

Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms CHAPTER II DEFENSES

4-II Benedict on Admiralty II.syn

§ II.syn Synopsis to Chapter II: DEFENSES

FORM No. 2-1 Answer

Scope

FORM No. 2-2 Affirmative Defense--Laches

Scope

FORM No. 2-3 Affirmative Defense--State Statute of Limitation

Scope

FORM No. 2-4 Affirmative Defense--Foreign Statute of Limitation

Scope

FORM No. 2-5 Defense of Limitation of Liability

Scope

FORM No. 2-6 Affirmative Defense--Fraud

Scope

FORM No. 2-7 Affirmative Defense--Release

Scope

Form 2-8 Affirmative Defense -- Failure to State a Claim

FORM No. 2-9 Affirmative Defense--Improper Venue

Scope

FORM No. 2-10 Defense of Forum Non Conveniens

Scope

FORM No. 2-11 Defense That Plaintiff Is Not Real Party in Interest

Scope

Form 2-12 Existence of Jurisdiction Clause

Scope

Form 2-13 Affirmative Defense -- Time Bar

Scope

Form 2-14 Affirmative Defense -- Want of Consideration

Scope

Form 2-15 Affirmative Defense -- Failure to Mitigate Damages

Scope

Form 2-16 Affirmative Defense -- Fault of Third Parties

Scope

Form 2-17 Affirmative Defense -- Lack of Diversity Jurisdiction

Scope

Form 2-18 Affirmative Defense -- No Written Agreement

Scope

Form 2-19 Affirmative Defense -- Waiver

Scope

FORM Nos. 2-20-2-51 Reserved

FORM No. 2-52 Defense of Arbitration and Award

Scope

FORM No. 2-53 Affirmative Defense of Arbitration as Sole Remedy

FORM No. 2-54 Defense Reserving Right to Invoke Arbitration Clause

Scope

FORM Nos. 2-55-2-74 Reserved

FORM No. 2-75 Defense of the Fire Statute--Cargo Damage

Scope

FORM No. 2-76 Defense of Non-Liability Without Fault or Privity--Cargo Damage

Scope

FORM No. 2-77 Defense of Non-Liability as Agent for a Disclosed Principal Cargo Damage

Scope

FORM No. 2-78 Defense of Unseaworthiness and Due Diligence--Cargo Damage

Scope

FORM No. 2-79 Defense of Negligent Navigation--Cargo Damage Due to Stranding

Scope

FORM No. 2-80 Defense of Fire--Cargo Damage

Scope

FORM No. 2-81 Defense of Perils of the Sea Storm--Cargo Damage

Scope

FORM No. 2-82 Defense of Reasonable Deviation--Cargo Damage

Scope

FORM No. 2-83 Defense of Limitation of Time for Bringing Suit--Cargo Damage

Scope

FORM No. 2-84 Partial Defense of Valuation--Cargo Damage

Scope

FORM No. 2-85 Defense of Excepted Causes Under Hague Rules and Charter Party--Cargo Damage

Scope

FORM No. 2-86 General Defense of Excepted Causes--Cargo Damage

Scope

FORM No. 2-87 Defense of Due Delivery--Cargo Damage

Scope

FORM No. 2-88 Defendant Time Charterer's Affirmative Defenses--Collapse of Container Spilling Cargo Onto Deck

Scope

FORM No. 2-89 Defense of Negligent Acts of Shipper--Cargo Damage

Scope

Form 2-90 Defense of Act or Omission of Shipper

Scope

Form 2-91 Claim of Benefit of Carriage of Goods by Sea Act

Scope

Form 2-92 Defense of Lack of Privity Under Carriage of Goods by Sea Act

Scope

Form 2-93 Defense of Lack of Standing to Sue

Scope

Form 2-94 Partial Defense -- Package Limitation

Scope

Form 2-95 Defense of No Fault or Neglect by Carrier

Scope

FORM Nos. 2-96-2-129 Reserved

FORM No. 2-130 Owner's Defense of Frustration--Charter Party

Scope

FORM No. 2-131 Charterer's Defense of Fraud--Charter Party

Scope

FORM No. 2-132 Affirmative Defense in Answer Preserving Right to Arbitration and Counterclaim for

```
Security--Charter Party
```

FORM Nos. 2-133-2-152 Reserved

FORM No. 2-153 Defense--Obstruction to Navigation

Scope

FORM No. 2-154 Defense--Assumption of the Risk

Scope

Form 2-155 Defense of Plaintiff's Own Negligence

Scope

Form 2-156 Partial Defense of Proportionate Fault

Scope

FORM Nos. 2-157-2-174 Reserved

FORM No. 2-175 Defense of Fault of Shipowner--General Average

Scope

FORM Nos. 2-176-2-195 Reserved

FORM No. 2-196 Defense of Misconduct [Intoxication]--Maintenance and Cure

Scope

FORM No. 2-197 Defense of Maximum Cure--Injury

Scope

FORM No. 2-198 Defense of Contributory Negligence--Injury

Scope

FORM No. 2-199 Defense of Contributory Negligence--Injury

Scope

FORM No. 2-200 Defense of Assumption of Risk and/or Contributory Negligence--Injury Or Death

Scope

FORM No. 2-201 Defense of Comparative Negligence--Injury

Scope

```
FORM No. 2-202 Defense of Wrongful Act of Third Party--Injury
```

FORM No. 2-203 Defense of Wrongful Act of Plaintiff's Employer--Injury to Longshoreman

Scope

FORM No. 2-204 Defense of Pre-existing Condition--Injury

Scope

FORM No. 2-205 Defense of Failure To State a Claim--Injury to Longshoreman

Scope

FORM No. 2-206 Defense That Longshore and Harbor Workers' Compensation Act Is Plaintiff's Sole Remedy--Injury to Longshoreman

Scope

FORM No. 2-207 Defense of Longshore and Harbor Workers' Compensation Act Off-Set--Injury to Longshoreman

Scope

FORM No. 2-208 Defense of Statutory Assignment of Cause of Action--Injury to Longshoreman

Scope

FORM No. 2-209 Affirmative Defense That Court Lacks Jurisdiction--Death on the High Seas Act

Scope

FORM No. 2-210 Defense of Lack of Causation--Injury

Scope

FORM No. 2-211 Affirmative Defense--Statute of Limitation (Jones Act)

Scope

FORM No. 2-212 Affirmative Defenses--Statute of Limitation and Laches (Jones Act)

Scope

FORM No. 2-213 Defense--Comparative Negligence (Jones Act)

Scope

FORM No. 2-214 Defense--Forum Non Conveniens (Jones Act)

Scope

```
FORM No. 2-215 Defense--Lack of Standing (Jones Act and General Maritime Law)
```

FORM No. 2-216 Defense--Intervening Medical Cause (Jones Act)

Scope

FORM No. 2-217 Defense--Unavoidable Accident (Jones Act)

Scope

FORM No. 2-218 Defense That Action is Barred by Term of Contract of Passage--Injury to Passenger

Scope

Form 2-219 Defense of Preexisting Duty to Provide Medical Care

Scope

FORM Nos. 2-220-2-258 Reserved

FORM No. 2-259 Sue and Labor Defense to an Action on a Hull Policy

Scope

FORM No. 2-260 Defense of Unseaworthiness--Insurance

Scope

FORM Nos. 2-261-2-280 Reserved

FORM No. 2-281 Defense of Lien Claim--Possessory Action

Scope

FORM Nos. 2-282-2-301 Reserved

FORM No. 2-302 Affirmative Defenses--Negligent Design and Installation of Helmsman's Chair

Scope

FORM Nos. 2-303-2-322 Reserved

FORM No. 2-323 Defenses of Failure To Provide Safe Berth--Damage to Vessel

Scope

FORM No. 2-324 Affirmative Defense--Act of God

Scope

FORM No. 2-325 Affirmative Defense Waiver

FORM Nos. 2-326-2-345 Reserved

FORM No. 2-346 Counterclaim--Abandonment and Salvage

Scope

FORM No. 2-347 Defense of No Peril--Salvage

Scope

FORM No. 2-348 Defense of Legal Duty--Salvage

Scope

FORM No. 2-349 Defense of Fault--Salvage

Scope

FORM No. 2-350 Defense of Limitations--Salvage

Scope

FORM Nos. 2-351-2-370 Reserved

FORM No. 2-371 Defense That Person Ordering Supplies Acted Without Sufficient Authority

Scope

FORM Nos. 2-372-2-391 Reserved

FORM No. 2-392 Defense of Desertion--Wages

Scope

FORM No. 2-393 Partial Defense of Bad Conduct--Wages

Scope

FORM No. 2-394 Defense of Termination of Voyage by Wreck--Wages

Scope

FORM No. 2-395 Affirmative Defense to Master's Claim for Wages--Accord and Satisfaction

Scope

FORM No. 2-396 Affirmative Defense to Master's Claim of Wrongful Discharge--Misconduct

Scope



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER II DEFENSES
GENERALLY

4-II Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 2-1

FORM No. 2-1 Answer

[Caption] n1

ANSWER n2

- 1. The defendant [or claimant; defendant and claimant] admits the jurisdiction alleged in Paragraph 1 of the complaint.
- 2. The defendant [or claimant; defendant and claimant] is without information or belief sufficient to enable it to answer Paragraph 2 of the complaint.
- 3. The defendant [or claimant; defendant and claimant] admits the allegations contained in Paragraphs 3, 4, etc., of the complaint.
- 4. The defendant [*or* claimant; defendant and claimant] denies the allegations contained in Paragraphs 5, 6, etc., of the complaint.

Attorney for Defendant [or Claimant; Defendant and Claimant]

FOOTNOTES:

(n1)Footnote 1. See Form No. 1-1 supra.

(n2)Footnote 2. The answer is governed by Rules 8(b), 9, and 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. This form is for a simple answer. An answer need no longer be verified. *See* Rule 11.



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER II DEFENSES
GENERALLY

4-II Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 2-2

FORM No. 2-2 Affirmative Defense--Laches

: The complaint herein was not filed until	, 20	, more than
years after the date of the events upon which the plaintif	f relies as entitling	g him to recover, and
hence the plaintiff has been guilty of such gross laches that the claim should be ba	rred and the comp	olaint dismissed.



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER II DEFENSES
GENERALLY

4-II Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 2-3

FORM No. 2-3 Affirmative Defense--State Statute of Limitation

	: The negligence of defendant,	, if ar	ny, which is herein denied, took
place in the State of	prior to	, 20	: Pursuant to Section
	of the [describe statutory limitation peri	od], any cause of act	ion for negligence against the
defendant is barred by	the applicable Statutes of Limitation.		



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER II DEFENSES
GENERALLY

4-II Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 2-4

FORM No. 2-4 Affirmative Defense--Foreign Statute of Limitation

. The right of	action set forth in the complaint arose	in [country] and
	1	
the facts giving rise to the claim occurre	ed more than	years prior to the commencement of this
action. In, at a	ill times mentioned in the complaint, the	here were and now are the statutes of
limitation which provide that actions on	must be con	mmenced within
vears after the cause of action therefor a	accrued.	



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER II DEFENSES
GENERALLY

4-II Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 2-5

FORM No. 2-5 Defense of Limitation of Liability

:	That defendants' liability, if any, is	s limited to Defendants'	interest in the vessel
8	at the end of her voyage in question	plus freight then pendin	ig, pursuant to 46 U.S.C. § 183



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER II DEFENSES
GENERALLY

4-II Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 2-6

FORM No. 2-6 Affirmative Defense--Fraud

: Plaintiff's cause of action is barred	by virtue of the plaintiff's fraud in that plaintiff knowingly
misrepresented to the defendant the	[describe] that plaintiff requested the defendant to ship,
the plaintiff intended the defendant to rely on that misreprese	ntation, and the defendant did, in fact, rely on that
misrepresentation to its detriment.	



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER II DEFENSES
GENERALLY

4-II Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 2-7

FORM No. 2-7 Affirmative Defense--Release

Plaintiff's cause of action is barred by the doctrine of release in that plaintiff failed to notify defendant of any agreement that plaintiff entered into with any third parties to whom plaintiff may have paid monies.



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER II DEFENSES
GENERALLY

4-II Benedict on Admiralty Form 2-8

Form 2-8 Affirmative Defense -- Failure to State a Claim

Plaintiff's Complaint, and each and every cause of action therein, fails to state a claim upon which relief may be granted against Defendant.



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER II DEFENSES
GENERALLY

4-II Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 2-9

FORM No. 2-9 Affirmative Defense--Improper Venue

 : Plaintiff's claim	was not brought in	the proper	venue of t	this Court.



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER II DEFENSES
GENERALLY

 $\hbox{\it 4-II Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 2-10}$

FORM No. 2-10 Defense of Forum Non Conveniens

: Plaintiff was employed as a	based on shore in
[country]. Neither Plaintiff nor the alleg	ed incident had any connection with the United States
This Court should decline jurisdiction under the doctrine of forun	n non conveniens.



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER II DEFENSES
GENERALLY

4-II Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 2-11

FORM No. 2-11 Defense That Plaintiff Is Not Real Party in Interest

Said vessel, prior to the date of said hire, as set forth in the complaint herein, was insured by plaintiff under a policy of
marine insurance which was in full force and effect at the time said damage is alleged to have been sustained.
Thereafter, by reason of the terms and conditions of said policy, the insurance company, on account of the alleged
damage, paid over to plaintiff the amount of its loss and plaintiff thereupon duly assigned to the insurance company all
its right, title and interest in said damages. Plaintiff therefore is not the real party in interest herein and is not entitled to
maintain this action.

or

At the time suit was commenced on this action or at the time when any loss or damage was sustained by the goods, or at any other material time, the plaintiff herein was not the real party in interest, and this action is not commenced pursuant to proper authority or subrogation perfected within the statute of limitations time period.

or

The plaintiff was not and is not the Owner of the cargo shipped aboard the vessel and is not a proper party in interest as required by *Rule 17 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure*.

or

The cause of action referred to in the	Complaint is t	he subject of a court action initiated	l in
[country] on	_, 20, by	y Company against the same Defend	dant as is addressed herein. A

copy and translation of the court notice therein is attached to this Answer as Exhibit A. Accordingly, the plaintiffs in the present suit are not the real parties in interest and this Honorable Court is an inappropriate and inconvenient forum for the determination of this action.



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER II DEFENSES
GENERALLY

4-II Benedict on Admiralty Form 2-12

Form 2-12 Existence of Jurisdiction Clause

The claim is subject to the jurisdiction clause of the bill of lading requiring that all disputes be resolved in [COUNTRY].



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms CHAPTER II DEFENSES GENERALLY

4-II Benedict on Admiralty Form 2-13

Form 2-13 Affirmative Defense -- Time Bar

Subject to the facts which come to light during discovery in this case, Defendant asserts that Plaintiff's complaint is time barred by law.



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER II DEFENSES
GENERALLY

4-II Benedict on Admiralty Form 2-14

Form 2-14 Affirmative Defense -- Want of Consideration

The alleged agreement referred to in the complaint herein is void for want of any consideration whatsoever.



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms CHAPTER II DEFENSES GENERALLY

4-II Benedict on Admiralty Form 2-15

Form 2-15 Affirmative Defense -- Failure to Mitigate Damages

Plaintiff failed to mitigate its alleged damages, and its recovery herein, if any, should be diminished in an amount equivalent to that portion of damages which Plaintiff could have mitigated.



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER II DEFENSES
GENERALLY

4-II Benedict on Admiralty Form 2-16

Form 2-16 Affirmative Defense -- Fault of Third Parties

Defendant is informed and believes and thereupon alleges that the alleged damage, if any, was caused by the fault and neglect of third parties for whom this answering Defendant is not responsible or liable. Said fault and neglect comparatively reduces the percentage of fault, if any, of this answering Defendant.



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms CHAPTER II DEFENSES GENERALLY

4-II Benedict on Admiralty Form 2-17

Form 2-17 Affirmative Defense -- Lack of Diversity Jurisdiction

There is no jurisdiction over this subject matter since the jurisdictional prerequisites to this action prescribed by statute have not been satisfied because diversity of citizenship does not exist among the parties.



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms CHAPTER II DEFENSES GENERALLY

4-II Benedict on Admiralty Form 2-18

Form 2-18 Affirmative Defense -- No Written Agreement

The alleged agreement set forth in the complaint herein by its terms, was never made in writing and described by the party to be charged therewith or its lawful agents as required by the laws of the State of [STATE].



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER II DEFENSES
GENERALLY

4-II Benedict on Admiralty Form 2-19

Form 2-19 Affirmative Defense -- Waiver

Through its conduct or through the conduct of its attorneys and its agents, Plaintiff has waived its rights, if any, and cannot recover on its complaint, or any claim contained therein.



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER II DEFENSES
GENERALLY

4-II Benedict on Admiralty FORM Nos. 2-20-2-51

Reserved

FORM Nos. 2-20Reserved



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms CHAPTER II DEFENSES ARBITRATION *

4-II Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 2-52

FORM No. 2-52 Defense of Arbitration and Award

: On or about	. 20	, plaintiff and defendant submitted the claim
set forth in the complaint to	and	as arbitrators, who made an award
finding that there was due from defendant plaintiff.	to plaintiff the sum of \$	which defendant paid to
_		

* See 2 Benedict on Admiralty, ch. VIII (Matthew Bender 7th ed.).



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER II DEFENSES
ARBITRATION *

4-II Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 2-53

FORM No. 2-53 Affirmative Defense of Arbitration as Sole Remedy

	: The agreement referred to in and annexed to the complaint provided as follows:
[arbitration clause]	

The plaintiff's sole remedy was under the clause above set forth; he did not within the 30-day period specified in such clause ask or demand of defendant that it proceed to arbitrate any alleged claim, dispute or right he might have, if any, by reason of the matters and alleged facts set forth in the complaint, and this action is barred under the above clause.

* See 2 Benedict on Admiralty, ch. VIII (Matthew Bender 7th ed.).



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER II DEFENSES
ARBITRATION *

4-II Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 2-54

FORM No. 2-54 Defense Reserving Right to Invoke Arbitration Clause

: The occurrences described in the Complaint arose under a	Charter
, 20, entered into between Defendant	, as
, as Charterer, and a Tanker Voyage Char	ter party dated
, 20, entered into between Defendant	, as Chartered Owner, and
_, as Charterer.	
: The aforesaid voyage Charter parties provide that, should any	dispute arise between the
the Charterers, it should be referred to arbitration in	Defendant
hereby reserves its right to demand arbitration.	

^{*} See 2 Benedict on Admiralty, ch. VIII (Matthew Bender 7th ed.).



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms CHAPTER II DEFENSES ARBITRATION *

4-II Benedict on Admiralty FORM Nos. 2-55-2-74

Reserved

FORM Nos. 2-55Reserved



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER II DEFENSES
CARGO DAMAGE *

4-II Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 2-75

FORM No. 2-75 Defense of the Fire Statute--Cargo Damage

	On or about	, 20	, the vessel	sailed
from	, intending to proceed to		On	, 20
, smok	ke was observed coming from one of the ship's ve	entilators a	nd it immediately beca	ame evident that part of
the ship's car	rgo was on fire. Despite the efforts of the crew, i	t was impo	ssible to extinguish the	e fire before a great
number of shipments on board the vessel		, including those which are the subject of this cause,		
were destroy	ved or damaged by fire.			
	: Any loss of or damage to the ship	ments was	due to fire for which the	he defendant is not liable
or responsib	le by virtue of the provisions of Section 4282 of	the Revised	d Statute of the United	States, commonly known
as the Fire S	tatute (46 U.S.C. § 182). n1			

FOOTNOTES:

(n1)Footnote 1. In this, as well as many other separate defenses to actions for cargo damage, attorneys for the defendant often set forth the bill of lading provision in extenso and rely on them as well as the statutes. Such contractual provisions were essential under § 2 of the Harter Act which was not self-executing, but they are not of the same importance since the passage of the Carriage of Goods by Sea Act.

* See 2A Benedict on Admiralty (Matthew Bender 7th ed.).



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER II DEFENSES
CARGO DAMAGE *

4-II Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 2-76

FORM No. 2-76 Defense of Non-Liability Without Fault or Privity--Cargo Damage

________: If the goods referred to in the Complaint sustained any loss or damages as alleged in the Complaint, which is denied, and if any of such loss or damage was caused by the act, neglect or default of the master, mariner, pilot or the servants of the carrier and the navigation or in the management of the ship, or by the perils, dangers and accidents of the sea, or other navigable waters, or by act or omission of the shipper or owner of the goods, his agents or representatives, or by wastage in bulk or weight, or any other loss or damage arising from inherent defect, quality or vice of the goods, or by insufficiency of packing or latent defect not discoverable by due diligence, or any other cause arising without the fault and privity of the carrier and without default or neglect of the agents or servants of the carrier or those for whom it is responsible, this defendant is not under any liability therefor.

* See 2A Benedict on Admiralty (Matthew Bender 7th ed.).



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER II DEFENSES
CARGO DAMAGE *

4-II Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 2-77

FORM No. 2-77 Defense of Non-Liability as Agent for a Disclosed Principal Cargo Damage

: Defendant	was not the owner of the vessel, nor the carrier of the			
goods, nor did	enter into any contract with the plaintiff herein, and			
cannot be liable to the plaintiff herein for any of the matters alleged in the complaint. The only activities carried on by				
for the vessel	were those of a general agent for a disclosed principal			
and as such it is not subject to any liabi	lity to the plaintiff.			

* See 2A Benedict on Admiralty (Matthew Bender 7th ed.).



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER II DEFENSES
CARGO DAMAGE *

4-II Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 2-78

FORM No. 2-78 Defense of Unseaworthiness and Due Diligence--Cargo Damage

	: On or about	, 20	, the vessel		sailed
from	for	On		, 20, water	was
		old. Such water gained acc			
resulting from a later	nt defect in such pipe. A	s a result a number of shipi	ments, including th	ose which are the	subject of
this cause, were dam	aged by water.				
	: Prior to the depar	ture of the vessel	fro	om	
		make her seaworthy and p			
loss or damage to su	ch shipments was due to	o an unseaworthy condition	, not caused by wa	nt of due diligenc	e on the part
of the defendant to n	nake the vessel	seaworthy	, for which the def	endant is not liab	le or
responsible by virtue	of the provisions of the	e United States Carriage of	Goods by Sea Act	(46 U.S.C. §§ 13	00-1315). n1
or					
01					
	D 1111				
		is used to make the vessel so	•	-	
		the holds and all other part		•	
		ervation in accordance with	1		_
•	_ ·	e goods referred to in the Co	-	•	-
		e defendant, or on board the			-
unceaworthiness of t	he vessel which is deni	ed this defendant is not und	der any liability the	erefor	

_______: Due diligence was used to make this vessel seaworthy and to secure that it was properly manned, equipped and supplied and to make the holds and all other parts of the vessel in which goods were carried safe and fit for their reception, carriage and preservation. Accordingly, if the shipment referred to in the complaint sustained any loss, shortage or damage while it was in the possession or custody of the defendant due to any unseaworthiness of the vessel, which is denied, defendant is not under any liability therefor.

FOOTNOTES:

(n1)Footnote 1. In this, as well as many other separate defenses to actions for cargo damage, attorney for the defendant often set forth the bill of lading provision in extenso and rely on them as well as the statutes. Such contractual provisions were essential under § 2 of the Harter Act which was not self-executing, but they are not of the same importance since the passage of the Carriage of Goods by Sea Act.



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER II DEFENSES
CARGO DAMAGE *

4-II Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 2-79

FORM No. 2-79 Defense of Negligent Navigation--Cargo Damage Due to Stranding

	. On or about	20	the vessel	noilad
	: On or about for	, 20	, the vesser	Salled
	had passed the			
	storm with high winds and seas,	•	•	· ·
	, 20, the vessel			
the west of her into	ended course. Despite repeated et	fforts it proved impos	ssible to refloat the vesse	1
	by use of her engines and	it became necessary	to obtain outside aid. Ev	en with outside
assistance the vess	selcoul	d not be refloated unt	til after a portion of her c	argo, including the
shipments which a	are the subject of this cause, had b	een jettisoned. After	a portion of cargo had b	een jettisoned the
vessel	was finally refloate	ed on or about	, 20	, and thereafter
	·			
	: Any loss of or damage to by the master, mariners of the of the provisions of the United	r servants of the carri	ier, for which the defenda	ant is not liable or
board the said vess default of the Mas	: If any loss or damage to sel, which is denied; and if it be heter, mariner, pilot or the servants	neld that the loss or do	amage arose or resulted f	from the act, neglect or

FOOTNOTES:

(n1)Footnote 1. In this, as well as many other separate defenses to actions for cargo damage, attorney for the defendant often set forth the bill of lading provision in extenso and rely on them as well as the statutes. Such contractual provisions were essential under § 2 of the Harter Act which was not self-executing, but they are not of the same importance since the passage of the Carriage of Goods by Sea Act.



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER II DEFENSES
CARGO DAMAGE *

4-II Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 2-80

FORM No. 2-80 Defense of Fire--Cargo Damage

: Any loss of or damage to the shipments was due to fire for which the defendant is not liable or responsible by virtue of the provisions of the United States Carriage of Goods by Sea Act (46 U.S.C. §§ 1300-1315) n1

FOOTNOTES:

(n1)Footnote 1. In this, as well as many other separate defenses to actions for cargo damage, attorney for the defendant often set forth the bill of lading provision in extenso and rely on them as well as the statutes. Such contractual provisions were essential under § 2 of the Harter Act which was not self-executing, but they are not of the same importance since the passage of the Carriage of Goods by Sea Act.



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER II DEFENSES
CARGO DAMAGE *

4-II Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 2-81

FORM No. 2-81 Defense of Perils of the Sea Storm--Cargo Damage

	: On or about	, 20	, the vessel	sailed
from	for	On	, 20	, she encountered a
storm with mou	untainous seas and winds of hurri-	cane force. During the c	ourse of the storm	
[describe dama	age] and waves and water gained a	access to the	hold, cau	ising damage to a
number of ship	ments, including those which are	the subject of this cause	2.	
	: Any loss or damage to efendant is not liable or responsible.	•		
Sea Act (46 U.S	S.C. §§ 1300-1315). n1			

FOOTNOTES:

(n1)Footnote 1. In this, as well as many other separate defenses to actions for cargo damage, attorney for the defendant often set forth the bill of lading provision in extenso and rely on them as well as the statutes. Such contractual provisions were essential under § 2 of the Harter Act which was not self-executing, but they are not of the same importance since the passage of the Carriage of Goods by Sea Act.



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER II DEFENSES
CARGO DAMAGE *

4-II Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 2-82

FORM No. 2-82 Defense of Reasonable Deviation--Cargo Damage

: On or about	, 20 _	, while proceeding from	
to	the vessel	changed l	her course to the
north to answer an S.O.S. call from the vessel		After arriving in the vicinit	ty of that vessel the
vessel took aboard al	l the members of the cr	ew of the vessel	, some
of whom had been seriously injured by heavy s	seas and were in immed	diate need of hospitalization. T	Thereupon the vessel
proceeded to the near	rest port, which was	, in ord	er that the injured
men might be hospitalized at the earliest possib	ble moment.		
: The defendant speci	ifically denies that there	e was any deviation on the par	rt of the vessel
within the meaning o	of the provisions of the	United States Carriage of Goo	ods by Sea Act (46
U.S.C. §§ 1300-1315); n1 but alleges that even	if proceeding to	could by a	any possibility be
considered a deviation, so proceeding was a rea	asonable deviation with	nin the provisions of such act,	by virtue of which
the defendant is not liable or responsible.			

FOOTNOTES:

(n1)Footnote 1. In this, as well as many other separate defenses to actions for cargo damage, attorney for the defendant often set forth the bill of lading provision in extenso and rely on them as well as the statutes. Such contractual provisions were essential under § 2 of the Harter Act which was not self-executing, but they are not of the same importance since the passage of the Carriage of Goods by Sea Act.



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER II DEFENSES
CARGO DAMAGE *

4-II Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 2-83

FORM No. 2-83 Defense of Limitation of Time for Bringing Suit--Cargo Damage

	: The vessel	arrived in	on or about
	, 20, and the within acti		
more than one year and in consequence	r after the delivery of the merchandise thereof the defendant and the vesse in accordance with the provisions of	e or the date when the merchand l are	ndise should have been delivered, free of any liability with respect to
or			
	: This defendant puts plaintiff time provided by the United States C		•
or			
	: Defendant puts plaintiff to it and commencement of suit as provide	1	provision for the giving of Notice

FOOTNOTES:

(n1)Footnote 1. In this, as well as many other separate defenses to actions for cargo damage, attorney for the defendant often set forth the bill of lading provision in extenso and rely on them as well as the statutes. Such contractual provisions were essential under § 2 of the Harter Act which was not self-executing, but they are not of the same importance since the passage of the Carriage of Goods by Sea Act.



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER II DEFENSES
CARGO DAMAGE *

4-II Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 2-84

FORM No. 2-84 Partial Defense of Valuation--Cargo Damage

: In the event that any liability is adjudged against the defendant by reason of the matters and
facts alleged in the complaint, then the liability of the defendant is restricted and limited to \$500, lawful money of the
United States, per package, or, in case of goods not shipped in packages, per customary freight unit, in accordance with
the provisions of the United State Carriage of Goods by Sea Act (46 U.S.C. §§ 1300-1315). n1
or
: The defendant's responsibility, if any, for damages in this case will be limited to the sum of
\$500 per barge unit in view of the fact that plaintiff's cargo moved in the form of barge units from the time the barges
aid to contain plaintiff's cargo were packed at the point of origin of the shipment until the time they reached their final
destination. n2
or
: If plaintiff is entitled to any recovery, which is denied, such recovery must be computed in
accordance with the terms of the bill of lading and/or the provisions of the United States Carriage of Goods by Sea Act
2036.

FOOTNOTES:

(n1)Footnote 1. In this, as well as many other separate defenses to actions for cargo damage, attorney for the defendant often set forth the bill of lading provision in extenso and rely on them as well as the statutes. Such contractual provisions were essential under § 2 of the Harter Act which was not self-executing, but they are not of the same importance since the passage of the Carriage of Goods by Sea Act.

(n2)Footnote 2. Form adapted from papers used in *Unites States Steel International, Inc. v. S.S. Lash Italia, 439 F. Supp. 365, 2078 A.M.C. 384 (S.D.N.Y. 1977)*, courtesy of Bleakley, Platt, Schmidt & Fritz, New York, New York.



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER II DEFENSES
CARGO DAMAGE *

4-II Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 2-85

FORM No. 2-85 Defense of Excepted Causes Under Hague Rules and Charter Party--Cargo Damage

: Any shortage or damage existing at the time of delivery of the goods at the port of discharge
was due to causes for which the defendant is not liable or responsible by virtue of the provisions of the Hague Rules
and/or the provisions of the charter party and/or the said charter party bill of lading, and/or applicable provisions of the
contract of carriage, and/or applicable provisions of the General Maritime Law and/or the laws of the ports of shipment
and/or discharge.
: The goods were received, loaded and carried pursuant to the terms and conditions of a certain
aforesaid charter party and bill of lading, which will be produced at the trial. If it be proved at the trial that the alleged
oss arose or resulted from a cause for which defendant is not liable under any of the provisions of the aforementioned
pill of lading and/or the charter party and/or the Hague Rules the defendant will claim the benefits thereof and be
relieved of any liability with respect to plaintiffs' claim. The defendant reserves the right to amend this answer, if need
pe, as facts may develop or may be proved.



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER II DEFENSES
CARGO DAMAGE *

4-II Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 2-86

FORM No. 2-86 General Defense of Excepted Causes--Cargo Damage

: The said shipment hereinbefore described in this answer was subject to all the terms,
conditions, and exceptions contained in certain bills of lading, contract of affreightment, and voyage charter party, then
and there issued, therefore by which the shippers and consignees of said bills of lading/contract of affreightment/voyage
charter party agree to be and are bound.
: Such shipment was transported on said vessel subject to the contractual terms and conditions
of the bills of lading/contract of affreightment/voyage charter party.
Any shortage, loss, or damage to the goods, which is denied, was due to causes for which neither the carrier nor the ship were liable or responsible by virtue of the Carriage of Goods by Sea Act, approved April 16, 21936, and/or the provisions of the said bills of lading, and/or Harter Act.
: Due diligence was exercised on the part of the carrier to make the vessel seaworthy with respect to the voyage referred to in the complaint and said vessel was, in fact, seaworthy for said voyage.
or
: The shipment herein was carried pursuant to all the terms, conditions, and exceptions of a
certain bill of lading issued by the carrier to the shippers by the terms of which the plaintiff is bound, and the shipment
is also subject to all the terms and conditions of the U.S. Carriage of Goods by Sea Act, approved April 16, 1936, 46
U.S.C § 1300, et. seq. If any shortage, loss, or damage occurred as alleged, the said shortage, loss, or damage was due to
a cause or causes for which the carrier is excused from liability under the terms of the aforesaid U.S. Carriage of Goods
by Sea Act and/or the terms of the aforesaid bill of lading and/or the general maritime law.



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER II DEFENSES
CARGO DAMAGE *

4-II Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 2-87

FORM No. 2-87 Defense of Due Delivery--Cargo Damagen1

The subject shipment of	was delivered to and off-loaded at the port of destination in good
order and condition and, upon authority	and instructions received from the plaintiff, was released by defendant
to	, the consignee named by the plaintiff. Said delivery by the named
vessel constituted full and proper perfo	mance of any and all obligations, contractual, legal or otherwise, which may
have been owed by the vessel and/or he	owners to plaintiff; and said authorized release of the subject shipment to the
consignee named by plaintiff constitute	I full proper performance of any and all obligations, contractual, legal or
otherwise, which may have been owed	by defendant to plaintiff.
FOOTNOTES:	
	ers filed in Dowzer Electric v. S.S. Victoria U, Civ. No. 80-2935 (E.D.N.Y.
1980).	ors med in Downer Electric v. B.B. Victoria e, env. 100. 00 2555 (E.B.iv.).
* See 2A	Benedict on Admiralty (Matthew Bender 7th ed.).



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER II DEFENSES
CARGO DAMAGE *

4-II Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 2-88

FORM No. 2-88 Defendant Time Charterer's Affirmative Defenses--Collapse of Container Spilling Cargo Onto Deck

Defendant is entitled to each and every exculpatory and limiting provision of the United States Carriage of Goods by Sea Act as a beneficiary of exculpatory clauses in the Bill of Lading.

and/or

If this defendant is liable in any respect to plaintiff, which is not admitted, then such liability is limited to \$500 by virtue of the package limitation in the United States Carriage of Goods by Sea Act.

and/or

If this defendant is responsible to plaintiff in any respect, which is not admitted, then such liability is limited to \$2,500 as a consequence of the package limitation in the United States Carriage of Goods by Sea Act.

and/or

If plaintiff's cargo was damaged in any respect, which is not admitted by defendant, then such damage was caused, in whole or in part, by the negligence or other fault of plaintiff or of third-party defendant or of some party for whom defendant is not responsible.

and/or



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER II DEFENSES
CARGO DAMAGE *

4-II Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 2-89

FORM No. 2-89 Defense of Negligent Acts of Shipper--Cargo Damage

Any loss, shortage or damage to the aforementioned shipment, which is denied, was caused by the act or omission of the shipper of the goods or its agents or representatives and accordingly this defendant is not liable therefor.



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER II DEFENSES
CARGO DAMAGE *

4-II Benedict on Admiralty Form 2-90

Form 2-90 Defense of Act or Omission of Shipper

Said Carriage of Goods by Sea Act provides in part as follows:

"4(2) Neither the carrier nor the ship shall be responsible for loss or damage arising or resulting from --

"(i) Act or omission of the shipper or owner of the goods, his agent or representative."

Defendant is informed and believes, and on such information and belief alleges, that the damage to said cargo, if any, arose or resulted from an act or omission of the shipper or owner of the goods, his agent or representative.



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER II DEFENSES
CARGO DAMAGE *

4-II Benedict on Admiralty Form 2-91

Form 2-91 Claim of Benefit of Carriage of Goods by Sea Act

Defendant claims the benefit of each and every provision of COGSA. Defendant is not presently advised fully of the nature and cause of the alleged loss or damage to said goods, if any, but begs leave to amend this answer when more fully advised, and to offer proof accordingly.



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER II DEFENSES
CARGO DAMAGE *

4-II Benedict on Admiralty Form 2-92

Form 2-92 Defense of Lack of Privity Under Carriage of Goods by Sea Act

Said Carriage of Goods by Sea Act provides in part as follows:

"4(2) Neither the carrier nor the ship shall be responsible for loss or damage arising or resulting from --

"(q) Any other cause arising without the actual privity of the carrier and without the fault or negligence of the agents or servants of the carrier...."

Defendant is informed and believes, and on such information and belief alleges that the damage to said cargo, if any, arose or resulted from a cause or causes arising without the actual fault and privity of Defendant carrier and without fault or neglect of agents or servants of said carrier.



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER II DEFENSES
CARGO DAMAGE *

4-II Benedict on Admiralty Form 2-93

Form 2-93 Defense of Lack of Standing to Sue

[PLAINTIFF] lacks standing to sue under the bills of lading and is not the proper party to bring suit against Defendant.



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER II DEFENSES
CARGO DAMAGE *

4-II Benedict on Admiralty Form 2-94

Form 2-94 Partial Defense -- Package Limitation

Said Carriage of Goods by Sea Act provides in part as follows:

"4(5) Neither the carrier nor the ship shall in any event be or become liable for any loss or damage to or in connection with the transportation of goods in an amount exceeding \$500 per package lawful money of the United States or in the case of goods not shipped in packages, per customary freight unit, or the equivalent of that sum in other currency, unless the nature and value of such goods have been declared by the shipper before the shipment and inserted in the bill of lading. This declaration if embodied in the bill of lading, shall be prima facie evidence, but shall not be conclusive on the carrier...."

Defendant is informed and believes and on such information and belief alleges that Defendant's liability, if any, is limited to \$500 per package or customary freight unit pursuant to COGSA and the terms of the applicable bills of lading.



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER II DEFENSES
CARGO DAMAGE *

4-II Benedict on Admiralty Form 2-95

Form 2-95 Defense of No Fault or Neglect by Carrier

If any loss or damage did occur to said cargo, none of said loss or damage was caused or contributed to by any fault or neglect on the part of Defendant but from a cause or causes from which it is expressly exempted from the responsibility by the terms and conditions of the bills of lading covering the carriage. Defendant claims the benefit of each and every provision contained in said bills of lading and applicable tariffs, including the jurisdiction and limitation of liability provisions, and begs leave to amend this answer and to offer proof thereof when more fully advised of the details concerning said loss or damage.



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms CHAPTER II DEFENSES FORM Nos. 2-96-2-129 Reserved

4-2-96 Benedict on Admiralty FORM Nos. 2-96-2-129

Reserved

FORM Nos. 2-96 Reserved



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER II DEFENSES
CHARTERS *

4-II Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 2-130

FORM No. 2-130 Owner's Defense of Frustration--Charter Party

: The charter			
defendant covering the vessel	, contained	l in clause	the following
provision: "Restraint of princes, rulers	and the people throughout	this charter party	always mutually excepted." While
the vessel w	as being operated under the	above mentioned	charter party, and on
, 20, a	notice was served on the de	efendant by the	, a part of the
government of the			
for immedia			
Government		,	
On that date the vessel	was at sea. There	eafter, in the latter	part of, 20
, the vessel arrived at	and discharg	ged cargo under th	e orders of the defendant, but was
not permitted by the			
The vessel proceeded from	to	an	d there discharged additional cargo
under the orders of the plaintiff.			
Since that date the	has been operated un	der the orders and	direction of the
Government	_		
The above mentioned action of the	Gove	ernment constitute	d a restraint of princes, rulers and
people within the meaning of clause 1	8 of the charter party above	set forth and the	lefendant is not liable for any loss or
damage that may have been sustained			•

* See 2B Benedict on Admiralty, chs. I, II, III (Matthew Bender 7th ed.).



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER II DEFENSES
CHARTERS *

4-II Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 2-131

FORM No. 2-131 Charterer's Defense of Fraud--Charter Party

: In order to induce defend	ant to enter into the charte	er party set forth in pla	aintiff's complaint
herein, plaintiff stated and represented to defendant	that the vessel which it wa	as to furnish to defend	lant under the said
contract had the power and capacity for doing the w			
plaintiff's complaint; before the making of such repr			
the work for which defendant desired to rent the said			
: The representations so m	ade were false and fraudu	lent and were known	to plaintiff to be
false and fraudulent when made; in truth and in fact	the said vessel did not ha	ve the power and capa	acity for doing the
work for which defendant desired it.		1	
: Defendant did not know t	the truth with regard to su	ch representations but	t believed them to be
true and relied upon them and in such reliance entere	ed into the charter party se	et forth in plaintiff's co	omplaint; defendant
would not have entered into such charter party had h	ne known the truth with re	gard to such represent	tations.
: On the	day of	20	
	<u> </u>		12 16 1
, defendant endeavored to u			
hereinbefore alleged that the said vessel did not have		_	
thereupon rescinded the said contract and on the	day	/ of	, 20,
duly notified plaintiff that he rescinded the same.			

* See 2B Benedict on Admiralty, chs. I, II, III (Matthew Bender 7th ed.).



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms CHAPTER II DEFENSES CHARTERS *

4-II Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 2-132

FORM No. 2-132 Affirmative Defense in Answer Preserving Right to Arbitration and Counterclaim for Security--Charter Party

[Caption] n1

ANSWER TO CHARTERER'S COMPLAINT IN REM AND IN PERSONAM WITH CLAUSE OF MARITIME ATTACHMENT TO SEEK SECURITY FOR CLAIM PENDING ARBITRATION AND COUNTERCLAIM TO SEEK SIMILAR SECURITY BY OWNER

al Answer With	h Admission, Denials, etc.] n2	
	DEFENSE	
	alleges that the serving and filing of this answer shall	
	right to invoke an arbitration provision in a charter party contract o in writing with the plaintiff and dated	· ·
111	hereby states that it reserves unto itself and does not w	
arbitration of	any dispute between it and the plaintiff.	arve any such right to
COUNTERC	CLAIM	
[Jurisdictiona	al Statement and Allegations Concerning Parties] n3	
3. At all mate	rial times, plaintiff and counter-defendant was the time charterer o and operated the vessel in its service, pursuant to and s	
conditions of	a certain charter party contract or agreement in writing made and e	

between it and the defendant, dated at	on	, 20
A copy of said charter party or agreement, marked herein.		
4. By and pursuant to the terms and conditions of scounterdefendant, at all material times, was respondischarging and delivery, under its bills of lading,	nsible for the bookings, reception	n, loading, stowing,
5. The plaintiff and counter-defendant has breache respects and on several occasions during the term	ž •	agreement in several
(a) By negligent, careless and improper loading op	perations.	
(b) By negligent, careless and improper discharging	ng operations.	
(c) By negligent, careless and improper cargo stow	vage.	
(d) By negligent, careless and improper delivery o	perations.	
(e) By exposing the defendant to numerous and su	bstantial claims for cargo damag	ge and/or loss.
(f) In other respects to be shown during the procee	edings herein.	
6. The defendant and counter-claimant have performed under the said charter party or agreement	<u> </u>	n its part to be
7. The defendant and counter-plaintiff have been redamages to plaintiff's claims arising out of said characterian letter of indemnity and undertaking a copy Exhibit B.	arter party contract or agreemen	t as appears from a
8. The defendant and counter-plaintiff pursuant to for Certain Admiralty and Maritime Claims of the its claim against the plaintiff and counter-defendant plaintiff and counter-defendant and pursuant to the charter party or agreement.	Federal Rules of Civil Procedur nt which will be the subject of ar	re requests security for arbitration between
9. As a result of the breach of said charter party or been exposed to numerous and substantial claims a damages, the full extent of which are not known be	for cargo damage and to other lo	sses, expenses and
Wherefore,, claimant, de	efendant and counter-claimant h	erein, prays:
1. That the complaint filed against the vessel be dismissed with costs.	in rem and	against it, in personam
2. That this Court, pursuant to Rule E(7) of the Su	pplemental Rules for Certain Ac	Imiralty and Maritime

Claims of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, direct that it be given security from plaintiff and

counter-defendant,, to respond	_		
security given by to secure the release of the vessel			
3. That this Court stay the trial of this action and reta of the arbitrators against the security herein obtained the extent same may be capable of satisfying said aw	by, as counter-claimant, to		
4. That the Court grant to it such other, further and di	fferent relief as justice may require.		
[Verification] n4			
FOOTNOTES: (n1)Footnote 1. <i>See</i> Form No. 1-1, <i>supra</i> .			
(n2)Footnote 2. See Form No. 2-1, supra.			
(n3)Footnote 3. See Forms No. 1-2 and 1-4, supra.			
(n4)Footnote 4. See Forms Nos. 1-10 and 1-11, supra.			

* See 2B Benedict on Admiralty, chs. I, II, III (Matthew Bender 7th ed.).



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms CHAPTER II DEFENSES CHARTERS *

4-II Benedict on Admiralty FORM Nos. 2-133-2-152

Reserved

FORM Nos. 2-133Reserved



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER II DEFENSES
COLLISION

4-II Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 2-153

FORM No. 2-153 Defense--Obstruction to Navigation

At the time of the alleged losses referred to in the complaint, said terminal at ______ was an illegal installation and constituted an illegal obstruction to navigation.



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER II DEFENSES
COLLISION

4-II Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 2-154

FORM No. 2-154 Defense--Assumption of the Risk

Even if, by reason of the matters set forth in the complaint, plaintiff was damaged, as alleged by plaintiff and denied by defendants, the said terminal was unable to withstand ordinary harbor contacts and its owners or operators knew, or should have known, the conditions at the terminal and they assumed the risk of damage to the terminal.



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER II DEFENSES
COLLISION

4-II Benedict on Admiralty Form 2-155

Form 2-155 Defense of Plaintiff's Own Negligence

Plaintiff, its agents and representatives and/or predecessors-in-interest did not exercise ordinary care, caution or prudence to avoid the alleged loss and that the alleged loss, if any, sustained by Plaintiff was proximately caused and contributed to by the negligence and fault of Plaintiff, its agents or representatives and/or predecessors-in-interest. Said negligence and fault bars Plaintiff's recovery from this answering Defendant or comparatively reduces the percentage of fault, if any, of this answering Defendant.



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER II DEFENSES
COLLISION

4-II Benedict on Admiralty Form 2-156

Form 2-156 Partial Defense of Proportionate Fault

Any judgment that may be entered against this Defendant for damages must be limited to an amount proportionate with that degree of fault attributable to this defendant, if any, as opposed to fault attributed to Plaintiff and others.



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER II DEFENSES
COLLISION

4-II Benedict on Admiralty FORM Nos. 2-157-2-174

Reserved

FORM Nos. 2-157 Reserved



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER II DEFENSES
GENERAL AVERAGE *

4-II Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 2-175

FORM No. 2-175 Defense of Fault of Shipowner--General Average

The stranding of the vessel _____ resulting in the sacrifice for which the plaintiff seeks general average contributions, was due to the failure of the plaintiff to exercise due diligence to make the vessel seaworthy.

* See 2 Benedict on Admiralty, ch. XIII (Matthew Bender 7th ed.).



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER II DEFENSES
GENERAL AVERAGE *

4-II Benedict on Admiralty FORM Nos. 2-176-2-195

Reserved

FORM Nos. 2-176Reserved



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER II DEFENSES
INJURY AND DEATH *

4-II Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 2-196

FORM No. 2-196 Defense of Misconduct [Intoxication]--Maintenance and Cure

_____: The injury suffered by the plaintiff was due solely to the culpable misconduct of the plaintiff in that at the time such injury was sustained the plaintiff was grossly intoxicated.

^{*} As to seamen, see 1B Benedict on Admiralty (Matthew Bender 7th ed.); 2 Benedict on Admiralty (Matthew Bender 7th ed.). As to longshoremen, see 1A Benedict on Admiralty (Matthew Bender 7th ed.).



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER II DEFENSES
INJURY AND DEATH *

4-II Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 2-197

FORM No. 2-197 Defense of Maximum Cure--Injury

_____: Plaintiff has received the maximum benefit from medical care.

^{*} As to seamen, see 1B Benedict on Admiralty (Matthew Bender 7th ed.); 2 Benedict on Admiralty (Matthew Bender 7th ed.). As to longshoremen, see 1A Benedict on Admiralty (Matthew Bender 7th ed.).



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER II DEFENSES
INJURY AND DEATH *

4-II Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 2-198

FORM No. 2-198 Defense of Contributory Negligence--Injury

Any injuries sustained or suffered by plaintiff at the time and place or on the occasion mentioned in caused in whole or in part, or were contributed to, by the negligence or fault or want of care of plain	-
negligence or fault or want of care on the part of the defendant [or by any unseaworthiness of the s	teamship
].	
or	
: Whatever illnesses or injuries, if any, the plaintiff may have sustained he	erein were solely
caused or contributed to by the negligence, fault or want of care on the part of the plaintiff and wer	e not caused or
contributed to by the negligence, fault or want of care on the part of the defendant,	his agents,
servants, officers or employees or by persons or parties for whose acts or omissions this defendant	is responsible or
iable.	1

^{*} As to seamen, see 1B Benedict on Admiralty (Matthew Bender 7th ed.); 2 Benedict on Admiralty (Matthew Bender 7th ed.). As to longshoremen, see 1A Benedict on Admiralty (Matthew Bender 7th ed.).



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER II DEFENSES
INJURY AND DEATH *

4-II Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 2-199

FORM No. 2-199 Defense of Contributory Negligence--Injury

: Plaintiff's injury was not due to any negligence of defendant	or to
ts failure to provide a seaworthy vessel or a safe place to work.	

In the alternative, however, if any of such grounds of liability are found, then plaintiff was guilty of contributory negligence proximately causing his injury, consisting of his failure to observe the open hatch and thereby avoid falling through it, which is specially pleaded in mitigation or in bar of the damages he sustained.



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER II DEFENSES
INJURY AND DEATH *

4-II Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 2-200

FORM No. 2-200 Defense of Assumption of Risk and/or Contributory Negligence--Injury Or Death

: That at all times material to plaintiff's complaint, the plaintiffs' decedent,, did voluntarily and with full knowledge of the risks involved, engage in the activities
described in the complaint and thereby assumed all of the ordinary and usual risks and perils incident to such activity. Further, plaintiffs' decedent was guilty of negligence which was the sole proximate cause of his death or in the alternative such negligence should be apportioned with any proven negligence of these defendants in determining the damages claimed by plaintiffs against these defendants. n1
or
: That at the times mentioned in plaintiff's complaint, the activities of the plaintiff had certain risks and dangers ordinarily and normally incident thereto which were open, obvious and known to him and in engaging in said activities, plaintiff assumed all of the said risks and dangers and whatever damages, if any, plaintiff may have sustained, as alleged in the complaint, the same occurred in the ordinary and normal course of said activities and by reason thereof, plaintiff is barred from recovering from defendant,, herein.
or
: That plaintiff's employment had certain risks, dangers, and hazards, all of which were open, obvious, and well known to the plaintiff at the time he entered upon said employment and that, if the plaintiff sustained any injuries as alleged in the complaint, said injuries arose out of and were caused by said risks, dangers, and hazards, all of which were taken and assumed by the plaintiff at the time he entered upon his said employment. n2
: The injuries and/or illnesses to plaintiff, if any, arose out of several risks, dangers, and

hazards, all of which were open, obvious, and well-known to plaintiff at and before the said injuries and/or illnesses were sustained, and all of said risks, dangers, and hazards had been assumed by plaintiff. n3

FOOTNOTES:

(n1)Footnote 1. Form adapted from papers used in *Palmer v. Ribax, Inc., 407 F. Supp. 974, 2076 A.M.C. 1056 (M.D. Fla. 1976)*, courtesy of Richard W. Bates, Esq., Orlando, Florida.

(n2)Footnote 2. Form adapted from papers filed in Pinto v. Redeerei Claus-Peter Offen, Civ. No. 83-4976 (E.D.N.Y. 1983).

(n3)Footnote 3. Form adapted from papers filed in Parrilla v. Moore McCormack Lines, Inc., Civ. No. 84-2259 (E.D.N.Y. 1984).



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER II DEFENSES
INJURY AND DEATH *

4-II Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 2-201

FORM No. 2-201 Defense of Comparative Negligence--Injury

	_: Plaintiff's employment on defendant's vessel required a reasonable level of skill and care in
order to carry out the du	tties assigned to plaintiff with due regard for the safety of plaintiff, his fellow seamen and the
vessel.	
	_: Upon signing aboard defendant's vessel, the plaintiff represented himself to be an
experienced seaman, cap	pable of the level of skill and care required for the position for which he was hired.
	_: If the plaintiff was injured, he was not conducting himself in the manner of a capable seaman
<u>*</u>	If to be, in that he was not being observant of obvious hazards, was not taking due regard for his requesting or using proper and available equipment or procedure to do the tasks assigned to

FOOTNOTES:

(n1)Footnote 1. Form adapted from papers filed in Neilson v. Prudential Lines, Inc., Civ. No. 84-505 (E.D.N.Y. 1984).



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER II DEFENSES
INJURY AND DEATH *

4-II Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 2-202

FORM No. 2-202 Defense of Wrongful Act of Third Party--Injury

	: The defendant alleges that the vessel	was at all times mentioned in
injury which the plainti his own fault, carelessn	equipped, maintained and manned by a competent an ff may have sustained while working on or near said ess or negligence or was due to the fault, carelessness, which was engaged to perform stevedoring services.	d able Master, officers and crew. Any vessel was due to, or was contributed to, by s or negligence entirely of
alleges he was injured.		1
or		
third persons who are n	: The negligence, if any, causing the plaintiff's acciot parties to the lawsuit.	ident was solely due to the negligence of
or		
	_: Any injuries sustained by plaintiff as alleged in the	ne complaint, if not caused solely by his
	aused or contributed to by the negligence of for whom defendants are not responsible.	his stevedore employer,

^{*} As to seamen, see 1B Benedict on Admiralty (Matthew Bender 7th ed.); 2 Benedict on Admiralty (Matthew Bender 7th ed.). As to longshoremen, see 1A Benedict on Admiralty (Matthew Bender 7th ed.).



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER II DEFENSES
INJURY AND DEATH *

4-II Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 2-203

FORM No. 2-203 Defense of Wrongful Act of Plaintiff's Employer--Injury to Longshoremann1

: That the plaintiff worked as an employee of	aboard the vessel
as alleged, and as such employee he worked under the direct co	ontrol and supervision of his
employer, and the area in which plaintiff worked aboard said vessel was under the mana	gement, operation, and control
of said employer and all equipment in use aboard said vessel in connection with plaintiff	f's employment was being
operated by and in the complete control of plaintiff's employer, its agents, servants, and	employees, so that plaintiff's
injuries, if any, were the direct result of the negligence, carelessness and breach of duty	of said
, without any fault, neglect, or breach of the warranty of seawo	rthiness on the part of the
defendant contributing thereto.	

FOOTNOTES:

(n1)Footnote 1. Form adapted from papers filed in Pinto v. Redeerei Claus-Peter Offen, Civ. No. 83-4976 (E.D.N.Y. 1983).



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER II DEFENSES
INJURY AND DEATH *

4-II Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 2-204

FORM No. 2-204 Defense of Pre-existing Condition--Injuryn1

______: If plaintiff sustained any injury or suffered from any illnesses or disabilities, such illnesses, injuries and/or disabilities were the result of a pre-existing condition suffered by the plaintiff which was not caused or contributed to by his employment aboard defendant's vessel or by any alleged negligence or breach of warranty of seaworthiness by the defendant.

FOOTNOTES:

(n1)Footnote 1. Form adapted from papers filed in Neilson v. Prudential Lines, Inc., Civ. No. 84-505 (E.D.N.Y. 1984).



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER II DEFENSES
INJURY AND DEATH *

4-II Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 2-205

FORM No. 2-205 Defense of Failure To State a Claim--Injury to Longshoreman

______: The complaint filed herein fails to state a claim upon which relief can be granted in that Section 5 of the Longshore and Harbor Workers' Compensation Act, 33 U.S.C. § 905, eliminated unseaworthiness as a cause of action for shore workers.

^{*} As to seamen, see 1B Benedict on Admiralty (Matthew Bender 7th ed.); 2 Benedict on Admiralty (Matthew Bender 7th ed.). As to longshoremen, see 1A Benedict on Admiralty (Matthew Bender 7th ed.).



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER II DEFENSES
INJURY AND DEATH *

4-II Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 2-206

FORM No. 2-206 Defense That Longshore and Harbor Workers' Compensation Act Is Plaintiff's Sole Remedy--Injury to Longshoremann1

______: Plaintiff's sole and exclusive remedy, if any, is under and by virtue of the provisions of the Longshore and Harbor Workers' Compensation Act, 33 U.S.C. §§ 901-950 and all the statutes amendatory thereof and supplemental thereto, and Defendant hereby pleads said Longshore and Harbor Workers' Compensation Act, and all amendments and supplements thereto, as a complete defense and bar to this action by plaintiff.

FOOTNOTES:

(n1)Footnote 1. Form adapted from papers filed in Parrilla v. Moore McCormack Lines Inc., Civ. No. 84-2259 (E.D.N.Y. 1984).



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER II DEFENSES
INJURY AND DEATH *

4-II Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 2-207

FORM No. 2-207 Defense of Longshore and Harbor Workers' Compensation Act Off-Set--Injury to Longshoremann1

______: Plaintiff's injuries, if any, were proximately caused in whole or in part by the concurring negligence and breach of warranty of his employer and its employees; plaintiff received from his employer and his employer's compensation carrier the benefits of the Longshore and Harbor Workers' Compensation Act; plaintiff's recovery, if any, should be reduced or offset by a credit to the defendant in an appropriate percentage or amount.

FOOTNOTES:

(n1)Footnote 1. Form adapted from papers filed in Parrilla v. Moore McCormack Lines Inc., Civ. No. 84-2259 (E.D.N.Y. 1984).



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER II DEFENSES
INJURY AND DEATH *

4-II Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 2-208

FORM No. 2-208 Defense of Statutory Assignment of Cause of Action--Injury to Longshoremann1

______: Upon information and belief, payment of compensation to plaintiff commenced and/or plaintiff received an award or awards under the Longshore and Harbor Workers' Compensation Act more than six months prior to Commencement of this suit. By virtue of 33 U.S.C.A. § 933(b), "all rights" of plaintiff against defendant was assigned to plaintiff's employer or its insurer six months after compensation payments commenced and/or plaintiff received an award or awards and plaintiff is therefore without standing to maintain this action.

FOOTNOTES:

(n1)Footnote 1. Form adapted from papers filed in Pinto v. Redeerei Claus-Peter Offen, Civ. No. 83-4976 (E.D.N.Y. 1983).



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER II DEFENSES
INJURY AND DEATH *

4-II Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 2-209

FORM No. 2-209 Affirmative Defense That Court Lacks Jurisdiction--Death on the High Seas Actn1

: The death of the decedent is alleged to have occurred in the	River
in the area of . [state]. Under 46 U.S.C. § 761, and the laws	_ Idiver
amendatory thereof, the right of action under said Section accrues only when the alleged wrongful act, neglect	or default
occurs on the high seas beyond a marine league from the shore of any State. Accordingly, the defendant mainta	ins that
this Honorable Court is without jurisdiction of the parties and the subject matter alleged in plaintiff's	
cause of action.	

FOOTNOTES:

(n1)Footnote 1. Form adapted from papers used in Marczak v. McAllister Bros., Inc. 439 F. Supp. 1075, 2078 A.M.C. 374 (S.D.N.Y. 1977), courtesy of Newmark, Lamb, Dowling & Marchisio, New York, New York.



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER II DEFENSES
INJURY AND DEATH *

4-II Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 2-210

FORM No. 2-210 Defense of Lack of Causation--Injury

: At the time of the said occurrence, the vessel was not seaworthy. However, the unseaworthiness of the vessel in no way contributed to or caused the occurrence which resulted in the plaintiff's injure.	ies
: The aforesaid occurrence and the resultant alleged injury to the plaintiff were not caused o contributed to by any negligence on the part of the said yacht, or any negligence on the part of the defendant, or any negligence on the part of anyone for whom the defendant may be responsible.	r

^{*} As to seamen, see 1B Benedict on Admiralty (Matthew Bender 7th ed.); 2 Benedict on Admiralty (Matthew Bender 7th ed.). As to longshoremen, see 1A Benedict on Admiralty (Matthew Bender 7th ed.).



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER II DEFENSES
INJURY AND DEATH *

4-II Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 2-211

FORM No. 2-211 Affirmative Defense--Statute of Limitation (Jones Act)n1

______: Pursuant to 46 U.S.C. § 763(a) and the laws amendatory thereof and 46 U.S.C. § 688 and the laws amendatory thereof, this cause of action is barred since it was not commenced within three years from the date the cause of action accrued.

FOOTNOTES:

(n1)Footnote 1. Form adapted from papers used in Marczak v. McAllister Bros., Inc., 439 F. Supp. 1075, 2078 A.M.C. 374 (S.D.N.Y. 1977), courtesy of Newmark, Lamb, Dowling & Marchisio, New York, New York.



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER II DEFENSES
INJURY AND DEATH *

4-II Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 2-212

FORM No. 2-212 Affirmative Defenses--Statute of Limitation and Laches (Jones Act)n1

: Defendant says that the incident ma	ade the basis of this suit occurred, as to this defendant,
according to the plaintiff's complaint, on or about	, 20 Suit was not filed until
, 20, more than three years aft	er the alleged accident. Therefore, this defendant
affirmatively pleads the three-year statute of limitations as set	forth in 46 U.S.C. § 688, known as the Jones Act, as a bar
to the prosecution of this claim against this defendant. Defend	lant would further assert the doctrine of laches as a defense
in this case, since it has been substantially and greatly prejudic	ced by the delay of over three years in the filing of this
lawsuit.	

FOOTNOTES:

(n1)Footnote 1. Form adapted from papers filed in De Oliveira v. Delta Marine Drilling Co., 684 F.2d 337 (5th Cir. 1992), furnished through the courtesy of Dixie Smith, Esq., Fulbring & Jaworski, Houston, Texas.



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER II DEFENSES
INJURY AND DEATH *

4-II Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 2-213

FORM No. 2-213 Defense--Comparative Negligence (Jones Act)n1

. Tour fourth on an arrow housing this defendant acres that the	id-nt made the besis of this suit
: For further answer herein, this defendant says that the	ie accident made the basis of this suit
was solely and proximately caused by the failure of plaintiff,	, to exercise that degree of care
for his own safety that a reasonably prudent person, in the exercise of ordinary	care, would have exercised under the
same or similar circumstances, or in the alternative, such failure on the part of p	plaintiff,, was a
proximate cause of said accident	

FOOTNOTES:

(n1)Footnote 1. Form adapted from papers filed in De Oliveira v. Delta Marine Drilling Co., 684 F.2d 337 (5th Cir. 1982), furnished through the courtesy of Dixie Smith, Esq., Fulbright & Jaworski, Houston, Texas.



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER II DEFENSES
INJURY AND DEATH *

4-II Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 2-214

FORM No. 2-214 Defense--Forum Non Conveniens (Jones Act)

	: For further answer herein, defen	ndant says that the accident made the	basis of this suit
occurred in the waters	of the Republic of	, just off the coast of	With
one exception, all of th	e material witnesses are presently lo	ocated in Co	o-defendant,
	, is a corporation of the	and is located there.	The plaintiff is a national
of the	and has led there all of his	life. Plaintiff has an accessible forum	n in the
	The laws of the	should be used in determini	ng the merits of this
action. A court of the _	would be be	etter situated to determine the issues	involved in this action.
Therefore, defendant p	rays that this entire action be dismis	sed for reasons of forum non conven	iens.

^{*} As to seamen, see 1B Benedict on Admiralty (Matthew Bender 7th ed.); 2 Benedict on Admiralty (Matthew Bender 7th ed.). As to longshoremen, see 1A Benedict on Admiralty (Matthew Bender 7th ed.).



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER II DEFENSES
INJURY AND DEATH *

4-II Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 2-215

FORM No. 2-215 Defense--Lack of Standing (Jones Act and General Maritime Law)n1

: The plaintiff, by his own admission, is a citizen of	. The alleged
accident made the basis of this suit occurred within or in the waters just off the coast of	The aneged
Therefore, the plaintiff is not entitled to bring this suit under the Jones Act or the General Maritime l	Law of the United
States.	

FOOTNOTES:

(n1)Footnote 1. Form adapted from papers filed in De Oliveira v. Delta Marine Drilling Co., 684 F.2d 337 (5th Cir. 1982), furnished through the courtesy of Dixie Smith, Esq., Fulbright & Jaworski, Houston, Texas.



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER II DEFENSES
INJURY AND DEATH *

4-II Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 2-216

FORM No. 2-216 Defense--Intervening Medical Cause (Jones Act)n1

______: For further answer herein, defendant further says that if plaintiff has, or will have, any loss of earning capacity, that such loss was caused solely or in some degree by some condition of body, some other injury, or combination thereof, that is completely unrelated to the injury claimed in this lawsuit, or has been and will be solely or in part the result of the plaintiff's failure to follow the advice, care, and treatment of attending doctors.

FOOTNOTES:

(n1)Footnote 1. Form adapted from papers filed in De Oliveira v. Delta Marine Drilling Co., 684 F.2d 337 (5th Cir. 1982), furnished through the courtesy of Dixie Smith, Esq., Fulbright & Jaworski, Houston, Texas.



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER II DEFENSES
INJURY AND DEATH *

4-II Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 2-217

FORM No. 2-217 Defense--Unavoidable Accident (Jones Act)n1

_____: Defendant says that the damages sustained by the plaintiff, if any, were the result of an accident and that, insofar as this defendant is concerned, such accident was unavoidable.

FOOTNOTES:

(n1)Footnote 1. Form adapted from papers filed in De Oliveira v. Delta Marine Drilling Co., 684 F.2d 337 (5th Cir. 1982), furnished through the courtesy of Dixie Smith, Esq., Fulbright & Jaworski, Houston, Texas.



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER II DEFENSES
INJURY AND DEATH *

4-II Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 2-218

FORM No. 2-218 Defense That Action is Barred by Term of Contract of Passage--Injury to Passengern1

Plaintiffs failed to give defendant timely notice of a claim as hereinafter provided in Article of their passage ticket and group boarding pass:
[state terms of article]
or
Plaintiff's injuries, if any, were caused or contributed to by persons for whose actions defendant is not responsible, as is set forth in Article of plaintiff's passage ticket and boarding pass:
[state terms of article]
FOOTNOTES: (n1)Footnote 1. Form adapted from papers filed in Schachner v. Costa Armatori, S.p.A., Civ. No. 84-1656 (E.D.N.Y. 1984).



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER II DEFENSES
INJURY AND DEATH *

4-II Benedict on Admiralty Form 2-219

Form 2-219 Defense of Preexisting Duty to Provide Medical Care

Subject to the facts which come to light during discovery in this case, Defendant asserts that Plaintiff's complaint, and each and every cause of action therein, is barred, or recovery sought thereby should be diminished, under the doctrine of preexisting duty because Plaintiff was legally obligated to provide emergency medical services to [SEAMAN] as provided for by Health and Safety Code Section 1317.



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER II DEFENSES
INJURY AND DEATH *

4-II Benedict on Admiralty FORM Nos. 2-220-2-258

Reserved

FORM Nos. 2-220 Reserved



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER II DEFENSES
INSURANCE

4-II Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 2-259

FORM No. 2-259 Sue and Labor Defense to an Action on a Hull Policy

: The insurance policy referred to in Paragraph 4 of the complaint contains and was subject to the following Sue and Labor Clause:
"And in case of any Loss, or Misfortune, it shall be lawful for the Assured, their Factors, Servants, or Assigns, to sue, labor and travel for, in and about the Defense, Safeguard and Recovery of the said Vessel, etc., or any part thereof, without prejudice to this Insurance, to the Charges whereof the Underwriters will contribute their proportion as provided below."
: Upon information and belief, the plaintiff failed to exercise due diligence or make reasonable efforts for the recovery of the vessel



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER II DEFENSES
INSURANCE

4-II Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 2-260

FORM No. 2-260 Defense of Unseaworthiness--Insurance

: In the application for the policy of insurance set forth in plaintiff's complaint herein, which application is attached to and forms a part of the said policy, plaintiff warranted that the vessel,, covered by the said policy, was seaworthy as follows:
: In the said policy of insurance it was among other things stipulated and agreed as follows: : [policy void if vessel unseaworthy]
: The said ship was in fact unseaworthy for the reasons hereinafter set forth; at, during the course of the voyage mentioned in plaintiff's complaint herein and in reference
thereto and to any damage which the said ship sustained in the prosecution thereof, a regular survey was had on the
day of, 20, and the said ship was thereby declared to be and to have been unseaworthy by reason of the fact



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER II DEFENSES
INSURANCE

4-II Benedict on Admiralty FORM Nos. 2-261-2-280

Reserved

FORM Nos. 2-261Reserved



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER II DEFENSES
POSSESSORY, PETITORY, AND PARTITION ACTIONS

4-II Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 2-281

FORM No. 2-281 Defense of Lien Claim--Possessory Action

	1 1 100 1	
: The merchandise of which the pl	laintiff seeks possessic	on arrived in the port of
on or about the	day of	, 20
, and the plaintiff was duly notified	d of its arrival, but fail	ed to request delivery thereof until
more than three weeks thereafter. As a result of such delay of	on the part of the plain	ntiff, charges for the storage of such
merchandise accrued in the amount of \$	and constitute a	lien upon such merchandise, which
the defendant is entitled to retain until such charges have be	een paid.	



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER II DEFENSES
POSSESSORY, PETITORY, AND PARTITION ACTIONS

4-II Benedict on Admiralty FORM Nos. 2-282-2-301

Reserved

FORM Nos. 2-282Reserved



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER II DEFENSES
PRODUCTS LIABILITY

4-II Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 2-302

FORM No. 2-302 Affirmative Defenses--Negligent Design and Installation of Helmsman's Chair

[Caption] n1

FIRST AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

13. That the Complaint fails to state a cause of action upon which relief can be granted.

SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

14. That the product which forms the subject of this lawsuit was misused by the plaintiff and by others over whom defendant neither had nor exercised any control.

THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

15. That any damages which the plaintiff may have sustained, all of which are expressly denied, were caused or contributed to by the culpable conduct, fault and negligence of the plaintiff.

FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

16. That any damages which the plaintiff may have sustained, all of which are expressly denied, were caused or contributed to by the culpable conduct, fault, negligence, and/or strict liability in tort of third persons over whom defendant neither had nor exercised any control.

FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

17. That the plaintiff assumed any and all risks associated with the use of the products which form the subject of this lawsuit.

SIXTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

18. That if it is found that any products designed, manufactured or distributed by defendant are involved in this lawsuit, said products underwent significant and material alteration after said products left the possession and control of defendant.

SEVENTH AFFIRMATIVEDEFENSE

19. That whatever damages and injur- were caused in whole or in part by the	ne culpable conduct of the plaintiff	f and that the amount of damages r	ecovered if
any, shall therefore be diminished in		le conduct attributable to the plain	ntiff bears to the
culpable conduct which caused said	damages and injuries.		
A FIRST CROSS-CLAIM AGA	AINST,		
20. That if defendant is found to be l	iable in whole or in part for the in	juries which were allegedly sustain	ned by the
plaintiff, all of which liability is expr	ressly denied by defendant, such li	ability arose in whole or in part by	y the
negligence, breach of warranty, stric	•	•	
, its agents,	· · ·		oution and/or
common law and contractual indemr			
prosecution of this cross-claim, inclu	iding but not limited to attorney's f	fees, from	_, in whole or
in part for the amount of any verdict	, judgment or settlement which ma	ny be recovered against defendant.	
Wherefore, defendant	by its attorneys,	, demands jud	gment
dismissing the plaintiff's complaint t	ogether with costs and disburseme	ents, including but not limited to at	torney's fees,
and further demands that the answer	ing defendant	shall have full indemnification	on and/or
contribution from	, Inc.; that the relative respo	onsibility of the named plaintiff an	d defendant be
apportioned and that the answering of	lefendant herein have judgment ag	gainst said plaintiff and defendant,	together with
costs, disbursements, expenses and a	ttorney's fees.		
Dated:			

FOOTNOTES:

Attorney for Defendant

(n1)Footnote 1. See Form No. 2-1 supra.



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER II DEFENSES
PRODUCTS LIABILITY

4-II Benedict on Admiralty FORM Nos. 2-303-2-322

Reserved

FORM Nos. 2-303Reserved



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms **CHAPTER II DEFENSES** PROPERTY DAMAGE

4-II Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 2-323

FORM No. 2-323 Defenses of Failure To Provide Safe Berth--Damage to Vesseln1

The breeking of the magnings and the subsequent grounding of the vessel
: The breaking of the moorings and the subsequent grounding of the vessel were proximately caused by acts and failures on the part of the owner, its agents, servants and
employees.
: The breaking of the moorings and the subsequent grounding of the vessel
were the result of an Act of God, specifically Hurricane, and
herefore the same was the result of an inevitable accident.
: The master of the vessel determined that the first and the second
perths to which said vessel was assigned were safe and sufficient, and plaintiff has therefore waived any claims against
defendant, or is estopped to assert any such claims.
: The second berthing and the subsequent grounding of the vessel
were due to a failure of her machinery or to an error of judgment of the master and crew of said vessel, by reason of
which the said vessel could not put to sea to ride out safely the said Hurricane
FOOTNOTES:

(n1)Footnote 1. Form adapted from papers used in Trade & Transport, Inc. v Caribbean S.S. Co., 384 F. Supp. 782, 2075 A.M.C. 1065 (S.D. Tex. 1974), courtesy of Klebert, Bobley, Lockett & Weil, Corpus Christi, Texas.



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER II DEFENSES
PROPERTY DAMAGE

4-II Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 2-324

FORM No. 2-324 Affirmative Defense--Act of God

The damages to the vessel	were the result of an act of God, specifically winds of unusual and
extraordinary strength and violence, occurring with	hout warning, in a weather front, caused the cranes to skid on their
tracks and make contact with the vessel	, without any fault, negligence or want of due care on
the part of the defendant, its agents, servants, empl	loyees or equipment and therefore the same was the result of an
inevitable accident.	



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER II DEFENSES
PROPERTY DAMAGE

4-II Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 2-325

FORM No. 2-325 Affirmative Defense Waiver

The Master, officers, and crew of the vessel	determined that loading operations should
continue under the existing weather conditions and plaintiff ha	as therefore waived any claims against defendant or is
estopped from asserting any claims.	



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER II DEFENSES
PROPERTY DAMAGE

4-II Benedict on Admiralty FORM Nos. 2-326-2-345

Reserved

FORM Nos. 2-326Reserved



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms CHAPTER II DEFENSES SALVAGE *

4-II Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 2-346

FORM No. 2-346 Counterclaim--Abandonment and Salvage

As a result of the abandonment of the vessel by plaintiff in an unseaworthy condition, defendant rendered services in efforts to salvage the vessel at risk of life, limb and property, as a result of which the defendant has sustained damage of \$______ for which plaintiff is liable.



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms CHAPTER II DEFENSES SALVAGE *

4-II Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 2-347

FORM No. 2-347 Defense of No Peril--Salvage

: At the time the alleged salv	rage services were rendered to the vessel
, the	was not, nor had she been prior thereto, in any danger, but was
resting against a mud bank in a sheltered harbor, unda	maged, and neither needing nor requesting assistance; and as a
consequence thereof the plaintiff is not entitled to com	pensation for the alleged salvage services.



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms CHAPTER II DEFENSES SALVAGE *

4-II Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 2-348

FORM No. 2-348 Defense of Legal Duty--Salvage

: The plaintiffs rendering the alleged salv	age services were meml	bers of the crew of the tug
which was engaged in towing the vessel	· ·	_; the alleged salvage services
were rendered after the towing line between the	and the	had parted;
and the plaintiffs were not volunteers, but were under a legal duty therefore are not entitled to any salvage award.	to render the services re	ferred to in the complaint, and



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms CHAPTER II DEFENSES SALVAGE *

4-II Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 2-349

FORM No. 2-349 Defense of Fault--Salvage

: The collision as a result of which the claimant's vessel	_ became
endangered and in need of assistance was the result of fault on the part of the plaintiff's vessel	
and therefore the plaintiff is not entitled to a salvage award for services rendered in assisting the vessel	
·	
* See 3A Benedict on Admiralty (Matthew Bender 7th ed.).	



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms CHAPTER II DEFENSES SALVAGE *

4-II Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 2-350

FORM No. 2-350 Defense of Limitations--Salvage

: The salvage services for which the plaintiff seeks recovery of remuneration were rendered on
, 20, more than two years prior to the filing of the within complaint, which is not
maintainable by virtue of the provisions of 46 U.S.C. § 730.



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms CHAPTER II DEFENSES SALVAGE *

4-II Benedict on Admiralty FORM Nos. 2-351-2-370

Reserved

FORM Nos. 2-351Reserved



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER II DEFENSES
SUPPLIES

4-II Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 2-371

FORM No. 2-371 Defense That Person Ordering Supplies Acted Without Sufficient Authority

	DEFENSE	
	was under bareboat charter to was under bareboat charter to arter contained, among others, the following provisions:	, who ordered the supplies
	r, nor permit to be continued, any lien or encumbrance incuthe title and interest of the owner in the vessel."	rred by him or his agents, which
The plaintiff knew that the furnished by the plaintiff	ne charterer was without authority to bind the vessel	for the supplies



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms
CHAPTER II DEFENSES
SUPPLIES

4-II Benedict on Admiralty FORM Nos. 2-372-2-391

Reserved

FORM Nos. 2-372Reserved



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms CHAPTER II DEFENSES WAGES *

4-II Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 2-392

FORM No. 2-392 Defense of Desertion--Wages

: The plaintiff wrongfully deserted the vessel	at
, thereby forfeiting all wages which he had then earned.	

^{*} See 1B Benedict on Admiralty § 66 (Matthew Bender 7th ed.); 2 Benedict on Admiralty § 33 (Matthew Bender 7th ed.); 3 Benedict §§ 32, 52 (Matthew Bender 7th ed.).



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms CHAPTER II DEFENSES WAGES *

4-II Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 2-393

FORM No. 2-393 Partial Defense of Bad Conduct--Wages

: The plaintiff unlawfully and without just excuse	refused and neglected to work when
required by his superior officers to do so for a period of ten days from	to
, and is not entitled to wages for that period.	

* See 1B Benedict on Admiralty § 66 (Matthew Bender 7th ed.); 2 Benedict on Admiralty § 33 (Matthew Bender 7th ed.); 3 Benedict §§ 32, 52 (Matthew Bender 7th ed.).



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms CHAPTER II DEFENSES WAGES *

4-II Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 2-394

FORM No. 2-394 Defense of Termination of Voyage by Wreck--Wages

: The vessel	on which the plaintif	f was employed as a
, grounded on a	at	on or about
; because of such grounding	the holds of the vessel	were Flooded and
it became necessary to abandon the vessel	on	, as a result of which
the plaintiff's right to wages terminated at this time; a	and the plaintiff has heretofore be	een paid all wages earned by him
prior to such termination.		

^{*} See 1B Benedict on Admiralty § 66 (Matthew Bender 7th ed.); 2 Benedict on Admiralty § 33 (Matthew Bender 7th ed.); 3 Benedict §§ 32, 52 (Matthew Bender 7th ed.).



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms CHAPTER II DEFENSES WAGES *

4-II Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 2-395

FORM No. 2-395 Affirmative Defense to Master's Claim for Wages--Accord and Satisfactionn1

On	, 20	, plaintiff, with the consent of defendant, drew	a check to himself in the sum of \$
		which represented his pay to that date,	
pay,	days	accumulated leave reimbursements, and travel expe	enses. A copy of plaintiff's pay
envelope, with his	signature, is atta	ched hereto and incorporated herein as Exhibit	·
On	, 20	, plaintiff signed himself off the vessel	
	_	esented a sum which was an accord and full satisfation with plaintiff's employment by defendant.	ction of all monies due and owing
FOOTNOTES:			
(n1)Footnote 1. Fo	orm adapted fron	n papers filed in Miller v. Young America Marine E	Education Society, Inc., Civ. No.
80-1797 (E.D.N.Y.	. 1980).		

* See 1B Benedict on Admiralty § 66 (Matthew Bender 7th ed.); 2 Benedict on Admiralty § 33 (Matthew Bender 7th ed.); 3 Benedict §§ 32, 52 (Matthew Bender 7th ed.).



Benedict on Admiralty

Copyright 2011, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Volume 4: Practice and Procedure: Forms CHAPTER II DEFENSES WAGES *

4-II Benedict on Admiralty FORM No. 2-396

FORM No. 2-396 Affirmative Defense to Master's Claim of Wrongful Discharge--Misconductn1

Plaintiff consumed alcoholic beverages while underway and in command of the vesselpassengers on board.	with
Plaintiff, on several occasions, personally disregarded the dress code pertaining to the crew of the vessel	
Each of the incidents detailed above were in violation of defendant's standing orders. A copy of the Ship's Rules annexed hereto as Exhibit These violations constituted a material breach of the contract employment between plaintiff and defendant, entitling defendant to terminate the contractual relationship at any	ct of
FOOTNOTES:	
(n1)Footnote 1. Form adapted from papers filed in Miller v. Young American Marine Education Society, Inc., C 80-1797 (E.D.N.Y. 1980).	Civ. No

* See 1B Benedict on Admiralty § 66 (Matthew Bender 7th ed.); 2 Benedict on Admiralty § 33 (Matthew Bender 7th ed.); 3 Benedict §§ 32, 52 (Matthew Bender 7th ed.).