmang grounds:	<u> •</u>
1. Affirmative defense No. 1 is insuffic	ient in law and is a sham.	
2. Affirmative defense No. 2 does not osimple, concise and direct.	comply with the requirements of Fed. R. o	Civ. P. 8 that averments of pleadings be
Wherefore, it is respectfully requested answer to the complaint.	that an order be entered striking affirmat	ive defenses Nos. 1 and 2 of defendant's
Dated:		
Attorney for Defendant		
FOOTNOTES:		

defenses argument



### 76 of 157 DOCUMENTS

### Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms CHAPTER III MOTIONS AND REMEDIES RULE 12 DEFENSES AND OBJECTIONS--WHEN AND HOW PRESENTED--BY PLEADING OR MOTION--MOTION FOR JUDGMENT ON PLEADINGS

4-III Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 3-105

FORM No. 3-105 Order To Strike Defenses From Answer, Rule 12(f)

[Caption] n1
This cause came on for hearing on, 20, on plaintiff's motion to strike from defendant's answer the defenses numbered and on the ground that said defenses fail to state a legal defense to the claim set forth in plaintiff's complaint herein, and the court having heard the argument of counsel and being fully advised, it is
Ordered, that the plaintiff's motion be granted and that the defenses numbered and be stricken from defendant's answer.
It Is Further Ordered that defendant file an amended answer in conformity with this order within days after service of a copy of this order.
Dated:
United States District Judge
FOOTNOTES:
(n1)Footnote 1. See Form No. 3-1 supra.



[Caption] n2

### 77 of 157 DOCUMENTS

### Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER III MOTIONS AND REMEDIES
RULE 12 DEFENSES AND OBJECTIONS--WHEN AND HOW PRESENTED--BY PLEADING OR
MOTION--MOTION FOR JUDGMENT ON PLEADINGS

4-III Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 3-106

FORM No. 3-106 Motion by Bareboat Charterer (Third Party Defendant) To Strike Count of Vessel Owner's Third Party Complaint Seeking Indemnity for Negligence, Rule 12(f)n1

		, a corporation, by its attorney,  ont as amended of the Third Party Complaint
		id Count as amended fails to state a cause of action.
states: "Charterer agr	rees not to suffer or permit and hold harmless Owner again	es an additional provision of the Charter not originally pleaded which y liens or encumbrances whatsoever upon said vessel and agrees to nst any claims, demands or actions arising out of the operation or
		demnity language relied upon by the Third Party Plaintiff, nor specific enough to require indemnity for
and employee of Thin purported hold harm	rd Party Defendant], which alless provisions relied upon are	ing indemnity from the lawsuit of [plaintiff leges negligence on the part of, the e insufficient as a matter of law to support a claim for contractual of the Third Party Complaint should be dismissed as a
Wherefore, for the fe	oregoing reasons,	moves to strike and dismiss Count

	of the Third Party Complaint as amended.	
Dated:		
Attorney for Third	Party Defendant	

### **FOOTNOTES:**

(n1)Footnote 1. Form adapted from papers used in Hoyne v. Peter Kiewit Sons, Inc., 407 F. Supp. 761, 2076 A.M.C. 826 (N.D. Ill. 1976), courtesy of McKenna, Storer, Rowe, White & Farrug, Chicago, Illinois.

(n2)Footnote 2. See Form No. 3-1 supra.



### Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER III MOTIONS AND REMEDIES
RULE 12 DEFENSES AND OBJECTIONS--WHEN AND HOW PRESENTED--BY PLEADING OR
MOTION--MOTION FOR JUDGMENT ON PLEADINGS

4-III Benedict on Admiralty Form 3-107

Form 3-107 Reply in Support of Motion to Quash Service, Rule 12(b)(4)

Defendant [DEFENDANT NAME] hereby replies to Plaintiffs' Opposition to Motion to Quash Service of Summons.

### I. INTRODUCTION

Plaintiff, [PLAINTIFF NAME], allegedly suffered personal injuries on [DATE] when he allegedly opened a cargo container containing magnesium ingots. Plaintiffs allege that [DEFENDANT NAME] defectively loaded said container while conducting warehousing activities in [COUNTRY OF LOADING].

Plaintiffs base their opposition to Defendant's motion to quash on the grounds that [DEFENDANT NAME] was properly served with the summons and complaint in this matter pursuant to the Hague Convention on the Service Abroad of Judicial and Extra Judicial Documents in Civil or Commercial Matters ("Hague Convention") despite an absence of any evidence to support such a contention; that this court has general and specific jurisdiction over a foreign corporation with no contacts or presence in California; and that due to Plaintiffs' inability to provide any evidence to support their opposition, further discovery is necessary and the Motion to Quash Service of Summons should be taken off calendar to allow Plaintiffs to remedy their lack of evidence.

### II. [DEFENDANT NAME] WAS NEVER PROPERLY SERVED

Despite the purported attempt by Plaintiffs at service of [DEFENDANT NAME] on [DATE], the [COUNTRY] company has yet to be properly served pursuant to the terms of the Hague Convention. On that date, [CORPORATE OFFICER], the President of [DEFENDANT NAME], received at the office of the company a translation of the summons and complaint in this matter from a mail courier of the Royal Postal Service of [COUNTRY].

Contrary to the information contained in the declaration of [ATTORNEY] attached to the Opposition to Motion to

Quash Service of Summons, this defective attempt at service was not performed by a designated court bailiff, as Plaintiff admits the Hague Corporation requires.

The copy of the summons and complaint received by [DEFENDANT NAME] on [DATE] is the only copy to have been received by the company and no other attempts at service were made before of after that date. As stated by the court in *Honda Motor Co. v. Superior Court* (1992) 10 Cal. App. 4[th] 1043, 1049, 12 Cal. Rptr. 2[nd] 861, 864, "California is a jurisdiction where the original service of process, which confers jurisdiction, must conform to statutory requirements or all that follows is void. Specifically, Plaintiffs must comply with statues prescribing the method of service on corporations. Mere knowledge of the action is not a substitution for service, nor does it raise any estoppel to contest the validity of service." As a result, the attempted service of [DEFENDANT NAME] is fatally defective and this court should quash the purported attempt at service.

## III. NEITHER GENERAL NOR SPECIFIC JURISDICTION EXIST OVER [DEFENDANT NAME]

Plaintiffs' attempt to use excerpts from the deposition transcript of [DEPONENT] to support their Opposition to the Motion to Quash Service of Summons. Even a cursory examination of its contents, however, reveals that rather than support the opposition, the testimony of [DEPONENT] confirms that jurisdiction over [DEFENDANT NAME] cannot exist here.

To support the erroneous notion that [DEFENDANT NAME] was award of the determination of the subject cargo in this matter, Plaintiffs refer to a statement by [DEPONENT] which states, "[w]e would have checked with [WITNESS] as well as other freight companies." To mischaracterize this statement as supporting the notion that [DEFENDANT NAME] was actually contacted by Appleby reveals the futility of Plaintiffs' position.

Moreover, [DEPONENT] admits that his company has never established any relationship with [DEFENDANT NAME] stating "Defendant has never, that I can recall, imported any material for us."

Furthermore, Plaintiffs' attempt to establish a presence in the United States that simply does not exist. Both [DEFENDANT'S SUBSIDIARIES] are separate and properly incorporated U.S. corporations whom Plaintiffs admit had no involvement with this transaction whatsoever.

### A. [DEFENDANT NAME] DID NOT COMMIT A TORT IN CALIFORNIA

It is uncontroverted that [DEFENDANT NAME] loaded a container with magnesium ingots ("ingots") as a part of its warehousing services in [COUNTRY] and had no knowledge that the shipper of said ingots would ship them to California. [DEFENDANT NAME] is in the warehousing business in The Netherlands. [DEFENDANT NAME] does not conduct exporting, freight forwarding, transporting, shipping, stevedoring, or any other type of business that would require knowledge of the destination of a particular cargo. The ingots in this matter were warehoused under contract between [DEFENDANT NAME] and the owners of said ingots ("Owners").

Pursuant to instructions from the Owners, [DEFENDANT NAME] released the container to the Owner's agent who transported the ingots to the ship, [VESSEL NAME], for shipping to California. [DEFENDANT NAME] was unaware of the ultimate destination of the cargo. Therefore, [DEFENDANT NAME] did not commit a tort in California.

# B. [DEFENDANT NAME] DID NOT ENGAGE IN CONDUCT AIMED AT CALIFORNIA AND PLAINTIFFS FAIL THE ZIEGLER TEST

[DEFENDANT NAME] did not engage in conduct aimed at California. The Ninth Circuit has held in *Ziegler v. India River County* (9[th] Cir. 1995) 64 F.3d 470, 473; and Haisten v. Grass Valley Med. Reimbursement Fund (9[th] Cir.

1986) 784 F.2d 1392, 1392-97, that specific personal jurisdiction may attach when defendant "engages in conduct aimed at, and having effect in the situs state" Ziegler, 64 F.3d at 473. [DEFENDANT NAME] did not aim its conduct at California. To the contrary, [DEFENDANT NAME] was unaware of the ingots' destination and thus did not engage in any conduct "aimed at" California.

The factors identified in *Ziegler*, 64 F.3d at 473, when analyzed fully, support [DEFENDANT NAME]'s motion to quash. *Ziegler*, 64 F.3d at 473, citing *Terracom v. Valley Nat'l Bank* (9[th] Cir. 1995) 49 F.3d 555, 559, states that a plaintiff must satisfy a three-part test to prove specific personal jurisdiction over a non-resident defendant.

The test requires that the plaintiffs "show that: (1) defendants purposefully availed themselves of the privilege of conducting activities in California, thereby invoking the benefits and protections of its laws; (2) his claims arise out of defendant's California-related activities; and (3) the exercise of jurisdiction would be reasonable." Plaintiffs fail to establish jurisdiction under any of these three requirements.

### 1. Purposeful Availment

The first prong of the test *purposeful availment* in tort cases, requires an additional tripartite analysis: (a) intentional action; (b) aimed at the forum state; and (c) causing harm that the defendant should have anticipated would be suffered in the forum state. *Ziegler, 64 F.3d at 474*, citing *Core-Vent Corp. v. Nobel Indus. AB* (9[th] Cir. 1993) 11 F.3d 1482

In Ziegler, the court analyzed prong (a) of the test by finding that defendants were subject to California jurisdiction if they engaged in conduct that was "intended to be felt by [Plaintiffs]." In that case, a California plaintiff sued various Florida governmental authorities for violating his constitutional rights by issuing a warrant for arrest. Analysis of prong (b) of the test found no jurisdiction on defendants who did not engage in conduct that was "...plainly aimed at California..." An analysis of prong (c) found no jurisdiction over defendants, who were unaware that their actions would harm a plaintiff in California.

According to the factors identified in *Ziegler*, there is no jurisdiction over [DEFENDANT NAME]. The first prong of the test requires that [DEFENDANT NAME] intentionally engaged in actions that would harm California residents. [DEFENDANT NAME], however, did not know that the ingots were destined for California, thus intent to harm a California worker cannot be established. The second prong of the test requires a finding that [DEFENDANT NAME] knew of the destination of the ingots. Such knowledge simply did not exist.

Finally, the last prong of the test requires that a harm was caused that [DEFENDANT NAME] should have anticipated would be suffered in the forum state. Once more, [DEFENDANT NAME] had no knowledge of the ingots destination and was not in the exporting, freight forwarding, transporting, shipping, stevedoring, or any other type of business which would require knowledge of a given cargo's destination. Therefore, Plaintiffs fail to meet their burden to show purposeful availment.

### 2. Arising Out Of Activities in Forum State

Moreover, Plaintiffs cannot satisfy the second requirement of the specific jurisdiction test enacted in *Ziegler* since [DEFENDANT NAME] has no California related activities. [DEFENDANT NAME] does not conduct exporting, freight forwarding, transporting, shipping, stevedoring, or any other type of business in California. As a result, Plaintiffs clearly fail this prong of the test.

### 3. Reasonableness of Exercising Jurisdiction

Plaintiffs, however, also fail to satisfy the third requirement of the specific jurisdiction test. In evaluating

reasonableness, a court must examine:

- (1) the extent of the defendant's purposeful injection into the forum;
- (2) the defendant's burdens from litigating in the forum;
- (3) the extent of conflict with the sovereignty of the defendant's state;
- (4) the forum state's interest in adjudicating the dispute;
- (5) the most efficient judicial resolution of the controversy;
- (6) the importance of the forum to the plaintiff's interest in convenient and effective relief; and
- (7) the existence of an alternative forum.

Terracom, 49 F.3d at 561. All seven factors must be weighted, while none is dispositive.

The seven factors are applied to [DEFENDANT NAME] as follows:

- (1) Since [DEFENDANT NAME] never conducted warehousing or other activities related to California, it had no purposeful injection in California and did not know that its activities were being conducted on cargo destined for California;
- (2) Since [DEFENDANT NAME] is a Dutch corporation, conducting business solely in The Netherlands with no contacts with California, there will be a heavy burden for [DEFENDANT NAME] to litigate in California. The burden falls in favor of Defendant when the burden is equal;
- (3) [DEFENDANT NAME] is incorporated under the laws of The Netherlands and conducts business solely in The Netherlands. Litigation under California laws would negatively impact the sovereignty of The Netherlands if extraterritorial jurisdictions are allowed to assert "jurisdiction through 'random,' 'fortuitous,' or 'attenuated' contacts." *Burger King Corp. v Rudzewicz (1985) 471 U.S. 462, 475, 105 S.Ct. 2174, 2183, 85 L.Ed.2d 528. [DEFENDANT NAME]* did not intentionally harm Plaintiffs, therefore diminishing California's reason to interfere with The Netherlands' sovereignty. [DEFENDANT NAME] conducted its activities in The Netherlands and should be sued under the laws of, and in, The Netherlands;
- (4) California has jurisdiction over other parties who are culpable in this matter, satisfying its strong interest in providing redress for its citizens;
- (5) [DEFENDANT NAME] will find it extremely difficult to provide witnesses in a California court, a factor diminishing the efficiency of the California forum;
- (6) Plaintiffs have provided no evidence showing that a remedy in The Netherlands is insufficient or unavailable; and
- (7) Plaintiffs have not shown that an alternative forum is not available. Indeed, the declaration by counsel for Plaintiffs, intended to support the non-existence of an alternative forum, establishes only that an alternative forum would be more expensive rather than unavailable to Plaintiffs.

Plaintiffs cannot show the reasonableness of exercising specific personal jurisdiction over [DEFENDANT NAME], therefore failing the third-prong of the specific personal jurisdiction test. Plaintiffs must satisfy all three prongs of said test, and if one prong is failed, California cannot impose its jurisdiction over a non-resident. The facts surrounding this matter show that Plaintiffs fail to satisfy all three requirements of said test.

### C. [DEFENDANT NAME] DID NOT ENGAGE IN CONDUCT THROUGH AN AMERICAN AGENT

Plaintiffs falsely allege that [DEFENDANT NAME] engaged in conduct leading to Plaintiffs' alleged injuries through "its American agents," The declarations state that each subsidiary is separately incorporated under the laws of The Netherlands and the United States, and neither corporation acted as an agent, partner, or in joint venture with the other regarding the transactions or activities that led to Plaintiffs' alleged injuries.

Neither entity, which Plaintiffs identify in an attempt to establish an American contact, conducted any activities nor have any relationship to the alleged activities leading to the Plaintiffs' injuries. Moreover, each is a separate entity and acts neither as an agent nor representative to [DEFENDANT NAME].

Plaintiffs misleadingly rely on *Magnecomp Corporation v. Athene Co., Ltd. (1989) 209 Cal.App.3d 526, 536, 257 Cal.Rptr. 278, 283* for authority to provide for [DEFENDANT NAME] to be held liable as a parent corporation or for the conduct of a subsidiary. In *Magnecomp*, the court found that jurisdiction existed because the Japanese defendant had an agent in California who conducted activities in California that were the subject of the action. In the instant case, neither American corporation nor [DEFENDANT NAME] conducted any business in California, nor did either American corporation conduct any activities that allegedly resulted in Plaintiffs' injuries. Therefore, *Magnecorp* is clearly distinguishable from the instant case.

### D. [DEFENDANT NAME] DID NOT CONDUCT BUSINESS IN CALIFORNIA

Plaintiffs claim that [DEFENDANT NAME] need not be present in California to be subject to its jurisdiction. Plaintiffs cite *International Shoe Co. v. Washington* (1945) 326 U.S. 310, 316, 66 S.Ct. 154, 158, which provides that a non-resident party can have sufficient "minimum contacts" with a forum state without being physically present.

*International Shoe*, however, is irrelevant in this context, since [DEFENDANT NAME] conducted no business in California whatsoever and therefore does not possess even minimum contacts.

### E. [DEFENDANT NAME] DID NOT KNOW AND HAD NO REASON TO KNOW THAT ITS CONDUCT IN THE NETHERLANDS WOULD IMPACT CALIFORNIA WORKERS

[DEFENDANT NAME] had no knowledge, or reason to have knowledge, that its conduct would affect California workers. Since [DEFENDANT NAME] only conducts warehousing services in The Netherlands, it had no reason to know that the Owner of the ingots would ship them to California. In *Checker Motors Corporation v. Superior Court* (1993) 13 Cal. App 4[th] 1007, 1017-1018, the court recognized that a defendant not regularly conducting business in the forum must nonetheless conduct sufficient business in the forum to satisfy the "minimum contacts" test such as written contracts specifically identifying contact with California. In the instant case, no such contacts exist.

Contrary to the bald assertions in counsel's conclusory declaration, and the misleading excerpts from the deposition of [DEPONENT], the true facts are that [DEFENDANT NAME] conducts no business in California and has no contact with California. An unintentional "contact" such as the subject shipping ending up in California is insufficient to support jurisdiction.



### Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER III MOTIONS AND REMEDIES
RULE 12 DEFENSES AND OBJECTIONS--WHEN AND HOW PRESENTED--BY PLEADING OR
MOTION--MOTION FOR JUDGMENT ON PLEADINGS

4-III Benedict on Admiralty FORM Nos. 3-107-3-117

### Reserved

FORM Nos. 3-107 Reserved



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms CHAPTER III MOTIONS AND REMEDIES RULE 14 -- THIRD-PARTY PRACTICE

4-III Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 3-118

FORM No. 3-118 Motion To Bring in Third-Party Defendant, Rule 14(a)

[Caption] n1	
Now Comes the defendant/third party plaintiff by its attorneys to serve a summons and third party complaint upon the third party defendan attached hereto as Exhibit  Date:	
Attorney for Defendant/Third-Party Plaintiff	
<b>FOOTNOTES:</b> (n1)Footnote 1. <i>See</i> Form No. 3-1 <i>supra</i> .	



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms CHAPTER III MOTIONS AND REMEDIES RULE 14 -- THIRD-PARTY PRACTICE

4-III Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 3-119

FORM No. 3-119 Summons and Complaint Against Third-Party Defendant, Rule 14(a)n1

[Caption] n2		
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SO	OUTH	IERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
CIVIL ACTION, FILE NUMBER		_
	) ) )	Summons
To the above-named Third-Party Defendant:		
and upon		, plaintiff's attorney whose address is, who is attorney for, defendant
and third-party plaintiff, and whose address isherewith served upon you within 20 days after the ser you fail to do so, judgment by default will be taken ag	vice o	, an answer to the third-party complaint which is of this summons upon you exclusive of the day of service. If you for the relief demanded in the third-party complaint. laint of the plaintiff which you may but are not required to

Clerk of Court				
[Seal of District Court] Dated:				
UNITED STATES DISTRI	CT COURT FOR THE S	OUTF	HERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	
CIVIL ACTION, FILE NUI	MBER		_	
	PLAINTIFF v. DEFENDANT AND , THIRD-	) ) )	Third-Party Complaint	
Plaintiff is hereto attached as "Exhib		defen	dant a comp	laint, a copy of which
	may recove		is entitled to recover from The stateme	
Wherefore,sums that may be adjudged	demands judgr against defendant	nent aş	gainst third-party defendantin favor of plaintiff	for all
Attorney for Third-Party Plaintiff				
FOOTNOTES: (n1)Footnote 1. This is substitute (n2)Footnote 2. See Fo	·	ne Off	icial Forms attached to the Federal Ru	les of Civil Procedure.



### Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms CHAPTER III MOTIONS AND REMEDIES RULE 14 -- THIRD-PARTY PRACTICE

4-III Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 3-120

FORM No. 3-120 Third-Party Complaint of Tug Company Against Vessel Owner--In Rem and In Personam

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FO	R THE DISTRICT OF
The[Pier Corporation,]	)
Plaintiff,	)
V.	)
[Towing Corporation,]	)
Defendant and	Civil Action No
Third-Party Plaintiff	)
Vessel her engines, boilers, etc., and	)
[Vessel Owner],	)
Third-Party Defendant	)
·	)
	)
THIRD-PARTY COMPLAINT	
1. Plaintiff, The, [Pier Corp	poration] has filed against defendant,
[Towing Corporation], a complaint, a copy of which	is hereto attached as Exhibit A, asserting an admiralty or maritime
claim within the meaning of Rule 9(h).	
	and still is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of of business at and is engaged, among
other things, in furnishing tug boat and pilotage servi	
3. Upon information and belief at all said times the _	[Vessel Owner] was and still is a

corporation organized and existing un	der the laws of the state of	and having an office and
place of business at	, and the owner of the	ne vessel
4. Upon information and belief the verwithin this district.	ssel	_ is now, or during the pendency of this action will be,
5. The true facts with respect to the da	amage alleged in the compl	aint are as follows:
[Describe events leading to Casualty]		
the part of the defendant or of anyone	for whom the defendant is	caused or contributed to by any fault or negligence on responsible but were wholly due to and solely caused r owner, corporation, its agents and employees in the
1. The vessel	was not properly i	nanned or equipped.
2. Those in charge of the vesses, Mas, assis	ster of the	failed to obey the order of Captain, who was stationed on the bridge of the
3. Those in charge of the vesses full speed ahead instead of hal		negligently ordered the engines of that vessel
4. And in other respects to be J	pointed out at the trial.	
7. Defendant further alleges that it fur [Vessel Own		
[Insert Applicable Provision]		
and, were assisti	ng the vessel and Capta	g her own propelling power, that defendant's tugs in, Master of the, and that if any fault on the part of Captain
, who was on	board the vessel	, caused or contributed to the collision and the pier,
[Vessel Owner], and not the defendant		and the pier,
which liability the defendant denies, the and her own	hen any and all such liabilitier,	of the matters alleged in the complaint filed herein, y was caused by fault on the part of the vessel _ [Vessel Owner], its agents and employees, and any and [Vessel
Owner].		
Wherefore defendant prays:		
1. That a warrant for the arrest of the	vessel	, her engines, boilers, etc., may issue, and that all

persons claiming any interest therein may be cited to appear and answer all and singular the n	natters aforesaid.
2. That a judgment may be entered against the claimant of the vessel third-party defendant (a) in favor of plaintiff, and (b) for all sums that may be adjudged again plaintiff.	_
3. That defendant may have such other and further relief as the justice of the cause may require	re.
Attorney for Defendant	

[Verification] n1

### **FOOTNOTES:**

(n1)Footnote 1. Required only for *in rem* claims. *See* Form No. 1-9 *supra*. If the third-party complaint is *in personam* only, and the third-party plaintiff wishes to foreclose a demand by the third-party defendant for a jury trial on the third-party complaint, it may be well to add to Paragraph 1 the following: "This third-party complaint is a case of admiralty and maritime jurisdiction, as hereinafter more fully appears, and is an admiralty or maritime claim within the meaning of Rule 9(h)." Where the original action is brought *in personam* and properly identified as an admiralty and maritime claim within the meaning of Rule 9(h), there is normally no right of the third-party defendant to a jury trial; however, where the original complaint is not clearly identified as being brought under Rule 9(h) and there is jurisdiction on another basis, a timely request by a third-party defendant for a jury trial should be honored. *Banks v. Hanover S.S. Corp.*, 43 F.R.D. 374 (D. Md. 1967); Sanderlin v. Old Dominion Stevedoring Corp., 281 F. Supp. 1015 (E.D. Va. 1968). Cf. Johnson v. Penrod Drilling Co., 469 F.2d 897 (5th Cir. 1972), cert. denied, 423 U.S. 839 (1975).



### Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms CHAPTER III MOTIONS AND REMEDIES RULE 14 -- THIRD-PARTY PRACTICE

4-III Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 3-121

FORM No. 3-121 Third Party Complaint Against Owner of Shore Structure Alleging Failure To Maintain--Collision, Rule 14(a) and (c)n1

[Ca]	ption]	∣n2
$L \subset u$	$\rho uon$	112

1. This is an Admiralty or Maritime claim within the meaning of $Rule$	9(h) of the Federal Rule	es of Civil Procedure as
hereinafter more fully appears.		
2. At all times hereinafter mentioned, defendant and third-party plaint		
was and still is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of	f the State of	with an
office and place of business at and was and	still is the owner of the tu	ıg
2. A4 -11 dimens have in after a resulting of third market defendant	[D :: l	
3. At all times hereinafter mentioned, third-party defendant,		
corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of	W1u	n an office and place of
business at		
4. In the year 2000 or shortly thereafter, the	[Railway Company] subi	mitted plans to the
Secretary of War for the proposed construction of a drawbridge spanr		
between the cities of	and	·
5. The location and the plans of the bridge were thereafter approved a	and a permit was issued for	or the construction of the
bridge.		
6. Pursuant to this permit, the bridge, now referred to as the	River Br	idge, was constructed and
finished.		

7. The plans approve	ed for the construction of the	River Bridge and	d authorized by the permit
•	-	ers, and in particular the northerly rest pier	, be protected by a piling and
timber fender syster	n.		
	20		
8. On or about,	, 20	, the Railroad,	as successor in interest to
		assumed the ownership of and the respons	ibility to maintain and
operate the	River Bridge	e.	
	rial hereto,	Railroad was the owner of the	River
Bridge.			
10. At all times mate	erial hereto,	Railroad operated the	River Bridge.
11. At all times mate Bridge.	erial hereto,	Railroad maintained the	River
12. At all times mate Bridge.	erial hereto,	Railroad controlled the	River
		Railroad had the duty to maintain	=
		purtenances, and in particular the pilings a	_
protecting the north	erly bridge abutment and rest	pier, in strict conformity with the permit v	under which said bridge was
erected so as to perr	nit vessels to negotiate the dra	awbridge with safety.	
14. Drawbridge Ope	eration Regulations promulgat	ted by the Commandant of the United Stat	es Coast Guard provide that
		Bridge shall maintain a tug at the drawbrid	
passage of vessels.			
15. On	, 20, the tu	in the, towing the ba	rge
	, was proceeding	in the	River on a voyage
from	to	At about	hours the two passed
through the north dr	aw of the	River Bridge. There was no fender s	system protecting the
northerly abutment	nor was there a tug in attendar	nce to control and aid in the passage of ver	ssels.
16 37 - 4 - 1 - 1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		CTT 1 TI 1
		Railroad from the Secr	
		deviation from the permit and approved I	olans issued for the
construction of the b	oridge or from the aforesaid D	Prawbridge Operation Regulations.	
17 Plaintiffs as one	arator and owner recnectively	of the non-self-propelled barge	have filed
against	Towing Compa	ny a Complaint, a copy of which is annexe	d harato as Evhibit "A"
[omitted].	rowing compar	ny a complaint, a copy of which is afficed	d hereto as Exhibit A
[omittea].			
18. Plaintiffs' compl	laint alleges that while the tug	was towing the	barge
		the north draw of the	
		d the bargeto str	
damage to the harge	e and loss and injury to plainti	ffs.	mit said offage fobalting in
e	1000 and injury to plante	<del></del> -	
19. If it is found tha	t plaintiffs sustained any loss	or injury as alleged in the Complaint, which	ch is denied, then such loss
		gligence ofRails	

Railroad failed to maintain and repair the pilings and timber fender system of the northerly
bridge abutment and rest pier in conformity with its permit, failed to maintain a tug at the drawbridge to control and aid
in the passage of vessels, failed to take reasonable precautions or exercise reasonable care to adequately or sufficiently
warn Towing Company and other navigators using the draw of the bridge of the dangers of
failing to maintain in place said pilings and timber fender system and to maintain a tug to control and aid in the passage
of vessels, and in other respects which will be pointed out at the time of trial.
20. If plaintiffs sustained any loss or injury as a result of the matters alleged in the Complaint, which is denied, the same
was caused by the fault, neglect, want of care, or breach of legal obligation on the part of
Railroad and not be any fault, neglect, want of care, or breach of legal obligation on the part of
Towing Company.
21. If Towing Company be caused to sustain any liability, loss, expense or legal detriment as a
result of plaintiffs' claims, and if such loss, liability, expense or legal detriment shall have been occasioned in whole or
in part by any fault, neglect, want of care or breach of legal obligation on the part of
then in such event Towing Company should be indemnified and held harmless against all
liability, loss, expense or legal detriment by Railroad or, in the alternative, then
Towing Company asks that the Court determine that proportion of the totality of causation and
the Court should decree that Railroad pay to Towing Company such proportionate contribution as its <i>pro rata</i> share of such causation shall bear to the whole thereof.
proportionate contribution as its pro rata share of such causation shan bear to the whole thereof.
Wherefore, Towing Company demands judgment against Railroad,
together with costs, disbursements, counsel's fees, and other expenses incurred in the defense of the action, and further
demands judgment against Railroad in favor of plaintiffs, together with such other and further
relief as the Court may deem just and proper.
Dated:
Attorney for Defendant
and Third-Party Plaintiff
and Third-Party Plannin
FOOTNOTES:
(n1)Footnote 1. Form adapted from papers filed in Bouchard Transportation Co. v. McAllister Brothers, Inc., Civ. No.
00.000 (F.D. XXXX 1000)

### 83-208 (E.D.N.Y. 1983).

(n2)Footnote 2. See Form No. 3-120 supra.



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms CHAPTER III MOTIONS AND REMEDIES RULE 14 -- THIRD-PARTY PRACTICE

4-III Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 3-122

FORM No. 3-122 Third-Party Complaint of Vessel Owner Against Stevedore--Cargo Damage, Rule 14(a) and (c)n1

F C	4: 7	I 1
10.0	ption]	1 n z
L	pilon	

•		fter more fully appears. The claims of plaintiff and in the meaning of <i>Rule 9(h) of the Federal Rules of</i>
2. At all material times, defendants and third-parand are foreign corporations.	rty plaintiffs were t	the operators of the vessel
		was a corporation organized pursuant to the siness as a stevedore and terminal operator in and
upon defendants and third-party plaintiffs a Sum damages in the amount of \$ damages allegedly sustained by a shipment of _	nmons and Compla are sought. T	The Summons and Complaint seeks recovery for
and		

5. A copy of the aforesaid Complaint is annexed hereto as Exhibit "A" [omitted], and you are required to answer said Complaint.

6. On or about	, 20	, at the Port of	<del></del>	_, there was delivered from
aboard the vessel	to the	e third-party defendar	it said shipment of _	and
the third-party defendant receiv	ed, accepted, and	dagreed and warrante	d to discharge and c	are for the same, in a good and
workmanlike manner, for good	and valuable cor	nsideration, pursuant t	o the terms and con-	ditions of an agreement
between third-party defendant a	nd third-party pl	aintiffs.		
7. If the aforesaid cargo sustain	_	•	•	1 1 1
deny, said damage, loss, shortag		•	•	
of express and implied warranty			-	hird-party defendant, its
agents, servants, or employees,	without the fault	of defendants and the	rd-party plaintiffs.	
O If plaintiff is antitled to make	····· domococo ocoi	not defendents and the	and months mlointiffe h	ry massam of the matters and
8. If plaintiff is entitled to recover things alleged in the Complaint				
plaintiffs are entitled to indemn				
for all or substantial part of the		ing legal rees and exp	enses, from uma-pa	arty desendant of contribution
for all of substantial part of the	damages.			
9. If plaintiff is entitled to recov	er, which defend	lants and third-party p	olaintiffs deny, plain	tiff should recover directly
from third-party defendant, purs	suant to <i>Rule 14</i> (	c) of the Federal Rule	s of Civil Procedure	e, without any contribution
from defendants and third-party	plaintiffs.			
Wherefore, defendants and thir	d-party plaintiffs	s demand:		
A. Judgment dismissing the Co	mnlaint herein to	ogether with costs and	disursements of this	s action:
ri. saagment dishinssing the co.	inplante nerem to	gether with costs and	distribution of the	s action,
B. Judgment against the third-p	arty defendant, _		, for all sums, if a	my, which may be recovered
by plaintiff from defendants, to				
C. Judgment for plaintiff direct	y against third-p	arty defendant pursua	nt to Rule $14(c)$ of the	he Federal Rules of Civil
Procedure; and,				
D. Whether or not plaintiff prev	vails indemnity	including reasonable	counsel fees costs	and dishursements of this
action, in favor of third-party pl				
defendant,				
Dated:			nor us may so just.	
	-			
		cc.		
Attorney for Defendants and Th	ard-Party Plainti	ffs		
FOOTNOTES:				
(n1)Footnote 1. Form adapted to	from papers filed	l in Insurance Compa	ny of North America	a v. S/S Concordia Gleam, Civ.

No. 78-3716 (S.D.N.Y. 1978), furnished through the courtesy of De Orchis & Partners, New York, New York.

(n2)Footnote 2. See Form No. 3-120 supra.



### Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms CHAPTER III MOTIONS AND REMEDIES RULE 14 -- THIRD-PARTY PRACTICE

4-III Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 3-123

FORM No. 3-123 Third Party Complaint of Vessel Owner Against Connecting Rail Carrier--Cargo Damage, Rule 14(a) and (c)n1

[Caption] n2

1. This is a case of an Admiralty and land Procedure and within the Admiralty a		•		· ·
2. At all times hereinafter mentioned,	defendant a	nd third-party plaintiff,		, was and still is a
corporation existing under and by virt business located at	ue of the lav			
3. At all times hereinafter mentioned, corporation existing under and by virt business located at	ue of the lav	ws of one of the states of the	he United States with	an office and place of
4. On or about	, 20	_, plaintiff,	brought a	n action against
defendant and third-party plaintiff cla	iming dama	ges in the amount of \$	<del>-</del>	_ that are alleged to
have resulted from damage, shortage,	and non-del	livery of a cargo of	th	at was carried on board
the vessel or	n a voyage f	rom	to	in the
month of, 20	) A (	copy of said Complaint is a	attached hereto as Ex	hibit A [omitted] and
you are required to answer said Comp	laint.			
5. On or about	, 20	_, defendant and third-part	ty plaintiff delivered	to third-party defendant
said cargo, all in apparent good order	and condition	on as is more fully set forth	h in third-party defer	dant's freight bills
annexed hereto as Exhibit B [omitted]	, for carriag	e by rail from	to	

and delivery to Plaintiff's agent at	that place.
6. Upon arrival atcondition.	, said cargo was delivered to plaintiff's agent in a damaged and short
neglect, want of care, breach of co	or loss, which is denied, such loss or damage resulted solely from the act or fault, ontract, and breach of warranties express and implied on the part of third-party d employees without any contributory fault on the part of defendant and third-party
•	ny liability should be judged and imposed upon defendant and third-party plaintiff, y plaintiff is entitled to full indemnity from third-party defendant, together with cost
Wherefore, defendant and third-p	arty plaintiff,, prays that:
A. Judgment be entered dismissing	g the complaint herein, together with costs and dibursements.
	ird-party defendant for all sums, if any, which may be recovered by plaintiff from ,, together with costs and disbursements.
C. Judgment be entered for plaint 14(c) of the Federal Rules of Civi	ff directly against third-party defendant,, pursuant to <i>Rule Procedure</i> .
	ls, indemnity, including costs and disbursements of this action, be granted in favor of the control of the costs and disbursements of this action, be granted in favor of the costs and disbursements of this action, be granted in favor of the costs and disbursements of this action, be granted in favor of the costs and disbursements of this action, be granted in favor of the costs and disbursements of this action, be granted in favor of the costs and disbursements of the costs are considered in favor of the costs and disbursements of the costs are considered in favor of the costs are considered in
E. This Honorable Court grant such Dated:	ch other, further, or different relief as it may deem just and proper.
Attorney for Defendant and Third	-Party Plaintiff
FOOTNOTES:	
(m.1.) Un atmata 1 Enums adamta d.f.,	and an artifaction Amendment Inc. of C.C. Con Land Trade Circ. No. 00, 4020

(n1)Footnote 1. Form adapted from papers filed in Appendagez, Inc. v. S.S. Sea-Land Trade, Civ. No. 80-4030 (S.D.N.Y. 1980), furnished through the courtesy of De Orchis & Partners, New York, New York.

(n2)Footnote 2. See Form No. 3-120 supra.



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms CHAPTER III MOTIONS AND REMEDIES RULE 14 -- THIRD-PARTY PRACTICE

4-III Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 3-124

FORM No. 3-124 Third-Party Complaint of Vessel Owner Against Seaman In Action All eging Assault on Fellow Crew Member, Rule 14(a) and (c)n1

[Caption] n2

•	•		appears. The claims of defendant and thi of Rule 9(h) of the Federal Rules of Civi	
	nd by virtue of the law	ws of the State of	was and still is a corporation duly, engaged in the shipping	ng
3. That at all times hereinafter maintains a residence within th			, maintained and still	
4. That on or about	, 20	, an action was comme	enced in the United States District Court	İ
for the	_ District of	by	to recover damages	
for personal injuries allegedly s	sustained while emplo	oyed as a member of the c	crew of the vessel	
, 20	A copy of the C	Complaint is attached here	eto as Exhibit "A" [omitted].	
			ff's injuries were caused by the negligener the vessel	ce
6 That it is alleged in Paragran	<b>ı</b> h	of the Complaint a	s follows:	

[Insert Paragraph Describing Actionable Negligence]
7. That all times hereinafter mentioned, third-party defendant,, was a member of the crew of the vessel pursuant to shipping articles that he signed and which articles contain the following pertinent provisions:
[Insert Provisions Concerning Conduct]
8. That if plaintiff sustained injuries in the manner alleged in the Complaint, by reason of any negligence other than his own, said injuries were sustained solely by reason of the act, fault, breach of contract, negligence, and misconduct of the third-party defendant and not by any act, fault, or negligence on the part of defendant and third-party plaintiff.
9. That if plaintiff's alleged injuries resulted in any way from any unseaworthy condition aboard the vessel, the existence of which defendant and the third-party plaintiff hereby denies, said unseaworthy condition was caused and created solely by the misconduct of third-party defendant and by his violation of the shipping articles and his duties under the general maritime law as a rated seaman and a member of the crew of the said vessel.
10. That if any liability for the alleged injury to the plaintiff be adjudged against the defendant and third-party plaintiff by reason of the acts, fault, negligence, or misconduct of third-party defendant as a member of the crew of the vessel, then said defendant and third-party plaintiff is entitled to indemnity from the third-party
defendant.
Wherefore, defendant and third-party plaintiff demands judgment against the third-party defendant for all sums which may be recovered by plaintiff against defendant and third party plaintiff in this action, together with reasonable counsel fees, costs, and disbursements incurred by the defense of this action.  Dated:
Attorney for Plaintiff and Third-Party Plaintiff
FOOTNOTES:

(n1)Footnote 1. Form adapted from papers filed in Waldron v. American Export Lines, Inc., Civ. No. 75-3706 (S.D.N.Y. 1975), furnished through the courtesy of De Orchis & Partners, New York, New York.

(n2)Footnote 2. See Form No. 3-120 supra.



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms CHAPTER III MOTIONS AND REMEDIES RULE 14 -- THIRD-PARTY PRACTICE

4-III Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 3-125

FORM No. 3-125 Order To Bring in Third Party Defendant, Rule 14(a)

(n1)Footnote 1. See Form No. 3-1 supra.



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms CHAPTER III MOTIONS AND REMEDIES RULE 14 -- THIRD-PARTY PRACTICE

4-III Benedict on Admiralty FORM Nos. 3-126-3-136

### Reserved

FORM Nos. 3-126Reserved



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER III MOTIONS AND REMEDIES
RULE 15 AMENDED AND SUPPLEMENTAL PLEADINGS

4-III Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 3-137

FORM No. 3-137 Notice of Motion To Amend Answer, Rule 15(a)

[Caption] n1

in Room	of the United St	ates Courthouse,	,
on the	day of	, 20 at	o'clock in the
forenoon, or as soon	n thereafter as counsel may be h	eard, for an order $(1)$ pursuant to $Ru$	tle 15(a) of the Federal Rules of
Civil Procedure deeming defendant,		, to have amended its ans	wer to plead the defense of
	and (2) pursuant to Rule :	56 granting said defendant summary	judgment dismissing the
complaint as against it and the vessel		on the grounds of	and (3)
granting said defend	dant such other and further relie	f as this Court may deem just and pr	roper.
Dated:			
Attorney for Defendance	dant		
FOOTNOTES:			
(n1)Footnote 1. Sec	e Form No. 3-1 <i>supra</i> .		



(n2)Footnote 2. See Form No. 3-1 supra.

### 90 of 157 DOCUMENTS

Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER III MOTIONS AND REMEDIES
RULE 15 AMENDED AND SUPPLEMENTAL PLEADINGS

4-III Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 3-138

FORM No. 3-138 Motion for Leave To File Amended Answer, Rule 15(a)n1

[Caption] n2		
Now Comes the Defendant,	e 15(a) of the Federal Rules of Civil Pro	ocedure for leave to file an
As is more fully set forth in the Affidavit of [set forth grounds f		, the basis for Defendants' motion is
Wherefore, defendant motion.	respectfully moves this court for an	order in accordance with this
Attorney for Plaintiff		
<b>FOOTNOTES:</b> (n1)Footnote 1. Form adapted from papers fithrough the courtesy of De Orchis & Partners <i>infra</i> .		



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER III MOTIONS AND REMEDIES
RULE 15 AMENDED AND SUPPLEMENTAL PLEADINGS

4-III Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 3-139

FORM No. 3-139 Affidavit in Support of Motion To File Amended Answer To Assert Defense of Limitation of Liability, Rule 15(a)n1

[Caption] n2		
	)	
STATE OF COUNTY OF	) )	SS
, being duly sworn, depo	oses and sa	says that:
support of defendants' motion for leave to amend Liability to the value of the defendants' interest in question, plus her freight then pending, pursuant t made in the original Answer concerning ownership	their Answ the vessel to 46 U.S.C ip and cont	attorneys for defendants. This affidavit is submitted in over to include the affirmative defense of Limitation of el at the end of the voyage in a c.C. §(EN)183. The Amended Answer will also correct errors introl of the vessel. Plaintiff's refusal to consent to the oposed Amended Answer is annexed hereto as Exhibit A.
, 20 Thereafter, p	laintiff was	, 20 Defendants' Answer was filed on as examined before trial. He testified that he was injured
when his foot became tangled in a line and was ca	arried into t	the winch because he slipped in oil leaking from the winch.

In Answers to Interrogatories serv	red	, 20	, plaintiff alleged that the accident was caused
by a "malfunctioning winch", asic	le from operational neglige	ence of the	ship's crew.
Although settlement has been pre- remote and the affirmative limitat		ff's extreme	nely high demands make settlement possibilities
Plaintiff apparently has anticipate in a state of disrepair for several v		set, insistin	ng that the allegedly unseaworthy winch had bee
			ue. Plaintiff will seek to avoid limitation by he cause of the accident before the vessel sailed
The addition of this defense will a therefore, privity from the outset.	not prejudice plaintiff, sinc	e he has be	een arguing improper shore maintenance and,
and seaworthy vessel, or in other	words, that the vessel was ivity and knowledge of the	in a danger	negligent by failing to provide or maintain a safe crous condition before she sailed and that the conditions. Defendants deny this contention and
Wherefore, defendants respectful	ly request leave to file the	Amended A	Answer annexed hereto as Exhibit A.
Attorney for Plaintiff			
Subscribed and sworn to before n	e this	day o	of, 20
[Seal]			
Notary Public			
FOOTNOTES:			
(n1)Footnote 1. Form adapted from through the courtesy of De Orchis			Inc., Civ. No. 74-735 (S.D.N.Y. 1974), furnished
anough the courtesy of De Ofenis	a randicis, riew rolk, ri	CW IOIK.	

## (n2)Footnote 2. See Form No. 3-1 supra.



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER III MOTIONS AND REMEDIES
RULE 15 AMENDED AND SUPPLEMENTAL PLEADINGS

4-III Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 3-140

FORM No. 3-140 Motion for Leave To File Amended Complaint, Rule 15(a)

[Caption] n1		
Now Comes the Plaintiff	by its attorneys	and moves the Court for
leave to file an amended complaint, a c	copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit	t A, on the ground that justice so
-	n the parties may be fully litigated in this a	action.
Dated:		
Attorney for Plaintiff		
FOOTNOTES:		
(n1)Footnote 1. See Form No. 3-1 supplemental supplementa	ra.	



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER III MOTIONS AND REMEDIES
RULE 15 AMENDED AND SUPPLEMENTAL PLEADINGS

4-III Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 3-141

FORM No. 3-141 Motion for Leave To File Amended Complaint Requesting the Issuance of a Warrant of Arrest and Adding the Necessary Verification, Rule 15(a)n1

[Caption] n2		
Now Comes the Plaintiff for leave to amend the complaint in this pro- respectfully shows:		
1. The amended complaint seeks recovery of ("vessel"), and to impress a lien upon the ved defendants are, the	essel to secure payment of such dan	nages. On this phase of the action
2. Prior to filing the amended complaint, pl lead it to assume that a letter of undertaking the effect of subjecting the vessel to the juri requirement of a bond for double the amount to be for \$ million thrust of these conversations and the reason vessel was subjected to the jurisdiction of the land requiring a bond would be expensive and	g in suitable form and for a reasonable is diction of this Court without the nont sued for as charter breach damagns times two, or \$	ole sum would be filed which would have ecessity for a formal arrest and the es. In this case, such a bond should have millions. On plaintiff's part, the he vessel was, simply, that as long as the
3. In delaying its action against the vessel b	because of its assumption, whether v	vell founded or not, that a letter of

undertaking would be forthcoming, plaintiff has knowingly assumed the risk of loss of its lien in the event of loss of the vessel, which would have the effect of extinguishing all liens. In the present posture of the case, however, where defendant has indicated that it is unwilling to furnish a suitable letter of undertaking, it becomes necessary to proceed

with process against the vessel, and the only process available is a summons and arrest.

4. The only effect of the amendment sought is to add the necessary verification and to specifically request the issuance of a warrant of arrest for the vessel to be served when it comes within the territorial jurisdiction of this court.

Wherefore, plaintiff moves t	his court for an order permitting it to file the amended complaint as requested.
Dated:	
Attorney for Plaintiff	

#### **FOOTNOTES:**

(n1)Footnote 1. Form adapted from papers used in Central Soya Co., Inc. v. Cox Towing Corp., 417 F.Supp. 658 (N.D. Miss. 1976), courtesy of Robertshaw & Merideth, Greenville, Miss.

(n2)Footnote 2. See Form No. 3-1 supra.



Wherefore the plaintiff prays that this motion be granted. n3

## 94 of 157 DOCUMENTS

Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER III MOTIONS AND REMEDIES
RULE 15 AMENDED AND SUPPLEMENTAL PLEADINGS

4-III Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 3-142

FORM No. 3-142 Motion for Leave to File Amended Complaint After Discovery and/or the Filing of a Motion to Dismiss the Complaint, Rule 15(a)

[Caption] n1				
leave to file an amended originally drawn does not	complaint, a copy accurately show t	of which is hereto at the precise factual sit	tached, on the grounds uation, (b) the plaintif	, and moves the Court for s that (a) the complaint as if learned of the additional facts by parties be fully litigated in this
This case has not been pla	aced on the calend	ar for trial. n2		
or				
[Repeat the allegations in	the preceding firs	st paragraph up to (b	) and continue as follo	ows:]
together with a supporting and (d) plaintiff be	g memorandum of lieves that the amount Il adequately respo	law; response to said ended complaint sub	d memorandum is due mitted herewith which	n motion to dismiss this complaint, on

Attorney	for	<b>Plaintif</b>	f

## **FOOTNOTES:**

(n1)Footnote 1. See Form No. 3-1 supra.

(n2)Footnote 2. Adapted from papers in *Communication Equip. Workers, Inc. v. Western Elec. Co., 328 F. Supp.* 240 (D. Md. 1971), furnished through the courtesy of Messrs. Mayer, Weiner & Mayer, New York City.

(n3)Footnote 3. Adapted from papers in *DiFoggio v. United States*, 484 F. Supp 233 (N.D. Ill. 1979), furnished through the courtesy of William J. Wise, Coles & Wise., Ltd., Chicago, Illinois.



## Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

## Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms CHAPTER III MOTIONS AND REMEDIES RULE 15 AMENDED AND SUPPLEMENTAL PLEADINGS

4-III Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 3-143

## FORM No. 3-143 Motion for Leave To File Amended Complaint

[Caption] n1		
Now Comes the Plaintiff, Honorable Court, pursuant to <i>Fed. R. Civ.</i> following grounds:	, by its attorneys,	and moves this econd Amended Complaint on the
1. The proposed amendments are in according the Amended Complaint.	dance with the facts obtained by Plaintif	f's counsel subsequent to the filing of
2. The proposed amendments will neither	prejudice the Defendants nor delay the	lisposition of the instant suit.
Wherefore, Plaintiff	moves this honorable Court to:	
1. Grant Plaintiff's motion for leave to file	an amended Complaint.	
2. Direct the Clerk of the Court to enter the granting this motion.	e Second Amended Complaint upon the	docket of this suit as of the date of
Attorney for Plaintiff		
<b>FOOTNOTES:</b> (n1)Footnote 1. <i>See</i> Form No. 3-1 <i>supra</i> .		



#### Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

## Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms CHAPTER III MOTIONS AND REMEDIES RULE 15 AMENDED AND SUPPLEMENTAL PLEADINGS

4-III Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 3-144

## FORM No. 3-144 Answer To Motion to Stay Proceeding Pending Arbitration

[Caption] n1			
Now Comes the Plaintiff,	, by its attorneys,	, and	d answers Defendant's
Motion to Stay Proceedings Pending Arbit			
1. The Complaint is being amended at this	time to reflect the following:		
a. That is the ow lading issued by Defendant with respect to		d the holder and endorsee	of certain bills of
b. That Defendant did not charter the vesse carriage of the cargo in question from			for the
c. That the vessel Company.	_ was chartered to	and not to	
2. As set forth in the attached Affidavits, t Motion of Defendant to Stay Pending Arb the ship broker's office.			
3. Plaintiff denies that any of the language, as Plaintiff was			
simply the holder of bills of lading for the	cargo carried on that vessel pu	irsuant to endorsement of	the bills of lading.

in paragraph 4 of the Motion that any and all differences and disputes of whatsoever natural city of London.	
5. That Plaintiff is not a signatory to a charter party for the vessel	
attached to the Motion as Exhibit A was never signed and the name that document without the intention of Plaintiff Company.	Company was placed on
6. That Plaintiff is a holder and endorsee of certain bills of lading issued by the Defendan question bound from to the United States. However, the bills of any arbitration clause in the charter party and are insufficient, as a matter of law, to require against Defendants.	lading do not give notice of
7. That the name of was affixed to the charter party due to a clear of the ship broker's office and without any authority from Computer who actually affixed the vessel name, attached hereto as Affidavit of	oany. See the affidavit of the
Wherefore, having fully answered, Plaintiff, prays that the Cou Stay Proceedings Pending Arbitration for the reasons set forth herein and in the attached support hereof, with costs of the Motion to Plaintiff and for such other, further and differences may require.	Memorandum of Law in
Attorney for Plaintiff	
FOOTNOTES:	
(n1)Footnote 1. See Form No. 3-1 supra.	



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER III MOTIONS AND REMEDIES
RULE 15 AMENDED AND SUPPLEMENTAL PLEADINGS

4-III Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 3-145

FORM No. 3-145 Order for Leave To File Amended Complaint, Rule 15(a)

[Caption] n1				
			, on plaintiff's Motion for Leave to File Amend e given, and the court being fully advised,	led
It Is Ordered:				
1. That plaintiff be given leave to fi	ile his amended com	nplaint; and		
2. That defendant answer or otherw days after	-	ect to the said	id amended complaint within	
Dated, this the			, 20	
District Court Judge				
FOOTNOTES:				
(n1)Footnote 1. See Form No. 3-1	supra.			



(n1)Footnote 1. See Form No. 3-1 supra.

#### 98 of 157 DOCUMENTS

Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER III MOTIONS AND REMEDIES
RULE 15 AMENDED AND SUPPLEMENTAL PLEADINGS

4-III Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 3-146

FORM No. 3-146 Order To Show Cause--Amended Complaint, Rule 15(a)



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER III MOTIONS AND REMEDIES
RULE 15 AMENDED AND SUPPLEMENTAL PLEADINGS

4-III Benedict on Admiralty FORM Nos. 3-147-3-157

## Reserved

FORM Nos. 3-147Reserved



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER III MOTIONS AND REMEDIES
RULE 16 PRE-TRIAL CONFERENCES; SCHEDULING; MANAGEMENT

4-III Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 3-158

FORM No. 3-158 Notice of Pre-Trial Conference, Rule 16

(n1)Footnote 1. See Form No. 3-1 supra.

[Caption] n1

		ial Conference before the Hon.	
		of this Court at	
	<b>.</b>	t 16 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure a	
	of this Court; and unless ex	scused for good cause, each party appearing	in the action shall be
represented at the pre-t	rial conference and at all pre-	trial meetings of counsel by the attorney who	is to have charge of
the conduct of the trial	on behalf of such party.		
Dated:			
	Clerk		
by	Deputy		
FOOTNOTES:			



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER III MOTIONS AND REMEDIES
RULE 16 PRE-TRIAL CONFERENCES; SCHEDULING; MANAGEMENT

4-III Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 3-159

FORM No. 3-159 Motion for Pre-Trial Conference, Rule 16

[Caption] n1

Plaintiff [or, defendant] moves the court to order a pretrial conference in this case for the purpose of considering the matters set out in *Rule 16 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure*. The grounds of this motion are that such a conference will expedite the trial and disposition of this action by simplifying and settling the issues between the parties and by obtaining admissions of fact and of documents which will avoid unnecessary proof.

	Dated:	 
Signed: Attorney for Plaintiff		

**FOOTNOTES:** 

(n1)Footnote 1. See Form No. 3-1 supra.



#### Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER III MOTIONS AND REMEDIES
RULE 16 PRE-TRIAL CONFERENCES; SCHEDULING; MANAGEMENT

4-III Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 3-160

FORM No. 3-160 Order for Initial Pre-Trial Conference, Rule 16

judgment.

[Caption] n1				
The case listed above	having been assigned to me for	all purposes, including	trial, the attorneys for	r all parties shall
	, United Sta		•	•
	, 20, to discuss the po			
for trial and to fix tria		•		
Counsel for plaintiff a	are directed to mail copies of thi	s Order to all other cour	isel of record at once.	
If this action has been	settled or otherwise terminated	, counsel are directed to	file or mail a stipulat	tion of
discontinuance, volun	tary dismissal, or other proof of	termination of the actic	n prior to the date of	the pre-trial
conference with	, Minute Cle	erk to Judge	, Room	
	, U.S. Courthouse,	, otherw	ise counsel are direct	ed to appear as
specified above.				
If issue has not been j	oined but plaintiff(s)' counsel is	aware of the identity of	defendant(s)' counse	el, plaintiff(s)' counsel

Failure to obtain an appearance from a defendant who has been served, or to appear with a motion for a default judgment, may result in the dismissal of the action for failure to prosecute. If process has not yet been served upon the defendant(s), the Court may, depending upon the circumstances, place the case on the Suspense Calendar or take

is directed to mail a copy of this Order to defendant(s)' counsel forthwith. If plaintiff(s)' counsel has been negotiating with adjusters or other agents for the defendant(s), he should advise them that unless an answer or an appearance has been filed by the date of the conference, he will be compelled to appear at that time with a motion for a default

appropriate steps to otherwise terminate the action.

If counsel cannot attend at the time specified because of a prior court commitment, one adjournment will be granted. Counsel must request such adjournment in writing 48 hours before the scheduled time. In the absence of a timely request, the conference will take place at the time specified.

Attorney for Plaintiff

United States District Judge

#### **FOOTNOTES:**

(n1)Footnote 1. See Form No. 3-1 supra.



## Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER III MOTIONS AND REMEDIES
RULE 16 PRE-TRIAL CONFERENCES; SCHEDULING; MANAGEMENT

4-III Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 3-161

FORM No. 3-161 Stipulation and Order Re Schedule for Pre-Trial Preparation, Rule 16n1

-	ption]	1112

It Is Hereby Stipulated by and between the parties hereto, through their respective attorneys, and upon the order of this
Court that all pre-trial preparation in the within matter shall take place according to the following schedule, of which the
parties, through their respective counsel's execution of this stipulation and order, acknowledge their assent and
availability:

1	_, 20	,m. Status Conference.
2	_, 20	: Completion of discovery.
3	_, 20	: Plaintiff's trial memorandum due.
4	_, 20	: Exchange between parties of copies of exhibits to be used at trial.
5following attachment(s):	_, 20	: Exchange between parties of lists of witnesses to be called at trial including the
(a) a short, narrative staten	nent of the	expected testimony of each such witness; and
(b) for each expert witness	, if any, an	exhibit setting forth his qualifications and experience,
6	_, 20	: Objections, if any, to exhibits (see #4), due in writing from either party.

7	, 20	_: Defendant's response due to plaintiff's trial memorandum (see #3).
8 (see #7).	, 20	_: Plaintiff's reply, if any, to defendant's response to plaintiff's trial memorandum
9	, 20	,a.m.: Pre-trial conference.
10attachments:	_, 20	: Parties to lodge a Proposed Joint Pre-trial Order with the following
(a) As an exhibit, a statement	nt of stipu	alated facts; and
(b) As an exhibit, a stateme stipulation of facts (See #10		ing the approximate amount of time spent by the attorneys in arriving at the
11	_, 20	Parties to lodge Proposed Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law.
12	_, 20	,m.: Trial.
Attorney for Plaintiff Office and P.O. Address	-	
Attorney for Defendant Office and P.O. Address	-	
It Is So Ordered: Dated	, 20	
United States District Judge	- <b>?</b>	

## **FOOTNOTES:**

(n1)Footnote 1. Adapted from papers in National Association of Blue Shield Plans v. Lovelace, 435 F.Supp. 115 (N.D. Cal. 1977), furnished through the courtesy of Melville Owen, Owen, Wickersham & Erickson, San Francisco, California.

(n2)Footnote 2. See Form No. 3-1 supra.



## Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER III MOTIONS AND REMEDIES
RULE 16 PRE-TRIAL CONFERENCES; SCHEDULING; MANAGEMENT

4-III Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 3-162

## FORM No. 3-162 Pre-Trial Order--General Form, Rule 16

[Caption] n1

The parties to this action or their attorneys having appeared before the court at a pre-trial conference pursuant to <i>Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 16</i> , the following action was taken:
(i) It is ordered that the following amendments to the pleadings are allowed:
(ii) The parties agreed that the trial of this action shall be based upon the pleadings as amended, except that the following issues raised by the pleadings are abandoned:
(iii) The parties stipulated the following facts:
(iv) The parties agreed that the following documents, which were marked for identification, may be received in evidence:
(v) The parties agreed to limit the number of expert witnesses as follows:
(vi) The parties agreed that the following are all of the claims for damages or for other relief in this action, as of the date of this conference:
(vii) The parties also agreed on the following matters:
(viii) The issues to be tried are formulated by the court as follows:  Dated:

District	Court	Indge	

## FOOTNOTES:

(n1)Footnote 1. See Form No. 3-1 supra.



[Caption] n2

profit salvaging grain.

#### 105 of 157 DOCUMENTS

#### Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER III MOTIONS AND REMEDIES
RULE 16 PRE-TRIAL CONFERENCES; SCHEDULING; MANAGEMENT

4-III Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 3-163

## FORM No. 3-163 Pre-Trial Order--Breach of Towage Contract, Rule 16n1

On or about	, 20, defendant, then staying at t		en staying at the
	Hotel in	, contacted Mr	of
	and contracted with plaintiff	to render towage services a	and to rent its barges to defendant,
			_, to assist them in their business of
	ollowing morning, a contract w		
	, whereby	agreed to rent its	to move the
			to just below the sugar dock at
			and confirmed by him the following
morning while said	was livir	ng at the	Hotel in
	The entire contract was exec	cuted completely within the	State of
Similar contracts were	entered into by	and executed in _	by plaintiff
until sometime after the	e of _	, 20	, when
	instructed plaintiff that	would	make arrangements for future services
to be rendered by the p	laintiff	_, then departed	for
	_, whereupon defendant, took	over the grain salvage oper	ations in
Thereafter,	, who was then st	aying at the	Motel or
	Hotel in	, entered into several of	contracts with plaintiff for towage
			ns. All of these contracts were fully
	said performance being comple		
On or about	20 plain	tiff received a check for \$	drawn on the
			, said check
			ware of the continued contractual
			of
uniungements of way o	rong distance tereprione with		
On or about	, 20 ,	withdr	ew from and
returned to	leaving a balance	due and owing unto plaint	iff in the sum of \$
			along with
			ontracts individually, jointly, and/or as
			or commercial partnership which,
	and		
	renders them liable to plainti		
(b) Defendants.	and	. contend t	hat they were acting as employees or
agents of	and that	was aware	of the fact that
	and	were acting solely as emp	of the fact that loyees and/or agents. These defendants
claim that nothing in th	eir actions bound them persona	ally: that they did not exceed	d their authority, nor did they in any
_		•	and,
further contend that in	the event	is shown not to exist. th	nen and
and III	claim they were innocent par	rties, believing said corpora	tion did exist, and were, therefore,
	d/or agents of that corporation a		
_	en plaintiff and defendant that	the services of	were, in fact, performed
for someone.			

8. The contested issues of fact are:

(a) Whether or not	was dealing solely with	or was dealing with
defendants as individuals;		
(b) Whether or not;	and/or	were employees, and/or agents of
(c) Whether or not their authority as agents of		bound themselves personally or exceeded
(d) Whether or notand/or	and/or were engage	were engaged in a joint venture with d in a joint venture with
and/or and/or		
(e) Whether or not that would sub-		
9. The contested issues of law are:		
(a) Those issues inherent in the foregoin	ng issues of fact;	
(b) Does thelo	ong arm statute apply to	and
10. (a) Exhibits that may be used by pla	intiff are:	
(i) Copies of invoice numbers:	,	,, and
(ii) Copy of check dated/	/ issued by	
(iii) Affidavit of	submitted by him in connect	ion with his motion for summary judgment;
(iv) Affidavit of	to be submitted by him in co	nnection with his motion for summary judgment
(v) Deposition of	;	
(vi) All exhibits listed by defendants.		
(b) Exhibits that may be used by defend	lants are:	
(i) Certified copy of a certificate from th	he Secretary of State of the State	e of for
(ii) Certified copy of the charter of	;	
(iii) All exhibits listed by plaintiff.		

(c) The authenticity of the foregoing exhibits has been stipulated, but they are subject to objections, if any, at the trial, other than to authenticity; and that if other exhibits are to be offered by any party, then at least ten days prior to trial, they will be submitted to opposing counsel and a supplemental note of evidence will be filed into the record of this case.

11. (a) Plaintiff will call the	following witnesses:		
(i)	, Vice President of		_, who will testify as to facts.
(b) Plaintiff may call the fol	llowing witnesses:		
(i)	, defendant, under cross-exar	nination.	
(ii)	_, defendant, under cross-exa	mination.	
(iii)	_ of	_ who may test	tify to facts surrounding this claim.
(c) Defendants will call the	following witnesses:		
(i)	, who will testify on his own	behalf concern	ning facts surrounding this claim.
(ii)	_, who will testify on his own	behalf concer	rning facts surrounding this claim.
supplemental note of evider expected to be established be supplemental note of evider	nce containing the names, add by the testimony of each witne	resses, and a cess will be serventhis case. This	en at least ten days prior to the trial a concise narrative statement of all material facts yed on opposing counsel, with the original of said is restriction shall not apply to rebuttal witnesses, are the time of trial.
12. There are no requested a	amendments to the pleadings.		
	rial of this claim will require only of		
Reasonable opportunity has	been afforded counsel for co e trial and may not be amend	rrections, or ac	ounsel for the respective parties have appeared. dditions, prior to signing. Thereafter, this order onsent of the parties and the Court, or by order
15. Possibility of settlement	of this case was considered.		
District Court Judge	-		
FOOTNOTES:	tod from papers used in Star 7	Fouring Co. In	no v Harvastar Supply Co. Inc. 421 E 24 629

(n1)Footnote 1. Form adapted from papers used in Star Towing Co., Inc. v. Harvester Supply Co., Inc., 421 F.2d 628, 2070 A.M.C. 1430 (5th Cir. 1970), courtesy of Phelps, Dunbar, Marks, Claverie & Sims, New Orleans, Louisiana.

(n2)Footnote 2. See Form No. 3-1 supra.



[Caption] n2

## 106 of 157 DOCUMENTS

#### Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER III MOTIONS AND REMEDIES
RULE 16 PRE-TRIAL CONFERENCES; SCHEDULING; MANAGEMENT

4-III Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 3-164

FORM No. 3-164 Motion to Strike Certain Exhibits for Failure to Comply With Pre-Trial Order, or, Alternatively, to Extend Time to File a List of Trial Exhibits, Rule 16n1

Defendant,, respectfully i	moves this Court to	strike all of	the Plaintiffs' exhibits not contained
in the list of exhibits attached as Appendix "A" or i			
, 20, to	, 20 _	, for De	efendant to file a supplemental list of
trial exhibits on the following grounds, more fully			
1. Counsel for the Plaintiffs have failed to make av	ailable for inspecti	on by couns	el for Defendants a large number of
their exhibits, or even to designate with specificity			pefore, 20
, as required by this Court's Order of	,	20	
2. As a result, it will be virtually impossible for cou	unsel for Defendan	t to meaning	fully analyze and prepare objections
and select Defendant's exhibits prior to	, 20 _	, the da	ate on which Defendant is required to
file its list of trial exhibits pursuant to the Court's C			
Under these circumstances, Defendant moves the C	Court to order that a	all the exhib	its of the Plaintiffs not produced for
Defendant's inspection on	_, 20		and listed in Appendix "A" be stricken
Defendant's inspection on from Plaintiffs' list of exhibits served on Defendant			
Defendant's inspection on	t	, 20 _	, or from any subsequent list, or
from Plaintiffs' list of exhibits served on Defendant	t	, 20 _	, or from any subsequent list, or
from Plaintiffs' list of exhibits served on Defendant in the alternative, that Defendant be given until	t	, 20 _	, or from any subsequent list, or

## **FOOTNOTES:**

(n1)Footnote 1. Adapted from papers in Jarrell v. Eastern Air Lines., Inc., 430 F. Supp. 884 (E.D. Va. 1077), furnished through the courtesy of Hunton & Williams, Richmond, Virginia.

(n2)Footnote 2. See Form No. 3-1 supra.



## Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER III MOTIONS AND REMEDIES
RULE 16 PRE-TRIAL CONFERENCES; SCHEDULING; MANAGEMENT

4-III Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 3-165

## FORM No. 3-165 Note of Issue and Statement of Readiness, Rule 16

[Caption] n1
1. The specific nature and object of the matter is a cause of Maritime collision.
2. Issue has actually been joined.
3. The last pleading, defendant's answer to the complaint, was served on, 20
4. This is an Admiralty action, and no jury can be demanded.
5. The parties have taken or have had reasonable opportunity to take and complete the necessary depositions and other discovery proceedings.
6. The plaintiff has unsuccessfully approached the defendant as to the possibility of settlement.
7. The cause is, in all respects, ready for trial.
8. There is no other cause of action resting upon the same matter of right or defense.  Dated:
Attorney for Plaintiff
<b>FOOTNOTES:</b> (n1)Footnote 1. <i>See</i> Form No. 3-1 <i>supra</i> .



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER III MOTIONS AND REMEDIES
RULE 16 PRE-TRIAL CONFERENCES; SCHEDULING; MANAGEMENT

4-III Benedict on Admiralty FORM Nos. 3-166-3-176

## Reserved

FORM Nos. 3-166Reserved



## Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER III MOTIONS AND REMEDIES
RULE 17 PARTIES PLAINTIFF AND DEFENDANT; CAPACITY

4-III Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 3-177

FORM No. 3-177 Petition To Include Real Party in Interest, Rule 17(a)

(n1)Footnote 1. See Form No. 3-1 supra.

[Caption] n1		
Now Comes the defendant	, by its attorneys,	, and moves this Court
to amend the caption of the case to include _ reason therefor says:		
1. As the compensation carrier for the steved	ore,,	Insurance
Company is a real party in interest to the exte	ent of its compensation lien.	
2 [Insurance Compvirtue of Rule 17(a) of the Federal Rules of C plaintiff. The Court is respectfully referred to proposition.	Civil Procedure, a real party in inte	rest and should be included as a use
Wherefore, defendant respectfully moves thi Dated:	s court for an order amending the	caption of the case as requested above.
Attorney for Plaintiff		
FOOTNOTES:		



#### Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

# Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms CHAPTER III MOTIONS AND REMEDIES RULE 17 PARTIES PLAINTIFF AND DEFENDANT; CAPACITY

4-III Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 3-178

## FORM No. 3-178 Motion To Substitute Real Party in Interest, Rule 17(a)n1

[Caption] n2					
Now Comes the Plaintiff, _		, by its atto	rneys,	, 8	and moves pursuant to
Rule 17(a) of the Federal Ru	iles of Civi	l Procedure that		be substituted t	
1. On	, 20	,	was the	owner of a vessel n	amed
2. Onas agent for			executed	d the Charter Party i	involved in this action
3. Attorney for defendant		Company,	on	, 20	, verbally raised
the issue whether					·
In order that there be no que substituted as the party plair		t the real party plaintiff	in interest,		, should be
Attorney for Plaintiff					

#### **FOOTNOTES:**

(n1)Footnote 1. Adapted from papers in Trade & Transport, Inc., v. Caribbean Steamship Company, 384 F. Supp. 782 (S.D. Tex. 1974), furnished through the courtesy of Kleberg, Mobley, Lockett and Weil, Corpus Christi, Texas.

(n2)Footnote 2. See Form No. 3-1 supra.



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER III MOTIONS AND REMEDIES
RULE 17 PARTIES PLAINTIFF AND DEFENDANT; CAPACITY

4-III Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 3-179

FORM No. 3-179 Motion To Dismiss--Plaintiff Not Real Party in Interest, Rule 17(a)

[Caption] n1		
Now Comes the Defendant,	, by its attorneys,	, and moves the court
to dismiss the action because the complaint	fails to state a claim against defendant u	pon which relief can be granted, in
that plaintiff is not the real party in interest	herein, as more particularly appears from	n the affidavits of
and	, attached hereto as Exhibit A	A and Exhibit B respectively.
Dated:		
Attorney for Defendant		
FOOTNOTES:		
(n1)Footnote 1. See Form No. 3-1 supra.		



(n1)Footnote 1. See Form 3-1 supra.

#### 112 of 157 DOCUMENTS

Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER III MOTIONS AND REMEDIES
RULE 17 PARTIES PLAINTIFF AND DEFENDANT; CAPACITY

4-III Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 3-180

FORM No. 3-180 Order To Dismiss Unless Real Party in Interest Be Substituted, Rule 17(a)

This cause came on to be heard \_\_\_\_\_\_, 20 \_\_\_\_\_, on defendant's motion for an order dismissing the complaint herein on the ground that the plaintiff, \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ is not the real party in interest herein, and it appearing to the court that one \_\_\_\_\_\_\_ is the real party in interest but that a reasonable time should be allowed for the substitution of said \_\_\_\_\_\_ as plaintiff herein (or, ratification of commencement of the action by the real party in interest, or, joinder, as the case may be), it is

Ordered, that this action shall be dismissed unless the said \_\_\_\_\_\_\_ be substituted as plaintiff herein (or as the case may be) within \_\_\_\_\_\_ days after service of notice of entry of this order upon the plaintiff herein.

Dated: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_

United States District Judge

FOOTNOTES:



## Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

# Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms CHAPTER III MOTIONS AND REMEDIES RULE 21 MISJOINDER AND NON-JOINDER OF PARTIES

4-III Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 3-181

## FORM No. 3-181 Motion To Drop Parties Not Real Parties in Interest Pursuant to Rule 21

[Caption] n1		
Now comes the Defendant	, by its attorneys,	and moves the cour
	and	
herein, and for grounds therefore shows		respectively as parties planting
1. The complaint is for damages for per	sonal injuries in subrogation under the L	ongshoremen's and Harbor Workers'
Compensation Act, 33 U.S.C. § 933.		•
2. Plaintiff,[ <i>I</i> .	nsurance Company], paid certain compe	nsation to plaintiffs
and	respectively, pursuant to	the compensation order of
	, dated	
The compens	ation order was filed in the office of the	Deputy Commissioner on
, 20		
3. The acceptance of such compensation	n by said plaintiffs under the Longshorer	men's and Harbor Workers'
-	complaint, and plaintiffs' failure to com	
•	ard, as required by 33 U.S.C. § $933(b)$ , or	
	ights and claims of any character to reco	•
defendant.	,	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
	, respectfully moves this court for	or an order dropping plaintiffs
and	as parties plaintiff.	
Dated:		

Attorney for Defendant

## **FOOTNOTES:**

(n1)Footnote 1. See Form No. 3-1 supra.



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER III MOTIONS AND REMEDIES
RULE 21 MISJOINDER AND NON-JOINDER OF PARTIES

4-III Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 3-182

FORM No. 3-182 Order To Drop Parties as Not Real Parties in Interest, Rule 21

[Caption] n1			
as parties plaintiff, and it appearing to t	he court that plai or their injuries u	ntiffs	, on motion of defendant to drop certain parties and ongshoremen's and Workers' Compensation Act, and
plaintiff herein, with leave to plaintiff _		[In	be and they hereby are dropped as parties asurance Company] to serve and file an amended by of this order; and that the caption of this action is
United States District Judge			
<b>FOOTNOTES:</b> (n1)Footnote 1. <i>See</i> Form No. 3-1 <i>supp</i>	ra.		



## Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER III MOTIONS AND REMEDIES
RULE 21 MISJOINDER AND NON-JOINDER OF PARTIES

4-III Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 3-183

FORM No. 3-183 Motion To Drop Defendant or for Severance of Claim, Rule 21

[Caption] n1		
Now Comes the defendant, for an order dropping it as a party defendant l it by plaintiff herein from the claim asserted a	nerein or in the alternative for an or	der severing the claim asserted against
(1) The alleged claim asserted against defend occurrence, or series of transactions or occurr nor do the two alleged claims involve question	rences as the claim asserted against	defendant,,
(2) The moving defendant will be put to undu without a severance of the issues.	ne expense and embarrassment if he	is required to proceed with his defense
(3) The trial of the action will be embarrassed two defendants herein, all to the prejudice of		al of the claims asserted against the
Wherefore, defendantdefendant. Dated:	respectfully moves this court for	r an order dropping it as a party
Attorney for Defendant		
<b>FOOTNOTES:</b> (n1)Footnote 1. <i>See</i> Form No. 3-1 <i>supra</i> .		



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER III MOTIONS AND REMEDIES
RULE 21 MISJOINDER AND NON-JOINDER OF PARTIES

4-III Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 3-184

FORM No. 3-184 Order To Sever Claims Against Defendant, Rule 21

[Caption] n1
This cause came on to be heard on, 20, on motion of defendant,, for an order dropping it as a party or in the alternative for an order severing the claim asserted
against it from the claim asserted against the other defendants, and it appearing to the court that the claim asserted against defendant,, does not arise out of the same transaction or occurrence or series of
transactions or occurrences as that out of which arise the claims asserted against the other defendants, and does not involve any question of law or fact common to all defendants,
It Is Ordered,
(1) That the claim asserted against defendant be severed from the claims asserted against the
other defendants, and that it be treated henceforth as a separate action under the title of, File No; and that the caption of this action shall be amended accordingly;
(2) That plaintiff serve and file amended complaints against defendant and the other defendants herein within twenty days after service upon plaintiff of a copy of this order.  Dated:
United States District Judge
FOOTNOTES:
(n1)Footnote 1. See Form No. 3-1 supra.



# 117 of 157 DOCUMENTS

Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms CHAPTER III MOTIONS AND REMEDIES RULE 23 CLASS ACTIONS

4-III Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 3-185

FORM No. 3-185 Notice of Motion (Combined With Motion) for Determination That Action Is Maintainable as Class Action, Rule 23(c)n1

[Caption] n2		
Please Take Notice that on the attached affidavit of	sworn to	, 20
and on the pleadings and all proceedings hitherto had h	erein, the undersigned will move this	court in Room
, United States Court House	on	, 20 a
A.M. or as soon thereafter as counse	el may be heard, for an Order under Ru	tle 23(c)(1) of the
Federal Rules of Civil Procedure (1) determining that this consetting forth the requisite notice, and (3) for such other and fur		
Dated:		
Yours, etc.		
Attorney for Plaintiff		
FOOTNOTES:		
(n1)Footnote 1. Form adapted from papers used in Rosenblatt courtesy of Markewich, Rosenhaus, Markewich & Friedman,		51 (2d Cir. 1970),



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms CHAPTER III MOTIONS AND REMEDIES RULE 23 CLASS ACTIONS

4-III Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 3-186

FORM No. 3-186 Order Class Action, Rule 23(c)

[Caption] n1			
And Now, to wit, this	day of	, 20	, upon consideration of the
within Motion, it is hereby			-
Ordered and Decreed that the within action in accordance with <i>Federal Rule</i>		e above captioned n	natter is determined to be a class
District Court Judge			
or			
This cause came on for hearing on		, before the Hone	orable,
District Judge, upon the plaintiff's morboth parties were heard, and upon con	tion for certification of the cau	ise as a class action	. Arguments from counsel for
Ordered that since the Court finds that over any questions affecting only indimethods for the fair and efficient adjunction under <i>Rule 23(b)(3)</i> , <i>Fed. R. Ci</i> attached hereto to each and every indi	vidual members, and that sinc dication of the controversy, thiv. P., and the defendants are h	e a class-action is so is matter should be dereby ordered to de	uperior to other available and is hereby certified as a class
Dated:			

United States District Judge

# **FOOTNOTES:**

(n1)Footnote 1. See Form No. 3-1 supra.

(n2)Footnote 2. Adapted from papers in *Crafton v. Luttrell, 378 F. Supp. 521 (M.D. Tenn. 1974)*, furnished through the courtesy of Stephen C. Small, Esq., Nashville, Tennessee.



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms CHAPTER III MOTIONS AND REMEDIES RULE 23 CLASS ACTIONS

4-III Benedict on Admiralty FORM Nos. 3-187-3-192

## Reserved

FORM Nos. 3-187Reserved



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms CHAPTER III MOTIONS AND REMEDIES RULE 24 INTERVENTION

4-III Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 3-193

FORM No. 3-193 Notice of Motion for Intervention, Rule 24(a)

# **FOOTNOTES:**



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms CHAPTER III MOTIONS AND REMEDIES RULE 24 INTERVENTION

4-III Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 3-194

FORM No. 3-194 Motion To Intervene--General Form, Rule 24(a)

[Caption] n1		
Now comes	by its attorneys	and moves this court for leave to
intervene as a plaintiff [	or, defendant] in this action, in order to as	sert the claim set forth in its proposed complaint [or,
in order to assert the de	fenses set forth in his proposed answer] of	f which a copy is hereto attached, on the ground that
Dated:	-· 	
Signed:		
Attorney for		
FOOTNOTES:		
(n1)Footnote 1. See For	rm No. 3-1 <i>supra</i> .	



# 122 of 157 DOCUMENTS

Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms CHAPTER III MOTIONS AND REMEDIES RULE 24 INTERVENTION

4-III Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 3-195

FORM No. 3-195 Motion by Materialman To Intervene as Plaintiff, Rule 24(a)

[Caption] n1		
	[Materialman] by its attorneys	
	Civil Procedure moves this court for leave to interve  [Vessel Owner], that vessel now	•
Honorable Court and under are a maritime lien in the vessel _	rest; and it recites the following as grounds why it shand its interests are such that as a practical matter, impair or impede its interests	nould be permitted to intervene: it has at disposition of Civil Action No.
	ch appear more fully in the proposed intervening con	
"A", and which may be compe	eting with the interests of other plaintiffs.	
Dated:	_	
Attorney for Intervening Plain	tiff	
FOOTNOTES:		



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms CHAPTER III MOTIONS AND REMEDIES RULE 24 INTERVENTION

4-III Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 3-196

FORM No. 3-196 Motion by Insurance Carrier To Intervene To Assert Lien Against Longshoreman's Recovery, Rule 24(a)n1

[Caption] n2		
Now comes	[Insurance Company] by its attorneys	and, pursuant to
styled case in order to assert	ules of Civil Procedure, respectfully moves the Court for leave tits claim as set forth in its proposed intervention, a copy of we be carrier for plaintiff's employer under the Longshoremen's an	which is hereto attached, on the
•	ded, 33 U.S.C. §(EN)901 <i>et seq.</i> , and, by reason of payments on upon any recovery which may be had by the plaintiff.	of benefits under said Act,
Dated:		
Attorney for Applicant for I	ntervention	

#### **FOOTNOTES:**

(n1)Footnote 1. Form adapted from papers used in Slaughter v. S.S. Ronde, 390 F. Supp. 637, 2074 A.M.C. 2290 (S.D. Ga. 1974), *aff'd*, 509 F.2d 973, 2075 A.M.C. 1311 (5th Cir. 1975), courtesy of Chamlee, Dubus & Sipple, Savannah, Georgia.



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms CHAPTER III MOTIONS AND REMEDIES RULE 24 INTERVENTION

4-III Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 3-197

FORM No. 3-197 Affidavit in Support of Applicants' Motion To Intervene

[Caption] n1
, being duly sworn, deposes and says:
1. I am an attorney at law, associated with the firm of, attorneys for and, the applicants for intervention herein (hereinafter the "Applicants"). I submit this affidavit in support of the Applicants' motion to intervene pursuant to <i>Rule 24(a) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure</i> .
2. The Applicants claim a maritime lien against the defendant vessel as is more particularly set forth in the proposed Complaint, a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit "A." [exhibit omitted.] The Applicants' claimed interest is such that the disposition of this action may impair or impede their ability to protect their claimed interest in the subject vessel; moreover, the Applicants' claimed interest is not adequately represented by the existing parties to this action.
3. The Applicants have filed this motion in response to a notice of arrest published by in the [Newspaper] on, 20, a copy of which is attached hereto as
Exhibit "B." [exhibit omitted.] The notice provides that persons claiming maritime liens against the defendant vessel must file an application for intervention within 10 days of the date of publication. According to the notice, applications for intervention "may be untimely" if filed after
Attorney for Intervenors
Sworn to before me this

day of	, 20
	-
Notary Public	
FOOTNOTES:	
(n1)Footnote 1. See Form No. 3-1 supra.	



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms CHAPTER III MOTIONS AND REMEDIES RULE 24 INTERVENTION

4-III Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 3-198

FORM No. 3-198 Order Permitting Intervention, Rule 24(a)

[Caption] n1

The above captioned action having been commenced	by the filing of a Com	plaint and Issuance of a Warrant	of Arrest
against the on	, 20	, and the said vessel having subse	equently
been sold pursuant to order of this Court, and this Co			
claims against the fund realized from the sale of the _		_ must be filed on or before	
, 20	_ or be forever barred,	and it appearing from the annexe	ed affidavit
of, sworn to the	day of 20	) and the proposed interve	ning
complaint, a copy of which is attached thereto, that _		_ claims a maritime lien upon the	e
for necessary services furni	shed to said Vessel of a	a value of \$	·
Now, upon motion of, attor pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Rules of Ci	•	prantini,	
Ordered, that be permitted	-		
respect to its claim against the			
, 20 is hereby modified Notice of Entry thereof, together with a copy of the ingage and her Owners, be deemed	ntervening complaint, u	apon the attorneys of record for the	
Dated:			
United States District Judge			

**FOOTNOTES:** 



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms CHAPTER III MOTIONS AND REMEDIES RULE 24 INTERVENTION

4-III Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 3-199

FORM No. 3-199 Order Permitting Intervention on Consent, Rule 24(a)n1

[Caption] n2			
This matter having been brought to t attorneys,, granting leave for,	for an Order pursuant to	Rule 24(a) of the Fed	
And it appearing to the Court that which is the subject of this action, by on the above	y virtue of a First Preferre	ed Ship Mortgage in	
And it appearing that the continuation''s ability to represented by the present parties to	protect its interest in the		<u> </u>
And it further appearing that plaintif in this action		_ has consented to t	he intervention of
And it further appearing that defends the action [or do not object];	ants	and	have not appeared in
And for good cause appearing, it is			
Ordered, that	is hereby granted leav	ve to intervene as a p	laintiff in the action; and it is further

Ordered that the caption of the action shall be amended to read as follows:
[Amended Caption]
and it is further
Ordered, that plaintiff in intervention, shall serve and file the proposed Complaint in Intervention attached to the Stipulation of Consent, on or before, 20  Dated:
U.S. District Judge
<b>FOOTNOTES:</b> (n1)Footnote 1. Form adapted from papers filed in Laguna Shipping Limited v. M/V Oceanus Countess, Civ. No. 84-2664 (E.D.N.Y. 1984).



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms CHAPTER III MOTIONS AND REMEDIES RULE 24 INTERVENTION

4-III Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 3-200

FORM No. 3-200 Stipulation Consenting to Intervention, Rule 24(a)n1

[Caption] n2		
It is hereby stipulated and	agreed by and between the attorneys for plaintiff,	, and the attorneys
for	, that plaintiff hereby consents to the intervention of	as a plaintiff
in intervention in the abov	e-captioned action.	
Dated:		
Attorney for Plaintiff	_	
Attorney for Intervenor	_	
FOOTNOTES:		
(n1)Footnote 1. Form ada	pted from papers filed in Laguna Shipping limited v. M.V Oceanu	us Countess, Civ. No.
84-2662 (E.D.N.Y. 1984).		



[Caption] n2

#### 128 of 157 DOCUMENTS

#### Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms CHAPTER III MOTIONS AND REMEDIES RULE 24 INTERVENTION

4-III Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 3-201

FORM No. 3-201 Complaint of Intervention by Insurance Carrier for Reimbursement Out of Proceeds of Longhoreman's Recovery, Rule 24(c)n1

Now come	[Insurance Company] and [defendant	t], by their attorneys,
, and	d for their complaint of Intervention state as follows:	
1. Intervenor,	[Insurance Company], was the Longshoremen's and Harbor	Workers'
Compensation insurer of	[defendant], on or about	_, 20, when
the alleged accident occurred	resulting in personal injury and disability to the plaintiff, all as is more	e fully described in
the original Complaint filed b	by plaintiff in these proceedings.	-
2. The accident and resulting	injuries to plaintiff, as set forth in the original complaint filed herein a	nd made a part
hereof by reference, occurred	injuries to plaintiff, as set forth in the original complaint filed herein a lawhile plaintiff was acting within the course and scope of his employng fendant].	•
hereof by reference, occurred [dej	I while plaintiff was acting within the course and scope of his employmendant].  and injury, intervening complainants are obligated to incur and have to	nent for
hereof by reference, occurred [de]  3. As a result of the accident expenses under the Longshor	I while plaintiff was acting within the course and scope of his employn fendant].	ent for date incurred,

4. Furthermore, intervenors are entitled to be reimbursed herein by priority out of the proceeds of any judgment which may be rendered in these proceedings in favor of plaintiff and against defendants, together with any additional parties which may be added herein, for such disability benefits and medical expenses as may have been actually paid to date and for which intervenors are obligated to pay in the future, with legal interest on said benefits, medical and/or

disability, costs and fees from the date thereof until reimbursed.

5. The filing of this intervention in these proceeds will in no way retard the progress thereof, but will on the contrary permit the rights of all parties to be disposed of at the same time in one proceeding.

Wherefore, intervenors demand that there be judgment rendered in favor of intervenors and against defendants, and any
other parties subsequently made a defendant, jointly, severally and in solido, in the full sum of \$
, in addition to any additional benefits or expenses paid by the time of any pertinent judgment
rendered herein, together with interest and costs and providing that the intervenors be paid by preference and priority out of any judgment rendered herein, and that intervenors be released from the payment of any further compensation
benefits and medical expenses that might otherwise be legally due by it to plaintiff, and for all general and equitable relief, interest, costs and fees as justice may require.
Dated:
Attorney for Intervenors

## **FOOTNOTES:**

(n1)Footnote 1. Form adapted from papers filed in Jones v. Mississippi River Grain Elevator Co., 703 F.2d 108 (5th Cir. 1983), furnished through the courtesy of Darryl D. Sicarelli, Esq., Bailey & Leininger, Metairie, Louisiana.



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms CHAPTER III MOTIONS AND REMEDIES RULE 24 INTERVENTION

4-III Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 3-202

FORM No. 3-202 Order Granting/Denying Intervention, Rule 24(c)

[Caption] n1		
This cause having come on to be heard on for an order permitting it to intervene as a [plaintiff counsel, and being fully advised, it is		
Ordered, that the motion be and the same hereby is	s [granted or denied.]	
United States District Judge Dated:		
FOOTNOTES:		



## 130 of 157 DOCUMENTS

Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms CHAPTER III MOTIONS AND REMEDIES RULE 25 SUBSTITUTION OF PARTIES

4-III Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 3-203

FORM No. 3-203 Motion by Plaintiff's Representative for Substitution, Rule 25(a)

[Caption] n1		
		, and moves the Court for an order
		or of the estate] of, e proceedings already had in this action. In
-	<u> </u>	, plaintiff herein, died
		was appointed executor of the last will
[or, administrator of the estate] of _	by the	Court of the State of
, and that h	e has duly qualified and is now acting a	as such executor [or, administrator]; and that
this is an action for	, and therefore the claim has no	ot been extinguished by the death of plaintiff
Wherefore,	_ moves this court for an order substitu	iting him as plaintiff in this action.
Dated:		
A44		
Attorney for Defendant		
FOOTNOTES:		



## 131 of 157 DOCUMENTS

Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms CHAPTER III MOTIONS AND REMEDIES RULE 25 SUBSTITUTION OF PARTIES

4-III Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 3-204

FORM No. 3-204 Order for Substitution of Plaintiff's Representative, Rule 25(a)

[Caption] n1		
	for substitution as plaintiff in place of	
	died [testate or, intestate] on the	
day of, 2	20; that the claim asserted by him in this action was not the	hereby extinguished;
and that	has been duly appointed [executor or, administrator] of said	
, and has	qualified and is acting as such;	
It Is Ordered, that	, [executor or administrator] of	, be substituted as
plaintiff herein in place of	, deceased, without prejudice to any proceeding	ngs heretofore had in
this action, and that the title of the	action be amended accordingly.	
Dated:		
United States District Judge		
FOOTNOTES:		



#### 132 of 157 DOCUMENTS

Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms CHAPTER III MOTIONS AND REMEDIES RULE 25 SUBSTITUTION OF PARTIES

4-III Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 3-205

FORM No. 3-205 Motion by Plaintiff for Substitution of Defendant's Representative, Rule 25(a)

Now comes the plaintiff \_\_\_\_\_\_\_ by its attorneys \_\_\_\_\_\_ and moves this court for an order substituting \_\_\_\_\_\_ for \_\_\_\_\_ as a party defendant herein. Plaintiff shows to the court that \_\_\_\_\_\_\_, the above-named defendant, died [testate or intestate] on or about the \_\_\_\_\_\_\_, the above-named defendant, died [testate or intestate] on or about the \_\_\_\_\_\_\_, day of \_\_\_\_\_\_\_; that \_\_\_\_\_\_\_ was duly appointed executor of the last will of \_\_\_\_\_\_\_ by the Court of the State of \_\_\_\_\_\_\_, and qualified as such executor on the \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_, day of \_\_\_\_\_\_\_, 20 \_\_\_\_\_ [or, that letters of administration upon the estate of the said \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ were issued on the \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_, day of \_\_\_\_\_\_\_, as administrator, by the \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ Court of the State of \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_, and that this is an action for \_\_\_\_\_\_\_ and the claim of plaintiff was therefore not extinguished by the death of defendant.

Wherefore, plaintiff moves the court for an order substituting \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_, as such [executor or, administrator of the estate], as a party defendant herein.

Dated: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_



# 133 of 157 DOCUMENTS

Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms CHAPTER III MOTIONS AND REMEDIES RULE 25 SUBSTITUTION OF PARTIES

4-III Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 3-206

FORM No. 3-206 Order for Substitution of Defendant's Representative, Rule 25(a)

[Caption] n1		
	n, 20, on plaintiff's motion , defendant herein, deceased, and it appearing to the	
	ant herein, died [testate or, intestate] on or about the	
	has been duly appointed [executor or administrator] the claim set forth in the complaint was not extinguished by	
		, deceased, be
Dated:	~ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
United States District Judge		
FOOTNOTES:		



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms CHAPTER III MOTIONS AND REMEDIES RULE 25 SUBSTITUTION OF PARTIES

4-III Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 3-207

FORM No. 3-207 Suggestion of Death Upon the Record, Rule 25(a)n1

[Caption] n2	
Dated:	[describe as a party, or as executor, administrator, or other representative or successor of, the deceased party] suggests upon the record, pursuant to Rule 25(a)(1), the death of [describe as party] during the pendency of this action.
Attorney for Plaintiff	or Defendant
<b>FOOTNOTES:</b> (n1)Footnote 1. This	is substantially Form 30 of the Official Forms attached to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.
(n2)Footnote 2.	See Form No. 3-1 supra.



Attorney for Petitioner

# 135 of 157 DOCUMENTS

#### Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms CHAPTER III MOTIONS AND REMEDIES RULE 25 SUBSTITUTION OF PARTIES

4-III Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 3-208

FORM No. 3-208 Motion for Substitution of Corporate Transferee, Rule 25(c)

[Caption] n1			
	by its attorneys aintiff in place of states as follows.		
with its principal place of bu			and
(3)	ncts indicating that the claim is assumed, plaintiff herein, by a written assigned to the marked Exhibit A, assigned to the	gnment dated	
(4)other facts showing transfer	has ever since been and is now the of interest].	e lawful owner of the claim	asserted herein [or set out
	moves this court, or in the alternative for an or		

# **FOOTNOTES:**



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms CHAPTER III MOTIONS AND REMEDIES RULE 25 SUBSTITUTION OF PARTIES

4-III Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 3-209

FORM No. 3-209 Order for Substitution or Joinder of Transferee, Rule 25(c)

[Caption] n1			
This cause came on for hearing onsubstituted as party plaintiff herein in thereof,			
It Is Ordered, that [or, made a	-		_
that the action be continued by and in <i>co-plaintiffs</i> ] without prejudice to any Dated:	the name of the said	 [and	• •
United States District Judge			
FOOTNOTES:			
(n1)Footnote 1. See Form No. 3-1 sup	ora.		



## Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER III MOTIONS AND REMEDIES
RULE 37 FAILURE TO MAKE DISCOVERY: SANCTIONS

4-III Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 3-368

FORM No. 3-368 Motion To Require Responsive Answers to Interrogatories, Rule 37(a)

[Caption] n1			
Now comes the Plaintiff	by its attor	neys, and moves this Honor	able Court for an order
compelling Defendant,	, to give full, complete and responsive answers to interrogatories		
	, inclusive, inclusive, and		
heretofore served on the Defen	dant and partially answered or	n, to-wit,	20, and for such
other relief to which	Plaintiff may b	e entitled under the Federal	Rules of Civil Procedure. In
support of this motion, plaintiff	f states as follows.		
1. Plaintiff propounded interrog	gatories on the defendant on _		
2. Defendant served answers to	the interrogatories on	but its ans	swers to interrogatories
numbers	and	were non-responsive or i	incomplete for the following
reasons.			
[State Reasons with Specificity]	1		
3. Plaintiff is entitled to respon	sive and complete answers to	its interrogatories.	
Wherefore, plaintiff	moves this cou	art for an order compelling t	he defendant to give full,
complete and responsive answer	ers to interrogatories	and	and for such
other further relief as the court	deems appropriate.		
Dated:	_		

Attorney for Plaintiff

# **FOOTNOTES:**



#### Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER III MOTIONS AND REMEDIES
RULE 37 FAILURE TO MAKE DISCOVERY: SANCTIONS

4-III Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 3-369

FORM No. 3-369 Motion To Compel Answers to Interrogatories, Rule 37(a)n1

and for such other further relief as the court deems appropriate.

[Caption] n2		
	, by his attorneys,defendant to answer interrogatories. In st	and respectfully moves upport of this motion, the plaintiff states
1. This is an action to recover damages	s for personal injuries sustained by the p	laintiff.
2. Interrogatories were propounded on or about, 20_		y the defendant under oath, and served on
3. Answers thereto were due, under the	e Rules of Court, on	, 20
4. Defendant has failed and neglected	to answer the said Interrogatories, and sa	aid answers remain unfiled as of this date.
5. No objections have been filed to the answers, and there is no justification for		n advanced for the delay in filing the said
6. The information requested in the Int	terrogatories is necessary in order to proj	perly prepare the plaintiff's case for trial.
7. Counsel have been unable to resolve	e this matter amicably by consultation.	

Wherefore, plaintiff prays Your Honorable Court for an Order compelling defendant to answer the said interrogatories

Dated:		 -
Attorne	ey for Plaintiff	

# **FOOTNOTES:**

(n1)Footnote 1. Form adapted from papers used in Meitla v. Warner Co., 387 F. Supp. 937, 2075 A.M.C. 1491 (E.D. Pa. 1975), furnished through the courtesy of Freedman, Borowsky & Lorry, Philadelphia, Pa.



Attorney for Third Party Plaintiff

# 139 of 157 DOCUMENTS

Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER III MOTIONS AND REMEDIES
RULE 37 FAILURE TO MAKE DISCOVERY: SANCTIONS

4-III Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 3-370

FORM No. 3-370 Motion To Require Third-Party Defendant To Answer Interrogatories, Rule 37(a)

[Caption] n1	
Now comes the Defendant/Third-Party Plaintiff	dant to answer the interrogatories heretofore served on Third-Party Defendant has refused to answer. Defendant
and Third-Party Plaintiff would further move the Court to o Plaintiff the sum of as a reasonabl	rder Third-Party Defendant to pay to Defendant/Third-Party
that said refusal was without substantial justification.	o expense meaned in seaming such order on the ground
In support of this motion, the Defendant/Third-Party plainting	ff states as follows:
1. Defendant/Third Party Plaintiff served interrogatories on copy of the interrogatories is attached hereto as Exhibit 1.	the Third Party Defendant on A
2. The Third Party Defendant has refused to answer the inte	rrogatories without substantial justification.
3. The Defendant/Third Party Plaintiff has incurred expense	es for the motion of
Wherefore, the Defendant/Third Party Plaintiff moves this the court deems appropriate.  Dated:	court for the requested relief and such other further relief as

# **FOOTNOTES:**



## Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER III MOTIONS AND REMEDIES
RULE 37 FAILURE TO MAKE DISCOVERY: SANCTIONS

4-III Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 3-371

FORM No. 3-371 Order Requiring Party To Answer Interrogatories, Rule 37(a)

[Caption] n1		
Upon hearing argument by counsel on plaintiff's motion that the defen	dant be required	to answer certain interrogatories
It Is Ordered that the defendant shall, on or beforeinterrogatories as enumerated in plaintiff's motion on file in this cause.		, serve its answer to the
or		
This cause having come on to be heard on motion of plaintiff for an or interrogatories served on him by plaintiff on,		defendant to answer certain
Ordered that defendant answer Interrogatories Nos days after service of this order.	and	within
It appearing to the court that the refusal of defendant to answer the said it is further	d questions was	without substantial justification,
Ordered, that the defendant pay to plaintiff the sum of \$ obtaining this order.  Dated:	as re	easonable expenses incurred in
District Court Judge		

# **FOOTNOTES:**



## Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER III MOTIONS AND REMEDIES
RULE 37 FAILURE TO MAKE DISCOVERY: SANCTIONS

4-III Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 3-372

# FORM No. 3-372 Motion To Compel Production of Witnesses for Deposition, Rule 37(a)n1

[Caption] n2				
Now comes the Plaintiff, an order compelling defe	the captain of vessel at the time of the col	, to produce	e for deposition on a date and the mate on wat ssel	ch on the vessel
	ndividuals are manageral Rules of Civil Pro	ing agents of defendar ocedure.  depositions of the abo	nt,	, within the meaning of and defendant,
3. After defendant, notices of deposition, cou	unsel for moving plai , 20, a date cer	failed to produce the a ntiff requested counse rtain for the taking of t	aforesaid witnesses in res el for said defendant to su the depositions of the abo	aggest, prior to ove described individuals.
Wherefore, the plaintiff				the defendant for deposition and for such
other further relief as the Dated:	court deems proper.			-

# Attorney for Plaintiff

# **FOOTNOTES:**

(n1)Footnote 1. Form adapted from papers used in Linehan v. United States Lines, Inc., 417 F. Supp. 678 (D. Del 1976), furnished through the courtesy of Potter, Anderson & Corroon, Wilmington, Delaware.



## Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER III MOTIONS AND REMEDIES
RULE 37 FAILURE TO MAKE DISCOVERY: SANCTIONS

4-III Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 3-373

FORM No. 3-373 Order To Compel Production of Witnesses for Deposition, Rule 37(a)n1

1976), furnished through the courtesy of Potter, Anderson & Corroon, Wilmington, Delaware.

[Caption] n2			
	day of shall produce for deposition in		
vessel	, and the vessel , on a date certain to be agreed	, second officer or f	former second officer of the
\$	defendant, as counsel fees incurred in connection of witnesses for deposition. Suc	tion with preparation and prese	entation of said plaintiff'
United States District Judg	<del></del> ge		
<b>FOOTNOTES:</b> (n1)Footnote 1. Form ada	apted from papers used in Linehan v	. United States Lines, Inc., 417	<sup>7</sup> F. Supp. 678 (D. Del.



## Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER III MOTIONS AND REMEDIES
RULE 37 FAILURE TO MAKE DISCOVERY: SANCTIONS

4-III Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 3-374

FORM No. 3-374 Motion To Compel Answer to Questions Upon Oral Examination, Rule 37(a)n1

[Caption] n2		
Now comes the plaintiff (or <i>defendant</i> )	by its attorneys	and moves
this court for an order compelling the		
of this motion, the plaintiff (or defendant) states as follows:	DWS.	
1. Pursuant to stipulation, plaintiff (or <i>defendant</i> ) community, 20 During the deposit concerning the grounds on which he asserts his countered	ion, defendant (or plaintiff) refused to	
2. Plaintiff, (or <i>defendant</i> ) pursuant to <i>Rule 37</i> , <i>F.R.C.P plaintiff</i> ) to produce any records relating to these subject of such questions and any others which plaintiff (or <i>defeplaintiff's</i> ) answers and any additional records produced	ets and to answer orally, at a continuate endant) may reasonably ask based upon	tion of his deposition, each
3. The questions asked and defendant's (or <i>plaintiff's</i> ) retranscript):	efusals are the following (page referen	ices are to the deposition
[Set forth the questions to which answer is sought, and	any response made by deponent or hi.	s counsel]
4. The testimony sought in each case is either itself rele reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admiss	· ·	this action or is
Wherefore, Defendant (or <i>plaintiff</i> )	pursuant to <i>Rule 37(a)(2) of the</i>	e Federal Rules of Civil

Procedure, respectfully moves the Court for an Order compelling plaintiff (or defendant)	
answer in deposition certain questions to which plaintiff's (or <i>defendant's</i> ) counsel improperly directed plaintiff (o <i>defendant</i> ) not to respond in previous depositions.	ľ
Defendant (or plaintiff) further moves, pursuant to Rule 37(a)(4) of the Federal Rules of	Civil
Procedure, that it be awarded its costs incurred in seeking this discovery.	
The relevant areas of discovery to which defendant (or <i>plaintiff</i> ) seeks responses, and the grounds therefor, are set in the attached memorandum. n3	forth
Attorney for Plaintiff (or Defendant)	
FOOTNOTES:	
(n1)Footnote 1. Form adapted from papers sued in Pasternak v. Pan American Petroleum Corp. (D. Colo. 1968), furnished through the courtesy of Holme, Roberts & Owen, Denver, Colorado.	
(n2)Footnote 2. See Form No. 3-1 supra.	
(n3)Footnote 3. Form adapted from papers in Jarrell v. Eastern Air Lines., Inc., 430 F. Supp. 884 (E.D. Va.	1977)

, furnished through the coutesy of Hunton & Williams, Richmond, Virginia



## Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER III MOTIONS AND REMEDIES
RULE 37 FAILURE TO MAKE DISCOVERY: SANCTIONS

4-III Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 3-375

FORM No. 3-375 Order Compelling Answer to Question Upon Oral Examination, Rule 37(a)

[Caption] n1
The defendant (or <i>plaintiff</i> ) having moved this court for an order requiring the plaintiff (or <i>defendant</i> ) to answer certain questions propounded to him upon his oral examination; and the court having heard the argument of counsel and due deliberation having been had thereon, it is
Ordered, that the said motion be, and the same hereby is, granted as to Items Nos. 1, 2, 5, 6, 7, 8, 11 and 20, set forth in Exhibit B to the affidavit of attached to defendant's (or <i>plaintiff's</i> ) motion, and that said motion be, and the same hereby is, denied as to all other items set forth in said Exhibit B; and it is further
Ordered, that the examination of the plaintiff (or <i>defendant</i> ) be resumed at a time and place to be filed by stipulation of the attorneys for the respective parties, with the provision that if they are unable to agree, either party may apply to the court on two days' notice for an order fixing such time and place.
or
This cause came on to be heard on motion of plaintiff (or <i>defendant</i> ) for an order compelling to answer certain questions propounded to him on the taking of his deposition on oral examination (or, <i>written questions</i> ) on, 20, and it appearing to the court that the said questions should be answered, it is
Ordered, that appear before the officer taking the deposition at such time and place as plaintif (or <i>defendant</i> ) may designate on days' written notice to the defendant (or <i>plaintiff</i> ), and answe

under oath the following questions:
1
2
It further appearing to the court that the failure of the witness to answer the said questions was without substantial justification, it is further
Ordered, that pay to plaintiff (or <i>defendant</i> ) the sum of \$ as reasonable expenses and attorney's fees incurred in obtaining this order.
or
This Matter being heard upon plaintiff's (or <i>defendant's</i> ) Motion to Require Defendant to Answer Deposition Questions, and the Court being fully advised in the premises,
It Is Ordered, that defendant's (or <i>plaintiff's</i> ) oral deposition shall be resumed at any time after, 20, upon reasonable written notice from plaintiff (or <i>defendant</i> ), and defendant (or
plaintiff) shall answer each of the unanswered questions listed in plaintiff's (or defendant's) motion and all others which plaintiff's counsel may reasonably ask based upon defendant's (or plaintiff's) answers and any additional records
produced. Dated:
United States District Judge
FOOTNOTES:
(n1)Footnote 1. See Form No. 3-1 supra.



## Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER III MOTIONS AND REMEDIES
RULE 37 FAILURE TO MAKE DISCOVERY: SANCTIONS

4-III Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 3-376

# FORM No. 3-376 Motion To Compel Production of Documents, Rule 37(a)n1

[Caption] n2		
Court, pursuant to <i>Rule 37 of the Feder</i> , to produce th	, by his attorneys,	npelling defendant, d, but not produced, in defendant's
	covering the period from notil 24 hours after the collision between vessel document was requested in plaintiff's request a stiffied as a document maintained on board vessel, in the finished deck log of that vessel was a strong to the control of t	l and for production No. sel in an
	requested in plaintiff's as a document maintained on the vessel	
	's course recorder requested in plaintiff's required in defendant's answer to plaintiff's interrogated in the control of the course requested in plaintiff's interrogated in the course requested in plaintiff's required in the course requested in plaintiff's interrogated in the course requested in plaintiff's interrogated in the course requested in the	•
	I from witnesses by counsel for defendant ide est for production No	

portion of said statements which contain the legal theories or mental impressions of defe	ndant's counsel.
5. All reports and statements requested in plaintiff's request for production No prepared by counsel for defendant.	which were not
Dated:	
Attorney for Plaintiff	

# **FOOTNOTES:**

(n1)Footnote 1. Form adapted from papers used in Linehan v. United States Lines, Inc., 417 F. Supp. 678 (D. Del. 1976), furnished through the courtesy of Potter, Anderson & Corroon, Wilmington, Delaware.



(n2)Footnote 2. See Form No. 3-1 supra.

## 146 of 157 DOCUMENTS

Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER III MOTIONS AND REMEDIES
RULE 37 FAILURE TO MAKE DISCOVERY: SANCTIONS

4-III Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 3-377

FORM No. 3-377 Order To Compel Production of Document, Rule 37(a)n1

[Caption] n2			
It Is Hereby Ordered this, shall produ			
compel production within ten days o			
It Is Further Ordered that defendant,  \$ as counse motion to compel production. Such p Dated:	el fees incurred in connection v	with preparation and p	resentation of said plaintiff's
United States District Judge			
<b>FOOTNOTES:</b> (n1)Footnote 1. Form adapted from 1976), furnished through the courtes			* *



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER III MOTIONS AND REMEDIES
RULE 37 FAILURE TO MAKE DISCOVERY: SANCTIONS

4-III Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 3-378

FORM No. 3-378 Motion for Default for Failure to Comply With an Order Compelling Discovery, Rule 37(b)n1

[Caption] n2		
=	by its attorneys	
and moves, pursuant to Rule of Civil	Procedure 37(b) that the Court enter the o	default of the defendant
	o comply with an order compelling disconcopy of which is attached as exhibit A.	overy entered by this Court on
Dated:		
Attorney for Plaintiff		
FOOTNOTES:		
(n1)Footnote 1. Form adapted from p	papers filed in Valm v. Hercules Fish Pro-	ducts, Inc., 701 F.2d 235 (1st Cir. 1983),
furnished through the courtesy of Gly	nn & Dempsey, Boston, Massachusetts.	



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER III MOTIONS AND REMEDIES
RULE 37 FAILURE TO MAKE DISCOVERY: SANCTIONS

4-III Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 3-379

FORM No. 3-379 Motion To Impose Sanction for Failure To Comply With an Order Compelling Discovery, Rule 37(b)n1

[Caption] n2		
Now comes the plaintiff,sanctions against the defendant for failure to coplaintiff states as follows.		
Counsel for the plaintiff contacted counsel f     the vessel involved in this action at		
2. Defendant refused to provide any such date.		
3. Defendant's counsel informed plaintiff's counsis expert the location on the vessel where the plaintiff was working.		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
4. Plaintiff has been informed that the vessel h ordered to give plain area. Wherefore plain	tiff advance notice of when	the vessel would be in the
Company's defenses, or impose such other san Dated:		
Attorney for Plaintiff		

# **FOOTNOTES:**

(n1)Footnote 1. Form adapted from papers filed in Magee v. Bayou Teche, 548 F. Supp. 270 (E.D. La. 1982), furnished through the courtesy of James A. Cobb, Jr., Esq., Emmett, Cobb, Waits & Kessennich, New Orleans, Louisiana.



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER III MOTIONS AND REMEDIES
RULE 37 FAILURE TO MAKE DISCOVERY: SANCTIONS

4-III Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 3-380

FORM No. 3-380 Order That Fact Be Taken a Established, Rule 37(b)

[Caption] n1	
This cause came on to be heard on plaintiff's motion for an refusal of the defendant to comply with this court's order of : and the court having heard the ar-	
Ordered that the following facts be taken as established for further proof with respect to said facts, and that defendant v	the purposes of this action, that plaintiff need introduce no
facts: 1	
2	
United States District Judge	
FOOTNOTES:	



## Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER III MOTIONS AND REMEDIES
RULE 37 FAILURE TO MAKE DISCOVERY: SANCTIONS

4-III Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 3-381

FORM No. 3-381 Motion for Expenses on Failure To Admit, Rule 37(c)

[Caption] n1	
Now comes the plaintiff,, reasonable expenses against the defendant for defer represents to the court as follows:	, by its attorneys and moves this court for an order awarding it ndant's failure to admit certain facts in support of this motion,
	f served on defendant a request to admit the truth of matters and the deral Rules of Civil Procedure. Among the matters which defendant
(a)	
(b)	
2. On, 20, defenda said request, in which he stated in part:	ant served on plaintiff his written and signed answers in reply to the
(a)	
(b)	
said matters at the trial of this action by the testimo	id matters of substantial importance plaintiff was required to prove ony of and and by
introducing in evidence a certified copy of	Plaintiff's reasonable expenses in making such

proof amounted to \$	\$, as more particularly appears from the affidavit of		
	_ attached hereto as Exhibit A.		
Wherefore, plaintiff mo	oves the court for an order requiring defendant to pay plaintiff the sum of \$		
·	as reasonable expenses in making such proof and the sum of \$	as a	
reasonable attorney's fee	2.		
Dated:			
Attorney for Plaintiff			
•			
FOOTNOTES:			
(n1)Footnote 1. See For	rm No. 3-1 <i>supra</i> .		



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER III MOTIONS AND REMEDIES
RULE 37 FAILURE TO MAKE DISCOVERY: SANCTIONS

4-III Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 3-382

FORM No. 3-382 Order for Expenses on Failure To Admit, Rule 37(c)

[Caption] n1

This cause came on to be heard on plaintiff's motion for an order require		
expenses incurred in proving certain matters, and it appearing to the co	ourt that plaintiff on	, 20
, served on defendant a request to admit the truth of certain matter	ers; that defendant on	,
20, served a written and signed answer denying the truth of said	l matters; that plaintiff thereafter pro-	ved the said
matters at the trial of this action by the testimony of	and	and the
introduction in evidence of a certified copy of		
and of substantial importance to the trial of this action; that the request		
36(a); that there were no good reasons for the denial; and there was no	reasonable ground for defendant to	believe that
he might prevail in the matter,	Ç	
It Is Ordered that defendant pay to plaintiff the sum of \$	as reasonable expenses	of proving
said facts and \$ as a reasonable attorney's fee	<b>.</b> .	
Dated:		
<del></del>		
United States District Judge		
FOOTNOTES:		



(n1)Footnote 1. See Form No. 3-1 supra.

### 152 of 157 DOCUMENTS

Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER III MOTIONS AND REMEDIES
RULE 37 FAILURE TO MAKE DISCOVERY: SANCTIONS

4-III Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 3-383

FORM No. 3-383 Notice of Motion To Dismiss--Failure To Answer Interrogatories, Rule 37(d)

Please Take Notice, that upon all the pleadings and proceedings heretofore had herein, upon the annexed affidavit of \_\_\_\_\_\_\_, sworn to on the \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_\_\_, 20 \_\_\_\_\_\_, and upon a copy of the proposed interrogatories attached hereto, a motion will be made in Room \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ of the United States Courthouse, \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_, City of \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_, on the \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_, on the \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_, at \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ o'clock in the forenoon of that day or as soon thereafter as counsel can be heard, pursuant to \*Rule 37(d)\* of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure\*, for an order dismissing this action, and for such other and further relief as to this court may seem just and proper.

Dated: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Attorney for Defendant

FOOTNOTES:



(n1)Footnote 1. See Form No. 3-1 supra.

# 153 of 157 DOCUMENTS

Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER III MOTIONS AND REMEDIES
RULE 37 FAILURE TO MAKE DISCOVERY: SANCTIONS

4-III Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 3-384

FORM No. 3-384 Motion To Dismiss--Failure To Answer Interrogatories, Rule 37(d)

[Caption] n1				
hereby moves the Court for	an order strikir	, a corporation, by and the general state and the defendant states as follows:	d dismissing the action with pre	
		on plaintiffs interrogatories pursuant on file in the office of the Clerk of		s of Civil
2. More thansaid interrogatories.		days have elapsed and plaintiffs	have wilfully failed to answer of	or object to
Wherefore defendant respectant to relief herein requestions.	•	that in accordance with Rule 37(d)	of the Rules of Federal Practice	it is
At	this	day of	, 20	
Attorney for Defendant				
FOOTNOTES:				



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER III MOTIONS AND REMEDIES
RULE 37 FAILURE TO MAKE DISCOVERY: SANCTIONS

4-III Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 3-385

FORM No. 3-385 Notice of Motion to Strike Pleadings and for Judgment by Default--Failure to Answer Interrogatories, Rule 37(d)n1

Please Take Notice that	at upon the attached affidavit of	, sworn to t	he
	pon all the prior proceedings heretofo		
the Honorable	of the United States	District Court for the	District of
	at the Courthouse,		
	, 20, at	o'clock in the forenoon in	Room
	, for an order pursuant to Rule 37(d		
defendants' Answer and	d render judgment by default against	defendants because of their fail	ure to answer Interrogatories
or, in the alternative, for	or an Order compelling the service of	the Answers to Interrogatories	within 15 days, and for such
other relief as the Cour	t deems just in the premises.		
Attorney for Plaintiff			

### **FOOTNOTES:**

[Caption] n2

(n1)Footnote 1. Form adapted from papers filed in Sea-Land Service, Inc. v. Aetna Insurance Co., Civ. No. 72-1170 (S.D.N.Y. 1972), furnished through the courtesy of De Orchis & Partners, New York, New York.



#### Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER III MOTIONS AND REMEDIES
RULE 37 FAILURE TO MAKE DISCOVERY: SANCTIONS

4-III Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 3-386

FORM No. 3-386 Affidavit in Support of Motion To Strike Pleadings and for Judgment by Default--Failure To Answer Interrogatories, Rule 37(d)n1

[Caption] n2			
STATE OFCOUNTY OF	) 	SS.:	
, being o	uly sworn, deposes and s	says:	
and proceedings heretofore had he	erein, and makes this affid	attorneys for plaintiff, and is familiar w davit in support of a motion pursuant to wer for failure to answer interrogatories	Rule 37(d) of the
		ce with a previous agreement between e, defendants have failed to answer said	_
	ogatories are designed to	es is seriously hampering plaintiff in its obtain information within the knowled	-

Wherefore, it is respectfully requested that the defendants' answer be stricken, and/or judgment be entered against defendants, or that defendants be compelled to answer the interrogatories within 15 days, and that plaintiff have such

other and further relief as to the Court seems just in the premises.

Attorney for Plaintiff		
Subscribed and sworn to before me this	day of	,·
[Seal]		
Notary Public		

# **FOOTNOTES:**

(n1)Footnote 1. Form adapted from papers filed in Sea-Land Service, Inc. v. Aetna Insurance Co., Civ. No. 72-1170 (S.D.N.Y. 1972), furnished through the courtesy of De Orchis & Partners, New York, New York.



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER III MOTIONS AND REMEDIES
RULE 37 FAILURE TO MAKE DISCOVERY: SANCTIONS

4-III Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 3-387

FORM No. 3-387 Order To Dismiss--Failure To Answer Interrogatories, Rule 37(d)

[Caption	

Defendant having moved for an order striking the plaintiffs' complaint with prejudice because of plaintiffs' willful failure to answer interrogatories served on plaintiffs pursuant to *Rule 33 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure*; the Court having heard the argument of counsel; and upon due deliberation, the Court having concluded that the plaintiffs have wilfully and deliberately defaulted in failing to answer the duly-served interrogatories, it is

Ordered, Adjudged And Decreed that the defendant's motion be and the same is hereby granted; and it is further

, , ,	and Decreed that the plaintiffs' cher action upon the same groun	1	•
	, this	day of	, 20
District Court Judge			

#### **FOOTNOTES:**



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER III MOTIONS AND REMEDIES
RULE 37 FAILURE TO MAKE DISCOVERY: SANCTIONS

4-III Benedict on Admiralty FORM Nos. 3-388-3-399

## Reserved

FORM Nos. 3-388Reserved