The 10 Biggest Errors most people make when facing the Pirates and Privateers of the Private Bar Guilds

There is no question the subject of law is complex and difficult to comprehend. It is why in many countries, only the “best and brightest” qualify for places in law schools and then spend years completing their degrees. For the rest of us, one can spend literally years plowing through hundreds of thousands of pages of public statutes, case citations as well as codes and procedures and still not find clear answers.

The reasons that bring you to read this blog might also be wide and varied. You might be someone facing some kind of “legal matter” looking for answers yourself. Or you might be someone who has read the previous postings on this blog and are interested by the topic for this blog (“The 10 Biggest Errors...”). Or maybe you are already a professional member of one of the secret societies that control law in most countries and are interested in this topic as a curiosity or possibly for potential evidence of any logical fallacies, bad faith or vexatious behaviour.

Whatever your reasons for reading, whoever you are, when it comes to the law, “everyone has an opinion” and often one or more “helpful suggestions”... Yet, when it comes down to it, how many people without sufficient financial resources do you hear reply concerning a legal matter “oh, that matter was conclusively resolved in our favour”? There seems plenty of “claimed remedies” but precious little evidence of them.

In recent months, a substantial body of research and knowledge has been posted on this blogspot in the hope of provoking a more considered and competent approach when facing the pirates and privateers. The sheer amount of information in the most recent post Finding relief from the Legal Pirates... (Oct 24, 2012) is why there has been a deferral on making any further posts until now.

However, since posting detailed information concerning the source of Western-Roman Law, the Paradox of Person and most recently the question of “finding relief” from the legal pirates, it has become clear that even with this information, many people are still making serious and grave errors of judgment when facing the private courts masquerading as public courts.

To be blunt – many people continue to make fundamental and silly errors when facing the pirates and privateers of the Private Bar Guilds – often with dire consequences. Some of these errors continue to be of such a basic level of competence, that it is surprising so many fail to
consider common sense and avoid sabotaging the slimmest of margins in resolving matters with a kleptocratic guild that demands absolute loyalty by forcing its members to perpetually demonstrate perfidy, treachery and malfeasance.

So what are the ten (10) biggest errors when facing the pirates and privateers of the Private Bar Guilds that still hold the law hostage? How then might we avoid these most common errors and could this improve our changes at obtaining relief?

Before we commence, there are two important subjects to initially cover- the question of the forensic and accurate meaning of “pirate”, “privateer”, “private” and “public” so there is no confusion as to the subject and secondly the question of motive and objectivity of the author given the subject matter.

**A Quick “recap” on Pirates, Privateers, Private and Public**

[1] As stated in the previous blog (Oct 24, 2012), the words “private” and “public” is similar to “person” in that it is claimed to be much older (6th Century CE and older) yet its appearance is no earlier than the 16th Century - the first being the folio of Shakespearian Plays and secondly the production of a suspect work known as Corpus Iuris Civilis in 1583 by Jesuit trained and educated Denis Godefroy; and

[2] Contrary to a swathe of sometime absurd and contradictory works, the pagan Roman jurists did not use the words “private” or “public”, nor promulgate laws according to such a duality. Instead, prior to rise of the Holly Roman Empire under Constantine in the 4th Century CE and the complete destruction of Rome in the 5th Century CE (410 CE and 455 CE) the Romans divided their law into three (3) forms being Rex (Sacred and Patrician), Lex (Administrative and Plebian) and Lor/Lore (Foreign, Customary); and

[3] Rex, also known as “Rex Sacrorum” also known as “Sacred Rites”, also known as “Sacred Law” was a form of law developed by the Yahudi founders of Rome from the 6th Century BCE onwards exclusively for the benefit and protection of the most elite families of all, known as Patricians; and

[4] Lex, also known as “Legis” was a form of law developed over centuries exclusively for the benefit and protection of the highest classes of citizens in Roman society known as “civilis” incorporating Patricians and Plebians. In turn, Lex was divided into four main bodies being (1) Ius Civile being the Rights of Civilis (Roman word for Citizens) being Patricians and Plebians; and (2) Ius Gentium being the Rights of
the “Peoples” incorporating the lowest form of “citizens” being Municeps; and (3) Ius Forum being the laws of public places and administration and (4) Ius Domus being the laws of the household; and

[5] Lor, (pronounced “law”), also known as “Lore” was the customary or equivalent to unwritten “common folklore” of the Roman system in favor of “citizens” against various conquered people, such as usufruct (first fruits) and many other rights asserted in favor of Rome; and

[6] Blacks 2nd Edition (1910) (pg 964) defines “public” to mean “pertaining to a state, nation or whole community; proceeding from, relating to, or affecting the whole body of people or an entire community. Open to all; notorious. Common to all or many; general; open to common use”; and

[7] The word “public” is supposed to be derived from the Latin word publicus, which is both circular in meaning “publicus means public” and self serving “of or belonging to the people, state or community”. However, the Latin roots of pube(s) (age of majority, young adult) and liceo (to be for sale, to have a price/value) indicate the 16th Century CE word public to have an original etymology more akin to “community commerce”; and

[8] Dictionarium Britannicum (1736) defines “private” to mean “retired, concealed (secret)” from the Latin privatus which (unsurprisingly) also possesses a circular definition meaning “privatus means private”. However, the true etymology of this 16th Century word is a little easier to decipher with privo meaning “to deprive, rob, steal, take away” and –atus being a Latin suffix equivalent to –ed in English meaning privatus properly means literally “deprivation, robbing, stealing, seizure”; and

[9] Blacks 2nd Edition (1910) pg 941 defines a Privateer then as “a vessel owned, equipped, and armed by one or more private individuals, and duly commissioned by a belligerent power to go on cruises and make war upon the enemy, usually by preying on his commerce”; and

[10] The earliest reference to Privateer in Western-Roman Law “public statute” is in 1704 through 3 & 4 Ann. c.13 regarding “fraudulent captures” by privateers in the commercial war against France. It is then greatly expanded upon in 1707 with 6 Ann. c. 37 and the “encouragement of trade to America” which effectively opened all the colonies up to the business of “privateers” against enemies of Great Britain; and
Blacks 2nd Edition (1910) pg 900 defines a Pirate then as “A pirate is one who acts solely on his own authority, without any commission or authority from a sovereign state, seizing by force and appropriating for himself without discrimination, every vessel he meets with”. Oddly, the earliest references to “public statues” against piracy are claimed to be much older than its associated concept of “privateers”, with the earliest claimed statutes being in 1536 and 27 Hen. 8. c.4. and then in 1537 with 28 Hen. 8. c.15; and

From these definitions, we can clearly see that the words “public” and “private” have a vastly different meaning to their common use and essentially mean “general rules of commerce” being public, while “special secret permission to break the rules of commerce” is the essential meaning of “private”; and

The primary difference therefore between a Privateer and a Pirate is possession of a valid commission. The Privateer claims to have one – the Pirate does not. The traditional name for that commission of the Privateer is the infamous “Letter of Marque”. Strangely yet again, we see a glaring inconsistency with the statute record whereby the first claimed statute referencing “Letter of Marque” is 4 Hen. 6. c.7 in 1416, yet never mentioned as the means of validating privateers in the most important act concerning such roles under 6 Ann. c. 37; and

What is more likely is the first real statute on Letters of Marque was 24 G. 3. c.47 in 1784 having been copied from the successful system of the American Colonies during the wars and then later expanded more fully into a system applying to a whole range of “Privateers on land” under the 1801 act 41 G. 3. c.76 and then further in 1805 with 45 G.3. c.72. The right to act as “legal pirates” through the issue of Letters of Marque and “Reprisal” of course being famously enshrined in Article One, Section 8 of the United States Constitution; and

Motive and good faith towards all who are engaged in the legal profession

As demonstrated by the definitions reiterated concerning “private”, “public”, “pirate”, “privateer” and even “letter of marquee”, these terms have been used in their proper context to define the precise status of certain people within the present legal system, not as any form of derogation of character; and

In contrast to any allegation of deliberate bias, ad-hominem, bad faith, vexation, mental illness or some other motive that one or more people may attempt to claim when reading these blogs, the author has
the highest regard to individual members of the legal profession and
the extraordinary stresses such a craft puts them under; and

[17] My great grandfather was one of the “founding fathers” of
Australian Federation and the original Constitution of the
Commonwealth of Australia. His name was Patrick McMahon Glynn and
as an original elected member of the House of Representatives from
1901 served with distinction in a number of ministries including
Attorney General of Australia. My grandfather Patrick Francis O’Collins
was a famous Australian barrister and solicitor who served the Bar with
distinction, having successfully defended and prosecuted a number of
high profile commercial cases. Several uncles (living and deceased)
have been distinguished judges, barristers, lawyers, canon lawyers and
internationally recognized theologians. So in no way are these articles
meant to denigrate their memory, their achievements or their fine
upstanding character; and

[18] As has been stated repeatedly throughout these blogs, the
average member of the legal profession is someone with high intellect,
a social conscience and a fine member of society. This however, does
not diminish the accuracy in defining the roles that they are forced to
play as privateers or pirates. Nor does it diminish the accurate and
objective portrayal of the secret legal societies as Private Bar Guilds;
and

[19] The enormous and deliberate moral stress that the present legal
framework puts upon judges and magistrates is amply demonstrated
by the tragic fact that judges have the highest suicide rate of any
professional as a proportion to their members than any other high
stress profession such as military personnel, dentists, psychologists or
prison guards; and

[20] One of the strongest reasons for writing these blogs is in the hope
that a few of these people, trapped within the hell of knowing the law
and being forced to torture and injure people “in the name of the law”
can finally see that it is the system at fault- not the people. That it is
the idea of mental illness, not the members themselves that are at
fault; and

[21] Therefore, should anyone falsely claim this blog or any other blog
of Ucadia is “anti-law”, or “anti-establishment” or wrongly and
maliciously claim it to be based on bad faith, vexation or mental
illness, I hope the reader will remind such critics of what has been
clearly and objectively stated here- that it is to restore the law, the
truth is being revealed. It is to help free the “best and brightest”
minds trapped in knowing right from wrong but being forced to “do
evil” that these blogs exist. Finally, it is in the hope that people will learn from the most common errors that this blog has been written.

Error #1 - Not believing/realizing you are dealing with Pirates and Privateers

[22] The first, most frequent and arguably most frustrating error committed by those facing the Private Bar Guilds and their private commercial Courts is when people do not believe or realize they are dealing literally with Pirates and Privateers operating an organized crime syndicate “for-profit” private business masquerading as public courts; and

[23] Despite that in most Western countries, a quick search via the internet can reveal the supposed public courts can be found to be registered and listed as “private companies” and “operating for profit” as franchises of private companies masquerading as proper governments in direct violation and treason of the constitutions for such countries, many people do not know or even care to know these indisputable facts; and

[24] If we take Australia as an example in this case, my great grandfather Patrick McMahon Glynn and the other founders of the original Australian Constitution made it clear that the oath and allegiance of parliamentarians and the legal profession was to the sovereignty of Australia first, not to some foreign power. However, since the 1930’s Australia like many countries has been “replaced” by a foreign power being a corporate structure registered into Washington DC and with the US SEC such as the Commonwealth of Australia (CIK: 0000805157), or the State of New South Wales (CIK: 0000071545) or the State of Victoria (CIK: 0000898608); and

[25] It seems few people know (or even care) that the recent Australian Census is listed with a foreign agency as a prospectus and that the highest elected officials of Australia are in open and direct violation with Article 6 and Article 9 (Chapter I, Part IV Section 42 and Section 44) of the Constitution by selling the people of Australia without their consent as “debt slaves” to foreign powers; and

[26] It seems few people know (or even care) that in complete contradiction to Common Law Statutes and the laws of Great Britain and fundamental maxims of Western-Roman law, the Justice System of Australia has been privatized into a “for profit” business, with states such as Victoria even having their courts issue “invoices” for commercial trading associated with the dispensation of law; and
[27] When people refuse to see what is plain sight- not as conspiracy but fact; When people refuse to hold their elected officials to account, or demand an end to the unworkable corruption of the law, then they only have themselves to blame when such legal matters fail to proceed as they expected.

Error #2 - Hiring a Pirate believing they will serve your best interests against fellow Pirates and Privateers

[28] The second, most frequent and naive error committed by those facing the Private Bar Guilds and their private commercial courts is the belief that hiring a Pirate, also known as “appointing a Lawyer or Attorney” they will serve your interests ahead of the interest of the private commercial court and their own guild fraternity; and

[29] In most western countries, to be recognized as an attorney is to be a registered member of a particular Private Bar Guild and possess a “license” to “practice” law. This means all registered attorneys, lawyers, solicitors and barristers owe their business and livelihood first to their membership and oath on becoming an accepted member and agent of a secret society, a private society, a foreign society and a foreign body and government. It does not matter that many western nations still have laws against foreign agents holding positions of authority – such laws are openly ignored; and

[30] The point of this error being that for a lawyer, or attorney, or solicitor or barrister to act truly in your best interests, as they claim they are obligated to do, means such a brave soul must - if they are competent in law - repudiate their oath of membership to their secret society and the operations of the private courts; and

[31] Clearly, the majority of lawyers, attorneys, solicitors and barristers do not go down this path, yet maintain with absolute conviction the belief that they serve the best interests of their clients. How then does this function? Because sadly, many attorneys, lawyers, solicitors and barristers are not competent in law, only a level of procedure and pseudo-law that has replaced much of present day law conducted in the private courts. Therefore, an incompetent solicitor, attorney, lawyer or barrister is far from ideal if one is facing a serious legal matter.

Error #3 - Believing that “Magic Bullets” can defeat Pirates and Privateers
The third, most frequent error committed by those facing the Private Bar Guilds and their private commercial courts is the belief that “magic bullets” and dubious legal “remedies” somehow will not only halt a matter, but render some remarkable turnaround and possibly even financial windfall – hence the claimed “citizens for profit” movement in many countries whereby litigants still use a range of foreign and unrecognized documents within the private commercial courts in an attempt to halt, disrupt, divert and “win”; and

The indisputable fact concerning paperwork with the Private Bar Guilds is that they don’t even recognize the paperwork and forms of their own fellow secret societies, even from adjacent states and counties, let alone from overseas countries. So why on earth would anyone believe in their wildest imagination that the Private Bar Guild would recognize a non-standard form from a non-society or some other unrecognized body? It defies common sense and any form of logic- yet people mindlessly ignore both every day in submitting absurd, ill conceived and unrecognized formats every day to courts; and

It cannot be clearer that any form of document presented to a court or particular jurisdiction under the control of a Private Bar Guild must conform to the standards defined and recognized form at the very least or immediately be considered frivolous and without standing, placing a litigant in a substantially weaker position if never having submitted such documents.

Error #4 - Believing that Pirates and Privateers will follow their own “rules”

The fourth, most frequent error committed by those facing the Private Bar Guilds and their private commercial courts is that the Pirates and Privateers will follow their own rules. This error may also be called the lack of preparation error. It occurs when people have reached a level of competence in which to submit timely and relevant documents as demanded by the Private Bar Guild only to find such documents are ignored and due process is ignored; and

Unfortunately, the lawless and parlous state of affairs in most jurisdictions and with most courts means a competent litigant must now expect the private courts and Pirates and Privateers not to follow their own laws, rather than honor their own procedures. This is especially the case with foreclosures, bankruptcies and other
commercial matters carrying profitable bonds as “booty” and “prizes”; and

[37] In recent months, there appears the smallest glimmer of hope via the High Court of the United Kingdom connected to the Inner and Middle Temple reaffirming the existence of the principle of equity in Chancery. However, such relief remains narrow, available to only a few and fails to address the wholesale mutiny of justices and magistrates across the world in openly defying their own procedures; and

[38] Instead, the competent litigant must anticipate that justices and magistrates will openly break their own rules and have prepared the necessary paperwork to follow up such open corruption. This may include orders to recuse a judge, orders to have a matter reheard in a public forum, formal complaints to the United Nations and the International Court of Justice, motions to High Court Chancery Division, formal complaints and charges of mail fraud by justices or magistrates, formal complaints and lodgments of securities fraud (on account of false bonds) by justices and magistrates as examples.

**Error #5 – Believing the Pirates and Privateers know the law**

[39] The fifth, most frequent error committed by those facing the Private Bar Guilds and their private commercial courts is that the Pirates and Privateers truly are competent in the law and “know” their own rules; and

[40] As discussed earlier, it is clear that a great success for the Private Bar Guilds for at least the past ninety years has been “plausible deniability” whereby the general ignorance of members of the secret societies has assisted in the perpetuation of injustice and the private commercial court system; and

[41] It is why so often you will see that lawyers and solicitors that frequent and post on forums are so aghast and dismissive of historical facts of law, of statutes, why they are so dismissive of the very foundations of law – because they were never taught them, they don’t know even the simplest of basics of Blackstone, or Coke, or any of the founders of Western Law.

**Error #6 – Fighting (creating conflict with) Pirates**

[42] The sixth, most frequent error committed by those facing the Private Bar Guilds and their private commercial courts is when litigants
confident in their own skills at oratory or documentation choose to fight and create conflict with the Pirates and Privateers; and

[43] This is a tragic cost- as many a brave soul have risen through experience to believe they can match in assertiveness and skill those registered members of the secret societies that practice pseudo-law, only to find the sharp fangs of procedural injustice at its worst; and

[44] The truth is that the system needs conflict, it demands controversy- it relishes and celebrates the belligerent litigant – as the poster character for supporting propaganda aligned at warning others, that such “anti-law”, “anti-establishment” and “anti-government” behavior will not be tolerated. Those that actively pursue the courts, sometimes with personal vendettas unfortunately created terrible injury against the law and the rest of society by enabling the system to re-set itself and parody genuine concerns as “extremists” and more recently as “paper terrorists”.

**Error #7 – Running away from facing the Pirates and Privateers**

[45] The seventh, most frequent error committed by those facing the Private Bar Guilds and their private commercial courts is when people adhere to the calls by some “gurus” to ignore the courts and their enforcement, to not respond and to effectively run away; and

[46] Again this error of judgment is one that is relished by the system as those who refuse to engage are presumed to confess and the system has streamlined itself to pursue those who do not engage with arrest warrants, fines and other penalties; and

[47] Sometimes the argument presented as to not attending court is because the court is so corrupt and because the courts are run by Pirates and Privateers. This however is no excuse; and

[48] It does not mean one must come to a private court, ill prepared. In fact, all people have the absolute right to respond with formal interrogatories (questions) to be answered before coming to court and having those questions formally lodged as part of the official record (see the previous blog concerning examples of specific questions (Oct 24th, 2012). Even to anticipate the questions will not be answered and to have the documents prepared to proceed with formal complaints and orders is in order; and

[49] But to do nothing, to ignore, to hide, to pretend it does not exist does not mean the legal matter will go away. Every controversy and
challenge must be addressed. This is a maxim of law fundamental to
the Ucadian model and to life.

**Error #8 - Sending/registering original documents with Pirates
and Privateers**

[50] The eighth, most frequent error committed by those facing the
Private Bar Guilds and their private commercial courts is the sending
and registering of original documents with the private commercial
courts of the Privateers and Pirates; and

[51] When wet ink signed documents are submitted as originals to the
courts- such documents can be seen as a conveyance of rights, an
enclosure of rights, and an admission of ceding and surrendering of
rights; and

[52] Recognizing your first person or second person means that when
you attend court, you are the principal or the only authorized and duly
appointed agent for the principal- therefore it is you who is giving
instruction – no other- concerning the intentions and wishes of the
principal. Do not then hand over original wet ink documents, but
extracts from the estate of the principal. It is also where the months of
discussions concerning the role of the General Executor and the estate
come into play; and

[53] If one wants to be even clearer, only hand the court pink copies of
extracted documents as the respondent- or if one is directing another
as a duly appointed agent, then only issue blue copies of orders and
instructions. The colors are a historic feature of their system in
identifying clearly the holder of original title and the order of status of
agents and principal.

**Error #9 - Surrendering (your person) to Pirates and
Privateers**

[54] The ninth, most frequent error committed by those facing the
Private Bar Guilds and their private commercial courts is the
surrendering of person, for acceptance of legal person in the face of
continued harassment, threat, coercion, violence and every other form
of terror; and

[55] The fact is that the highest person in propria persona can be re-
established at any point, or the second highest person (principal to
agent) can be secured at any point. Legal person, or third person is the
weakest of all forms of person and just because it may be accepted in
light of intimidation does not mean the matter is final.
[56] People are tricked into believing because they made one mistake at the time of arrest, or one mistake at the first hearing, or one mistake at the indictment hearing, or several mistakes during the trial that it is over. Never, ever surrender your right at any moment, at any point to reassert your first person, or second person (agent to principal) relation and to reject legal person.

**Error #10 - Forgetting to demonstrate who and what we truly are**

[57] The tenth, most frequent error committed by those facing the Private Bar Guilds and their private commercial courts is forgetting to demonstrate who and what we truly are as Homo sapiens, as Divine Immortal Spirits associated with flesh vessels.

[58] To stand as witnesses to the living law, the canons of law of Ucadia, the restoration of the law. To remain in honor in the face of judicial corruption. To remove any claim of possible vexation or bad faith. To constantly repeat we come in good faith, in honor, in respect, amicability and peace. To remove all possible argument of controversy and belligerence; and

[59] It is how we behave, above all, that determines the success or failure to represent the law. The arrogant, the aggressive the vengeful litigant injures the law as much as the corrupt official. The weak, the frightened, the ignorant litigant injures the law and their own character by doubting themselves and failing to work on their competence and knowledge.

[60] Despite the labels of pirates and privateers- forgive those who act in such a manner. Rise above the hatred, the cruelty. Be a beacon of honesty, respect and honor so that such character shines like a blinding light against judicial corruption. Never forget that your are immortal and how we live (and die) in one life can affect many lifetimes of lessons.

Above all, be gentle with yourself and with others. Thank you.