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PUBLIC NOTICE, DECLARATIONS, AND HONORABLE CLARIFICATIONS

THE LAW DOES NOT PERMIT IMPOSSIBILITIES

Lee County
Illinois Republic
united States of America

Asseveration
L.S.
Signed only in correct public capacity
As beneficiary to the Original Jurisdiction.

NOTICE. The term “Original Jurisdiction” herein and in all other documents issued by Craig-Owen: Aamodt means the constitution for the united States of America, anno Domini 1787, and articles of amendment anno Domini 1791 and other original parent agreements as indexed in Paragraph Number 12 below.

FIAT JUSTITIA, RUAT COELUM
Let Right Be Done, Though The Heavens Should Fall

I, Craig-Owen: Aamodt a/k/a Craig-Owen of the family Aamodt, in public capacity as beneficiary to the Original Jurisdiction, being of majority in age, competent to testify, a self-realized entity, a free man/woman upon the free soil, an American citizen of the American Republic, My yeas being yeas, My nayes being nayes, do hereby state that the truths and facts herein are of first hand personal research, true, correct, complete, certain, and not misleading, so help me GOD.

PUBLIC NOTICE

THIS DOCUMENT GIVES NOTICE TO all Public Officials by and through the Office of the Secretary of State, the United States of America a/k/a UNITED STATES a/k/a U. S. a/k/a UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, and the Office of the Secretary of State, the State of Illinois a/k/a ILLINOIS a/k/a STATE OF ILLINOIS a/k/a IL a/k/a “this State” and to all whom it may concern, of the DECLARATIONS, LAWFUL, HONORABLE CLARIFICATIONS and other matters contained herein.
DECLARATIONS

APPELLATION, STATUS, AND FACTS

1. KNOW ALL MEN BY THESE PRESENTS, Craig-Owen: Aamodt a/k/a Craig-Owen of the family Aamodt does hereby state, assert and aver all of the following:

2. Craig-Owen: Aamodt is a living breathing free man upon the free soil, an American citizen of the American Republic, beneficiary to the Original Jurisdiction.

3. Craig-Owen: Aamodt is not a United States Citizen, subject, vessel or “person” as defined in Title 26 United States Code, Section 7701 or elsewhere, or any other ens legis artificial person, individual, entity, fiction of law, procedural phantom or juristic personality, notwithstanding the reproduction of any such fictions in any media, computer, record or instrument, written or electronic.

4. Craig-Owen: Aamodt is foreign to the United States and retains official authority within his chosen jurisdiction. As beneficiary to the Original Jurisdiction, he is not subject to nor does he volunteer to submit to or contract with any ens legis artificial or corporate jurisdiction to which a United States person may be subject.

5. Craig-Owen: Aamodt reserves all Rights, Remedies and Defenses granted to him by God and memorialized by Craig-Owen: Aamodt’s correct public capacity as beneficiary to the Original Jurisdiction.

6. Craig-Owen: Aamodt waives no Rights, Remedies or Defenses nor yields imperceptible Rights including, without limitation, the Right to movement and travel without restriction, permission or license in any conveyance of his choosing on any public roadway in America, and the right to bear arms for the protection of his family, friends and neighbors without restriction, unless such waiver is specifically done so in writing.

7. Craig-Owen: Aamodt does not volunteer, consent or contract to being identified as, of, or connected by any nexus to, any institutional, bifurcated, public cestui que trust or other fictional construction of law or ens legis entity of a political state or subdivision thereof, in any capacity including, without limitation, as trustee, co-trustee, surety, co-surety, officer, co-officer, fiduciary or co-fiduciary.

8. Craig-Owen: Aamodt reserves the nature and character of his exact and proper designation as:

Craig-Owen: Aamodt

or in the alternative, Craig-Owen of the family Aamodt, which shall be spelled, written, formatted, printed, engraved and inscribed now and in perpetuity in all media exactly and precisely as just above-written with a first and second given name separated from, and joined to, a family name by a mark of punctuation or the words “of the family”; with the first letter of each given and family name being capitalized and all other letters being written in lower case fully in accord with the Rules of English Grammar.

9. Trade Mark notice. The name Craig-Owen: Aamodt by common law is Trade Marked™ and all trade names and derivatives thereof, whether or not registered, are Trade Marked™ by
and property of Craig-Owen: Aamodt to whom all rights are reserved. The use thereof without
the express written permission of Craig-Owen: Aamodt creates a voluntary and informed
consensual contract obligating the unauthorized user to the payment of a Trade Mark
infringement fee as follows:

A Trade Mark infringement fee in the sum certain of ten thousand dollars ($10,000.00)
lawful specie, gold, or silver, American mint, or certified bullion, Lawful coin money at current
spot market price pursuant to the Constitution for the united States of America, 1787 anno
Domini, amended anno Domini 1791, Article 1, Section 10, Clause 1, shall apply to each
unauthorized use of the designation Craig-Owen: Aamodt and to each attempt or event of
conversion, alteration, distortion and/or misnomer whether by improper spelling, abbreviation,
capitalization, initializing, reversal of parts, or conversion to a fiction of law or other juristic
personality or artificial being.

10, Clause 1, shall apply to each unauthorized use of the designation Craig-Owen: Aamodt and
to each attempt or event of conversion, alteration, distortion and/or misnomer whether by
improper spelling, abbreviation, capitalization, initializing, reversal of parts, or conversion to a
fiction of law or other juristic personality or artificial being.

11. The legal doctrine of idem sonans is inapposite to Craig-Owen: Aamodt whether oral
or written; all such improper usages and misnomers comprising infringement on the above-
noticed copyright.

12. Craig-Owen: Aamodt does hereby accept the Original Jurisdiction, to wit:

A. Constitution for the united States of America, anno Domini 1787,
   Articles of Amendment anno Domini 1791;
B. National Bill of Rights, anno Domini 1776;
C. The Northwest Ordinance, anno Domini 1787
D. Constitution of Illinois, anno Domini 1818;

13. Craig-Owen: Aamodt does hereby further state, assert and aver the following facts:

a. It is well established under public policy that citations, legislations, prescriptions and other
   presentments issued by government bodies politic on the alleged authority of State codes
   comprise a cloak to disguise collateral undertaking in U.S. Funds. All such offers want for
   authority under original organic State Constitutions pursuant to which they are forbidden and
   can never be duly enacted.

b. The U.S. a/k/a the United States is defined as a federal corporation at Title 28 USC 3002(15).

c. The United States is bankrupt pursuant to Perry v. United States, 294 US 330-381 (1935); 79 L.
   Ed 912.

d. United States is an obligor/grantor to the Federal Reserve Bank pursuant to the Federal Reserve
e. The said Federal Reserve Bank Act comprises a contractual granting by Congress to the Federal Reserve Bank of a paramount and enduring (ex-warranto 1913-1933) lien on the assets of the United States and all parties who would use bank notes issued by the Federal Reserve Bank pursuant to 38 Stat 265, Ch 6 p266-267.

f. The Congress of the United States, by authority of the Gold Bullion Coin Act of 1985, PL 99-185, December 17, 1985, 99 Stat 1177 has decreed its intention that all Americans can no longer be forced into an obligor/grantor status in relation to said Federal Reserve Bank Notes.

g. The Constitution for the united States of America, 1787, Article 1, Section 4, Clause 2 (1856) states that Congress shall assemble at least once in every year, which shall be the first Monday of December. Notwithstanding, Amendment XX, Section 2 (1933) states: “The Congress shall assemble at least once in every year, and that such meeting shall begin at noon on the third day of January, unless they by law appoint a different day.”

14. The Constitution cannot be in conflict with itself. The de jure legislature of the united States of America identified as “Congress” in the aforementioned Article 1, Section 4, Clause 2 (1856) adjourned “sine die” in 1861. Evidence of its reconvening in the absence of a congressional quorum has not been exhibited by the United States. The national legislative body discernible in Amendment XX, Section 2 first appeared in 1863 by executive resolution as a department of the Executive Branch of government pursuant to “Emergency War Powers.” This de facto “Congress” was conceived and continues to sit at the pleasure of the president of the corporate ens legis UNITED STATES.

15. The de jure private people who, by their inherent character in rerum natura, are foreign to and wholly without the corporate ens legis United States are not subject to the actions, acts and whims of the ens legis Congress of the corporate UNITED STATES. Accordingly, living Men in rerum natura are not subject to the Federal Reserve Bank Act of December 23, 1913 which wants for force and effect of law in the Original Jurisdiction.

16. Disclosure of the facts and frauds stated herein has been denied to Craig-Owen: Aamodt in his rightful capacity as beneficiary of the Original Jurisdiction by an extraordinary and persistent policy of covin, conspiracy, and collusion constructed and condoned by the UNITED STATES Congress, Amendment XX, the Federal Reserve Bank/System, and contractors, agents, assigns, successors, heirs, representatives, obligors and grantors thereof.

17. It is well settled in law that “no right, by ratification or other means, can arise out of fraud.”

18. By this PUBLIC NOTICE, DECLARATIONS AND HONORABLE CLARIFICATIONS, the following addendum is attached by reference herein in its entirety to any and all Federal Reserve Notes, public policy instruments, and documents regardless of kind arising from or relating to the Federal Reserve Bank/System which are held, received or used by Craig-Owen: Aamodt now and in perpetuity:

“The use of this instrument/conveyance by Craig-Owen: Aamodt is of necessity only and under HONORABLE CLARIFICATIONS, nunc pro tunc to December 23, 1913, in the absence of a reasonable alternative.”

19. The labor of Craig-Owen: Aamodt is measured and valued quantum meruit
exclusively in gold and silver coin. As the value of such labor is tangible, it cannot be measured by any instrument which serves as evidence of debt, notwithstanding that the operational currency of the corporate UNITED STATES consists exclusively of instruments noted thereon to be evidence of liability.

20. Craig-Owen: Aamodt hereby expressly states his intention to pay, extinguish and satisfy all of his/her obligations and make all parties whole. Accordingly, Craig-Owen: Aamodt specifically disavows the use of “discharge” as a fraudulent transaction which implies payment but serves to covertly transfer the debts of Craig-Owen: Aamodt to other parties contrary to Craig-Owen: Aamodt’s deeply held Scriptural beliefs under God.

Craig-Owen: Aamodt is not now and has never been a United States Citizen under the Fourteenth Amendment of the ens legis Constitution for the corporate UNITED STATES, notwithstanding any failures to properly pass the said amendment into law.

22. Craig-Owen: Aamodt has the absolute unalienable Divine right to keep and bear arms of any kind for protection of Self, family, and neighbors, by his own will and this DECLARATION.

23. Craig-Owen: Aamodt has the absolute unalienable Divine right to move and travel upon all public roadways in America, of whatever kind and nature, in whatever mode or carriage of transportation He may choose, without license or permission or any other infringement of that right, by his/her own will and this DECLARATION.

24. In addition to all of the above, Craig-Owen: Aamodt retains all of the Rights as enumerated and protected by the constitutions, bills of rights, and ordinance pursuant to the Original Jurisdiction.

HONORABLE CLARIFICATIONS

25. As it is a crime to conceal a crime and a fraud to conceal a fraud, Craig-Owen: Aamodt makes HONORABLE CLARIFICATIONS against, abjures, denounces, refuses, takes exception and does not assent to:

26. The formation of any institutional, bifurcated, public, cestui que trust in violation of the copyright of Craig-Owen: Aamodt previously declared herein.

27. Any allegation or presumption that Craig-Owen: Aamodt has consented expressly or tacitly to being a Citizen pursuant to the Fourteenth Amendment of the ens legis Constitution of the UNITED STATES.

28. Any pledge, mortgage, lien or encumbrance by the Council of State Governors, March 6, 1933 which would identify Craig-Owen: Aamodt as a security, surety, co-surety or collateral for any part or portion of the public debt which has been hypothecated by the use of counterfeited Federal Reserve securities.

29. The forced involuntary use of U.S. funds such as Federal Reserve Bank/System notes, commercial liability instruments and electronic liability transactions as part of a scheme to compel the principals to impart artificial commodity value to the liability evidenced thereon, on the authority of MacLeod v. Hoover, (June 22, 1925) No. 26395, S. Ct. Louisiana; 105 S. Rep.
305, that court citing U.S. Bank v. Bank of Georgia, 23 U.S. 333, 10 Wheat, 333, 6 L.Ed. 34.

30. Any presumption that Craig-Owen: Aamodt has volunteered to be a debtor in possession of Federal Reserve Notes with expectation of a quid pro quo; a guarantor/surety/co-surety on the lien created by the Federal Reserve Bank Act of December 23, 1913; a party to any confidence game, scheme, forced or cestui que use whereby paper wanting inherent value is placed into circulation by the Federal Reserve Banks in lieu of Constitutionally required gold or silver; a party to the failure of public officials and Federal Reserve principals to provide full disclosure of the liabilities and perils of using private scrip, instruments of debt, corporate U.S. obligations, and Federal Reserve Notes as inauthentic replacements for lawful money.

31. Any presumption that Craig-Owen: Aamodt has at any time expressed or implied a promise to guarantee the debt hypothecated by the said Federal Reserve Act, the private debt of the corporate UNITED STATES, or any obligations of the Federal Reserve Banks, agents, contractors, assigns, successors, heirs and grantors thereof, now and in perpetuity.

32. Any presumption that Craig-Owen: Aamodt has at any time volunteered expressly or tacitly to join as a co-conspirator in any fraud, conspiracy, covin, collusion, confederation or joint business venture operated by the de facto STATE OF ILLINOIS and the corporate ens legis UNITED STATES as a surety, co-surety, guarantor or other obligor.

33. Any attempt to induce Craig-Owen: Aamodt to act as a tort feasor to the Constitution for the united States of America, anno Domini 1787, where at Article 1, Section 10, it states “No State shall...emit bills of credit; make anything but Gold and Silver Coin a tender in payment of debts,” all such offers being refused for fraud.

34. Pursuant to the Original Grant of Depositum for Bailment via the 1818 Constitution of Illinois Craig-Owen: Aamodt makes Honorable Clarifications against, abjures, denounces, refuses, takes exception and does not assent to the calculated use of legal fictions to undermine and convert the political Will of the People on the free soil of the organic country known as Illinois into a legislative democracy that transforms the free People into subjects of the municipal law of foreigners within the geographical exterior boundary of Illinois and contrary to the Northwest Ordinance and the original Grant of the People, September 17, 1787, anno Domini, as amended 1791, anno Domini.

DEMands

35. DEMAND IS HEREBY EXPRESSLY MADE TO IMMEDIATELY:

36. RETURN THE DEPOSITUM FOR BAILMENT to Craig-Owen: Aamodt in his capacity as descendent by blood of the original Bailor/Grantor/Settlor and his endowment to warrant same by Almighty God, pursuant to the terms, conditions, stipulations, exceptions and reservations contained within the Original Grant.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT, RECOGNITION AND RETURN BY THE BAILEE OF THE SAID DEPOSITUM OF BAILMENT to Craig-Owen: Aamodt as repository trustee for the Original public Trust.

38. EXHIBIT THE AUTHORITY whereby Craig-Owen: Aamodt can be compelled, forced or enticed to falsely act as a tort feasor to Article 1, Section 10, Clause 1 of the Original Grant
against his/her will by using the aforementioned fictional bank notes within a scheme of discharge disguised as payment. Failure to so exhibit within thirty (30) days of PUBLIC NOTICE comprises stipulation that no such authority exists.

39. **EXHIBIT THE AUTHORITY** whereby Craig-Owen: Aamodt can be compelled, forced or enticed to falsely present himself as a United States Citizen/person in violation of the Fourteenth Amendment prohibition against slavery and involuntary servitude. Failure to so exhibit within thirty (30) days of PUBLIC NOTICE comprises stipulation that no such authority exists.

40. **ADMIT OR DENY** that all actions of the UNITED STATES, the STATE OF ILLINOIS and all political subdivisions thereof whether judicial, administrative, municipal, county or otherwise are by their nature actions *indebitatus assumpsit*. Failure to respond within thirty (30) days of PUBLIC NOTICE comprises admission of an ongoing Fraud against the beneficiaries of the Original Jurisdiction

"Suits as well as transfers may be the protective coverings of fraud," Steelman v. All Continent Corp., 301 US 278, 81 L. Ed 1085; Shapiro v. Wilgus, 287 U.S. 348, 355, 53 S.Ct. 142, 144, 85 A.L.R. 128. "The fact that the means employed to effect the fraudulent conveyance was the judgment of a court and not a voluntary transfer does not remove the taint of illegality," First National Bank v. Flesher, 290 US 504, 78 L. Ed. 465. "... it is obvious that the fraud did not occur in open court nor in that sense enter into the decrees under attack, hence the fraud which we complain was not susceptible to insulation. In the language of Shapiro v Wilgus, 287 US 348, 77 L. Ed 355. It was part and parcel to a scheme whereby the form of a judicial remedy was to supply a protective cover for a fraudulent design." Also, Steelman, supra Flesher, supra, Braun, supra., "That in the absence of an adversary trial or decision the distinction between extrinsic and intrinsic fraud becomes immaterial and made clear by the following from the Throckmorton opinion," 98 US 61, 65, Braun, supra.

41. **EXHIBIT VERIFIED EVIDENCE** proving the time, place and nature of full disclosure of the benefits, risks and perils by which Craig-Owen: Aamodt could knowingly volunteer to submit to the Federal Reserve Bank Act of 1913. Failure to so exhibit within thirty (30) days of PUBLIC NOTICE comprises stipulation that no such disclosure was made.

42. **ADMIT OR DENY** that Craig-Owen: Aamodt did in fact knowingly and voluntarily ratify the *cestui que* trust created by the UNITED STATES through the Federal Reserve Bank Act of 1913 which resulted in the use of grammatical derivations of Craig-Owen: Aamodt name in a scheme of intentional misnomer for profit and gain. Failure to respond within thirty (30) days of PUBLIC NOTICE comprises denial that the *cestui que* trust created by the UNITED STATES through the Federal Reserve Bank Act of 1913 was ever duly ratified by Craig-Owen: Aamodt and any assumption of such ratification is false.

43. **EXHIBIT VERIFIED EVIDENCE** proving the knowledgeable and voluntary ratification and acceptance by Craig-Owen: Aamodt of the aforesaid *cestui que* trust. Failure to so exhibit within thirty (30) days of PUBLIC NOTICE comprises stipulation that the said *cestui que* trust was never ratified by Craig-Owen: Aamodt and any assumption of such ratification is false.

44. **EXHIBIT VERIFIED EVIDENCE** proving the granting of a copyright license by Craig-Owen: Aamodt expressly conveying to the licensee the authority to use grammatical derivations of the proper name belonging to Craig-Owen: Aamodt in a scheme of intentional
misnomer for profit and gain through an unauthorized cestui que trust. Failure to respond within thirty (30) days of PUBLIC NOTICE comprises stipulation that all such misnomers and uses of the aforesaid cestui que trust comprise intentional copyright infringement.

45. I, Craig-Owen Aamodt, do hereby deny having received disclosure of the existence, benefits, risks and perils of a cestui que trust named derivatively at any time, or having been asked to ratify the said trust. Consequently, I do hereby deny, denounce, adjure and disavow having ever ratified any such trust.

CAVEAT—LAW

All public officials, Officers of government bodies politic, in all branches/departments, Executive, Legislative, or Judicial, being of Oath of Office, bonded to fidelity, are under ministerial duty, Supervisors v. United States ex rel. 71 U.S. 435, 4 Wall 435, U.S. v. Thomas, 15 Wall 337, U.S. v. Lee, 106, US 196, 1 S. Ct 240, fiduciary/trustees, U.S. v. Carter, 217 US 286, 30 S. Ct 515. "The implication of a trust is the implication of every duty proper to a trust... Whoever is a fiduciary or in conscience chargeable as a fiduciary is expected to live up to them." Buffum v Peter Barceloux Co. 289 US 227, 237; 77 L. Ed 1140, 1146, cited Braun v. Hansen, 103 F.2d 685 (1939), wherein it further states “Being fiduciaries, the ordinary rules of evidence are reversed”, must obey the law, Butz v. Economou, (US) 98 S Ct. 2895, Davis v Passman (1979, US) 442 US 226, 99 S. Ct. 2264.

47. "The law will protect an individual who, in the prosecution of a right does everything which the law requires him to do but fails to obtain his right by the misconduct or neglect of a public officer.” Lyle v Arkansas, 9 Howe 314, 13 L. Ed 153, Duluth & Iron Range Co. v Roy, 173 US 587, 19 S. Ct 549, 43 L. Ed 820. “It is a maxim of the law, admitting few if any exceptions, that every duty laid upon a public officer for the benefit of a private person, is enforceable by judicial process”. Butterworth v U.S. ex rel. Hoe, 112 US 50, 5 S. Ct 25, 28 L. Ed 656.

48. "A ministerial officer is liable for an injury done, where his acts are clearly against the law.” Tracy v. Swartwout, 10 Pet. 80, 9 L Ed 354. “The judicially fashioned doctrine of official immunity of judicial, legislative or executive officers does not reach so far as to immunize criminal conduct prescribed by an Act of Congress.” O'Shea v. Littleton, 414 US 488, 94 S Ct. 669, "in equity there are certain rules prohibiting parties bearing certain relations to each other from contracting between themselves; and if parties bearing such relations enter into contracts with each other, courts of equity presume them to be fraudulent, and convert the fraudulent party into a trustee.” Perry v Trusts (7th Ed) Sec. 194, in Braun v Hansen (1939) 103 F 2d 685. Under the doctrines of res gestae, res ipso loquitur, respondeat superior, as now having prior knowledge, authority, power, opportunity to prevent or aid in preventing injury, damage, having been or about to be committed. Title 42 USCS Section 1986, as applies to public officials, Officers, by the existence of an agreement between two or more persons, acting in a private conspiracy, McNalley v Pulitzer Pub. Co. (1976) 352 F 2d 69, 429 US 855, 50 L Ed 2d 131, to conspire, through said conspiracy, to impede or hinder, or obstruct or defeat the due course of justice in a State or Territory, with the purposeful intent to deny the equal protection of the law, under color of State law or authority, or other, Griffin v. Breckinridge (1971) 403 US 88, 91 S Ct 1790, depriving of having or exercising a Right, Federal Conspiracy to Obstruct Justice Act (Title 42 USCS Section 1985(2), deprivation of due process, even by federal officials, Williams v. Wright (1976) 432 F Supp 732, Founding Church of Scientology v Director, FBI (1978)459 F Supp 748, 98 L Ed 2d 150, 108 S Ct 199, even District Attorneys, Rouselle v Perez (1968) 293 F Supp 298, places upon you the badges of fraud, prior knowledge, superior knowledge of the law, will of intent, perjury of Oath of Office, constructive treason, bad faith, breach of
fiduciary/trustee responsibility, whereupon "Being fiduciaries, the ordinary rules of evidence are reversed," (1939) 103 F 2d 685. Further, being advised, as in Ex Parte v Young, 209 US 123 (1908), "The attempt of a State Officer to enforce an unconstitutional statute is a proceeding without authority of and does not effect, the State in its sovereign or governmental capacity, and is an illegal act, and the officer is stripped of his official character and is subject in his person to the consequences of his individual conduct. The State has no power to impair to its officer immunity from responsibility to the supreme authority of the United States." (Emphasis added.)

49. From Perry on Trusts, (7th ed), Sec. 851 "... in order that the release, confirmation, waiver, or acquiescence may have any effect .... The cestui que trust must also know the Law, and what his rights are, and how they would be dealt with by the court." The Supreme Court of Arizona in Garrett v Reid Cashion Land, 34 Ariz 245, 270 P. 3044 at page 1052 quotes thus from Adair v Brimmer, 74 NY 539 "Confirmation and ratification imply to legal minds, knowledge of a defect in the act to be confirmed, and the right to reject or ratify it. The cestui que trust must therefore not only have been acquainted with the facts, but apprised by the law, of how these facts would be dealt with by a court of equity, All that is implied in the act of ratification, when set up in equity by a trustee against his cestui que trust, must be proved, and will not be assumed. The maxim "ignorantia legis excusat neminem" cannot be invoked in such a case. The cestui que trust must be shown to have been apprised of his legal rights." (Emphasis added.) Also from Ungerich v Ungerich, 115 NYS 413, 417, "The rule is that to fasten ratification upon a cestui que trust he must not only have been acquainted with all the facts, but apprised also in the law, and how such facts would be dealt with by a court of equity." Likewise, Thaw v Thaw, 27 Fed 2d 729, US v Carter, 217 US 286, 54 L Ed 769, Wendt v Fisher (Cardozo, J.) 234 NY 439, 154 N.E. 303, Leach v Leach, 65 Wis. 284, 26 NW 754.

50. The delay in discovery of the Frauds stated herein pursuant to Amendment XX provides no defense to the remedy, laches or otherwise. Michoud v Girod, 4 How 503, @ 561, 11 L Ed 1076, Pomeroy's Equity, Sec. 847, Wiget v Rockwood 69 F @d 326, et seq., and from Texas & Pacific Ry, v Pottorff, 291 US 245, 78 L Ed 777, in Braun, supra, "the doctrine is thus affirmed. It is the settled doctrine of this court that no rights arise on an ultra vires contract, even though the contract has been performed; and this conclusion cannot be circumvented by erecting and estoppel which would prevent challenging the legality of a power exercised." And from US v Grossmayer, 9 Wall 72, 19 L Ed 6 27, "A transaction originally unlawful cannot be made any better by being ratified." And, further, following Braun, supra, "It is held axiomatic that no right, by ratification or other means, can arise out of fraud." 13 C.J. 492, Sec. 440, 6 R.C. L., p 698, the following is quoted in Thompson on Corporations, 3rd Ed Sec. 2828, from Central Transportation Co. v Pullman Palace Car Co., 139 US 24, as established doctrine of the Supreme Court, "No performance of either side can give the unlawful contract any validity, or be the foundation of any right of action upon it." As said long ago by the great Justice Story in Prevost v Gratz, 6 Wheat 481, 497; 5 L Ed 311, 315, "It is currently true that length of time is no bar to a trust clearly established; and in a case where fraud is imputed and proved, length of time ought not, upon principles of eternal justice, to be admitted to repel relief. On the contrary, it would seem that the length of time during which the fraud has been successfully concealed and practiced, is rather an aggravation of the offense, and calls more loudly upon a court of equity to grant ample and decisive relief." (Emphasis added.)

51. It is a maxim of law that peonage and involuntary servitude are forbidden, and immunity is denied to any party, real or imagined, person or public official who would or conspire to traffic in slaves or participate in aiding or abetting. Clyatt v US, 197 US 207 (1905), Plessy v
Ferguson, 163 US 537, 542, "Whoever [Title 18 U.S.C. Sec.1581] holds or returns any person to a condition of peonage, or arrests any person with the intent of placing him in or returning him to a condition of peonage, shall be fined not more than $5,000.00 or imprisoned not more than five years."

52. All public officials in receipt of this notice are required by their Oath of Office to answer. Notification of legal responsibility is "the first essential of due process of law" Connolly v. General Construction Co., 269 U.S. 385, 391. "Silence can only be equated with fraud where there is a legal or moral duty to speak or when an inquiry left unanswered would be intentionally misleading." U.S. V. Tweel, 550 F.2d.297. It is the ministerial fiduciary/trustee duty of each and every government official, officer, agent, contractor and assign of the UNITED STATES, the STATE OF ILLINOIS, the Federal Reserve Banks/System, the International Monetary Fund, the International Finance Corporation, the International Bank for Reconstruction and Development, the Inter-American Development Bank, the World Bank, the Commission of the European Communities, the Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development, the United Nations and any and all other obligors/grantees who view this notice ("Respondents") to timely and fully answer, Federal Crop Insurance v Merrill (1947) 332 US 380., 92 L Ed 10, 68 S Ct 1, 175 ALR 1075.

53. The period for Respondents to respond to this notice is thirty (30) days. Any party or public official wishing to answer, respond, refute, rebut, deny, object or protest any statement, term, declaration, denial or provision in this presentment must do so by Lawful Protest within thirty (30) days of the date of issuance or forever lose all rights, titles, interests, and the opportunity to plead. All such responses must be verified and have exhibitions and factual evidence in support annexed thereto.

54. Respondents may agree with all statements, terms, declarations, denials and provisions herein by remaining silent. Failure to timely respond to all such terms and provisions with which Respondents disagree comprises Respondents’ stipulation and confession jointly and severally to acceptance of all statements, terms, declarations, denials and provisions herein as facts, the whole truth, correct and fully binding on all parties.

55. This document serves as Notice of Fault in the event Respondents fail to timely respond.

56. Notice of Default shall be issued no sooner than three (3) days after Notice of Fault. Default is final three (3) days after Notice of Fault is issued. Default comprises Respondents consent jointly and severally to be named as defendant(s) in various actions, administrative and judicial

Upon Default, all matters are settled res judicata and stare decisis.

58. Default comprises an estoppel of all actions, administrative and judicial, by Respondents against Craig-Owen: Aamodt, 31. Pomeroy, Equity Jurisprudence Section 805, p. 192, Restatement 2d of Torts Section 894 (1) (1979), and now reasonably relied on, Wilbur National Bank v US 294 US 120, 124-125 (1935), due to misconduct by Government agents Heckler v Community Health Services, 467 US 51, at 59, 60, Federal Crop Ins., supra. "It [the doctrine of Estoppel by Silence] arises where a person is under duty to another to speak or failure to speak is inconsistent with honest dealings." In Re McArules Estate, 140 Misc. 257, et seq., and Silence, to work estoppel, must amount to bad faith. Wise v USDC Ky., 38 F Supp 130, 134, where duty and opportunity to speak, Codd v Westchester Fire Ins. Co. 14 Wash. 2d 600, 128 P 2d 968, 151 ALR 316, creating ignorance of facts, Cushing v US Mas s, 18 F Supp 83, inducing
person claiming estoppel to alter his position, Braunch v Freking, 219 Iowa 556, 258 NW 892, knowledge of facts and of rights by person estopped, Harvey v Richard, 200 La. 97, 7 So. 2d 674, willful or culpable silence, Lenconi v Fidelity Trust & Savings Bank of Fresno, 96 Cal. App. 490, 273 P. 103 et seq., “Silence” implies knowledge, and an opportunity to act upon it, Pence v Langdon, 99 US 578 @ 581, et seq.

DISCLAIMER

THE QUOTATION OF THE PRIVATELY COPYRIGHTED STATUTORY LEGISLATIVELY CREATED CASE LAW AND STATE AND FEDERAL STATUTES PURSUANT TO PL 88-244, DECEMBER 30, 1963, IS DONE WITHOUT INTENT TO CREATE A “USE”, VIOLATE ANY PRIVATE COPYRIGHT, OR GIVE LEGAL ADVICE TO ANYONE, AND STANDS SO UNLESS LAWFULLY PROTESTED BY ANY CONCERNED PARTY(IES)

Notice to the principal is notice to all agents. Notice to an agent is notice to all principals. By this Public Notice, Declarations, and Honorable Clarifications the world is now informed.

60. This action is bonded by a third party surety holding twenty-one dollars in silver coinage, .900 fine, minted by the American Treasury, united States of America, pre-1933 issue. The said bond is annexed hereto and incorporated verbatim herein in its entirety by reference as if fully reproduced herein.

61. The use of a notary public herein is of necessity and under Honorable Clarifications without creating or implying the existence of any contract or contracts between Craig-Owen: Aamodt and any other parties, legal entities, the UNITED STATES, the STATE OF ILLINOIS or agents thereof, public or private.
BE IT SO EXECUTED, and by this execution, be made to appear, in-deed, enacted, decreed, This the 19th day of the May month, anno Domini, two thousand and eleven, Amen.

L.S. ____________________________
Signed only in correct public capacity as Beneficiary of the Original Jurisdiction

Craig-Owen : Aamodt
Location: in care of 105 Elm Street
          Apartment 104
          Post Office Box 421
          Franklin Grove near [61031]
          Illinois

STATE OF ILLINOIS ____________________________
 ) ss:
COUNTY OF LEE ____________________________

Before me, a notary public in and for the said County and State, personally appeared the above named Craig-Owen : Aamodt who acknowledged that he did sign the foregoing instrument and that the same is his free and voluntary act and deed.

IN TESTIMONY WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and official seal this 19th day of May, 2011.

______________________________
Notary Public

My commission expires January 3, 2015

L.S. ____________________________
Signed only in correct public capacity as Beneficiary to the original jurisdiction.
CONVEYANCE BOND

LEE County )
Illinois Republic )
united States of America )

Asseveration

L.S. [Signature]
Signed only in correct public capacity
As beneficiary to the original jurisdiction.

NOTICE OF SURETY ACT AND BOND

KNOW ALL MEN BY THESE PRESENTS, I, Craig-Owen: Aamodt Principle, surety, guarantor, a free
man upon the free soil of this Republic, state that I am of legal age, competent to testify, have personal first
hand knowledge of the truths and facts herein being true, correct, complete, certain and not misleading.

I, Craig-Owen: Aamodt, of my own free will and accord, in the presence of Almighty God, in good
conscience, do willingly undertake to act as surety, to pledge and provide private bond in the amount of
twenty one dollars in silver coinage, .900 fine, minted by the American Treasury, united States of America,
pre-1933 issue, Lawful coin dollars of the united states of America, personally held by the undersigned.

This bond is to the credit of the private party listed hereon, Craig-Owen: Aamodt, as full faith and credit
guarantee under Seal in Lawful specie money of account of the united States of America to any Lawful Bill
in Redemption duly presented, to wit:

The Bill of Redemption is a tender as set-off for any alleged contract, agreement, consent, assent
purportedly held, as an obligation or duty against Craig-Owen: Aamodt so as to cause an imputed
disability or presumption against the capacity, Rights and powers of Craig-Owen : Aamodt. The specific
intent of the bond under seal is to establish, by witness of the undersigned, the good credit in Lawful money
specie of Craig-Owen: Aamodt.

I, Craig-Owen: Aamodt, do hereby make this surety, pledge, bond under My seal as full faith and credit
guarantee under Seal in Lawful money of account of the united States of America, to any Lawful Bill duly
presented to the undersigned, in the matter of correct public judicial actions in the forum of Original Rules,
Original Jurisdiction, for the benefit and credit of the particular private party listed above.

The intent of the bond, under Seal, is to establish, by witness of the undersigned, the good credit, in the sum
certain amount of at least twenty one dollars in silver coinage, .900 fine, minted by the American Treasury,
united States of America, pre-1933 issue, Lawful specie dollars of the united States of America, available
to bond the actions of the private party listed above. Further, in reservation of Rights under Original
Jurisdiction, Original Rules, Craig-Owen: Aamodt has a bond in tender of twenty one silver dollars,
Coinage Act of A.D. 1792, Bond of Identity and Character as proof positive, competent evidence, that Craig-Owen: Aamodt cannot be bankrupt, the causa debendi, cannot be under the doctrine of cessio bonorum, or a forma pauperis, dolus trust.

The life of this bond is for a period of one year from the date below, whereby, by the signature of Craig-Owen: Aamodt, surety, guarantor, hereon, conforms, attests, affirms this bond.

Done this the 19th day of the May month, Anno Domini, in the year of our Lord, two thousand and seven.

Teste Meipso

L.S. {SEAL} Craig Owen

Signed only in correct public capacity
No Dolus

STATE OF ILLINOIS

COUNTY OF DEKALB

Before me, a notary public in and for the said County and State, personally appeared the above named Craig-Owen: Aamodt, who acknowledged that he did sign the foregoing instrument and that the same is his free and voluntary act and deed.

IN TESTIMONY WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and official seal this 19th day of May, 2011.

Sara Becker
Notary Public

My commission expires: January 3, 2015

OFFICIAL SEAL
SARA BECKER
Notary Public - State of Illinois
My Commission Expires Jan 3, 2015
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NOTICE OF FOREIGN JURISDICTION
TO: ALL U.S. AND STATE AGENTS & OFFICERS

WHEN THIS NOTICE IS AFFIXED TO A PREMISES, all property therein and attached thereto is under the custody and control of the above-noted foreign official and not subject to intrusion or seizure. THE BEARER OF THIS NOTICE has been duly notified to the Department of State pursuant to international law and enjoys immunity from criminal and civil jurisdiction, arrest and detention. Under international convention, the bearer should be treated with respect and all steps should be taken to prevent attack on the bearer's freedom, mobility, interests and property.

Law enforcement inquiries may be made to the U.S. Department of State Authentications Office, (202) 647-4000. Legalization inquiries may be made to the U.S. Delegation for the Hague Convention, (202) 776-8342.